




# Promoting Diversity in Evolutionary Optimization: Why and How

Giovanni Squillero

[giovanni.squillero@polito.it](mailto:giovanni.squillero@polito.it)

Alberto Tonda

[alberto.tonda@grignon.inra.fr](mailto:alberto.tonda@grignon.inra.fr)



**INRA**

# Saturday, September 17<sup>th</sup> 2016




# Outline

- Generic EA
- Divergence of character in natural and artificial evolution
- Background (diversity and similarity, ...)
- Mechanisms for promoting diversity
- Hints and tips
- Conclusion

# Real world (Galapagos)




# Darwin's tree of life



The only illustration in *On the Origin of Species by Natural Selection* (1859)


# Divergence of character

- “*Great diversity of forms in nature*”
- “*The principle, which I have designated by this term, is of high importance, and explains, as I believe, several important facts*”
  - “*The principle of divergence causes differences, at first barely appreciable, to steadily to increase, and the breeds to diverge in character, both from each other and from their common parent*”
  - “*The varying descendants of each species try to occupy as many and as different places as possible in the economy of nature*”



# Evolutionary computation

- A rough idea about “what” an evolutionary algorithm is



- Note: Optimization, not artificial life!

# Evolutionary algorithms



# Premature convergence

- I.e., the tendency of an algorithm to converge towards a point where it was not supposed to converge to in the first place
- Probably an oxymoron
- Holland's “Lack of speciation”
- EAs general inability to exploit environmental niches

*divergence of character*

*vs.*


*premature convergence*


# Divergence of character

- “The basic point of the principle of divergence is **simplicity itself**: the more the coinhabitants of an area differ from each other in their ecological requirements, the less they will compete with each other; therefore natural selection will tend to favor any variation toward greater divergence.”




# Environment vs. Fitness function





# Niches


- Niche: subspace in the environment with a finite amount of physical resources that can support different types of life



# Niches

- Niches favor the divergence of character
- Niches and speciation
- How to create “niches” in EAs since the environment is missing?

# A Very Generic EA



# Exploration vs. Exploitation

- Recombination
  - mixes together two or more solutions to create the offspring
  - associated with the idea of *exploration*
- Mutation
  - performs a (usually small) change in an individual
  - associated with the idea of *exploitation*



# Exploration vs. Exploitation

- When all parents are very similar, the effectiveness of recombination is limited
- The ability to explore remote parts of the search space is impaired
- “Conventional wisdom suggests that increasing diversity should be generally beneficial”



# Exploration vs. Exploitation


- When all parents are **very similar**, the effectiveness of recombination is limited
- what is the definition of “similar”?** ts of the search space is impaired
- “Conventional wisdom suggests that increasing **diversity** should be generally beneficial”

**and the definition of “diversity”?**



# Levels in biology

- **Genotype:** the genetic constitution of an organism
- **Phenotype:** the composite of the organism's observable characteristics or traits
- **Fitness:** individual's ability to propagate its genes (well, almost)




Richard Dawkins  
**The Extended Phenotype: The Long Reach of the Gene**  
Oxford University Press, 1982 (revised ed. 1999)


# Levels in EC (a modest proposal)

- **Fitness:** how well the candidate solution is able to solve the target problem
- **Genotype:** the internal representation of the individual, i.e., what is directly manipulated by genetic operators
- **Phenotype:** the candidate solution that is encoded in the genotype
  - the intermediate form in which the genotype needs to be transformed into for evaluating fitness
  - if genotype can be directly evaluated: genotype and phenotype coincide


# Levels in EC (GA)




# Levels in EC (GP & LGP)



$$f(x) = \left(0.2 - \frac{x}{42}\right) \cdot \ln(x)$$




$$\text{Fitness} \approx \int_{x=0}^E |f(x) - g(x)|$$



# Niches in EA

- Niching: grouping similar individual
  - similar spatial positions (i.e., islands)
  - similar genotypes (i.e., niching)
  - similar phenotypes
- Several approaches are based on niching




# Detecting clones

- Detecting whether two individuals are clones, i.e., identical, is often an easy task at any level



