

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION PURSUANT TO RULE 3(A)
OF THE RULES OF THE COURT OF CHANCERY

EFiled: Dec 13 2024 04:19PM EST
Transaction ID 75224875
Case No. 2024-1296-



The information contained herein is for the use by the Court for statistical and administrative purpose in this document shall be deemed binding for purposes of the merits of the case.

1. Case caption: Anuj Gupta vs Stefan Safko and Scott Harvey

2. Date filed: 12/13/2024

3. Name and address of plaintiff(s): Anuj Gupta, 4678 Rocky Way, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409

4. Short statement and nature of claim(s) asserted:

This action seeks inspection of certain books and records of Solrice Research, Inc. (DBA Civil Maps), relating to the asset sale of Civil Maps to Luminar Technologies, Inc. and the compensation structures that followed, specifically for the defendants, who were common directors in Solrice Research at the time of transaction. The plaintiff owns a ~7% equity stake in the company per the company shareholder certificate.

Plaintiff requests records regarding:

5. Substantive field of law involved (check one):

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Administrative law | <input type="checkbox"/> Labor law | <input type="checkbox"/> Trusts, Wills and Estates |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial law | <input type="checkbox"/> Real Property | <input type="checkbox"/> Consent trust petitions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Constitutional law | <input type="checkbox"/> 348 Deed Restriction | <input type="checkbox"/> Partition |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corporation law | <input type="checkbox"/> Zoning | <input type="checkbox"/> Rapid Arbitration (Rules 96,97) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trade secrets/trade mark/or other intellectual property | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

6. Identify any related cases, including any Register of Wills matter. This question is intended to promote jurisdiction efficiency by assigning cases involving similar parties or issues to a single judicial officer. By signing this form, an attorney represents that the attorney has done reasonable diligence sufficient to respond to this question.

The Delaware Court of Chancery exercised subject matter jurisdiction in the **"In re BGC Partners, Inc. Derivative Litigation"** case

7. State all bases for the court's exercise of subject matter jurisdiction by citing to the relevant statute. Specify if 8 *Del. C.* § 111, 6 *Del. C.* § 17-111, or 6 *Del. C.* § 18-111. State if the case seeks monetary relief, even if secondarily or in the alternative, under a merger agreement, asset purchase agreement, or equity purchase agreement.

1. **8 Del. C. § 111:** Jurisdiction over cases involving interpretation, application, or enforcement of any provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law.

8. If the complaint initiates a summary proceeding under Sections 8 *Del. C.* §§ 145(k), 205, 211(c), 220, or comparable statutes, check here ☒. (If #8 is checked, you must either (i) file a motion to expedite with a proposed form of order identifying the schedule requested or (ii) submit a letter stating that you do not seek an

3/1/2023

expedited schedule and the reason(s)—e.g., you have filed to preserve standing and do not seek immediate relief.)

—

9. If the complaint is accompanied by a request for a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, a status quo order, or expedited proceedings other than in a summary proceeding, check here _____. (If #9 is checked, a motion to expedite must accompany the transaction with a proposed form of order identifying the schedule requested.)

10. If counsel believes that the case should not be assigned to a Magistrate in the first instance, check here and attach a statement of good cause. _____

—



Signature of Plaintiff(s)