Functional Verification of Tezos Smart Contracts in Coa

Smart-contract verification

Bruno Bernardo, Raphaël Cauderlier, Zhenlei Hu, Basile Pesin, Julien Tesson

Nomadic Labs, Paris, France

January 14, 2020

Formal methods for Tezos

- recognised as crucial since the Tezos whitepaper
- static analysis, model checking, and functional verification (in F* and Coq)
- targeting both the OCaml implementation of the Tezos node and the smart-contract layer

Michelson: Tezos smart-contract language

- stack-based Turing-complete language
 - low-level computation paradigm
 - high-level data structures
- designed with software verification in mind
 - static typing

- language specification (syntax, typing, semantics)
- failure is explicit
 - integers do not overflow
 - division returns an option
- implemented using an OCaml GADT
 - subject reduction for free

Michelson example: vote

```
storage (map string int);
parameter string;
code {
      # Check that at least 5tz have been sent
      AMOUNT;
      PUSH mutez 5000000; COMPARE; GT; IF { FAIL } {};
      # Pair and stack manipulation
      DUP; DIP { CDR; DUP }; CAR; DUP;
      DIP { # Get the number of votes for the chosen option
           GET; IF_NONE { FAIL } {};
           # Increment
           PUSH int 1; ADD; SOME };
      UPDATE;
      NIL operation; PAIR
```

Mi-Cho-Coq



- deep embedding of the Michelson language in Coq
- weakest-precondition calculus
- free software (MIT License) https://gitlab.com/nomadic-labs/mi-cho-coq/

Syntax of types

```
Inductive comparable_type : Set :=
| nat | int | string | bytes | bool
| mutez | address | key_hash | timestamp.
Inductive type : Set :=
| Comparable_type (_ : comparable_type)
| unit | option (_ : type) | lambda (_ _ : type)
| pair (_ _ : type) | or (_ _ : type)
(* Domain specific *)
| key | signature | operation | contract (_ : type)
(* Data structures *)
| list (_ : type) | set (_ : comparable_type)
| map (_ : comparable_type) (_ : type).
Coercion Comparable_type : comparable_type >-> type.
Definition stack_type := Datatypes.list type.
```

Syntax and typing of instructions

```
Inductive instruction
   : stack_type -> stack_type -> Set :=
| FAILWITH {A B a} : instruction (a :: A) B
| SEQ {A B C} : instruction A B -> instruction B C ->
                instruction A C
| IF {A B} : instruction A B -> instruction A B ->
             instruction (bool :: A) B
| LOOP {A} : instruction A (bool :: A) ->
             instruction (bool :: A) A
| COMPARE {a : comparable_type} {S} :
          instruction (a :: a :: S) (int :: S)
 DROP {a S} : instruction (a :: S) S
DUP {a S} : instruction (a :: S) (a :: a :: S)
 SWAP {a b S} : instruction (a :: b :: S) (b :: a :: S)
```

Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A B : stack_type}
      (i : instruction A B) (input : stack A) : stack B :=
    match i, input with
    | FAILWITH, (x, _) =>
       . . .
    | SEQ i1 i2, input =>
      eval i2 (eval i1 input)
    | IF bt bf, (b, st) =>
       if b then eval bt st else eval bf st
    | LOOP body, (b, st) =>
       if b then eval (SEQ body (LOOP body)) st
       else st
```

Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A B : stack_type}
      (i : instruction A B) (input : stack A) : M (stack B) :=
   match i, input with
    | FAILWITH, (x, _) =>
      Failed _ (Assertion_Failure _ x)
    | SEQ i1 i2, input =>
      bind (eval i2) (eval i1 input)
    | IF bt bf, (b, st) =>
       if b then eval bt st else eval bf st
    | LOOP body, (b, st) =>
       if b then eval (SEQ body (LOOP body)) st
       else Return st
```

Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A B : stack_type}
      (i : instruction A B) (input : strack A)
      (fuel : nat) {struct fuel} : M (stack B) :=
  match fuel with
  | 0 => Failed _ Out_of_fuel
  I S n =>
    match i, input with
    \mid FAILWITH, (x, _) =>
       Failed _ (Assertion_Failure _ x)
    | SEQ i1 i2, input =>
      bind (eval i2 n) (eval i1 n input)
    | IF bt bf, (b, st) =>
       . . .
    | LOOP body, (b, st) =>
```

Semantics of domain specific operations

- mutez and timestamp arithmetics are supported
- serialisation, cryptographic primitives, and access to the chain context are axiomatized

Verification

```
Definition correct_smart_contract {A B : stack_type}
  (i : instruction A B) min_fuel spec : Prop :=
 forall (input : stack A) (output : stack B) fuel,
   fuel >= min_fuel input ->
    eval i fuel input = Return (stack B) output <->
      spec input output.
```

Smart-contract verification

0000

Verification

```
Definition correct_smart_contract {A B : stack_type}
  (i : instruction A B) min_fuel spec : Prop :=
 forall (input : stack A) (output : stack B) fuel,
   fuel >= min_fuel input ->
    eval i fuel input = Return (stack B) output <->
      spec input output.
```

Smart-contract verification

Full functional verification: we characterize all successful runs of the contract.

Computing weakest precondition

```
Fixpoint wp {A B} (i : instruction A B) fuel
  (post : stack B -> Prop) : (stack A -> Prop) :=
  match fuel with
  | 0 => fun _ => False
  | S fuel =>
     match i, input with
     | FAILWITH, _ => False
     | SEQ B C, input => wp B fuel (wp C fuel post) input
     | IF bt bf, (b, input) =>
         if b then wp bt fuel post input
         else wp bf fuel post input
     | LOOP body, (b, input) =>
         if b then wp (SEQ body (LOOP body)) fuel post input
         else post input
```

Correctness of wp

```
Lemma wp_correct {A B} (i : instruction A B)
fuel (post : stack B -> Prop) (input : stack A) :
  wp i fuel psi input <->
    exists output,
    eval i fuel input = Return _ output /\ psi output.
Proof. ... Qed.
```

Proven smart contracts

Multisig

- n persons share the ownership of the contract.
- they agree on a threshold t (an integer).
- to do anything with the contract, at least t owners must agree.
- possible actions:
 - transfer from the multisig contract to somewhere else
 - change the list of owners and the threshold
- Cortez' Spending Limit Contract
 - two roles: admin and user
 - user can spend the contract's tokens up-to a stored limit
 - admin can change the limit and authentication keys

Conclusion

- the Michelson smart-contract language is formalized in Coq.
- this formalisation is used to prove interesting Michelson smart contracts.

Ongoing and Future Work

- certify compilers to Michelson
- formalize the Michelson cost model
- use code extraction to replace the current GADT-based implementation in OCaml
- formalize the contract life, mutual and recursive calls
- implement serialisation and cryptography

Thank you!

- Tezos https://gitlab.com/tezos/tezos
- Mi-Cho-Coq https://gitlab.com/nomadic-labs/mi-cho-coq/