

**EcoPackAI – AI-Powered Sustainable Packaging  
Recommendation System**



**Internship Project Report**

Submitted By: **Vallem Jai Sri Charan**

Under guidance of Mentor **Ms. Dikshita**

## Abstract

EcoPackAI is an intelligent decision-support system designed to optimize packaging material selection by balancing environmental sustainability, structural safety, and cost efficiency. With increasing regulatory pressure, carbon accountability requirements, and rising logistics expenses, industries face a critical need for data-driven packaging decisions. Traditional material selection methods are often static, experience-based, and lack quantitative sustainability evaluation. EcoPackAI addresses this gap by integrating machine learning, database systems, and real-time analytics into a unified, deployable solution.

The system predicts packaging cost and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) impact for multiple candidate materials based on product characteristics, shipping conditions, and material properties. It employs a dual-model architecture consisting of a Random Forest Regressor for cost prediction and an XGBoost Regressor for CO<sub>2</sub> impact estimation. A preprocessing pipeline transforms heterogeneous inputs—including product weight, fragility level, shipping distance, handling risk, and material strength—into structured feature representations. Predictions are normalized and processed through a weighted multi-objective ranking algorithm that adapts to user-defined sustainability priorities. This enables dynamic trade-off management between ecological responsibility and financial constraints.

EcoPackAI is implemented using modular architecture. The backend is developed with Flask and integrates securely with a PostgreSQL database containing structured records for products, shipping configurations, and packaging materials. Model artifacts are loaded at runtime, enabling real-time inference through RESTful APIs. A secured recommendation endpoint processes input parameters and returns ranked material suggestions along with predicted cost and CO<sub>2</sub> metrics. The system also logs recommendation telemetry, supporting continuous monitoring and analytics.

The frontend interface is built using Bootstrap and JavaScript to provide an intuitive user experience. Users can select product type, shipping method, and sustainability priority to generate material recommendations instantly. Results are presented in a ranked format with comparative scoring indicators, allowing transparent decision-making. In addition, a business intelligence dashboard powered by Plotly visualizations presents key performance indicators such as total CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, cumulative cost savings, material adoption trends. The dashboard supports real-time updates and export functionality, facilitating reporting and executive-level analysis.

In summary, EcoPackAI demonstrates how artificial intelligence can operationalize sustainability in supply chain systems. It provides organizations with actionable insights to reduce carbon footprint, control packaging expenditure, and improve material efficiency while maintaining structural safety standards. The project represents a scalable foundation for sustainable logistics optimization and data-driven environmental decision-making.

## Introduction

Sustainable packaging has become a critical concern in modern supply chain and logistics systems due to increasing environmental regulations, corporate sustainability commitments, and consumer demand for eco-conscious practices. Packaging materials contribute significantly to global carbon emissions, waste generation, and operational costs. However, in many industrial environments, packaging decisions are still made using static guidelines, historical practices, or supplier preference rather than quantitative environmental and economic analysis. This results in suboptimal material selection that may increase carbon footprint, raise logistics costs, or compromise structural safety.

The integration of artificial intelligence into supply chain decision-making presents an opportunity to transform how packaging materials are selected. By leveraging structured datasets, predictive modeling, and real-time analytics, organizations can evaluate multiple material alternatives simultaneously and optimize decisions based on measurable criteria such as cost efficiency, carbon emissions, recyclability, and structural integrity. Machine learning models, particularly ensemble regression techniques, are well-suited for capturing nonlinear relationships between product attributes, shipping conditions, and material performance metrics.

EcoPackAI is developed to address this challenge by providing a data-driven, scalable, and deployable packaging recommendation system. The project combines database management, feature engineering, supervised learning models, RESTful API design, and interactive visualization into a unified framework. It enables dynamic evaluation of packaging materials based on real product weight, fragility level, shipping distance, and handling risk, rather than relying on static assumptions.

Unlike traditional decision-support tools, EcoPackAI incorporates a multi-objective ranking mechanism that adapts user-defined sustainability priorities. This allows organizations to adjust the trade-off between cost reduction and environmental responsibility according to business requirements. By embedding predictive intelligence into a full-stack web application architecture, the system demonstrates how sustainability optimization can be operationalized in real-world logistics environments.

This project reflects an applied implementation of machine learning within a sustainability-focused engineering context, illustrating the practical convergence of artificial intelligence, environmental responsibility, and supply chain optimization.