# Measuring diversity

- ➊ Diversity  $\Rightarrow$  distance metric: *how far* the individual is
    - ➌ from (a subset of) the whole population
    - ➌ from a single individual
  - ➋ Diversity  $\Rightarrow$  property of the population
  - ➌ But, at what level?
    - ➌ Phenotype
    - ➌ Genotype
    - ➌ Fitness
- 


# Measuring diversity

- Different fitness values imply different phenotypes, different phenotypes imply different genotypes

$$F_x \neq F_y \Rightarrow P_x \neq P_y \Rightarrow G_x \neq G_y$$

# Measuring diversity

- What about “diversity”?
- Locality principle
- Rechenberg’s *strong causality*



# Measuring diversity

- ➊ Fitness
  - ➌ Usually trivial
- ➋ Phenotype
  - ➌ Usually ad-hoc
- ➌ Genotype
  - ➌ Different genotypes in the population
  - ➌ GP subtree frequency
  - ➌ Edit distance (a.k.a., Levenshtein distance)
  - ➌ Entropy and free energy

# Outline

- Generic EA
- Divergence of characteristics during evolution
- Background (diversity and similarity, ..)
- Mechanisms for promoting diversity
- Hints and tips
- Conclusion

**What has been proposed  
to alleviate it?**

# End goal vs. Means goal

- The **end goal** in optimization is reaching better solutions in less time
- Promoting diversity has often been seen as the key factor to improve performances
- Promoting diversity is a mere **means goal** (yet a quite important one)
- No distinction is made here whether the means goal is
  - preserve existing diversity
  - increase diversity

# How diversity is promoted (practice)

- Fitness scaling
- Fitness holes
- Tweaking selection mechanism
- Adding selection mechanism
- Multiple populations
- Population topologies
- ...

In theory there is no difference between theory and practice



# How diversity is promoted (theory)

- A methodology for promoting diversity alters the selection probability of individuals

$$\bar{p}_{x|\Psi} = p_{x|\Psi} \cdot \xi(x, \Psi)$$

- **Mere definition:** we do not imply that a mechanism operates *explicitly* on the selection operators
- **But** the *effects* on selection probabilities are assessed to classify it

# How diversity is promoted (theory)

individual

set of individuals  
(may be empty)

$$\bar{p}_x|\Psi = p_x \cdot \xi(x, \Psi)$$

selection probability of individual x given that all individuals in set  $\Psi$  are also chosen

corrective factor

selection probability  
of individual x

# Relevant characteristic

- Lineage (**LIN**)
- Phenotype (**PHE**)
- Genotype (**GEN**)
- Fitness (used as a proxy for either phenotype or genotype)

$$\xi(x, \Psi)$$

# Lineage-based methodologies

- The value of  $\xi(\circ)$  does not depend on individual structure nor behavior, but it can be determined considering circumstances of its birth (e.g., time, position)
- LBMs can be applied to any kind of problem, even in addition to other diversity preservation methods

# Genotype-based methodologies

- ➊ Particularly effective when it is possible to define a sensible distance between genotypes
- ➋ Often used to
  - ➌ avoid overexploitation of peaks in the fitness landscape
  - ➍ promote the generation of new solutions very far from the most successful ones
  - ➎ preserve variability in the gene pool

# Phenotype-based methodologies

- Usually impractical
- Sometimes fitness distance can be used as a proxy for phenotype distance (multi objective EAs, or many objective EAs)

# Type of selection

- Parent selection ( $\alpha$  or  $\epsilon$ )
  - Usually non-deterministic
- Survival selection ( $\omega$  or  $\epsilon$ )
  - Usually deterministic

$$\bar{p}_x|\Psi$$

# Diversity Promotion in EA



Information Sciences

Volume 329, 1 February 2016, Pages 782–799

Special issue on Discovery Science



Divergence of character and premature convergence: A survey of methodologies for promoting diversity in evolutionary optimization 

Giovanni Squillero   , Alberto Tonda<sup>b</sup> 

DOI: [10.1016/j.ins.2015.09.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2015.09.056)




# Island model



- Recipe [**LIN aw**]
  - The population is partitioned into sub-populations
  - Only local interactions are allowed
  - Periodically, individuals are moved between sub-populations (**migrants**)
- Rationale
  - Different populations may explore different parts of the search space
  - ... but global interactions can be useful





