

Database Management Systems

(COP 5725)

Fall 2021

Instructor: Dr. Markus Schneider

TA: Kyuseo Park

Homework 4

Name:	Qinxuan Shi
UFID:	8351-8162
Email Address:	qinxuan.shi@ufl.edu.

Pledge (Must be signed according to UF Honor Code)

On my honor, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid in doing this assignment.

Qinxuan Shi
Signature

For scoring use only:

	Maximum	Received
Exercise 1	30	
Exercise 2	25	
Exercise 3	35	
Exercise 4	10	
Total	100	

1 Exercise 1

1. [5 points] Use the Armstrong axioms to prove the soundness of the Union rule. If $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$ holds, then $A \rightarrow BC$ holds.

Now we have already know that $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$. Firstly, using Augmentation rule to first FD, we can get $AA \rightarrow AB$, which is $A \rightarrow AB$ since $AA = A$. Secondly, also use Augmentation rule to second FD, we can get $AB \rightarrow BC$. Finally, we use Transitivity rule, and we can know that $A \rightarrow BC$. Because the Augmentation rule and Transitivity rule have been shown that they are sound and complete, so the Union rule can also fulfill the Soundness.

2. [4 points, 2 points each] Given the set $F = A \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow BD$ of functional dependencies, prove the following dependencies by using the Armstrong axioms.

(1) $A \rightarrow ABC$

Augmentation rule: $A \rightarrow B \implies A \rightarrow AB$ and $AB \rightarrow C \implies AB \rightarrow BC$

Transitivity rule: $AB \rightarrow BC, A \rightarrow AB \implies A \rightarrow BC$

Then, we use Augmentation rule for $A \rightarrow BC$, we finally get $AA \rightarrow ABC$, which is actually $A \rightarrow ABC$

(2) $AD \rightarrow BCD$

Augmentation rule: $A \rightarrow B \implies AD \rightarrow BD$ and $A \rightarrow B \implies A \rightarrow AB$

Transitivity rule: $AB \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow AB \implies A \rightarrow C$

Augmentation rule: $A \rightarrow C \implies AD \rightarrow CD$

Augmentation rule: $AD \rightarrow BD \implies AD \rightarrow ABD$ and $AD \rightarrow CD \implies ABD \rightarrow BCD$

Transitivity rule: $AD \rightarrow ABD, ABD \rightarrow BCD \implies AD \rightarrow BCD$

3. [6 points] Consider a relation schema $R(X, Y, Z)$ with the functional dependencies $XY \rightarrow Z$ and $Z \rightarrow X$. Can we conclude that $Y \rightarrow XZ$ holds? If yes, please argue why. If no, please argue why not by giving a counterexample.

We cannot conclude that $Y \rightarrow XZ$ holds. It's easy to know that $Y^+ = Y$, and $XZ \not\subseteq Y$, so we cannot get the statement.

For example, suppose that X represents students name and all of these names are distinct, Y represents gender, Z represents student ID as UFID. Since names of students are all different, it is easy to know that $X \rightarrow Z$ holds and $XY \rightarrow Z$ holds too. we can also know that $Z \rightarrow X$ holds. However, to the statement $Y \rightarrow XZ$, it is impossible for the single gender attribute to determine name and ID functionally. As a result, we cannot conclude $Y \rightarrow XZ$ holds with the functional dependencies that given by the problem.

4. [5 points] Consider the relation schema $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$ and the set of functional dependencies $F = A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow E, CD \rightarrow F, B \rightarrow E$. Infer at least five new FDs by using five different Armstrong's axioms and derived inference rules. (Please do not include the trivial ones such as $A \rightarrow A$ in your answer.) Show each step.

