- 1. (Griffiths A.1) Consider the ordinary vectors in three dimensions $a_x \hat{i} + a_y \hat{j} + a_z \hat{k}$, with complex components.
 - (a) Does the subset of all vectors with $a_z = 0$ constitute a vector space? If so, what is its dimension; if not, why not?
 - (b) What about the subset of all vectors whose z component is 1? Hint: Would the sum of two such vectors be in the subset? How about the null vector?
 - (c) What about the subset of vectors whose components are all equal?
 - (a) Yes. To verify that this is a vector subspace we need only check that it is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication. For vector addition we have: $(a_x, a_y, 0) + (a'_x, a'_y, 0) = (a_x + a'_x, a_y + a'_y, 0)$, which is in the space. And for scalar multiplication we have: $c(a_x, a_y, 0) = (c a_x, c a_y, 0)$, which is also in the space. This is a vector space of dimensions 2.
 - (b) No. It is not closed under vector addition: $(a_x, a_y, 1) + (a'_x, a'_y, 1) = (a_x + a'_x, a_y + a'_y, 2)$, which is no longer in the space. It is also not closed under scalar multiplication.
 - (c) Yes. It is closed under addition and multiplication, and it has dimension 1.
- 2. (Griffiths A.8) Given the following two matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & i \\ 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 2i & -2i & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ i & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

compute the following:

- (a) A + B
- (b) *AB*
- (c) [A, B]
- (d) A^{T} . (Note: This stands for the transpose. Griffiths writes this as \tilde{A}).
- (e) A^*
- (f) A^{\dagger}
- (g) det(B)
- (h) B^{-1} . Check that $BB^{-1} = I$. Does A have an inverse?
- (a) Compute

$$A + B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 3i & -2i + 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

(b) Compute

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1+3i & 3i\\ 4+3i & 9 & 6-2i\\ 6i & 6-2i & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

(c)

$$[A, B] = \begin{pmatrix} -2 - 6i & -2 + 3i & -3 - 9i \\ -14 - 6i & 9 + 9i & -30 - 2i \\ -12 + 4i & 6 + 4i & -18 + 6i \end{pmatrix}$$

(d)

$$A^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2i \\ 1 & 0 & -2i \\ i & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(e)

$$A^* = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & -i \\ 2 & 0 & 3 \\ -2i & 2i & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(f)

$$A^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & -2i \\ 1 & 0 & 2i \\ -i & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(g)

$$\det(B) = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ i & 3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 3$$

(h)

$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3i & i \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ -i & -6 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

One has

$$BB^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ i & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3i & i \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ -i & -6 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also, one can compute that det(A) = 0, so A has no inverse.

3. (Griffiths A.9) Using the square matrices in Problem 2, and the column matrices

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 2i \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad b = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ (1-i) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

find:

- (a) *Aa*
- (b) $a^{\dagger}b$
- (c) $a^{\mathsf{T}}Ab$
- (d) ab^{\dagger}

(a)

$$Aa = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & i \\ 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 2i & -2i & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 2i \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3i \\ 6+2i \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

(b)

$$a^{\dagger}b = \begin{pmatrix} -i & -2i & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1-i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2-4i$$

(c)

$$a^{\mathsf{T}}Ab = \begin{pmatrix} i & 2i & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & i \\ 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 2i & -2i & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1-i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = -3 + 11i$$

(d)

$$ab^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 2i \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1+i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2i & -1+i & 0 \\ 4i & -2+2i & 0 \\ 4 & 2+2i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (1)

- 4. (Griffiths A.13) Noting that $det(A) = det(A^{\mathsf{T}})$, show that:
 - (a) the determinant of a hermitian matrix is real
 - (b) the determinant of a unitary matrix has modulus 1 (hence the name)
 - (c) the determinant of an orthogonal matrix (footnote 13) is either 1 or -1.
 - (a) Let H be a Hermitan matrix. Then

$$\det(H) = \det(H^{\dagger}) = \det((H^*)^{\mathsf{T}}) = \det(H^*) = \det(H)^*$$

Therefore det(H) is a real number.

(b) Let U be a unitary matrix. Because U is unitary, it satisfies $UU^{\dagger} = I$. Take the determinant of both sides:

$$1 = \det(I) = \det(UU^{\dagger})$$
$$= \det(U) \det(U)^{*}$$
$$= |\det(U)|^{2}$$

Therefore, det(U) is a number with magnitude 1.

(c) Let R be an orthogonal matrix. Because it is orthogonal, it satisfies $RR^{\intercal} = I$. Take the determinant of both sides of this equation:

$$1 = \det(I) = \det(RR^{T})$$
$$= \det(R) \det(R)$$
$$= \det(R)^{2}$$

Then det(R) is equal to either +1 or -1.

