Question 2

1. The breakpoints I am considering are 4.

Summary:

- Temp.F Based on range of numeric i.e., 0-80 I am taking as (0,30,60,90) .
- Humidity.percentage Humidity ranges from 0-90 so break points are (0,30,60,90).
- Precip.in Precip ranges from 0-2 so break points are (0,1,2,3)
- 2. When we consider only single predictor Temp.F the accuracy is less than the 3 predictors considered together.
- 3. Temp.F has low precision than Precip.in.
- 4. Precip.in has highest precision rate. So, the precision will be decreased when we eliminate this predictor.
- 5. Compared to LDA, QDA, KNN the naïve Bayes gives the moderately good accuracy with least relatively low error rate.
- 6. Both qualitative and quantitative predictors give almost the same accuracy, precision, and recall.
- 7. Temp.F, Humidity.percentage together gives higher accuracy than Temp.F, Humidity.percentage,Precip.in together.

Qualitative Predictors	Accuracy	Precision	Recall
Temp.F c(0,30,60,90)	0.746	0.767	0.9934
Humidity.percentage,			
c(0,30,60,90)			
Precip.in $c(0,1,2,3)$			
Temp.F $c(0,30,60,90)$	0.723	0.685	0.991
Temp.F $c(0,33,66,99)$	0.730	0.754	0.989
Temp.F	0.518	0.472	0.944
c(0,50,100,150)			
Humidity	0.523	0.350	1
c(0,30,60,90)			
Humidity	0.566	0.62	1
c(30,50,70,90)			
Precip $c(0,1,2,3)$	0.489	0.99	1
Precip $c(0,0.5,1,1.5)$	0.53	0.962	1
Temp.F $c(0,33,66,99)$	0.71	0.80	0.99
Humidity.percentage,			
c(30,50,70,90)			
Precip.in			
c(0,0.5,1,1.5)			

Quantitative Predictors	Accuracy	Precision	Recall
Temp.F, Humidity.percentage, Precip.in	0.747	0.84	0.9388
Temp.F	0.728	0.737	0.982
Humidity.percentage	0.575	0.604	1
Precip.in	0.381	0.94	0.54
Temp.F, Humidity.percentage	0.753	0.775	0.985
Temp.F, Precip.in	0.710	0.886	0.9396
Temp.F,Dew_Point.F ,Humidity.percentage ,SeaLevelPress.in,Vis ibility.mi,Wind.mph, Precip.in,	0.754	0.777	0.96

^{8.} For quantitative predictors the naïve Bayes model with all predictors considered has higher accuracy but lower precision and recall.

^{9.} We get very good recall when we consider the qualitative data.

^{10.} Naïve Bayes classification considers all features are independent on class, so we find all the accuracy are almost same.

Now consider, from the KC weather data set, just the predictors: Temp.F, Humidity.percentage, Precip.in. Categorize these three data sets into qualitative predictors. It is up to you to decide on the break points, but you must discuss a rationale for your breakpoints. Now apply, naive Bayes Classifier on the entire data set (with these three qualitative predictors), using 290 of them as a training data set randomly (and the rest as the test data set), over 100 replications. Report on accuracy, precision, and recall.

Qualitative Temp.F, Humidity. Percentage, Percip.in Naïve Bayes:

```
> data=read.csv("kc weather srt.csv")
> data$CatTemp<-cut(data$Temp.F,breaks=c(0,30,60,90),labels = c("Low","Med","High"))
> data$CatHumid<-cut(data$Humidity.percentage,breaks = c(0,30,60,90),labels = c("H Low","H Med","H High"))
> data$CatPrecip.in<-cut(data$Precip.in, breaks=c(0,1,2,3),labels = c("P_Low","P_Med","P_High"))
> data
        Date Temp.F Dew Point.F Humidity.percentage Sea Level Press.in Visibility.mi Wind.mph Precip.in
    2014-1-1 26 12 73 30.19 5 9
    2014-1-4 31
                        18
                                        68
                                                     29.95
                                                                           11
                                                                                  0.01
3 2014-1-5 10
4 2014-1-10 38
5 2014-1-11 40
6 2014-1-12 49
                       1
35
                                       63
                                                     30.24
                                                                5 14 0.02
                                                                  6 5
9 7
10 10
                                        90
                                                     29.70
                                                                                 0.00
                       30
                                        75
                                                                                 0.00
                                                     29.80
                                                     29.64
                       29
                                                                                 0.00
6 2014-1-12 49
                                        51
```

```
> Accuracy=dim(100)
> Precision=dim(100)
> Recall=dim(100)
> for(k in 1:100){
   train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
  dataTrain=data[train,]
  dataTest=data[-train,]
  e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~CatTemp+CatHumid+CatPrecip.in,data=dataTrain)
  e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
  cm=confusionMatrix(el071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
  Accuracv[k]=cm$overall[1]
   Precision[k]=cm$bvClass[5]
   Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
+ }
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.7464384
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.7673333
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 0.9934921
```

```
> data=read.csv("kc weather srt.csv")
> data$CatTemp<-cut(data$Temp.F,breaks=c(0,33,66,99),labels = c("Low","Med","High"))</pre>
> data$CatHumid<-cut(data$Humidity.percentage,breaks = c(30,50,70,90),labels = c("H Low","H Med","H High"))
> data$CatPrecip.in<-cut(data$Precip.in, breaks=c(0,0.5,1,1.5),labels = c("P Low","P Med","P High"))
> data
        Date Temp.F Dew Point.F Humidity.percentage
    2014-1-1 26
1
                        12
    2014-1-4 31
                        18
   2014-1-5 10
                         1
                                         63
4 2014-1-10 38
                       35
> for(k in 1:100){
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~CatTemp+CatHumid+CatPrecip.in,data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(el071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
+ Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
+ Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
+ }
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.7127397
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.8057778
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 0.9909524
```