# Island model




$T = t_0$



$T = t_k$




$T = t_N$



# Segregation

- Recipe [[LIN  \$\alpha\omega\$](#) ]
  - The population is partitioned into  $N$  sub-populations
  - Only local interactions are allowed
  - Upon stagnation, the  $N$  sub-populations are merged into  $N-1$  sub-populations
- Rationale
  - Same as island models
  - The selective pressure decreases during evolution


# Segregation



# Hierarchical fair competition


- Recipe [PHE aw]
  - The population is partitioned into sub-populations with similar fitness
  - Only local interactions are allowed
  - The offspring is promoted or demoted according to fitness
  - New random individuals are constantly generated
- Rationale
  - Hard niching with implicit neighborhood
  - Reduce competition between newborns and already optimized individuals (ladder)

# Hierarchical fair competition




# Cellular EA


- Recipe [LIN  $\alpha\omega$ ]
  - Fixed topology (lattice)
  - Only interactions between neighbors are allowed
- Rationale
  - Limiting interaction could defer the takeover of the population by clones of the fittest individual



Linear-5 (L5)



Compact-9 (C9)



# Deterministic crowding

- Recipe [LIN etw]
  - Offspring compete against parents for survival
- Rationale
  - Flexible niching with implicit neighborhood
  - Parents and offspring occupy the same niche
  - No need for evaluating the similarity

# Allopatric selection

- Recipe [LIN et al]
  - The whole offspring compete for survival
- Rationale
  - Flexible niching with implicit neighborhood
  - No need for evaluating the similarity
  - Genetic operators that create large offspring can be exploited without the risk for the offspring to invade the population

# Fitness Sharing

- Recipe [GEN  $\alpha\omega$ ]

- Scale down individual fitness

$$\bar{f}(I_k) = \frac{f(I_k)}{\sum_i sh(I_k, I_i)}$$

- with  $sh(x, y)$  depending on the distance between the individuals, and is 0 beyond a fixed radius

- Rationale

- Flexible niching with explicit neighborhood
  - Reduce attractiveness of densely populated area

# Clearing


- Recipe [GEN  $\alpha\omega$ ]
  - Inside niches of a certain radius, the best  $k$  individuals retain their fitness while the rest are zeroed
- Rationale
  - Flexible niching with explicit neighborhood
  - Set a hard limit to population density

# Standard crowding

- Recipe [GEN ~~etw~~]
  - New individuals replace the most similar individual in a random niche of size CF
- Rationale
  - Flexible niching with explicit neighborhood
  - Favor novelty (generational approach)

# Crowded-comparison operator

- Recipe [PHE ~~etc~~]
  - Estimate the *free territory* around solutions and favor solutions less crowded regions
- Rationale
  - Smart implementation of artificial niches
  - Requires a strong correlation between phenotype and fitness
  - NSGA-III introduces  $\epsilon$ -domination (adaptive discretization)



# Reference points partitioning

- Recipe [GEN etw]
  - Population is partitioned using in clusters centered around a set of reference points
  - Reference points are initially chosen by the user, then can be dynamically updated
  - New individuals compete for survival inside their own niche
- Rationale
  - Flexible niching with explicit neighborhood

# Vector evaluated genetic algorithm

- Recipe [PHE ~~etc~~]
  - Divide the mating pool in  $N$  parts, each one filled with individual selected on their  $i$ -th component of the fitness
  - Alternative: select on a weighted sum, but use different weight sets for the different parts
- Rationale
  - Increase the push towards specialization
- Caveats
  - Only applicable to MOEAs, or when using an aggregate fitness

# Lexicase selection

- Recipe [PHE ~~etc~~]
  - Before selection, re-arrange the components of the fitness
  - Compare individual fitnesses lexicographically
- Rationale
  - Increase the push towards specialization
- Caveats
  - Only applicable when using an aggregate fitness

# Restricted tournament selection

- Recipe [GEN ~~etw~~]
- New individuals compete with the most similar individual in a random niche of size CF
- Rationale
  - Flexible niching with explicit neighborhood

# Sequential niching

- Recipe [GEN  $\alpha\omega$ ]
  - The most promising points in the search space after each run are altered so to become less interesting in further executions
- Rationale
  - Avoid over exploitation