(1) Transitivity rule: $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow E \implies A \rightarrow E$

(2) Augmentation rule: $A \rightarrow C \implies AB \rightarrow BC$

(3) Union rule: $A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C \implies A \rightarrow BC$

(4) Decomposition rule: we can know that $AB \rightarrow BC$ from (2), then we can get $AB \rightarrow B$ and $AB \rightarrow C$

(5) Pseudotransitivity rule: $A \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow E \implies AD \rightarrow E$

(6) Pseudotransitivity rule: $A \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow F \implies AD \rightarrow F$

(7) Reflexivity rule: since $D \subseteq CD$, we can get $CD \rightarrow D$

5. [10 points] Assume we have a set $F = A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D$ of functional dependencies for a relation schema $R(A, B, C, D)$. Write down all the functional dependencies of the closure F^+ of F and count them.

Firstly, we can get the set of all sets that are subsets of $R(A, B, C, D)$:

$\{\emptyset, A, B, C, D, AB, AC, AD, BC, BD, CD, ABC, ABD, ACD, BCD, ABCD\}$

Then, we compute the attribute closures of all subsets except \emptyset .

A^+ : AB

$\implies A \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow AB$ (3FDs)

B^+ : B

$\implies B \rightarrow B$ (1FDs)

C^+ : CD

$\implies C \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow CD$ (3FDs)

D^+ : D

$\implies D \rightarrow D$ (1FDs)

AB^+ : AB

$\implies AB \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow AB$ (3FDs)

AC^+ : ABCD

$\implies AC \rightarrow A, AC \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D, AC \rightarrow AB, AC \rightarrow AC, AC \rightarrow AD, AC \rightarrow BC, AC \rightarrow BD, AC \rightarrow CD, AC \rightarrow ABC, AC \rightarrow ABD, AC \rightarrow ACD, AC \rightarrow BCD, AC \rightarrow ABCD$ (15FDs)

AD^+ : ABD

$\implies AD \rightarrow A, AD \rightarrow B, AD \rightarrow D, AD \rightarrow AB, AD \rightarrow AD, AD \rightarrow BD, AD \rightarrow ABD$ (7FDs)

BC^+ : BCD

$\implies BC \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow C, BC \rightarrow D, BC \rightarrow BC, BC \rightarrow BD, BC \rightarrow CD, BC \rightarrow BCD$ (7FDs)

BD^+ : BD

$\implies BD \rightarrow B, BD \rightarrow D, BD \rightarrow BD$ (3FDs)

CD^+ : CD

$\implies CD \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow D, CD \rightarrow CD$ (3FDs)

ABC^+ : ABCD

$\implies ABC \rightarrow A, ABC \rightarrow B, ABC \rightarrow C, ABC \rightarrow D, ABC \rightarrow AB, ABC \rightarrow AC, ABC \rightarrow AD, ABC \rightarrow BC, ABC \rightarrow BD, ABC \rightarrow CD, ABC \rightarrow ABC, ABC \rightarrow ABD, ABC \rightarrow ACD, ABC \rightarrow BCD, ABC \rightarrow ABCD$ (15FDs)

ABD^+ : ABD

$\Rightarrow ABD \rightarrow A, ABD \rightarrow B, ABD \rightarrow D, ABD \rightarrow AB, ABD \rightarrow AD, ABD \rightarrow BD, ABD \rightarrow ABD$ (7FDs)

ACD^+ : ABCD

$\Rightarrow ACD \rightarrow A, ACD \rightarrow B, ACD \rightarrow C, ACD \rightarrow D, ACD \rightarrow AB, ACD \rightarrow AC, ACD \rightarrow AD, ACD \rightarrow BC, ACD \rightarrow BD, ACD \rightarrow CD, ACD \rightarrow ABC, ACD \rightarrow ABD, ACD \rightarrow ACD, ACD \rightarrow BCD, ACD \rightarrow ABCD$ (15FDs)

BCD^+ : BCD

$\Rightarrow BCD \rightarrow B, BCD \rightarrow C, BCD \rightarrow D, BCD \rightarrow BC, BCD \rightarrow BD, BCD \rightarrow CD, BCD \rightarrow BCD$ (7FDs)

$ABCD^+$: ABCD

$\Rightarrow ABCD \rightarrow A, ABCD \rightarrow B, ABCD \rightarrow C, ABCD \rightarrow D, ABCD \rightarrow AB, ABCD \rightarrow AC, ABCD \rightarrow AD, ABCD \rightarrow BC, ABCD \rightarrow BD, ABCD \rightarrow CD, ABCD \rightarrow ABC, ABCD \rightarrow ABD, ABCD \rightarrow ACD, ABCD \rightarrow BCD, ABCD \rightarrow ABCD$ (15FDs)

To conclude, the closure F^+ of F has 105 elements.