## Problem Statement

The selection of packaging materials in logistics and supply chain systems is traditionally based on static guidelines, supplier recommendations, or prior experience rather than quantitative analysis. This approach often fails to consider dynamic factors such as product weight variations, fragility levels, shipping distance, handling risk, and evolving sustainability priorities. As a result, organizations may incur unnecessary packaging costs, increase carbon emissions, or compromise material efficiency.

Furthermore, businesses face increasing regulatory pressure to monitor and reduce their environmental footprint, particularly in terms of carbon emissions and waste generation. However, there is a lack of integrated systems that combine cost estimation, environmental impact prediction, structural feasibility analysis, and real-time analytics within a unified decision-support framework. Existing solutions either focus solely on cost optimization or sustainability metrics without balancing both dimensions effectively.

Therefore, there is a need for an intelligent, data-driven packaging recommendation system that can analyze multiple influencing factors simultaneously, predict cost and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions accurately, and rank alternative materials based on customizable sustainability priorities. The system must be scalable, secure, and deployable in real-world environments while providing transparent and measurable decision outputs. EcoPackAI is developed to address this gap by integrating machine learning models, structured databases, and interactive analytics into a comprehensive packaging optimization platform.

## Objectives

The primary objective of EcoPackAI is to develop an intelligent packaging recommendation system that optimizes material selection by balancing economic efficiency and environmental sustainability. The project aims to transform traditional packaging decision processes into a structured, data-driven workflow supported by predictive modeling and real-time analytics.

The specific objectives of the project are as follows:

1. To design and implement a structured PostgreSQL database for storing product, shipping, and packaging material data.
2. To perform data preprocessing and feature engineering for both numerical and categorical attributes relevant to packaging performance.
3. To develop and train supervised machine learning models for predicting packaging cost and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
4. To implement a multi-criteria ranking algorithm that dynamically adjusts weighting factors based on user-defined sustainability priorities.
5. To build a secure Flask-based REST API capable of performing real-time inference and returning ranked material recommendations.
6. To develop an interactive frontend interface that allows users to generate packaging recommendations through intuitive inputs.
7. To create a real-time analytics dashboard that visualizes cost savings, carbon reduction metrics, and material adoption trends.
8. To ensure modularity and deployment readiness, enabling future model retraining, system scaling, and cloud integration.

Through these objectives, the project aims to demonstrate the practical application of machine learning in sustainable supply chain optimization while maintaining system scalability, transparency, and operational efficiency.

## Methodology

### 1. Data Collection and Structuring

The system utilizes three primary datasets: product data, shipping data, and packaging material data. Product data includes attributes such as average weight, fragility level, and industry type. Shipping data contains parameters such as distance and handling risk. Material data consists of structural strength, weight capacity, recyclability percentage, biodegradability score, cost per unit, and CO<sub>2</sub> emission score. These datasets are stored in a PostgreSQL database to ensure structured querying and consistency.

### 2. Data Preprocessing and Feature Engineering

Data preprocessing involves cleaning missing values, ensuring type consistency, and transforming categorical variables using encoding techniques. A unified preprocessing pipeline is created to maintain consistency between training and inference stages. Feature engineering combines relevant attributes such as material strength and weight capacity to derive structural performance indicators used in ranking logic.

### 3. Model Development

Two supervised regression models are developed:

- A Random Forest Regressor for predicting packaging cost.
- An XGBoost Regressor for predicting CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

The dataset is split into training and testing subsets to evaluate model generalization. Performance metrics such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), and R-squared (R<sup>2</sup>) are used to assess prediction accuracy. Trained model artifacts and preprocessing pipelines are serialized and stored for deployment.

### 4. Multi-Criteria Ranking Algorithm

After obtaining cost and CO<sub>2</sub> predictions for each candidate material, values are normalized to ensure comparability across different scales. A composite strength score is calculated from structural attributes. A weighted scoring mechanism is implemented to balance cost efficiency, environmental impact, and structural safety. The weighting scheme dynamically adjusts based on user-selected sustainability priority (high or balanced). The final aggregated score determines the ranked list of recommended materials.

### 5. Backend Integration and API Deployment

The trained models are integrated into a Flask-based backend application. RESTful API endpoints are developed to handle recommendation requests and analytics queries. Upon

receiving input parameters, the backend retrieves relevant records, performs preprocessing, executes model inference, applies ranking logic, and returns structured JSON responses.

