Functional Verification of Tezos Smart Contracts in Coq

Smart contract verification

Bruno Bernardo, Raphaël Cauderlier, Zhenlei Hu, Basile Pesin, Julien Tesson

Nomadic Labs, Paris, France

FMBC, Porto October 11, 2019

Nomadic Labs



- R&D company focused on distributed, decentralised and formally verified software.
- Involved in the development of the core software of the Tezos blockchain.
- Based in Paris, France.

Tezos

- Public blockchain
- Live since June 2018
- Implemented in OCaml
- Open source project (MIT License) https://gitlab.com/tezos/tezos

Tezos

- Liquid Proof of Stake
 - Block production rights come from owned tokens
 - Rights can be delegated
 - Delegations are revocable
- On-chain governance
 - Ruleset can be amended through on-chain vote Consensus, smart contract language, voting procedure
 - Voting right is the same as block production right
- Formal methods
 - Recognised as crucial since the Tezos whitepaper
 - Static analysis, model checking, and functional verification (in F* and Cog)
 - Targeting both the OCaml implementation of the Tezos node and the smart-contract layer

Michelson: Tezos smart contract language

- stack-based Turing-complete language
 - low-level computation paradigm
 - high-level data structures
- designed with software verification in mind
 - static typing
 - language specification (syntax, typing, semantics)

- failure is explicit
 - integers do not overflow
 - division returns an option
- implemented using an OCaml GADT
 - subject reduction for free

Michelson example: vote

```
storage (map string int);
parameter string;
code {
      # Check that at least 5tz have been sent
      AMOUNT;
      PUSH mutez 5000000; COMPARE; GT; IF { FAIL } {};
      # Pair and stack manipulation
      DUP; DIP { CDR; DUP }; CAR; DUP;
      DIP { # Get the number of votes for the chosen option
           GET; IF_NONE { FAIL } {};
           # Increment
           PUSH int 1; ADD; SOME };
      UPDATE;
      NIL operation; PAIR
                                                             6/20
```

Mi-Cho-Coq



- Deep embedding of the Michelson language in Coq
- Weakest-precondition calculus
- Free software (MIT License) https://gitlab.com/nomadic-labs/mi-cho-coq/

Syntax of types

```
Inductive comparable_type : Set :=
| nat | int | string | bytes | bool
| mutez | address | key_hash | timestamp.
Inductive type : Set :=
| Comparable_type (_ : comparable_type)
| unit | option (_ : type) | lambda (_ _ : type)
| pair (_ _ : type) | or (_ _ : type)
(* Domain specific *)
| key | signature | operation | contract (_ : type)
(* Data structures *)
| list (_ : type) | set (_ : comparable_type)
| map (_ : comparable_type) (_ : type).
Coercion Comparable_type : comparable_type >-> type.
Definition stack_type := Datatypes.list type.
```

Syntax and typing of instructions

```
Inductive instruction
   : stack_type -> stack_type -> Set :=
| FAILWITH {A B a} : instruction (a :: A) B
| SEQ {A B C} : instruction A B -> instruction B C ->
                instruction A C
| IF {A B} : instruction A B -> instruction A B ->
             instruction (bool :: A) B
| LOOP {A} : instruction A (bool :: A) ->
             instruction (bool :: A) A
| COMPARE {a : comparable_type} {S} :
          instruction (a :: a :: S) (int :: S)
 DROP {a S} : instruction (a :: S) S
DUP {a S} : instruction (a :: S) (a :: a :: S)
 SWAP {a b S} : instruction (a :: b :: S) (b :: a :: S)
```

Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A B : stack_type}
      (i : instruction A B) (input : stack A) : stack B :=
    match i, input with
    | FAILWITH, (x, _) =>
       . . .
    | SEQ i1 i2, input =>
      eval i2 (eval i1 input)
    | IF bt bf, (b, st) =>
       if b then eval bt st else eval bf st
    | LOOP body, (b, st) =>
       if b then eval (SEQ body (LOOP body)) st
       else st
```

Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A B : stack_type}
      (i : instruction A B) (input : stack A) : M (stack B) :=
   match i, input with
    | FAILWITH, (x, _) =>
      Failed _ (Assertion_Failure _ x)
    | SEQ i1 i2, input =>
      bind (eval i2) (eval i1 input)
    | IF bt bf, (b, st) =>
       if b then eval bt st else eval bf st
    | LOOP body, (b, st) =>
       if b then eval (SEQ body (LOOP body)) st
       else Return st
```

Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A B : stack_type}
      (i : instruction A B) (input : strack A)
      (fuel : nat) {struct fuel} : M (stack B) :=
  match fuel with
  | 0 => Failed _ Out_of_fuel
  I S n =>
    match i, input with
    | FAILWITH, (x, _) =>
      Failed _ (Assertion_Failure _ x)
    | SEQ i1 i2, input =>
      bind (eval i2 n) (eval i1 n input)
    | IF bt bf, (b, st) =>
       . . .
    | LOOP body, (b, st) =>
```

Semantics of domain specific operations

- mutez and timestamp arithmetics are supported
- serialisation, cryptographic primitives, and access to the chain context are axiomatized

Verification

```
Definition correct_smart_contract {A B : stack_type}
  (i : instruction A B) min_fuel spec : Prop :=
 forall (input : stack A) (output : stack B) fuel,
   fuel >= min_fuel input ->
    eval i fuel input = Return (stack B) output <->
      spec input output.
```

Smart contract verification

•000

Verification

Introduction

```
Definition correct_smart_contract {A B : stack_type}
  (i : instruction A B) min_fuel spec : Prop :=
 forall (input : stack A) (output : stack B) fuel,
   fuel >= min_fuel input ->
    eval i fuel input = Return (stack B) output <->
      spec input output.
```

Full functional verification: we characterize all successful runs of the contract.

Computing weakest precondition

```
Fixpoint wp {A B} (i : instruction A B) fuel
  (post : stack B -> Prop) : (stack A -> Prop) :=
  match fuel with
  | 0 => fun _ => False
  | S fuel =>
     match i, input with
     | FAILWITH, _ => False
     | SEQ B C, input => wp B fuel (wp C fuel post) input
     | IF bt bf, (b, input) =>
         if b then wp bt fuel post input
         else wp bf fuel post input
     | LOOP body, (b, input) =>
         if b then wp (SEQ body (LOOP body)) fuel post input
         else post input
```

Correctness of wp

```
Lemma wp_correct {A B} (i : instruction A B)
 fuel (post : stack B -> Prop) (input : stack A) :
  wp i fuel psi input <->
    exists output,
      eval i fuel input = Return _ output /\ psi output.
Proof. ... Qed.
```

Proven smart contracts

- Vote example
- Default "manager" smart contract
- Multisig
 - n persons share the ownership of the contract.
 - they agree on a threshold t (an integer).
 - to do anything with the contract, at least t owners must agree.
 - possible actions:
 - transfer from the multisig contract to somewhere else
 - change the list of owners and the threshold

Conclusion

- The Michelson smart-contract language is formalized in Coq.
- This formalisation can be used to prove interesting Michelson smart-contracts.

Ongoing and Future Work

- certify compilers to Michelson
- formalize the Michelson cost model
- use code extraction to replace the current GADT-based implementation in OCaml
- formalize the contract life, mutual and recursive calls
- implement serialisation and cryptography

Thank you!

- Tezos
 https://gitlab.com/tezos/tezos
- Mi-Cho-Coq https://gitlab.com/nomadic-labs/mi-cho-coq/
- Multisig contract in Michelson https://github.com/murbard/smart-contracts/blob/ master/multisig/michelson/multisig.tz
- Multisig proof
 https://gitlab.com/nomadic-labs/mi-cho-coq/blob/master/src/contracts_coq/multisig.v

Mutlisig Specification

```
Definition multisig_spec
           (counter : N)
           (action : data action_ty)
           (sigs : Datatypes.list (Datatypes.option (data sign
           (stored counter : N)
           (threshold: N)
           (keys : Datatypes.list (data key))
           (new stored counter : N)
           (new_threshold : N)
           (new_keys : Datatypes.list (data key))
           (returned_operations : Datatypes.list (data operati
 let params : data parameter_ty := ((counter, action), sigs)
 let storage : data storage_ty := (stored_counter, (threshold
  counter = stored_counter /\
  exists first_sigs remaining_sigs,
    sigs = (first_sigs ++ remaining_sigs)%list /\
   length first_sigs = length keys /\
```

Mutlisig Specification

Mutlisig Specification

```
match action with
| inl (amout, contr) =>
 new_threshold = threshold /\
 new keys = keys /\
  returned_operations = (transfer_tokens env unit tt amout con
| inr (inl kh) =>
 new_threshold = threshold /\
 new_keys = keys /\
 returned_operations = (set_delegate env kh :: nil)%list
| inr (inr (nt, nks)) =>
 new_threshold = nt /\
 new_keys = nks /\
  returned_operations = nil
end.
```