COUNTEREXAMPLES ON SPECTRA OF SIGN PATTERNS

YAROSLAV SHITOV

(Communicated by Patricia L. Hersh)

ABSTRACT. An $n \times n$ sign pattern S, which is a matrix with entries 0, +, -, is called spectrally arbitrary if any monic real polynomial of degree n can be realized as a characteristic polynomial of a matrix obtained by replacing the nonzero elements of S by numbers of the corresponding signs. A sign pattern S is said to be a superpattern of those matrices that can be obtained from S by replacing some of the nonzero entries by zeros. We develop a new technique that allows us to prove spectral arbitrariness of sign patterns for which the previously known Nilpotent Jacobian method does not work. Our approach leads us to solutions of numerous open problems known in the literature. In particular, we provide an example of a sign pattern S and its superpattern S' such that S is spectrally arbitrary but S' is not, disproving a conjecture proposed in 2000 by Drew, Johnson, Olesky, and van den Driessche.

1. Conjectures

The study of the spectra of matrix patterns received a significant amount of attention in recent publications. The conjecture mentioned in the abstract appeared in one of the foundational papers on this topic (10), and many subsequent works proved it in different special cases (3,7,12,14,15). One of the known sufficient conditions for superpatterns to be spectrally arbitrary is the *Nilpotent Jacobian* condition (2,10), which allowed for the solving of several intriguing problems on this topic (4,11,19). Despite these efforts, the *superpattern conjecture* has remained open until now, and we mention 5,17,18 as other recent work discussing this conjecture.

Conjecture 1 ([10], Conjecture 16]). If S is a minimal spectrally arbitrary sign pattern, then any superpattern of S is spectrally arbitrary.

We note that this conjecture involves the concept of a minimal spectrally arbitrary sign pattern, that is, a sign pattern S which is spectrally arbitrary but is not a superpattern of any other spectrally arbitrary sign pattern. In our paper, we construct a sign pattern S and its superpattern S' such that S is spectrally arbitrary but S' is not. We do not investigate the question of minimality of S, but S is a superpattern of some minimal spectrally arbitrary pattern S_0 , and the pair (S_0, S') provides a counterexample to Conjecture \square even if S is not minimal.

Received by the editors December 22, 2016, and, in revised form, October 31, 2017, and November 14, 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A18, 15B35.

Key words and phrases. Matrix theory, eigenvalues, sign pattern.

As stated above, the *Nilpotent Jacobian* condition is sufficient for a zero pattern (and every superpattern of it) to be spectrally arbitrary. Our results show that this condition is not necessary, answering the questions posed explicitly in [1,10,17].

As a byproduct of our approach, we obtain solutions of two other related problems on the topic. Namely, we construct a sign pattern U such that diag(U, U) is spectrally arbitrary but U itself is not. This gives a solution to the problem posed in [8], Section 5] and an answer to [5], Question 3].

An $n \times n$ sign pattern S is said to allow arbitrary refined inertias if, for any family n_+, n_-, n_0, n_i of nonnegative integers such that $n_+ + n_- + n_0 + 2n_i = n$, there is a matrix with sign pattern S which has n_+ eigenvalues with positive real part, n_- eigenvalues with negative real part, n_0 zero eigenvalues, and n_i purely imaginary eigenvalues. We provide an example of a sign pattern that allows arbitrary refined inertias but is not spectrally arbitrary, which solves the problem asked in [8], Section 5] and in [13], Section 5].

2. Counterexamples

We define the sign patterns

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} + & + & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ - & - & + & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & + & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & + & 0 \\ - & - & 0 & 0 & 0 & + \\ + & + & + & 0 & - & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T' = \begin{pmatrix} + & + & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ - & - & + & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ + & 0 & 0 & + & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & + & 0 \\ - & - & 0 & 0 & 0 & + \\ + & + & + & 0 & - & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which agree at every entry except (3,1), so T' is indeed a superpattern of T. Also, we fix any 2×2 spectrally arbitrary pattern and denote it by D. Let us prove several observations which we will put together in the theorem below.

Observation 2. Let R be a matrix obtained from T' by replacing the signs with nonzero real numbers. Then R is not nilpotent.

