

Research Review

Advanced Game Playing

Game Tree Searching Using Min-Max Approximation – Ron Rivest 1987

This paper introduces a new technique for searching in game trees, based on the idea of approximating the min and max operators with generalized mean-value operators (GMV) performing better than min-max search with alpha-beta pruning.

For the purpose of algorithm, a generalized p-mean of a , $M_p(a)$, where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, is defined as:

$$M_p(a) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M_0(a) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} M_p(a) = (a_1 \dots a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad p = 0$$

Observing that

$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} M_p(a) = \max(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\lim_{p \rightarrow -\infty} M_p(a) = \min(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $p \leq q \rightarrow M_p(a) \leq M_q(a)$, we can come to a conclusion that the first derivation wrt each a_i is continuous, i.e.:

$$\frac{\partial M_p(a)}{\partial a_i} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{a_i}{M_p(a)} \right)^{p-1}$$

This property is far more useful for sensitivity analysis than computing derivatives of $\max(a)$, which are always 0 or 1.

Penalty based scheme is introduced that introduces an approximate way to expand search in a given node based on GMV. Non-negative weight $w(e)$ of an edge e in a game tree is a penalty; we want edges leading to unfavorable outcome to have larger penalty. Now for each node in the game tree we compute its penalty that is a sum of penalties on all edges from the node to the root node. We will then select nodes to expand with the lowest penalty. Next we define

$$\widetilde{v}_E(c) = \begin{cases} \widehat{v}_E(c) & c \in \text{Terminal} \\ M_p(\widetilde{v}_E(d_1) \dots \widetilde{v}_E(d_k)) & c \in \text{Max} \setminus \text{Terminal} \\ M_{-p}(\widetilde{v}_E(d_1) \dots \widetilde{v}_E(d_k)) & c \in \text{Min} \setminus \text{Terminal} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad D(x, y) = \frac{\partial \widetilde{v}_E(x)}{\partial \widetilde{v}_E(y)}$$

where $\widehat{v}_E(c)$ is value at a terminal node, *Terminal*, *Max* and *Min* are sets of nodes of given type.

$D(s, c)$ measures sensitivity of the root value $\widetilde{v}_E(s)$ to the changes of in the tip value $\widetilde{v}_E(c)$. Here comes the idea to choose tip c with the highest value of $D(s, c)$ to expand, and formulate it as a penalty-based heuristics. If we define weight $w(x)$ on the edge between node x and its parent $f(x)$ as

$$w(x) = -\log(D(f(x), x)) \quad , \quad \text{by chain rule we get} \quad D(s, x) = \prod_{c \in A(x)} D(f(c), c) \quad , \quad A(x) = \text{ancestors of } x$$

then by choosing the game tree's tip x with the highest $D(s, x)$ guarantees the lowest penalty, as tip's penalty is defined as $P_s(x) = \sum_{c \in A(x)} w(c)$.

By using a penalty-based scheme instead of alpha-beta pruning over minimax algorithm, employing aforementioned continuous approximation, the results depend on the game criteria – when time is restricted, alpha-beta pruning wins in ratio of 239:186 wins:loses but when the depth of searched moves is restricted, penalty-based scheme prevails with 249:190.

The penalty-based scheme is much more computationally intense with worse asymptotic running complexity, hence its usage might not be the most practical.