

# Functional Verification of Tezos Smart Contracts in Coq

Bruno Bernardo, *Raphaël Cauderlier*, Zhenlei Hu, Julien Tesson

Nomadic Labs

Semverif, Irif  
May 20, 2019

# Blockchains

Blockchains are:

- linked lists
  - link = cryptographic hash
- distributed databases: each node of the network
  - stores the complete chain
  - validates new incoming blocks
  - communicates with its peers toward consensus
- public ledgers for crypto-currencies

# Smart Contracts

In practice, we want our crypto-currency accounts to be programmable:

- spending limits, access control

# Smart Contracts

In practice, we want our crypto-currency accounts to be programmable:

- spending limits, access control
- votes, auctions, crowdfunding, timestamping, insurance, video games, etc...

# Smart Contracts

In practice, we want our crypto-currency accounts to be programmable:

- spending limits, access control
- votes, auctions, crowdfunding, timestamping, insurance, video games, etc...

These programs are called **smart contracts**

# The exploit of the Decentralized Autonomous Organisation

the DAO:

- a venture capital fund implemented as an Ethereum smart contract
- broke the crowdfunding world record
  - 15% of all Ethereum tokens (about 150 M\$)

# The exploit of the Decentralized Autonomous Organisation

the DAO:

- a venture capital fund implemented as an Ethereum smart contract
- broke the crowdfunding world record
  - 15% of all Ethereum tokens (about 150 M\$)
- hacked in 2016
  - lead to a hard fork of the Ethereum blockchain

# Smart contract verification

validating the chain  $\Rightarrow$  running all the smart contracts

- smart contracts are necessarily small!



# Smart contract verification

validating the chain  $\Rightarrow$  running all the smart contracts

- smart contracts are necessarily small!

Perfect set-up for formal methods

Let's verify them!

# Michelson: the smart contract language in Tezos

- small stack-based Turing-complete language
- designed with software verification in mind:
  - static typing
  - clear documentation (syntax, typing, semantics)
  - failure is explicit
    - integers do not overflow
    - division returns an option
- implemented using an OCaml GADT
  - subject reduction for free

# Mi-Cho-Coq



<https://gitlab.com/nomadic-labs/mi-cho-coq/>  
Free software (MIT License)

# Syntax: Types

```
Inductive comparable_type : Set :=  
| nat | int | string | bytes | bool  
| mutez | address | key_hash | timestamp.
```

```
Inductive type : Set :=  
| Comparable_type (_ : comparable_type)  
| unit | key | signature | operation  
| option (_ : type) | list (_ : type)  
| set (_ : comparable_type) | contract (_ : type)  
| pair (_ _ : type) | or (_ _ : type)  
| lambda (_ _ : type)  
| map (_ : comparable_type) (_ : type).
```

```
Coercion Comparable_type : comparable_type >-> type.
```

```
Definition stack_type := Datatypes.list type.
```

# Syntax: Instructions

```
Inductive instruction : stack_type -> stack_type -> Set
| FAILWITH {A B a} : instruction (a :: A) B
| SEQ {A B C} : instruction A B -> instruction B C ->
    instruction A C
| IF {A B} : instruction A B -> instruction A B ->
    instruction (bool :: A) B
| LOOP {A} : instruction A (bool :: A) ->
    instruction (bool :: A) A
| COMPARE {a : comparable_type} {S} :
    instruction (a :: a :: S) (int :: S)
| ADD_nat {S} : instruction (nat :: nat :: S) (nat :: S)
| ADD_int {S} : instruction (int :: int :: S) (int :: S)
| ...
```

# Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A B : stack_type}
  (i : instruction A B) : stack A -> stack B :=
match i in instruction A B
  return stack A -> stack B with
| FAILWITH x =>
  ...
| SEQ i1 i2 =>
  fun SA => eval i2 (eval i1 SA)
| IF bt bf =>
  fun SbA => let (b, SA) := SbA in
    if b then eval bt SA else eval bf SA
| LOOP body =>
  fun SbA => let (b, SA) := SbA in
    if b then eval (SEQ body (LOOP body)) SA
    else SA
  ...
```

# Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A B : stack_type}
  (i : instruction A B) : stack A -> M (stack B) :=
  match i in instruction A B
  return stack A -> M (stack B) with
  | FAILWITH x =>
    fun SA => Failed _ (Assertion_Failure _ x)
  | SEQ i1 i2 =>
    fun SA => bind (eval i2) (eval i1 SA)
  | IF bt bf =>
    fun SbA => let (b, SA) := SbA in
      if b then eval bt SA else eval bf SA
  | LOOP body =>
    fun SbA => let (b, SA) := SbA in
      if b then eval (SEQ body (LOOP body)) SA
      else Return _ SA
  ...
```

# Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A B : stack_type}
  (i : instruction A B) (fuel : nat)
  {struct fuel} : stack A -> M (stack B) :=
match fuel with
| 0 => fun SA => Failed _ Out_of_fuel
| S n =>
  match i in instruction A B
  return stack A -> M (stack B) with
  | FAILWITH x =>
    fun _ => Failed _ (Assertion_Failure _ x)
  | SEQ i1 i2 =>
    fun SA => bind (eval i2 n) (eval i1 n SA)
  | IF bt bf =>
    ...
  | LOOP body =>
    ...
```



# Verification

```
Definition correct_smart_contract {A B : stack_type}
  (i : instruction A B) min_fuel spec : Prop :=
  forall (input : stack A) (output : stack B) fuel,
    fuel >= min_fuel input ->
    eval i fuel input = Return (stack B) output <->
    spec input output.
```

# Verification

```
Definition correct_smart_contract {A B : stack_type}
  (i : instruction A B) min_fuel spec : Prop :=
  forall (input : stack A) (output : stack B) fuel,
    fuel >= min_fuel input ->
    eval i fuel input = Return (stack B) output <->
    spec input output.
```

Full functional verification: we characterize the successful runs of the contract.

# Computing weakest precondition

```
Fixpoint wp {A B} (i : instruction A B) fuel
  (psi : stack B -> Prop) : (stack A -> Prop) :=
  match fuel with
  | 0 => fun _ => False
  | S fuel =>
    match i with
    | FAILWITH => fun _ => false
    | SEQ B C => wp B fuel (wp C fuel psi)
    | IF bt bf => fun '(b, SA) =>
      if b then wp bt fuel psi SA
      else wp bf fuel psi SA
    | LOOP body => fun '(b, SA) =>
      if b then wp (SEQ body (LOOP body)) fuel psi SA
      else psi SA
    | ...
```

# Computing weakest precondition

```
Lemma wp_correct {A B} (i : instruction A B)
  fuel psi st :
  wp i fuel psi st <->
    exists output,
      eval i fuel st = Return _ output /\ psi output.
Proof. ... Qed.
```

# The multisig contract

- $n$  persons share the ownership of the contract.
- they agree on a threshold  $t$  (an integer).
- to do anything with the contract, at least  $t$  owners must agree.
- possible actions:
  - transfer from the multisig contract to somewhere else
  - resetting the delegate of the multisig contract
  - changing the list of owners and the threshold

# The multisig contract

- $n$  persons share the ownership of the contract.
- they agree on a threshold  $t$  (an integer).
- to do anything with the contract, at least  $t$  owners must agree.
- possible actions:
  - transfer from the multisig contract to somewhere else
  - resetting the delegate of the multisig contract
  - changing the list of owners and the threshold

implemented in Michelson by Arthur Breitman

<https://github.com/murbard/smart-contracts/blob/master/multisig/michelson/multisig.tz>

# Multisig implementation in pseudo-ocaml

```
type storage =  
  {counter : nat; threshold : nat; keys : list key}  
  
type action_ty =  
| Transfer of  
  {amount : mutez; destination : contract unit}  
| SetDelegate of option key_hash  
| SetKeys of {new_threshold : nat; new_keys : list key}  
  
type parameter =  
  {counter : nat;  
    action : action_ty;  
    signature_opts : list (option signature)}
```

# Multisig implementation in pseudo-ocaml