## 6. Real-Time Logging and Analytics

Top-ranked recommendations are logged into a telemetry dataset to enable real-time analytics. A dashboard consumes this data to compute key performance indicators such as cumulative cost savings and total CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Interactive visualizations support trend analysis and reporting.

This methodology ensures a systematic transformation of raw structured data into actionable sustainability insights, combining predictive modeling, algorithmic optimization, and interactive visualization within a deployable architecture.

## Implementation

### Milestone 1: Data Engineering & System Foundation

#### 1.1 Database Design and Schema Implementation

A PostgreSQL relational database was designed and implemented to store structured records for:

- Products (average weight, fragility level, industry type)
- Shipping configurations (distance, handling risk, shipping type)
- Packaging materials (strength, weight capacity, recyclability %, biodegradability score, cost per unit, CO<sub>2</sub> emission score)

Relational mapping ensures referential integrity and structured querying during runtime.

#### 1.2 Data Cleaning and Feature Preparation

Data preprocessing included:

- Handling missing values
- Type validation
- Encoding categorical variables
- Creating structured feature matrix

The final feature vector used for prediction:

X = [strength, cost\_per\_unit, co2\_emission\_score, avg\_weight, fragility\_level, distance\_km, handling\_risk, shipping\_type, industry\_type]

A unified preprocessing pipeline was created and serialized (preprocessor.pkl) to ensure identical transformations during training and inference.

## Milestone 2: Machine Learning Model Development

### 2.1 Dataset Splitting

The dataset was divided into training and testing subsets using an 80:20 split to ensure generalization.

Train-Test Split:

Training Set = 80% Testing Set = 20%

This prevents overfitting and ensures unbiased evaluation.

### 2.2 Cost Prediction Model (Random Forest Regressor)

The Random Forest Regressor was used to predict packaging cost.

Prediction Function:

$\text{Cost\_pred} = f_{\text{RF}}(X)$

Where  $f_{\text{RF}}$  represents the ensemble of decision trees.

Evaluation Metrics:

$$\text{MAE} = (1/n) \sum |y_i - \hat{y}_i| \quad \text{RMSE} = \sqrt{(1/n) \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2} \quad R^2 = 1 - [\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 / \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2]$$

Model artifact saved as:

*cost\_model.pkl*

### 2.3 CO<sub>2</sub> Prediction Model (XGBoost Regressor)

XGBoost was used to capture nonlinear relationships between material and logistics attributes.

CO<sub>2</sub> Prediction Function:

$\text{CO2\_pred} = f_{\text{XGB}}(X)$

Where  $f_{\text{XGB}}$  represents boosted gradient trees.

Evaluation metrics were calculated using MAE, RMSE, and R<sup>2</sup>.

Model artifact saved as:

*co2\_model.pkl*

## Milestone 3: Ranking Algorithm & Backend Integration

### 3.1 Normalization Process

To combine cost, CO<sub>2</sub>, and strength metrics, normalization was applied:

$$\text{Normalized\_Value} = (X - X_{\min}) / (X_{\max} - X_{\min})$$

This ensures all features are scaled between 0 and 1.

### 3.2 Composite Strength Score

$$\text{Strength\_Score} = 0.6 \times \text{strength} + 0.4 \times \text{weight\_capacity}$$

This balances material durability and load-bearing capability.

### 3.3 Weighted Multi-Criteria Ranking

For High Sustainability Priority:

$$\text{Final\_Score} = 0.6 \times \text{CO}_2\text{\_norm} + 0.2 \times \text{Cost\_norm} + 0.2 \times \text{Strength\_norm}$$

For Balanced Priority:

$$\text{Final\_Score} = 0.4 \times \text{CO}_2\text{\_norm} + 0.4 \times \text{Cost\_norm} + 0.2 \times \text{Strength\_norm}$$

Materials are ranked in ascending order of Final\_Score.

Lower score = Better recommendation.

Top 5 materials are returned. Top 3 materials are logged for dashboard analytics.

--- AI Recommended Material Rankings ---					
Rank	Material	Pred Cost (₹)	Pred CO <sub>2</sub> (kg)	Waste Penalty	AI Score
1	Recycled Mailer	95.88	0.49	0.07	0.0398
2	Molded Pulp	95.89	0.49	0.19	0.0645
3	Corrugated Box	96.00	0.54	0.33	0.1911
4	Bamboo Crate	97.13	0.49	1.00	0.5000
5	Plastic Polybag	95.91	0.80	0.00	0.5088

Fig: Material Ranking

### 3.4 Flask Backend Implementation

The backend was developed using Flask.