5. (Griffiths A.19) Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Can this matrix be diagonalized?

By inspecting the matrix, we see that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$ is an eigenvector of the matrix, with eigenvalue 1. The matrix has no other eigenvectors. This can be seen by inspecting the characteristic equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1 - \lambda)^2 = 0.$$

The only solution is $\lambda = 1$.

6. (Griffiths A.27) A hermitian linear transformation must satisfy $\langle \alpha | T\beta \rangle = \langle T\alpha | \beta \rangle$ for all vectors $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$. Prove that it is (surprisingly) sufficient that $\langle \gamma | T\gamma \rangle = \langle T\gamma | \gamma \rangle$ for all vectors $|\gamma\rangle$. Hint: First let $|\gamma\rangle = |\alpha\rangle + |\beta\rangle$, and then let $|\gamma\rangle = |\alpha\rangle + i |\beta\rangle$.

Our goal is to show that the (seemingly weaker) condition $\langle \gamma | T \gamma \rangle = \langle T \gamma | \gamma \rangle$ actually implies the (seemingly stronger) condition $\langle \alpha | T \beta \rangle = \langle T \alpha | \beta \rangle$, and is therefore an equally good definition of a Hermitian operator. Suppose T is an operator that satisfies $\langle \gamma | T \gamma \rangle = \langle T \gamma | \gamma \rangle$ for all vectors $|\gamma \rangle$. Consider $|\gamma \rangle = |\alpha \rangle + |\beta \rangle$. Then

$$\langle \gamma | T \gamma \rangle = (\langle \alpha | + \langle \beta |)(|T\alpha \rangle + |T\beta \rangle)$$
$$= \langle \alpha | T\alpha \rangle + \langle \alpha | T\beta \rangle + \langle \beta | T\alpha \rangle + \langle \beta | T\beta \rangle.$$

Meanwhile,

$$\langle T\gamma|\gamma\rangle = (\langle T\alpha| + \langle T\beta|)(|\alpha\rangle + |\beta\rangle)$$

$$= \langle T\alpha|\alpha\rangle + \langle T\alpha|\beta\rangle + \langle T\beta|\alpha\rangle + \langle T\beta|\beta\rangle.$$

Since these are equal, we have:

where we have used the property that T satisfies to cancel some terms. Now consider the case when $|\gamma'\rangle = |\alpha\rangle + i |\beta\rangle$. Then

$$\langle \gamma' | T \gamma' \rangle = (\langle T \alpha | -i \langle T \beta |) (|\alpha \rangle + i |\beta \rangle)$$

= $\langle T \alpha | \alpha \rangle + i \langle T \alpha | \beta \rangle - i \langle T \beta | \alpha \rangle + \langle T \beta | \beta \rangle.$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{split} \langle T\gamma'|\gamma'\rangle &= (\langle\alpha|-i\,\langle\beta|)(|T\alpha\rangle+i\,|T\beta\rangle) \\ &= \langle\alpha|T\alpha\rangle+i\,\langle\alpha|T\beta\rangle-i\,\langle\beta|T\alpha\rangle+\langle\beta|T\beta\rangle\,. \end{split}$$

Since these are equal, we have

$$\begin{split} \langle T\alpha | \overline{\alpha} \rangle + i \, \langle T\alpha | \beta \rangle - i \, \langle T\beta | \alpha \rangle + \langle T\beta | \beta \rangle &= \langle \alpha | T\overline{\alpha} \rangle + i \, \langle \alpha | T\beta \rangle - i \, \langle \beta | T\alpha \rangle + \langle \beta | T\beta \rangle \\ & i \, \langle T\alpha | \beta \rangle - i \, \langle T\beta | \alpha \rangle &= i \, \langle \alpha | T\beta \rangle - i \, \langle \beta | T\alpha \rangle \end{split}$$

Divide this equation by i:

$$\langle T\alpha|\beta\rangle - \langle T\beta|\alpha\rangle = \langle \alpha|T\beta\rangle - \langle \beta|T\alpha\rangle$$
.

Now add this equation to what we found for the case using $|\gamma\rangle$:

$$\langle \alpha | T\beta \rangle + \langle \beta | T\alpha \rangle = \langle T\alpha | \beta \rangle + \langle T\beta | \alpha \rangle$$
$$+ \langle \alpha | T\beta \rangle - \langle \beta | T\alpha \rangle = \langle T\alpha | \beta \rangle - \langle T\beta | \alpha \rangle$$

$$\implies \langle \alpha | T\beta \rangle = \langle T\alpha | \beta \rangle$$

which is what we wanted to show. Note that this would not work for a real vector space (complex numbers are required).