Proof. The coefficients of t^3 and t^5 in the characteristic polynomial of R are equal to $-r_{12}r_{23}r_{31} + (r_{11} + r_{22})r_{56}r_{65}$ and $-r_{11} - r_{22}$, respectively. These coefficients can vanish simultaneously only if $r_{12}r_{23}r_{31} = 0$.

Observation 3. Let R be a matrix obtained from T by replacing the signs with nonzero real numbers. Assume that $t^6 + a_5t^5 + a_4t^4 + a_3t^3 + a_2t^2 + a_1t + a_0$ is the characteristic polynomial of R. Then $a_3 = 0$ if and only if $a_5 = 0$.

Proof. The coefficients of t^3 and t^5 in the characteristic polynomial of R are equal to $(r_{11} + r_{22})r_{56}r_{65}$ and $-r_{11} - r_{22}$, respectively. As we see, the former of these numbers is zero if and only if the latter one is zero.

Observation 4. The sign pattern $\operatorname{diag}(T, D)$ is not spectrally arbitrary.

Proof. If $f = (t^2 + t + 1)(t^2 - t + 2)(t^2 + 1)(t^2 - 1)$ is realizable as the characteristic polynomial of a matrix with sign pattern diag(T, D), then f has a divisor realizable as the characteristic polynomial of a matrix with sign pattern T. A straightforward checking of possible cases leads to a contradiction with Observation 3.

¹In fact, spectrally arbitrary $n \times n$ sign patterns exist for all $n \ge 2$; see [16].

In order to proceed, we consider the matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_4 & -x_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -x_6 & -x_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ x_7 & x_8 & x_9 & 0 & -x_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

whose sign pattern is T whenever the x_i 's take positive values.

Observation 5. For all $b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, there are positive values of the x_i 's such that the characteristic polynomial of X equals $(t^2 + b)(t^2 + c)(t^2 + d)$.

Proof. First, we assume that x_1, x_3, x_8, x_9 are arbitrary and check that the matrix X defined by $x_2 = x_1$, $x_4 = b + c + d + x_1^2 - x_3$, $x_5 = bc + bd + cd - bx_3 - cx_3 - dx_3 + x_3^2 + x_9$, $x_6 = bcx_1 + bdx_1 + cdx_1 - bx_1x_3 - cx_1x_3 - dx_1x_3 + x_1x_3^2 + x_8 + x_1x_9$, $x_7 = -bcd + x_1x_8 - bx_9 - cx_9 - dx_9 + x_3x_9$ has a desired characteristic polynomial. Picking $x_3 = 1$ and defining x_1 as a sufficiently large positive number, we make x_2, x_3, x_4 positive regardless of the values of x_8, x_9 . Finally, the choice of x_9 allows us to make x_5 positive, and now x_6, x_7 tend to $+\infty$ as x_8 gets large.

Observation 6. If $a_3/a_5 > 0$, then there are positive values of the x_i 's such that the characteristic polynomial of X equals $t^6 + a_5t^5 + a_4t^4 + a_3t^3 + a_2t^2 + a_1t + a_0$.

Proof. Again, we assume that x_1, x_8, x_9 are arbitrary and check that the matrix X defined by $x_2 = a_5 + x_1$, $x_3 = a_3/a_5$, $x_4 = (-a_3 + a_4a_5 + a_5^2x_1 + a_5x_1^2)/a_5$, $x_5 = (a_3^2 - a_3a_4a_5 + a_2a_5^2 + a_5^2x_9)/a_5^2$, $x_6 = (a_1a_5^2 + a_3^2x_1 - a_3a_4a_5x_1 + a_2a_5^2x_1 + a_5^2x_8 + a_5^2x_9 + a_5^2x_1x_9)/a_5^2$, $x_7 = (-a_0a_5 + a_5x_1x_8 + a_3x_9 - a_4a_5x_9)/a_5$ has a desired characteristic polynomial. Defining x_1 as a large enough positive number, we make x_2, x_3, x_4 positive regardless of the values of x_8, x_9 . As in the proof of the previous observation, the choice of x_9 allows us to make x_5 positive, and then x_6, x_7 tend to $+\infty$ as x_8 gets large.

Observation 7. Let f be a monic real polynomial of degree 16. Then f has a divisor realizable as the characteristic polynomial of a matrix with sign pattern T.