```
let multisig param storage =  
  let packed : bytes =  
    pack (counter, address self, param.action) in  
  assert (param.counter = storage.counter);  
  let valid_sigs : ref nat = ref 0 in  
  List.iter2 (fun key signature_opt ->  
    match signature_opt with | None -> ()  
    | Some signature ->  
      assert (check_signature signature key bytes);  
      incr valid_sigs)  
    storage.keys  
    param.signature_opts;  
  assert (!valid_sigs > storage.threshold);  
  storage.counter := 1 + storage.threshold;...
```



# Multisig implementation in pseudo-ocaml

...

```
match param.action with
| Transfer {amount; destination} ->
  transfer amount destination
| SetDelegate new_delegate ->
  set_delegate new_delegate
| SetKeys {new_threshold; new_keys} ->
  storage.threshold := new_threshold;
  storage.keys := new_keys
```

# Multisig proof: part 1 / 3

```
let multisig param storage =  
  let packed : bytes =  
    pack (counter, address self, param.action) in  
  assert (param.counter = storage.counter);  
  let valid_sigs : ref nat = ref 0 in...
```

# Multisig proof: part 1 / 3

```
let multisig param storage =  
  let packed : bytes =  
    pack (counter, address self, param.action) in  
  assert (param.counter = storage.counter);  
  let valid_sigs : ref nat = ref 0 in...
```

```
Definition multisig_part_1 :  
  instruction (pair parameter_ty storage_ty :: nil)  
    (nat :: nat :: list (option signature) ::  
      bytes :: action_ty :: storage_ty ::: nil)  
  UNPAIR ; SWAP ; DUP ; DIP SWAP ;  
  DIP (UNPAIR ; DUP ; SELF ; ADDRESS ; PAIR ;  
    PACK ; DIP (UNPAIR ; DIP SWAP) ; SWAP) ;  
  UNPAIR ; DIP SWAP ; ASSERT_CMPEQ ;  
  DIP SWAP; UNPAIR; PUSH nat 0.
```

# Multisig proof: part 1 / 3

```
Definition multisig_part_1_spec input output :=  
  let '((((counter, action), sigs), storage), tt)  
    := input in  
  output = (storage, (counter,  
    (pack (address self), (counter, action)),  
    (sigs, (action, (storage, tt)))))).
```

```
Lemma multisig_part_1_correct :  
  correct_smart_contract  
    multisig_part_1 (fun _ => 14) multisig_part_1_spec.  
Proof.  
  (* Simple proof using wp_correct *)  
Qed.
```

## Multisig proof: part 2 / 3

```
List.iter2 (fun key signature_opt ->
  match signature_opt with | None -> ()
  | Some signature ->
    assert (check_signature signature key bytes);
    incr valid_sigs)
storage.keys
param.signature_opts...;
```

## Multisig proof: part 2 / 3

```
List.iter2 (fun key signature_opt ->
  match signature_opt with | None -> ()
  | Some signature ->
    assert (check_signature signature key bytes);
    incr valid_sigs)
storage.keys
param.signature_opts...
```

```
Definition multisig_loop_body :
  instruction
  (key :: nat :: list (option signature) ::
    bytes :: action_ty :: storage_ty :: nil)
  (nat :: list (option signature) ::
    bytes :: action_ty :: storage_ty :: nil)
:= ...
```

## Multisig proof: part 2 / 3

```
Definition multisig_loop_body :=  
  DIP SWAP; SWAP;  
  IF_CONS (IF_SOME  
    (SWAP; DIP (SWAP; DIIP (DIP DUP; SWAP);  
      CHECK_SIGNATURE; ASSERT;  
      PUSH nat 1 ; ADD_nat))  
    (SWAP; DROP))  
    FAIL;  
  SWAP.
```

```
Definition multisig_loop := ITER multisig_loop_body.
```

# Multisig proof: part 2 / 3

