

1 Topology

The first three propositions are from early in my topology class. I chose this particular set of problems mostly because I thought Proposition 3 had an interesting proof. For context, the *section* S_α of a set A equipped with the order topology is the subset $\{x \in A \mid x < \alpha\}$. S_Ω is the so-called “minimal uncountable well-ordered set”, which is a set with the property that any section of S_Ω is countable, but S_Ω is uncountable.

Proposition 1. S_Ω has no largest element.

Proof. Assume towards contradiction that S_Ω has a largest element, which we will call a . Then, S_a contains every element in S_Ω smaller than a . By assumption, every element in S_Ω except a is smaller than a . Since S_Ω is uncountable, $S_a = S_\Omega - \{a\}$ is also uncountable, a contradiction to the assumption that S_x is countable for any $x \in S_\Omega$. Thus, a does not exist, and S_Ω has no largest element. \square

Proposition 2. For every element $a \in S_\Omega$, the subset $\{x \mid a < x\}$ is uncountable.

Proof. Let $a \in S_\Omega$ be an arbitrary element. Assume towards contradiction that the set $\{x \mid a < x\}$ is countable. Then $S_a = S_\Omega - \{x \mid a < x\} - \{a\}$ must be uncountable, since subtraction of an uncountable set by a countable set is uncountable. This is a contradiction, by our premise that S_a is countable. Thus $\{x \mid a < x\}$ is uncountable. \square

Proposition 3. Let $X_0 \subset S_\Omega$ consisting of all elements $x \in S_\Omega$ where x has no immediate predecessor. Then X_0 is uncountable.

Proof. To prove this claim we will construct a partition of S_Ω where each subset in the partition has exactly one element of X_0 and is countable. Then, we will argue that since S_Ω is uncountable, there must be an uncountable set of totally disjoint subsets of S_Ω which each contain one element of X_0 , and thus X_0 is uncountable.

First, we construct a function $\phi : S_\Omega \rightarrow S_\Omega$ defined as follows:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \text{ has no immediate predecessor} \\ P(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where if $\text{Pred}(x)$ represents the predecessor of x then $P : S_\Omega \rightarrow S_\Omega$ maps x to the smallest element in the descending chain

$$x, \text{Pred}(x), \text{Pred}(\text{Pred}(x)), \dots,$$

where the chain terminates at length n when the n th element has no predecessor. Note that this is well defined because S_Ω is well-ordered, and thus every set has a smallest element. This element clearly does not have a predecessor.

Now, we construct a partition Q of S_Ω where $x \sim y$ are in the same partition when $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$. It is clear that this is a partition.

We can see that for any arbitrary x with a predecessor, $x \sim P(x)$ because $\phi(x) = P(x)$. Since $P(x)$ has no predecessor, the subset containing x in the partition has this as an element with no predecessor. Now, pick y, z with no predecessors where $y \neq z$. Since $\phi(y) = y \neq z = \phi(z)$, these elements are in different subsets in the partition. Thus, each subset of the partition has exactly one element with no predecessors.

Now, consider some arbitrary element x . If x has a successor $\text{Succ}(x)$, then clearly $\text{Succ}(x) \sim x$. Thus, for any subset S of a partition containing some element y , then let

$$U = \{\phi(y), \text{Succ}(\phi(y)), \text{Succ}(\text{Succ}(\phi(y))), \dots\}.$$

Then $U \subset S$. Now, assume towards contradiction that there is some element $z \in S$ such that no continued successor of $\phi(y)$ is z , i.e. $z \notin U$. Then $\phi(z) \neq \phi(y)$, and thus z is not in S . Thus, $S = U$, and is countable.

Now we have shown that every set in Q is countable and contains exactly one element with no immediate predecessor. Furthermore, since no countable union of countable sets can be uncountable, there must be an uncountable set of sets in Q , since Q is a partition of S_Ω , which is uncountable. Since all sets in Q are totally disjoint and contain one element in X_0 , X_0 must be uncountable. \square

2 Complex Analysis

The next two propositions are from my complex analysis class, which is the math class that I took most recently, and thus I felt should be included in this document. By nature of the class, the proofs are more symbol-pushing and computing integrals, but are nonetheless interesting.