2 Exercise 2

1. [5 points] Consider the relation schema $R = (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H)$ with the set of functional dependencies $K = A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow G, AC \rightarrow D, DF \rightarrow E, FG \rightarrow BH$. Show for each of the following FDs whether they can be inferred from K.

(1) $ABD \rightarrow ACE$

Compute ABD^+ , and we can get $ABD^+ : ABDG$. $ACE \not\subseteq ABDG$, so $ABD \rightarrow ACE$ cannot be inferred from K.

(2) $BFG \rightarrow BEFG$

Compute BFG^+ , and we can get $BFG^+ : BFGH$. $BEFG \not\subseteq BFGH$, so $BFG \rightarrow BEFG$ cannot be inferred from K.

(3) $ABF \rightarrow ABDG$

Compute ABF^+ , and we can get $ABF^+ : ABFGH$. $ABDG \not\subseteq ABFGH$, so $ABF \rightarrow ABDG$ cannot be inferred from K.

(4) $CEG \rightarrow BCEF$

Compute CEG^+ , and we can get $CEG^+ : CEG$. $BCEF \not\subseteq CEG$, so $CEG \rightarrow BCEF$ cannot be inferred from K.

2. [5 points] Consider the relation schema $R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H)$ with functional dependencies $F = A \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow E, D \rightarrow EH, F \rightarrow G$ and $G = A \rightarrow BCE, AD \rightarrow CFG, D \rightarrow A, DE \rightarrow GH, F \rightarrow D$. Are the two sets F and G equivalent? Show each step.

Firstly, we show every FD in F can be inferred from G.

F has the left-hand sides A, AC, D and F.

With respect to G we calculate A^+, AC^+, D^+ and F^+ , and we get $A^+ = ABCE, AC^+ = ABCE, D^+ = ABCDEFGH, F^+ = ABCDEFGH$.

We check whether the right-hand sides of the FDs in F are in the respective attribute closures just computed for their left-hand sides:

$A \rightarrow C : C \subseteq A^+$ holds, $AC \rightarrow E : E \subseteq AC^+$ holds, $D \rightarrow EH : EH \subseteq D^+$ holds, $F \rightarrow G : G \subseteq F^+$ holds.

Secondly, we show every FD in G can be inferred from F.

G has the left-hand sides A, AD, D, DE and F.

With respect to F we calculate A^+, AD^+, D^+, DE^+ and F^+ , and we get $A^+ = ACE$, $AD^+ = ACDEH$, $D^+ = DEH$, $DE^+ = DEH$, $F^+ = FG$.

We check whether the right-hand sides of the FDs in G are in the respective attribute closures just computed for their left-hand sides:

$A \rightarrow BCE : BCE \not\subseteq A^+$, $AD \rightarrow CFG : CFG \not\subseteq AD^+$, $D \rightarrow A : A \not\subseteq D^+$, $DE \rightarrow GH : GH \not\subseteq DE^+$, $F \rightarrow D : D \not\subseteq F^+$.

We can know that G covers F while F cannot cover G, as a result, two sets F and G are not equivalent.

3. [5 points] Consider the relation schema R(A, B, C, D, E, F) with the functional dependencies $K = A \rightarrow B, BD \rightarrow E, AC \rightarrow F, DE \rightarrow C$. Which of the following attribute sets is a key? Show each step.

