Michelson in Coq

Raphaël Cauderlier

September 17, 2018

Presentation

Raphaël Cauderlier

- PhD defended in 2016
 - directed by Catherine Dubois
 - at Deducteam (Inria, LSV, CNAM)
- Postdoc at IRIF (Paris 7) with Mihaela Sighireanu

Presentation Motivation Coq Formalisation of Michelson Conclusion

PhD on interoperability of proof systems

- Operational semantics of FoCaLiZe-ML
 - Contribution to the FoCaLiZe compiler
 - 7800 lines of OCaml
 - 2400 lines of Dedukti
- Operational semantics of the *ς*-calculus
 - Developpement of a prototype
 - 2000 lines of OCaml
 - 800 lines of Dedukti
 - 600 lines of Coq
- Rewrite systems for proof transformation (tactics, axiom elimination, theorem transfer)

Postdoc on proofs of imperative programs

- Separation Logic
- Case study: 6400 lines of VeriFast
- Contributions to VeriFast: better integration of SMT solvers
 - 1000 lines of OCaml

Outline

Motivation

2 Coq

Formalisation of Michelson

Outline

Motivation

2 Coq

Formalisation of Michelson

What?

Define in Coq the following parts of Michelson:

- syntax
- typing
- semantics (evaluator)

Why?

- Fun
- Many applications:
 - Check the Michelson specification
 - Prove properties of Michelson programs
 - Prove correctness of compilers from/to Michelson
 - Extract some correct-by-construction tools

Related work

https://github.com/tezos/tezoscoq

- + Proof of the multisig contract
- Untyped instructions
- - Out of date (last commit one year ago)

Outline

Motivation

2 Coq

Formalisation of Michelson

The Coq interactive theorem prover

- Developped for more than 30 years
- Non-trivial mathematical theorems: 4-color, odd-order
- CompCert: certified C compiler

Parameter A : Type.

Parameter A : Type.

Parameter a : A.

```
Parameter A : Type.
```

Parameter a : A.

Parameter B : A -> Prop.

```
Parameter A : Type.

Parameter a : A.

Parameter B : A -> Prop.

Parameter f : forall x : A, B x.
```

```
Parameter A : Type.

Parameter a : A.

Parameter B : A -> Prop.

Parameter f : forall x : A, B x.

Check f a. (* Answer: f a : B a *)
```

Implicit arguments

Explicit polymorphism: Types are regular terms

```
Definition identity (A : Type) (a : A) := a.
```

Implicit arguments

Explicit polymorphism: Types are regular terms

```
Definition identity (A : Type) (a : A) := a.
```

Inference of first argument

```
Lemma identity_2 : identity _ 2 = 2.
Proof. reflexivity. Qed.
```

Implicit arguments

```
Explicit polymorphism: Types are regular terms
```

```
Definition identity (A : Type) (a : A) := a.
```

Inference of first argument

```
Lemma identity_2 : identity _ 2 = 2.
Proof. reflexivity. Qed.
```

Implicit argument

```
Definition id {A : Type} (a : A) := a.
```

```
Lemma id_2 : id_2 = 2.
Proof. reflexivity. Qed.
```

Inductive Types

Generalisation of ADT to dependent types:

```
Inductive vector (A : Type) : nat -> Type :=
   | Nil : vector A 0
   | Cons n : A -> vector A n -> vector A (1 + n).
```

Outline

Motivation

2 Coq

Formalisation of Michelson

Outline

Motivation

2 Coq

Formalisation of Michelson

Code

https://framagit.org/rafoo/michelson-coq

Types

Formalisation of Michelson

Types

```
Definition comparable_data (a : comparable_type)
   : Set :=
  match a with
  | nat => N
  | int => Z
  . . .
  end.
Fixpoint data (a : type) {struct a} : Set :=
  match a with
  | Comparable type b => comparable data b
  | unit => Datatypes.unit
  . . .
  end.
```