Implemented Endpoints:

- GET / → Loads homepage
- POST /api/v1/recommend → Returns ranked materials
- GET /api/v1/analytics → Returns dashboard KPIs
- GET /api/v1/export/excel → Exports analytics

Security:

- API key validation implemented
- Model artifacts loaded at runtime

## Milestone 4: Frontend & Dashboard Development

### 4.1 Recommendation Interface

Frontend built using Bootstrap and JavaScript.

User Inputs:

- Product type
- Shipping method

- Sustainability priority

Fetch API used to call backend endpoint. Results are rendered dynamically in ranked tabular format with progress indicators.

## 4.2 Real-Time Analytics Dashboard

Telemetry Logging: Top recommendation appended to live\_dashboard\_data.csv

Dashboard KPIs:

- Total CO<sub>2</sub> reduction
- Total cost savings
- Material adoption distribution
- Trend analysis

Charts are built using Plotly. Auto-refresh implemented using periodic API polling.

## Results and Discussion

### 1. Model Performance Evaluation

The performance of the predictive models was evaluated using standard regression metrics including Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), and Coefficient of Determination (R<sup>2</sup>). These metrics provide insight into prediction accuracy, error magnitude, and explanatory power of the models.

#### 1.1 Cost Prediction Model (Random Forest Regressor)

Evaluation Metrics:

$$MAE = (1/n) \sum |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{(1/n) \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

$$R^2 = 1 - [\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 / \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2]$$

Observed Results:

- MAE ≈ 0.09
- RMSE ≈ 0.25
- R<sup>2</sup> ≈ 1.00

The low MAE and RMSE values indicate minimal deviation between predicted and actual packaging costs. The R<sup>2</sup> value close to 1 suggests that the model explains nearly all variance in the target variable within the dataset.

#### 1.2 CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Prediction Model (XGBoost Regressor)

Observed Results:

- MAE  $\approx$  0.02
- RMSE  $\approx$  0.03
- R<sup>2</sup>  $\approx$  1.00

The XGBoost model demonstrates very high predictive precision for CO<sub>2</sub> emission estimation. The minimal error values indicate strong fitting performance on the available dataset.

## **Performance Interpretation**

While the reported metrics indicate extremely high accuracy, an R<sup>2</sup> value of approximately 1.00 suggests that the dataset may be highly structured, limited in variance, or potentially small in scale. In controlled academic datasets, such results are possible when relationships between features and targets are strongly deterministic. However, in large-scale real-world deployments, additional validation using cross-validation or larger datasets would be recommended to ensure generalization robustness.

## **2. Ranking Algorithm Effectiveness**

The multi-criteria ranking algorithm successfully integrates cost efficiency, environmental sustainability, and structural feasibility into a unified decision score.

Final Score Formulation:

For High Sustainability Priority: Final\_Score = 0.6 × CO2\_norm + 0.2 × Cost\_norm + 0.2 × Strength\_norm

For Balanced Priority: Final\_Score = 0.4 × CO2\_norm + 0.4 × Cost\_norm + 0.2 × Strength\_norm

Discussion:

- High priority mode significantly favors low-emission materials.
- Balanced mode distributes importance evenly between economic and environmental objectives.
- Strength normalization ensures that structurally inadequate materials do not rank higher solely due to low cost or emissions.

This dynamic weighting mechanism enables scenario-based optimization rather than static material recommendation.

## **3. System-Level Outcomes**

### **3.1 Recommendation Accuracy**

The system consistently returns logically ranked materials aligned with selected sustainability preferences. Materials with lower predicted CO<sub>2</sub> values dominate rankings under high-priority sustainability mode, whereas cost-efficient materials appear higher in balanced mode.

### **3.2 Real-Time Analytics Impact**

Telemetry logging of top recommendations enables aggregation of:

- Total CO<sub>2</sub> reduction (kg)
- Cumulative cost savings (INR)
- Material adoption trends
- Shipment volume impact

The dashboard visualizations provide managerial insights into sustainability performance over time, supporting strategic decision-making.

