

# **Chapter 5. Space-Based Architecture**

Most web-based business applications follow the same general request flow: a request from a browser hits the web server, then an application server, then finally the database server. While this pattern works great for a small set of users, bottlenecks start appearing as the user load increases, first at the web-server layer, then at the application-server layer, and finally at the database-server layer. The usual response to bottlenecks based on an increase in user load is to scale out the web servers. This is relatively easy and inexpensive, and sometimes works to address the bottleneck issues. However, in most cases of high user load, scaling out the web-server layer just moves the bottleneck down to the application server. Scaling application servers can be more complex and expensive than web servers and usually just moves the bottleneck down to the database server, which is even more difficult and expensive to scale. Even if you can scale the database, what you eventually end up with is a triangle-shaped topology, with the widest part of the triangle being the web servers (easiest to scale) and the smallest part being the database fundees to scale.

In any high-volume application with an extremely large concurrent user load, the database will usually be the final limiting factor in how many transactions you can process concurrently. While various caching technologies and database sealing products help to address these issues, the fact remains that scaling out a normal application for extreme loads is a very difficult proposition.

The space-based architecture pattern is specifically designed to address and solve scalability and concurrency issues. It is also a useful architecture pattern for applications that have variable and unpredictable concurrent user volumes. Solving the extreme and variable scalability issue architecturally is often a better approach than trying to scale out a database or retrofit caching technologies into a non-scalable architecture.

### **Pattern Description**

The space-based pattern (also sometimes referred to as the cloud architecture pattern) minimizes the factors that limit application scaling. This pattern gets its name from the concept of tuple space, the idea of distributed shared memory. High scalability is achieved by removing the central database constraint and using replicated in-memory data grids instead. Application data is kept in-memory and replicated among all the active processing units. Processing units can be dynamically started up and shut down as user load increases and decreases, thereby addressing variable scalability. Because there is no central database, the database bottleneck is removed, providing near-infinite scalability within the amplication.

Most applications that fit into this pattern are standard websites that receive a request from a browser and perform some sort of action. A bidding auction site is a good example of this. The site continually receives bids from internet users through a browser request. The application would receive a bid for a particular



architecture pattern and its primary architecture components.

The processing-unit components contains the application components (or portions of the application components). This includes web-based components as well as backend business logic. The contents of the processing unit varies based on the type of application—smaller web-based applications would likely be deployed into a single processing unit, whereas larger applications may split the application functionality into multiple processing units based on the functional areas of the application. The processing unit typically contains the application modules, along with an in-memory data grid and an optional asynchronous persistent store for failower. It also contains a replication engine that is used by the virtualized middleware to replicate data changes made by one processing unit to other active processing units.




Figure 5-1. Space-based architecture pattern

The virtualized-middleware component handles housekeeping and communications. It contains components that control various aspects of data synchronization and request handling. Included in the virtualized middleware are the messaging grid, data grid, processing grid, and deployment manager. These components, which are described in detail in the next section, can be custom written or purchased as third-party products.

#### **Pattern Dynamics**

The magic of the space-based architecture pattern lies in the virtualized middleware components and the in-memory data grid contained within each processing unit. Figure 5-2 shows the typical processing unit architecture containing the application modules, in-memory data grid, optional asynchronous persistence store for failover, and the data-replication engine.

The virtualized middleware is essentially the controller for the architecture and manages requests, sessions, data replication, distributed request processing, and process-unit deployment. There are four main architecture components in the virtualized middleware: the messaging grid, the data grid, the processing grid, and the deployment manager.




Figure 5-2. Processing-unit component



the messaging-grid component determines which active processing components are available to receive the request and forwards the request to one of those processing units. The complexity of the messaging grid can range from a simple round-robin algorithm to a more complex next-available algorithm that keeps track of which request is being processed by which processing unit.