Syntax

Syntax

Syntax and typing simultaneously

Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A : list type} {B : list type}
      (i : instruction A B) : stack A -> stack B :=
    match i in instruction A B
      return stack A -> stack B with
    | FAILWITH x =>
    | SEQ i1 i2 =>
       fun SA => eval i2 (eval i1 SA)
    | IF bt bf =>
       fun SbA => let (b, SA) := SbA in
         if b then eval bt SA else eval bf SA
    | LOOP body =>
       fun SbA => let (b, SA) := SbA in
         if b then eval (SEQ body (LOOP body)) SA
         else SA
```

Semantics

Presentation

```
Fixpoint eval {A : list type} {B : list type}
      (i : instruction A B) : stack A -> M (stack B) :=
   match i in instruction A B
      return stack A -> M (stack B) with
    | FAILWITH x =>
       fun SA => Failed _ (Assertion_Failure _ x)
    | SEQ i1 i2 =>
       fun SA => bind (eval i2) (eval i1 SA)
    | IF bt bf =>
       fun SbA => let (b, SA) := SbA in
         if b then eval bt SA else eval bf SA
    | LOOP body =>
       fun SbA => let (b, SA) := SbA in
         if b then eval (SEQ body (LOOP body)) SA
         else Return SA
```

Semantics

```
Fixpoint eval {A : list type} {B : list type}
      (i : instruction A B) (fuel : nat)
      {struct fuel} : stack A -> M (stack B) :=
 match fuel with
  | O => fun SA => Failed _ Out_of_fuel
  | S n = >
    match i in instruction A B
      return stack A -> M (stack B) with
    | FAILWITH x =>
       fun _ => Failed _ (Assertion_Failure _ x)
    | SEQ i1 i2 =>
       fun SA => bind (eval i2 n) (eval i1 n SA)
    | IF bt bf =>
       . . .
    | LOOP body =>
       . . .
```

Fuel vs Gas

- Fuel: Coq trick to turn a non-terminating function into a terminating one
 - Fuel (SEQ A B) = max(Fuel A, Fuel B) + O(1)
- Gas: measures the complexity of the program
 - Gas (SEQ A B) = Gas A + Gas B + O(1)

Discussion

- Separating syntax and semantics
 - Close to the Michelson compiler written in OCaml
 - Does not scale very well
- Modular presentation (instruction by instruction)
 - Close to the specification
 - Useful to handle overloading

Outline

Motivation

2 Coq

Formalisation of Michelson

Summary

- Michelson is a simple language
 No major difficulty to formalize it in Coq
- A small mistake detected in the specification of LOOP_LEFT Now fixed but new suspicious line:

```
SLICE :: nat : nat : bytes : 'S -> option string : 'S
```

Evolution

- Extract the evaluator
- Share the formalisation of the syntax with the current compiler
- Extract the documentation of Michelson from its formalisation

Thank you!

Questions?

Conclusion

Semantics of the data types

Michelson	Coq	Michelson	Coq
int	Z	pair a b	a * b
nat	N	option a	option a
string	string	or a b	sum a b
bytes	string	list a	list a
timestamp	Z	set a	set a (lt a)
mutez	int63	map a b	map a b (lt a)
bool	bool	bigmap a b	idem
unit	unit	lambda a b	a -> M b
		anything else	axiomatized

with

```
Definition int63 :=
  {t : int64.int64 | int64.sign t = false}
Definition set a lt :=
  {l : list A | Sorted.StronglySorted lt l}
Definition map a b lt :=
  set (a * b) (fun x y => lt (fst x) (fst y))
```

Overloading

Almost fully supported using canonical structures.

```
Module neg.
  Record class (a : comparable_type) :=
    Class { neg : comparable_data a -> M Z }.
  Structure type (a : comparable type) :=
    Pack { class_of : class a }.
  Definition op (a : comparable type) {e : type a}
    : comparable_data a -> M Z := neg _ (class_of a e).
End neg.
Canonical Structure neg_nat : neg.type nat :=
 neg.Pack nat (neg.Class nat
    (fun x \Rightarrow Return (-Z.of_N x)%Z)).
Canonical Structure neg_int : neg.type int :=
 neg.Pack int (neg.Class int
    (fun x \Rightarrow Return (-x)\%Z)).
```