The Gibbs Sampler

Peadar Coyle

1. Introduction

We know that, using importance sampling, we can approximate an expectation $\mathbb{E}_f(h(X))$ without having to sample directly from f. However, finding an instrumental distribution which allows us to efficiently estimate $\mathbb{E}_f(h(X))$ can be difficult, especially in large dimensions. In this chapter and the following chapters we will use a somewhat different approach. We will discuss methods that allow obtaining an approximate sample from f without having to sample f directly. More mathematically speaking, we will discuss methods that generate a Markov chain whose stationary distribution is the distribution of interest f. Such methods are often called MCMC methods. Let us state a few definitions before continuing.

Definition The prior distribution is a key part of Bayesian inference and represents the information about an uncertain parameter θ that is combined with the probability distribution of new data to yield the *posterior distribution*.

Poisson change point model . Assume the following Poisson model of two regimes for n random variables Y_1,\cdots,Y_n^{-1}

$$Y_i \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda_1)$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, M$

$$Y_i \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda_2) \ for \ i = +1, \cdots, n$$

A suitable (conjugate) prior distibution for λ_j is the $Gamma(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ distribution with density

$$f(\lambda_j) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)} \lambda_j^{\alpha_j - 1} \beta_j^{\alpha_j} \exp(-\beta_j \lambda_j)$$

The joint distribution of $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$, and M is

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, M) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^M \frac{\exp(-\lambda_1) \lambda_1^{y_i}}{y_i!} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^M \frac{\exp(-\lambda_1) \lambda_1^{y_i}}{y_i!} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \lambda_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} \beta_1^{\alpha_1} \exp(-\beta_1 \lambda_1) \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \lambda_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} \beta_2^{\alpha_2} \exp(-\beta_2 \lambda_2)$$

¹The probability distribution function of the $Poi(\lambda)$ distribution is $p(y) = \frac{\exp(-\lambda)\lambda^y}{y!}$

If M is known, the posterior distribution of λ_1 has the density

$$f(\lambda_1|Y_1,\dots,Y_n,M) \propto \lambda_1^{\alpha_1-1+\sum i=1^M y_i} \exp(-\beta_1+M)\lambda_1),$$

so

$$\lambda_1|Y_1,\cdots,Y_n,M\sim \mathrm{Gamma}\left(\alpha_1+\sum_{i=1}^M y_i,\beta_1+M\right) \tag{1}$$

$$\lambda_2|Y_1,\cdots,Y_n,M\sim \mathrm{Gamma}\left(\alpha_2+\sum_{i=M+1}^n y_i,\beta_2+n-M\right) \tag{2}$$

Now assume that we do not know the change point M and that we assume a uniform prior on the set $\{1, \dots, M-1\}$. It is easy to compute the distribution of M given the observations Y_1, \dots, Y_n , and λ_1 and λ_2 . It is a discrete distribution with probability density function proportional to

$$p(M|Y_1, \cdots, Y_n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \propto \lambda_1^{\sum_{i=1}^M y_i} \cdot \lambda_2^{\sum_{i=M+1}^n y_i} \cdot \exp((\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdot M)$$
 (3)

The conditional distributions in (4.1) to (4.3) are all easy to sample from. It is however rather difficult to sample from the joint posterior of $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, M)$. \triangleleft The example above suggests the strategy of alternately sampling from the (full) conditional distributions (1 to 3 in the example). The tentative strategy however raises some questions.

- Is the joint distribution uniquely specified by the conditional distributions?
- Sampling alternately from the conditional distributions yields a Markov chain: the newly proposed values only depend on the present values, not the past values. Will this approach yield a Markov chain with the correct invariant distribution? Will the Markov chain converge to the invariant distribution?

The answer to both questions will turn out to be yes - under certain conditions. The next section will however state the Gibbs sampling algorithm.