### **3.3 Practical Applicability**

The integration of machine learning models with structured database retrieval and RESTful API architecture demonstrates a deployable solution rather than a standalone model. The system supports:

- Real-time inference
- Interactive user inputs
- Automated logging
- Exportable analytics reports

## **Discussion**

EcoPackAI successfully demonstrates how predictive modeling can operationalize sustainability objectives within packaging logistics. The combination of regression models and multi-objective optimization allows dynamic evaluation of competing material alternatives. The modular architecture ensures that database updates, model retraining, or dashboard enhancements can be implemented independently without disrupting the overall system.

The project validates that artificial intelligence can move beyond theoretical analysis and provide actionable sustainability recommendations. By integrating cost modeling, carbon impact estimation, and structural assessment into a unified framework, EcoPackAI offers a measurable and scalable approach to sustainable packaging optimization.

Future enhancements may include larger datasets, cross-validation techniques, explainable AI components, and real supplier pricing integration to further strengthen generalization and industrial applicability.

## **Limitations**

Despite successfully implementing a full-stack AI-driven packaging recommendation system, certain limitations exist in the current version of EcoPackAI.

## **1. Dataset Size and Diversity**

The predictive models are trained on a structured but limited dataset. While performance metrics indicate high accuracy, the dataset may not fully capture large-scale real-world variability across industries, geographic regions, seasonal logistics variations, or diverse material suppliers. A broader dataset would improve generalization robustness.

## **2. Model Generalization Risk**

The reported  $R^2$  values close to 1.00 suggest near-perfect fitting on the available data. Although this demonstrates strong predictive alignment within the dataset, it may indicate overfitting or highly deterministic relationships. Additional cross-validation, k-fold validation, and external validation datasets would strengthen model reliability.

## **3. Static Material Attribute Assumptions**

Material attributes such as CO<sub>2</sub> emission score, recyclability percentage, and cost per unit are treated as static inputs. In real-world industrial scenarios, these values fluctuate based on supplier pricing, market demand, transportation fuel cost, and regulatory changes.

## **4. Simplified multi-criteria Weighting**

The ranking mechanism uses predefined weight configurations for "high" and "balanced" sustainability priorities. While effective for demonstration, real-world deployment may require dynamic optimization techniques such as multi-objective evolutionary algorithms or user-adjustable weight sliders for finer control.

## **5. Lack of Explainability Module**

Although predictions are accurate, the system does not currently provide feature-level explanations for individual recommendations. Decision transparency could be enhanced through explainable AI techniques such as SHAP or feature contribution visualization.

## **6. Deployment Constraints**

The current implementation is suitable for controlled deployment environments. However, production-level deployment would require additional components such as containerization (Docker), scalable cloud hosting, load balancing, authentication management, and continuous monitoring.

## **Future Scope**

The EcoPackAI system provides a strong foundation for scalable sustainable packaging optimization. Several enhancements can be incorporated to expand its industrial applicability and technical robustness.

### **1. Expanded and Real-World Dataset Integration**

Future versions can integrate real supplier databases, dynamic pricing APIs, lifecycle assessment datasets, and carbon accounting platforms. This would enable real-time sustainability benchmarking across global supply chains.

## **2. Advanced Optimization Techniques**

Instead of static weighted scoring, future development can incorporate multi-objective optimization algorithms such as:

- Pareto optimization
- Genetic algorithms
- Linear programming under budget constraints

This would allow constrained optimization (e.g., minimize CO<sub>2</sub> under fixed cost threshold).

## **3. Explainable AI Integration**

Integrating SHAP (SHapley Additive Explanations) or feature importance visualizations would allow users to understand why a specific material ranked higher. This enhances transparency and managerial trust.

## **4. Carbon Lifecycle Analysis Extension**

The system can be extended to evaluate cradle-to-grave lifecycle emissions, including raw material extraction, manufacturing, transportation, and disposal stages, providing more comprehensive environmental assessment.

## **5. Cloud Deployment and Scalability**

Future deployment can include:

- Docker containerization
- CI/CD pipeline integration
- Cloud hosting (AWS, Azure, or GCP)
- Secure authentication with OAuth or JWT
- Real-time monitoring dashboards

## **6. User Personalization and Role-Based Access**

Enterprise deployment may include:

- Role-based dashboards (Manager, Analyst, Admin)
- Custom weight configuration sliders
- Industry-specific recommendation models

## **7. Integration with Supply Chain ERP Systems**

EcoPackAI can be integrated with enterprise ERP systems to automatically recommend packaging materials during procurement workflows.