Qualitative Temp.F Naïve Bayes with break points as 0,30,60,90:

```
> library(caret)
> library(e1071)
> data=read.csv("kc weather_srt.csv", stringsAsFactors=TRUE)
> data$CatTemp<-cut(data$Temp.F,breaks=c(0,30,60,90),labels = c("Low","Med","High"))</pre>
> Accuracy=dim(100)
> Precision=dim(100)
> Recall=dim(100)
> for(k in 1:100){
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~CatTemp,data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(e1071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
+ Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
+ Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
+ }
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.7231507
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.6857778
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 0.9919048
```

Qualitative Temp.F Naïve Bayes with break points as 0,33,66,99:

```
> data=read.csv("kc_weather_srt.csv", stringsAsFactors=TRUE)
> data$CatTemp<-cut(data$Temp.F,breaks=c(0,33,66,99),labels = c("Low","Med","High"))
> Accuracy=dim(100)
> Precision=dim(100)
> Recall=dim(100)
> for(k in 1:100){
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~CatTemp,data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(el071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
+ Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
+ Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.7306849
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.7542222
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 0.9896825
> library(caret)
> library(e1071)
```

Qualitative Temp.F Naïve Bayes with break points as 0,33,66,99:

```
> data=read.csv("kc_weather_srt.csv", stringsAsFactors=TRUE)
> data$CatTemp<-cut(data$Temp.F,breaks=c(0,50,100,150),labels = c("Low","Med","High"))
> Accuracy=dim(100)
> Precision=dim(100)
> Recall=dim(100)
> for(k in 1:100){
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~CatTemp,data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(e1071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
+ Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
+ Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
+ }
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.5182192
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.4726667
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 0.9944444
Quantitative Temp.F model
> Accuracy=dim(100)
> Precision=dim(100)
> Recall=dim(100)
 > for(k in 1:100){
 + train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
 + dataTrain=data[train,]
 + dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~Temp.F, data=dataTrain)
 + e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(e1071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
 + Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
 + Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
 + }
 > meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
```

> meanAccuracy [1] 0.7289041

> meanPrecision
[1] 0.7377778

> meanRecall [1] 0.9828571

> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)

> meanRecall=mean(Recall)

Quantitative Temp.F, Humidity. Percentage, Percip.in Naïve Bayes:

```
> Accuracy=dim(100)
> Precision=dim(100)
> Recall=dim(100)
> for(k in 1:100){
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~Temp.F+Humidity.percentage+Precip.in,data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(el071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
+ Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
+ Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.7473973
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.8477778
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 0.9388889
```

Quantitative Humidity.percentage model

+ Accuracy[k]=cm\$overal1[1] + Precision[k]=cm\$byClass[5] + Recall[k]=cm\$byClass[6]

> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)

> meanRecall=mean(Recall)

> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)

> meanAccuracy [1] 0.3819178

> meanPrecision

> meanRecall [1] 0.5442857

[1] 0.94

```
> for(k in 1:100) {
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~Humidity.percentage, data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(el071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
+ Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
+ Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
+ }
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.5757534
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.6044444
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 1
Quantitative Precip.in model
> Accuracy=dim(100)
> Precision=dim(100)
> Recall=dim(100)
> for(k in 1:100){
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ el071Model=naiveBayes(Events~Precip.in,data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(e1071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
```

Quantitative Temp.F, Humidity. Percentage Naïve Bayes:

```
> Accuracy=dim(100)
> Precision=dim(100)
> Recall=dim(100)
> for(k in 1:100){
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~Temp.F+Humidity.percentage,data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(e1071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
+ Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
+ Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.7538356
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.7753333
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 0.9857143
```

Quantitative Temp.F, Percip.in Naïve Bayes:

```
> for(k in 1:100) {
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~Temp.F+Precip.in,data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(e1071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
+ Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
+ Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.710411
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.8868889
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 0.9396825
```

Quantitative Temp.F, Dew_Point.F, Humidity.percentage, Sea_Level_Press.in, Visibility.mi, Wind.mph, Precip.in Naïve Bayes:

```
> for(k in 1:100){
+ train=createDataPartition(data$Events,p=.80,list=FALSE)
+ dataTrain=data[train,]
+ dataTest=data[-train,]
+ e1071Model=naiveBayes(Events~Temp.F+Dew Point.F+Humidity.percentage+Sea Level Press.in
                    +Visibility.mi+Wind.mph+Precip.in,data=dataTrain)
+ e1071Predictions=predict(e1071Model,dataTest)
+ cm=confusionMatrix(e1071Predictions,dataTest$Events)
+ Accuracy[k]=cm$overall[1]
+ Precision[k]=cm$byClass[5]
+ Recall[k]=cm$byClass[6]
> meanAccuracy=mean(Accuracy)
> meanAccuracy
[1] 0.7549315
> meanPrecision=mean(Precision)
> meanPrecision
[1] 0.7775556
> meanRecall=mean(Recall)
> meanRecall
[1] 0.9615873
```

References:

- 1. https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/klaR/versions/0.6-12/topics/plot.NaiveBayes
- 2. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/naivebayes/naivebayes.pdf