Proof. Clearly, f is the product of eight quadratics of the form $t^2 + a_i t + b_i$. If b_i is negative, then such a quadratic has two roots of different signs, so we can assume without loss of generality that at least seven of the initial quadratics have their b_i 's nonnegative. By the pigeonhole principle, among these seven quadratics there are three that either have all a_i 's positive, or all a_i 's negative, or all a_i 's zero. In the first two cases, the product of these three quadratics is a polynomial as in Observation 6, and the case of zero a_i 's corresponds to Observation 5.

Observation 8. Let $V = \text{diag}(T, \dots, T, D, \dots, D)$ be a sign pattern of size (6t + 2d). (T occurs t times, D occurs d times.) If $d \ge 5$, then V is spectrally arbitrary.

Proof. The result is true for t = 0 because D is spectrally arbitrary (see also in [9], Proposition 2.1]). Now let t > 0 and let f be a monic real polynomial of degree 6t + 2d (which is at least 16). We apply Observation [7] and find a polynomial h that divides f and arises as the characteristic polynomial of a matrix M_1 with sign pattern T. Using the inductive assumption, we find a matrix M_2 with characteristic polynomial f/h and sign pattern that has the same form as V but with one T-block

removed. Now the matrix $diag(M_1, M_2)$ has sign pattern V and characteristic polynomial f.

Observation 9. For any family $\nu = (n_+, n_-, n_0, n_i)$ of nonnegative integers such that $n_+ + n_- + n_0 + 2n_i = 8$, there is a family $\mu \leq \nu$ and a matrix M with sign pattern T and refined inertia μ .

Proof. If $n_0 + 2n_i \ge 6$, then we are done because of Observation 5. Otherwise, we have $n_+ + n_- \ge 3$, and it suffices to check that any tuple $\mu = (m_+, m_-, m_0, m_i)$ with $m_+ + m_- \ge 3$ arises as a refined inertia of a matrix with sign pattern T.

Now we see that one of the tuples $\mu - (3,0,0,0)$, $\mu - (0,3,0,0)$, $\mu - (2,1,0,0)$, $\mu - (1,2,0,0)$ consists of nonnegative integers, and this tuple corresponds to some monic polynomial h of degree 3. We note that, for a sufficiently large positive N, the polynomials $(t-N)^3h$, $(t+N)^3h$, $(t+3N)(t-N)^2h$, $(t-3N)(t+N)^2h$ satisfy the condition as in Observation 6. As said above, one of these polynomials has μ as a refined inertia.

Observation 10. The sign pattern $\operatorname{diag}(T, D)$ allows arbitrary refined inertias.

Proof. Let $\nu = (n_+, n_-, n_0, n_i)$ be a family of nonnegative integers such that $n_+ + n_- + n_0 + 2n_i = 8$. By Observation \mathfrak{D} , there is a family $\mu \leqslant \nu$ and a matrix M_1 with sign pattern T and refined inertia μ . Since D is spectrally arbitrary, it allows a matrix M_2 with refined inertia $\nu - \mu$, and then the matrix $\operatorname{diag}(M_1, M_2)$ has sign pattern $\operatorname{diag}(T, D)$ and refined inertia ν .

Now we put all the observations together and conclude the paper.

Theorem 11. Let T, T', D be as above. Then

- (1) the sign pattern S = diag(T, D, D, D, D, D) is spectrally arbitrary, but its superpattern S' = diag(T', D, D, D, D) is not spectrally arbitrary;
 - (2) $\operatorname{diag}(T, D)$ allows arbitrary refined inertias but is not spectrally arbitrary;
- (3) there is a sign pattern U such that diag(U, U) is spectrally arbitrary but U is not.

Proof. The definition of T and T' immediately shows that S' is a superpattern of S. By Observation \mathbb{Z} , S' does not allow a nilpotent matrix, so it is not spectrally arbitrary. Observation \mathbb{S} shows that S is spectrally arbitrary and completes the proof of (1).

The sign pattern $\operatorname{diag}(T, D)$ is not spectrally arbitrary by Observation 4, and it allows arbitrary refined inertias by Observation 10. This proves (2).

Finally, let $U_1 = \operatorname{diag}(T, D)$. If $U_2 = \operatorname{diag}(U_1, U_1)$ is spectrally arbitrary, then the proof of (3) is complete. Otherwise, we define $U_3 = \operatorname{diag}(U_2, U_2)$, and we are done if U_3 is spectrally arbitrary. If this is still not the case, we complete the proof because $\operatorname{diag}(U_3, U_3)$ is spectrally arbitrary by Observation 8.

