### Algorithm Analysis and Design

Pratyay Suvarnapathaki, 2020111016

# Week 3, Lecture 1

# The Polynomial Product Problem

The problem statement is quite simple.

Given two polynomials A(x) and B(x), their product C(x) = A(x)B(x) is to be found, as efficiently as possible.

Writing out the polynomials:

$$A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_d x^d$$

$$B(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_d x^d$$

$$C(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_{2d} x^{2d}$$

where the coefficients  $c_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i}$ 

Here.

The naïve approach is intuitive, just multiply the individual coefficients to get the answer in  $O(d^2)$ 

The question now is,

#### Can we do better?

The answer is yes, it is possible to do this in  $O(d \log d)$ , using the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), which is yet another algorithm that uses the 'divide-and-conquer' methodology.

# Polynomial Representation

The polynomial  $A(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_dx^d$  can be represented in two ways:

#### 1. Coefficient representation

All the coefficients  $a_i$  are stored in a list  $[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d]$ , where d is the desgree of the polynomial.

#### 2. Point-Value Representation

A polynomial can be uniquely identified using d+1 point-vaue pairs  $(x_i, A(x_i))$ 

Conversion from coefficient to point-value representation is called **evaluation**, and the reverse is called **interpolation**.

Since polynomial multiplication is clearly more efficient (linear time) in the point-value form, we come up with the following rudimentary plan:

- Given A(x), pick n such that n > 2d+1 and  $n = 2^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  points  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}$
- Compute  $A(x_0), \dots, A(x_{n-1})$  and  $B(x_0), \dots, B(x_{n-1})$
- Then compute  $C(x_i) = A(x_i)B(x_i) \ \forall i = 0, \dots n-1$
- Interpolate to obtain  $C(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \cdots + c_{2d} x^{2d}$

However, upon inspection, this plan is not very promising because:

Although the multiplication itself is now faster owing to the point-value form, evaluation costs  $O(n^2)$  time and interpolation costs even more time, even if we were to use Strassen's method.

Therefore, we now apply the 'divide and conquer' methodology to the evaluation and interpolation steps to hopefully make the above solution more efficient.

# Evaluation using Divide and Conquer

Naturally, evaluating A(x) at a point, say  $x_k$ , takes O(d) time, where d is the degree of the polynomial.

The way we can make this method more efficient is by searching for points which result in a lot of overlap in computation, thereby reducing the requisite number of computations.

Therefore, intuitively, it makes sense to split A(x) into two d/2 degree polynomials  $A_e(x)$  and  $A_o(x)$ , consisting of the even and odd powers of x, respectively.

For eg.

For 
$$A(x) = 5 + 2x + 6x^2 + 3x^3 + 7x^4 + 8x^5$$
,  
 $A_e(x) = 5 + 6x + 7x^2$  and  $A_o = 2 + 3x + 8x^2$   
 $A(x) = A_e(x^2) + xA_o(x^2)$ 

The advantage of doing this is that the polynomial can now be evaluated for **two** points using just two evaluations of degree d/2, since

$$A(x) = A_e(x^2) + xA_o(x^2)$$
 and  $A(-x) = A_e(x^2) - xA_o(x^2)$ 

i.e. Evaluating A(x) at n points has now reduced to evaluating two d/2 degree polynomials  $A_e(x)$  and  $A_o(x)$  at just n/2 points.

Recursively, 
$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

The problem now is,

This plus/minus trick only works for the *first step of recursion*. The square numbers obtained in the first recursive step inherently cannot be plus/minus pairs.

Therefore here, we have to utilise **complex numbers** 

But the thing is, the complex numbers that we will use will be such that their evaluation matrix exactly maches their fourier transform.

In other words, the fourier transform can be viewed as a transformation of a polyomial in coefficient form to its point-value form.

### The Fast Fourier Transform

As concluded above, in order to get plus-minus pairings at each step of recursion, we choose our initial d points to be the n<sup>th</sup> roots of unity represented by  $1, \omega, \omega^2, \ldots \omega^{n-1}$  where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . This makes the evaluation step have  $(d \log d)$  or, more precisely,  $O(d \log n)$  complexity.

### Pseudocode for Evaluation

```
function FFT (A, \omega)
```

**Input**: Coefficient representation of a polynomial A(x) of degree  $d \le n-1$  where n is a power of 2, and  $\omega$ : an n<sup>th</sup> root of unity

Output: Value representation  $A(\omega), \dots, A(\omega^{n-1})$ 

#### Logic:

if 
$$\omega=1$$
: return  $A(1)$ 

express 
$$A(x)$$
 in the form  $A_e(x^2) + xA_o(x^2)$ 

call  $FFT(A_e, \omega^2)$  to evaluate  $A_e$  at even powers of  $\omega$ 

call \$FFT(A\_o,\omega^2) to evaluate  $A_o$ , at even powers of  $\omega$ 

for 
$$j = 0$$
 to  $n - 1$ :

compute 
$$A(\omega^j) = A_e(w^{2j}) + \omega^j A_o(\omega^{2j})$$

return  $A(\omega), \dots, A(\omega^{n-1})$ 

# **Evaluation and Interpolation Matrices**

For polynomial

$$A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

$$egin{bmatrix} A(x_0) \ A(x_1) \ A(x_2) \ dots \ A(x_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & x_0 & x_0^2 & \cdots & x_0^{n-1} \ 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{n-1} \ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{n-1} \ dots & dots & dots & dots \ 1 & x_{n-1} & x_{n-1}^2 & \cdots & x_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} a_0 \ a_1 \ a_2 \ dots \ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

As mentioned above, we put  $x_i = \omega^i$ 

In the above equation, the middle Vandermonde matrix M plays an important role.

Evaluation corresponds to multiplication by M while interpolation corresponds to multiplication by  $M^{-1}$ 

Thus,

$$M_n(\omega) = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \cdots & \omega^{n-1} \ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \cdots & \omega^{2(n-1)} \ & & dots \ 1 & \omega^j & \omega^{2j} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)j} \ & & dots \ 1 & \omega^{(n-1)} & \omega^{2(n-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

To calculate inverse, we have:  $M_n(\omega)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} M_n(\omega^{-1})$ 

Thus,

$$egin{bmatrix} a_0 \ a_1 \ a_2 \ dots \ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = rac{1}{n} egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \ 1 & \omega^{-1} & \omega^{-2} & \cdots & \omega^{-(n-1)} \ 1 & \omega^{-2} & \omega^{-4} & \cdots & \omega^{-2(n-1)} \ dots \ 1 & dots & dots & dots & dots \ 1 & \omega^{-(n-1)} & \omega^{-2(n-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{-(n-1)(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} A(\omega^0) \ A(\omega^1) \ A(\omega^2) \ dots \ A(\omega^{n-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, in summary,

Coefficient form  $\stackrel{FFT}{\Longrightarrow}$  Point-Value form

Multiply in Point-Value form

Point-Value form  $\stackrel{FFT}{\Longrightarrow}$  Coefficient form