(1) ABCE

$ABCE^+ := ABCE$,

$A \rightarrow B, A \subseteq ABCE^+ \implies ABCE^+ := ABCE$

$BD \rightarrow E, BD \not\subseteq ABCE^+ \implies ABCE^+ := ABCE$

$AC \rightarrow F, AC \subseteq ABCE^+ \implies ABCE^+ := ABCEF$

$DE \rightarrow C, DE \not\subseteq ABCE^+ \implies ABCE^+ := ABCEF$

Because $ABCE^+ \neq R$, ABCE is not a key.

(2) ABDF

$ABDF^+ := ABDF$,

$A \rightarrow B, A \subseteq ABDF^+ \implies ABDF^+ := ABDF$

$BD \rightarrow E, BD \subseteq ABDF^+ \implies ABDF^+ := ABDEF$

$AC \rightarrow F, AC \not\subseteq ABDF^+ \implies ABDF^+ := ABDEF$

$DE \rightarrow C, DE \subseteq ABDF^+ \implies ABDF^+ := ABCDEF$

$AC \rightarrow F, AC \subseteq ABDF^+ \implies ABDF^+ := ABCDEF$

Because $ABDF^+ = R$, ABDF is a super key.

Then we will figure out if there exist any $L \subset ABDF \rightarrow R$

We find that $AD^+ := ABCDEF$, so ABDF is just a super key, not a key.

(3) BEF

$BEF^+ := BEF$,

$$A \rightarrow B, A \not\subseteq BEF^+ \implies BEF^+ := BEF$$

$$BD \rightarrow E, BD \not\subseteq BEF^+ \implies BEF^+ := BEF$$

$$AC \rightarrow F, AC \not\subseteq BEF^+ \implies BEF^+ := BEF$$

$$DE \rightarrow C, DE \not\subseteq BEF^+ \implies BEF^+ := BEF$$

Because $BEF^+ \neq R$, ABCE is not a key.

(4) ACDE

$$ACDE^+ := ACDE,$$

$$A \rightarrow B, A \subseteq ACDE^+ \implies ACDE^+ := ABCDE$$

$$BD \rightarrow E, BD \subseteq ACDE^+ \implies ACDE^+ := ABCDE$$

$$AC \rightarrow F, AC \subseteq ACDE^+ \implies ACDE^+ := ABCDEF$$

$$DE \rightarrow C, DE \subseteq ACDE^+ \implies ACDE^+ := ABCDEF$$

Because $ACDE^+ = R$, ACDE is a super key.

Then we will figure out if there exist any $L \subset ACDE \rightarrow R$

$A^+ := AB, C^+ := C, D^+ := B, E^+ := E, AC^+ := ABCF, AD^+ := ABCDEF, AE^+ := ABE, CD^+ := CD, DE^+ := CDE, CE^+ := CE, ACD^+ := ABCDEF, ADE^+ := ABCDEF, ACE^+ := ABCEF, CDE^+ := CDE$

We find that $AD^+ := ABCDEF$, ACD, ADE can also dependent R functionally, so ACDE is just a super key, not a key.

4. [10 points] Consider the relation schema $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$ with the set of functional dependencies $F = A \rightarrow BC, CD \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow A$. By using the algorithm for calculating the attribute closure provided in the lecture slides, calculate the closure of the following attributes.

(1) AD

$$AD^+ := AD,$$

$$A \rightarrow BC, A \subseteq AD^+ \implies AD^+ := ABCD$$

$$CD \rightarrow E, CD \subseteq AD^+ \implies AD^+ := ABCDE$$

$$B \rightarrow D, B \subseteq AD^+ \implies AD^+ := ABCDE$$

$$E \rightarrow A, E \subseteq AD^+ \implies AD^+ := ABCDE$$

After the second loop, we see soon that no FD from F can increase AD^+ , we get $AD^+ := ABCDE$

(2) ACE

$$ACE^+ := ACE,$$

$$A \rightarrow BC, A \subseteq ACE^+ \implies ACE^+ := ABCE$$

$$CD \rightarrow E, CD \not\subseteq ACE^+ \implies ACE^+ := ABCE$$

$B \rightarrow D, B \subseteq ACE^+ \implies ACE^+ := ABCDE$

$E \rightarrow A, E \subseteq ACE^+ \implies ACE^+ := ABCDE$

Second loop:

$CD \rightarrow E, CD \subseteq ACE^+ \implies ACE^+ := ABCDE$

After the third loop, we see soon that no FD from F can increase ACE^+ , we get $ACE^+ := ABCDE$

3 Exercise 3

1. [15 points] Find a minimal cover for the relation R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G) with the set K = A→B, C→A, BD→E, ADE→B, E→F of functional dependencies. Show each step.