Proposition 4. If $f(z)$ is continuous on a domain D and $f(z)^8$ is analytic on D , then $f(z)$ is analytic on D .

Proof. First, note that if $f(z)^2$ is analytic implies that $f(z)$ is analytic, then $f(z)^8$ is analytic implies that $f(z)^4$ is analytic implies that $f(z)^2$ is analytic implies that $f(z)$ is analytic, and thus it suffices to show that $f(z)^2$ is analytic. (Since $f(z)$ continuous implies that higher powers of $f(z)$ are continuous.)

We will show that if $f(z)^2$ is analytic on D and $f(z)$ is continuous, then $f(z)$ is analytic on D . Consider the domain $D^* = \{z \in D \mid f(z) \neq 0\}$. We have that $f(z)^2$ is analytic on D^* . Now, since $f(z)^2$ is analytic we can compute the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df(z)^2}{dz} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h)^2 - f(z)^2}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} \frac{f(z+h) + f(z)}{1} \\ &= \left(\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} \right) \left(\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) + f(z)}{1} \right) \\ &= \left(\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} \right) 2f(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} = \frac{df(z)^2/dz}{2f(z)}$$

which is defined when $f(z) \neq 0$. This means that $f'(z)$ is defined when $f(z) \neq 0$ and by Goursat's Theorem, we have that $f(z)$ is analytic on D^* . Now, note that $f(z) = 0$ exactly when $f(z)^2 = 0$. Since $f(z)^2$ is analytic, it has isolated zeros, and thus similarly does $f(z)$. By (a corollary to) Morera's Theorem, since $f(z)$ is continuous at its zeros on D , and since it is analytic everywhere except its zeros on D , then, in fact, it is analytic everywhere on D . \square

Proposition 5. We can check that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \frac{\pi}{2a^3}.$$

using residue theory or by differentiating both sides of

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2 + a^2} = \frac{\pi}{a}.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\int_{-R}^R \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} + \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \int_{\partial D_R} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2},$$

where D_R is a semicircle centered (i.e., the circle is centered) at zero with radius R and Γ_R is the non-real component of the boundary of the semicircle. By residue theory, this integral is the sum of the residues of the poles contained in the semicircle multiplied by $2\pi i$. Note that $(x^2 + a^2)^2 = (x + ia)^2(x - ia)^2$ has a double zero at $x = ia$ in the positive half-plane, and no other zeros in the positive half-plane. Thus, $\frac{1}{(x^2 + a^2)^2}$ has a double pole at $x = ia$, and this means that the residue of this function at $x = ia$ can be computed by

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow ia} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow ia} -\frac{2}{(x + ia)^3} = -\frac{2}{(2ia)^3}.$$

Multiplying this by $2\pi i$, we get $\pi/2a^3$. Thus, for $R > a$, we get

$$\int_{-R}^R \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} + \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \pi/2a^3.$$

Similarly, since the right hand side is invariant of R (other than requiring R to be sufficiently large),

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} + \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \pi/2a^3.$$

We can use the ML -bound on the second integral in the left hand side of the equation, with

$$L = \pi R \quad M = \left| \frac{1}{(a^2 - R^2)^2} \right| \sim \left| \frac{1}{R^4} \right| \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$|ML| = \left| \frac{\pi R}{(a^2 - R^2)^2} \right| \sim \frac{\pi}{R^3} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have that

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

and finally

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} + \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \pi/2a^3.$$

We can also arrive at the same result by differentiating both sides of

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2 + a^2} = \frac{\pi}{a}.$$

Take

$$\frac{d}{da} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2 + a^2} = \frac{d}{da} \frac{\pi}{a}.$$

Let's start with the left hand side. By Leibnitz rule, we can switch the integral and the derivative

$$\frac{d}{da} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2 + a^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \frac{dx}{x^2 + a^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2a}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} dx = 2a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2}$$

So by plugging in the right hand side of the first equation and evaluating the derivative we have

$$2a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \frac{\pi}{a^2}$$

and thus

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \frac{\pi}{2a^3}.$$

□