References

- Hannah Bergsma, Kevin N. Vander Meulen, and Adam Van Tuyl, Potentially nilpotent patterns and the nilpotent-Jacobian method, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012), no. 12, 4433–4445.
 MR 2917420
- [2] T. Britz, J. J. McDonald, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche, Minimal spectrally arbitrary sign patterns, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 26 (2004), no. 1, 257–271. MR 2112860
- [3] Michael S. Cavers and Shaun M. Fallat, Allow problems concerning spectral properties of patterns, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 23 (2012), 731–754. MR2966802

- [4] Michael S. Cavers, In Jae Kim, Bryan L. Shader, and Kevin N. Vander Meulen, On determining minimal spectrally arbitrary patterns, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 13 (2005), 240–248. MR2181880
- [5] M. Catral, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche, Allow problems concerning spectral properties of sign pattern matrices: a survey, Linear Algebra Appl. 430 (2009), no. 11-12, 3080–3094. MR2517861
- [6] Michael S. Cavers and Kevin N. Vander Meulen, Spectrally and inertially arbitrary sign patterns, Linear Algebra Appl. 394 (2005), 53–72. MR2100576
- [7] L. Corpuz and J. J. McDonald, Spectrally arbitrary zero-nonzero patterns of order 4, Linear Multilinear Algebra 55 (2007), no. 3, 249–273. MR2286686
- [8] L. Deaett, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche, Refined inertially and spectrally arbitrary zero-nonzero patterns, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 20 (2010), 449–467. MR2735967
- [9] Luz M. DeAlba, Irvin R. Hentzel, Leslie Hogben, Judith McDonald, Rana Mikkelson, Olga Pryporova, Bryan Shader, and Kevin N. Vander Meulen, Spectrally arbitrary patterns: reducibility and the 2n conjecture for n = 5, Linear Algebra Appl. 423 (2007), no. 2-3, 262–276. MR.2312406
- [10] J. H. Drew, C. R. Johnson, D. D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche, Spectrally arbitrary patterns, Linear Algebra Appl. 308 (2000), no. 1-3, 121–137. MR1751135
- [11] Colin Garnett and Bryan L. Shader, A proof of the T_n conjecture: centralizers, Jacobians and spectrally arbitrary sign patterns, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012), no. 12, 4451–4458. MR2917422
- [12] Colin Garnett and Bryan L. Shader, The nilpotent-centralizer method for spectrally arbitrary patterns, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013), no. 10, 3836–3850. MR 3034502
- [13] I.-J. Kim, D. D. Olesky, B. L. Shader, P. van den Driessche, H. van der Holst, and K. N. Vander Meulen, Generating potentially nilpotent full sign patterns, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 18 (2009), 162–175. MR2491653
- [14] In-Jae Kim, Bryan L. Shader, Kevin N. Vander Meulen, and Matthew West, Spectrally arbitrary pattern extensions, Linear Algebra Appl. 517 (2017), 120–128. MR3592013
- [15] G. MacGillivray, R. M. Tifenbach, and P. van den Driessche, Spectrally arbitrary star sign patterns, Linear Algebra Appl. 400 (2005), 99–119. MR2131919
- [16] J. J. McDonald, D. D. Olesky, M. J. Tsatsomeros, and P. van den Driessche, On the spectra of striped sign patterns, Linear Multilinear Algebra 51 (2003), no. 1, 39–48. MR 1950412
- [17] J. J. McDonald and A. A. Yielding, Complex spectrally arbitrary zero-nonzero patterns, Linear Multilinear Algebra 60 (2012), no. 1, 11–26. MR 2869669
- [18] Timothy C. Melvin, Spectrally arbitrary zero-nonzero patterns of matrices over a variety of fields, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Washington State University. MR3211725
- [19] Rajesh Pereira, Nilpotent matrices and spectrally arbitrary sign patterns, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 16 (2007), 232–236. MR2338347

129346 RUSSIA, MOSCOW, IZUMRUDNAYA ULITSA, DOM 65, KVARTIRA 4 Email address: yaroslav-shitov@yandex.ru