# Gender

- Recipe [**LIN/GEN** ~~att~~]
  - Add gender to individual and enforce sexual reproduction
  - More than two sexes are possible, with different mutation probabilities
  - Gender might be part of the genome or not
- Rationale
  - Prevent crossover between clones
  - Limit interactions between related individuals

# Tarpeian method

- Recipe [PHE aw]
  - Randomly kill individual who don't adhere to given standards
- Rationale
  - Note: originally used to prevent bloat
  - Creating dynamic and non-deterministic *fitness holes* may have several beneficial effects, including to promote diversity

# Diversifiers

- Recipe [GEN  $\alpha\omega$ ]
  - Detect less populated areas in the search space and try to generate random inhabitants
- Rationale
  - Increase variability in the gene pool regardless the fitness
  - Require a reliable distance metric

# Random immigrants


- Recipe [PHE aw]
  - Periodically insert random individuals in the population
- Rationale
  - Try to introduce novelty
- Caveats
  - Newborns may need to be artificially kept alive when competing against already optimized individuals

# Extinction

- Recipe [PHE etw]
  - Upon convergence (or periodically) remove a significant part of the population
  - Then fill up the population with the offspring of the survivors and/or random individuals
- Rationale
  - A gust of fresh air: already optimized individuals are not enough to occupy the whole population and newborns may start exploring new regions
- Caveat
  - Fitness variability used as phenotype variability

# Two-level diversity selection

- Recipe [GEN ~~etc~~]
  - Select three individuals using fitness, then pick the two with maximum distance for reproduction
- Rationale
  - Exploit a reliable distance metric to increase the efficacy of crossover
  - Not so far from reality (?)



# GDEM – Genetic Diversity Evaluation Method

- Recipe [GEN  $\alpha\omega$ ]
  - Add diversity as an explicit goal and go MO
- Rationale
  - Modify the domination criteria
  - Need a reliable diversity metric
- Historical note
  - See: *Find Only and Complete Undominated Sets* (FOCUS)

# Delta entropy and pseudo entropy

- Recipe [GEN ~~etc~~]
  - With a certain probability select individuals on their ability to increase the global entropy of the population instead of fitness
- Rationale
  - Not-so-fit individual with peculiar traits should be preserved
  - Measuring the entropy of the population is easier than defining a distance function

# Outline

- Generic EA
- Divergence of character in natural and artificial evolution
- Background (diversity and similarity, ...)
- Mechanisms for promoting diversity
- Hints and tips
- Conclusion

# Hints and Tips

- Do you really need to promote diversity?
  - Several problems in EA are caused to ill-designed fitness functions
  - Check whether the locality principle holds true
  - Check what happen with multistart



# Hints and Tips

- Do you really need to promote diversity?
- Use extinction (20m)
  - Simple n' easy



# Hints and Tips

- Do you really need to promote diversity?
- Use extinction (20m)
- Use lexicase selection (20m)
  - Simple n' easy
  - Only useful for aggregate fitness (combination of several components)




# Hints and Tips

- Do you really need to promote diversity?
- Use extinction (20m)
- Use lexicase selection (20m)
- Use an island model (2h)
  - Far better than multistart (if migrations are properly handled)
  - Only useful if different experiments yield different results




# Hints and Tips

- Do you really need to promote diversity?
- Use extinction (20m)
- Use lexicase selection (20m)
- Use an island model (2h)
- Use fitness holes (20h)
  - Tweak selection operator(s)
  - Only useful if a global (and efficient) diversity measure is available



# Hints and Tips

- Do you really need to promote diversity?
- Use extinction (20m)
- Use lexicase selection (20m)
- Use an island model (2h)
- Use fitness holes (20h)
- Use real niching (2-20d)
  - Only useful if the distance between genotypes is meaningful



# Outline

- Generic EA
- Divergence of character in natural and artificial evolution
- Background (diversity and similarity, ...)
- Mechanisms for promoting diversity
- Hints and tips
- Conclusion

# Conclusion



# More Materials & Bibliography

- **MPDEA**: GECCO Workshop on Measuring and Promoting Diversity in Evolutionary Algorithms
- [mpdea@polito.it](mailto:mpdea@polito.it)
- <https://github.com/squillero/mpdea>