## Conclusion

EcoPackAI successfully demonstrates the practical implementation of an AI-driven sustainable packaging recommendation system that integrates machine learning, database management, backend API development, and interactive analytics into a unified full-stack solution. The project moves beyond theoretical modeling by delivering a deployable architecture capable of performing real-time cost and CO<sub>2</sub> emission predictions for multiple packaging material alternatives.

Through structured data engineering, supervised regression modeling, and a multi-criteria ranking mechanism, the system enables dynamic evaluation of packaging decisions based on economic efficiency, environmental impact, and structural feasibility. The use of Random Forest and XGBoost models ensures robust nonlinear prediction capability, while normalization and weighted scoring provide transparent and adaptable decision optimization aligned with user-defined sustainability priorities.

The backend implementation using Flask ensures secure and modular API orchestration, while PostgreSQL supports reliable structured data storage. Dashboard send interface and analytics dashboard extend the system's functionality by translating predictive outputs into actionable managerial insights such as cumulative cost savings, CO<sub>2</sub> reduction trends, and material adoption patterns. This integration validates the feasibility of embedding artificial intelligence directly into sustainability-focused logistics workflows.

Overall, EcoPackAI establishes a scalable foundation for data-driven packaging optimization. It highlights how predictive analytics can transform conventional material selection into a measurable, transparent, and environmentally responsible decision-making process. The project reflects a comprehensive application of machine learning within sustainable supply chain engineering and provides a strong basis for future industrial-scale expansion.

## Appendix:

### Evaluation Metrics JSON:

```
{  
    "Cost_Model_RF": {  
        "MAE": 0.09,  
        "RMSE": 0.25,  
        "R2": 1.0000  
    },  
    "CO2_Model_XGB": {  
        "MAE": 0.02,  
        "RMSE": 0.03,  
    }  
}
```

```

    "R2": 1.0000
}

}

```

### Snippet EDA Statistics of Unified Dataset:

#### == 5. STATISTICAL SUMMARY ==

Statistic	avg_weight	fragility_level	shelf_life_days	moisture_sensitivity
count	22500.00	22500.00	22500.00	22500.00
mean	0.91	5.27	1603.23	4.90
std	1.02	2.22	1498.86	2.86
min	0.10	2.00	7.00	1.00
25%	0.30	3.00	365.00	2.00
50%	0.50	5.00	912.50	5.00
75%	1.00	7.00	3650.00	7.00
max	5.00	9.00	3650.00	10.00

### Snippet of Prediction Page:

The screenshot displays the EcoPackAI interface across three main sections:

- Logistics Setup:** A sidebar with "Predictor" and "Analytics" tabs. Under Predictor, it shows "Select Product: Ceramic Vase", "Shipping Route: City Delivery", and "AI Strategy Focus: Balanced (Cost & Ecol)". A green button "Run AI Prediction" is at the bottom.
- Recommendation Engine:** A table titled "Recommendation Engine" showing five material types with their total cost, total CO2, and AI match percentage. The data is as follows:

RANK	MATERIAL TYPE	TOTAL COST	TOTAL CO2	AI MATCH
1	Recycled Cardboard	£29.58	4.66 kg	82%
2	Corrugated Cardboard (3-ply)	£36.17	4.74 kg	75%
3	Corrugated Cardboard (3-ply)	£30.25	4.74 kg	75%
4	Jute Sack	£43.76	4.74 kg	74%
5	Corrugated Cardboard (7-ply)	£43.73	4.74 kg	72%

### Snippet of POSTMAN Testing API:

The screenshot shows a POST request in POSTMAN to the URL `http://127.0.0.1:5000/api/v1/recommend`. The request body is a JSON object:

```

1 {
2     "product_name": "Mobile Phone",
3     "shipping_type": "National Transport"
4 }

```

The response from the API is a table titled "data [5]" containing the following data:

	co2	cost	match	material	rank
0	-3.0899999141693115	42.75	81.78	Recycled Cardboard	1
1	-2.7899999618530273	43.35	76	Corrugated Cardboard (5-ply)	2
2	-2.7899999618530273	42.9	74.68	Corrugated Cardboard (3-ply)	3
3	-2.7899999618530273	44.1	74.65	Jute Sack	4
4	-2.5	44.1	73.03	Corrugated Cardboard (7-ply)	5

The status of the response is "success".