#### Data Grid

The data-grid component is perhaps the most important and crucial component in this pattern. The data grid interacts with the data-replication engine in each processing unit to manage the data replication between processing units when data updates occur. Since the messaging grid can forward a request to any of the processing units available, it is essential that each processing unit contains exactly the same data in its in-memory data grid. Although Figure 5-4 shows a synchronous data replication between processing units, in reality this is done in parallel asynchronously and very quickly, sometimes completing the data synchronization in a matter of microseconds (one millionth of a second).




Figure 5-3. Messaging-grid component




Figure 5-4. Data-grid component

## Processing Grid

The processing grid, illustrated in Figure 5.5, is an optional component within the virtualized middleware that manages distributed request processing when there are multiple processing units, each handling a portion of the application. If a request comes in that requires coordination between processing unit types (e.g., an order processing unit and a customer processing unit), it is the processing grid that mediates and orchestrates the request between those two processing units.






Figure 5-5. Processing-grid component

#### Deployment Manager

The deployment-manager component manages the dynamic startup and shutdown of processing units based on load conditions. This component continually monitors response times and user loads, and starts up new processing units when load increases, and shuts down processing units when the load decreases. It is a critical component to achieving variable scalability needs within an application.

#### Considerations

The space-based architecture pattern is a complex and expensive pattern to implement. It is a good architecture choice for smaller web-based applications with variable load (e.g., social media sites, bidding and auction sites). However, it is not well suited for traditional large-scale relational database applications with large amounts of operational data.

Although the space-based architecture pattern does not require a centralized datastore, one is commonly included to perform the initial in-memory data grid load and asynchronously persist data updates made by the processing units. It is also a common practice to create separate partitions that isolate volatile and widely used transactional data from non-active data, in order to reduce the memory footprint of the in-memory data grid within each processing unit.

It is important to note that while the alternative name of this pattern is the cloudbased architecture, the processing units (as well as the virtualized middleware) do not have to reside on cloud-based hosted services or PaaS (platform as a service). It can just as easily reside on local servers, which is one of the reasons I prefer the name "space-based architecture."

From a product implementation perspective, you can implement many of the architecture components in this pattern through third-party products such as GemFire, JavaSpaces, GigaSpaces, IBM Object Grid, nCache, and Oracle Coherence. Because the implementation of this pattern varies greatly in terms of cost and capabilities (particularly data replication times), as an architect, you should first establish what your specific goals and needs are before making any product selections.

### Pattern Analysis

The following table contains a rating and analysis of the common architecture characteristics for the space-based architecture pattern. The rating for each characteristic is based on the natural tendency for that characteristic as a capability based on a typical implementation of the pattern, as well as what the pattern is generally known for. For a side-by-side comparison of how this pattern relates to other patterns in this report, please refer to Appendix A at the end of this report.

## Overall agility

Rating: Hi

Analysis: Overall agility is the ability to respond quickly to a constantly changing environment. Because processing units (deployed instances of the application) can be brought up and down quickly, applications respond well to changes related to an increase or decrease in user load (environment changes). Architectures created using this pattern generally respond well to



Analysis: Although space-based architectures are generally not decoupled and distributed, they are dynamic, and sophisticated cloud-based tools allow for applications to easily be "pushed" out to servers, simplifying deployment.

#### Testability

Rating: Low

Analysis: Achieving very high user loads in a test environment is both expensive and time consuming, making it difficult to test the scalability aspects of the application.

#### Performance

Rating: High

Analysis: High performance is achieved through the in-memory data access and eaching mechanisms build into this pattern.

#### Scalability

Rating: High

Analysis: High scalability come from the fact that there is little or no dependency on a centralized database, therefore essentially removing this limiting bottleneck from the scalability equation.

#### Ease of development

Rating: Low

Analysis: Sophisticated caching and in-memory data grid products make this pattern relatively complex to develop, mostly because of the lack of familiarity with the tools and products used to create this type of architecture. Furthermore, special care must be taken while developing these types of architectures to make sure nothing in the source code impacts performance and scalability.

# Settings / Support / Sign Out