```
Lemma multisig_loop_body_spec fuel input output :=
  let '(k, (n, (sigs, (packed, st)))) := input in
  match sigs with
  | nil => False
  | cons None sigs => output = (n, (sigs, (packed, st)))
  | cons (Some sig) sigs =>
    if check_signature k sig packed
    then output = (1 + n, (sigs, (packed, st)))
    else False
  end.
```

```
Lemma multisig_loop_body_correct :
  correct_smart_contract multisig_loop_body
    (fun _ => 14) multisig_fuel_body_spec.
```

Proof.

(\* Simple proof using wp\_correct \*)

Qed.



## Multisig proof: part 2 / 3

```
Lemma multisig_loop_spec fuel input output :=  
  let '(keys, (n, (sigs, (packed, st)))) := input in  
  check_all_signatures sigs keys packed /\  
  output =  
    (count_signatures sigs + n, (nil, (packed, st))).
```

```
Lemma multisig_loop_correct :  
  correct_smart_contract multisig_loop_body  
    (fun '(keys, _) => length keys * 14 + 1)  
    multisig_fuel_body_spec.
```

Proof.

```
(* Not so simple inductive proof  
   using multisig_loop_body_correct *)
```

Qed.

# Multisig proof: part 3 / 3

```
assert (!valid_sigs > storage.threshold);
storage.counter := 1 + storage.threshold;
match param.action with
| Transfer {amount; destination} ->
    transfer amount destination
| SetDelegate new_delegate ->
    set_delegate new_delegate
| SetKeys {new_threshold; new_keys} ->
    storage.threshold := new_threshold;
    storage.keys := new_keys
```

```
Definition multisig_part_3 :
  instruction (nat :: nat :: list (option signature) ::
    bytes :: action_ty :: storage_ty :: nil)
    (pair (list operation) storage_ty :: nil)
```

# Multisig proof: part 3 / 3

```
Definition multisig_part_3 :  
  instruction (nat :: nat :: list (option signature) ::  
    bytes :: action_ty :: storage_ty :: nil)  
    (pair (list operation) storage_ty :: nil)  
  ASSERT_CMPLE; ASSERT_NIL; DROP;  
  DIP (UNPAIR; PUSH nat 1; ADD; PAIR);  
  NIL operation; SWAP;  
  IF_LEFT (UNPAIR; UNIT; TRANSFER_TOKENS; CONS)  
    (IF_LEFT (SET_DELEGATE; CONS)  
      (DIP (SWAP; CAR); SWAP; PAIR; SWAP));  
  PAIR.
```

# Multisig proof: joining the parts

```
Definition multisig_spec input output :=
  let '(((c, a), sigs), (sc, (t, keys))) := input in
  let '(ops, (nc, (nt, nkeys))) := output in
  c = sc /\ length sigs = length keys /\
  check_all_signatures sigs keys
    (pack (address self), (c, a)) /\
  count_signatures first_sigs >= t /\ nc = sc + 1 /\
  match a with
  | inl (amount, dest) => nt = t /\ nkeys = keys /\
    ops = [transfer_tokens unit tt amount dest]
  | inr (inl kh) => nt = t /\ nkeys = keys /\
    ops = [set_delegate kh]
  | inr (inr (t, ks)) => nt = t /\ nkeys = ks /\
    ops = nil
  end.
```

# Multisig proof: joining the parts

```
Theorem multisig_correct :  
  correct_smart_contract multisig  
    (fun '(keys, _) => 14 * length keys + 37)  
    multisig_spec.  
Proof. ... Qed.
```

# Conclusion

- The Michelson smart-contract language is formalized in Coq
- This formalisation can be used to prove interesting Michelson smart-contracts

# Ongoing and Future Work

- improve proof automation
- certify compilers to Michelson
- formalize the Michelson cost model
- formalize the contract life, mutual and recursive calls
- prove security properties
- use code extraction to replace the current GADT-based implementation in OCaml

Thank you!



Questions?

*La Pile qui Chante*