Step 1: $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, ADE \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow F\}$

Step 2:

$BD \rightarrow E$ has more than one attribute on left-hand side

To check weather D can be removed, we compute whether $E \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(F_c, B)$ holds

This is not the case since $B^+ = B$ and $E \not\subseteq B$ holds

To check weather B can be removed, we compute whether $E \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(F_c, D)$ holds

This is not the case since $D^+ = D$ and $E \not\subseteq D$ holds

Hence, B and D can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, ADE \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow F\}$

$ADE \rightarrow B$ also has more than one attribute on left-hand side

To check weather D can be removed, we compute whether $B \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(F_c, AE)$ holds

This is the case since $AE^+ = ABEF$ and $B \subseteq ABEF$ holds

Hence, D can be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, AE \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow F\}$

To check weather E can be removed, we compute whether $B \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(F_c, A)$ holds

This is the case since $A^+ = AB$ and $B \subseteq AB$ holds

Hence, E can be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}$

Step 3:

To check weather B can be removed from $A \rightarrow B$, we compute whether $B \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow \emptyset, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}, A)$ holds

This is not the case since $A^+ = A$ and $B \not\subseteq A$ holds

Hence, B can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}$

To check weather A can be removed from $C \rightarrow A$, we compute whether $A \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow \emptyset, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}, C)$ holds

This is not the case since $C^+ = C$ and $A \not\subseteq C$ holds

Hence, B can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}$

To check whether E can be removed from $BD \rightarrow E$, we compute whether $E \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow \emptyset, E \rightarrow F\}, BD)$ holds

This is not the case since $BD^+ = BD$ and $E \not\subseteq BD$ holds

Hence, E can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}$

To check whether F can be removed from $E \rightarrow F$, we compute whether $F \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow \emptyset\}, E)$ holds

This is not the case since $E^+ = E$ and $F \not\subseteq E$ holds

Hence, F can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}$

Step 4: We obtain $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}$

Step 5:

a: standard form, F_c is in this form

b: nonstandard form, F_c is in this form

As a result, $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F\}$

2. [10 points] Find a standard form of minimal cover for the relation $R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H)$ with the set $K = A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, AC \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B$ of functional dependencies. Show each step.

Step 1: $F_c := \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, AC \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

Step 2:

$AC \rightarrow H$ has more than one attribute on left-hand side

To check whether A can be removed, we compute whether $H \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(F_c, C)$ holds

This is not the case since $C^+ = C$ and $H \not\subseteq C$ holds

Hence, A can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, AC \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

To check whether C can be removed, we compute whether $H \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(F_c, A)$ holds

This is the case since $A^+ = ABCEH$ and $H \subseteq ABCEH$ holds

Hence, C can be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

Step 3:

To check whether B can be removed from $A \rightarrow BC$, we compute whether $B \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}, A)$ holds

This is not the case since $A^+ = ACEH$ and $B \not\subseteq ACEH$ holds

Hence, B can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

To check whether C can be removed from $A \rightarrow BC$, we compute whether $C \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}, A)$ holds

This is the case since $A^+ = ABCEH$ and $C \subseteq ABCEH$ holds

Hence, C can be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

To check whether B can be removed from $A \rightarrow B$, we compute whether $B \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow \emptyset, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}, A)$ holds

This is not the case since $A^+ = AEH$ and $B \not\subseteq AEH$ holds

Hence, B can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

To check whether C can be removed from $B \rightarrow CE$, we compute whether $C \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}, B)$ holds

This is not the case since $B^+ = BE$ and $C \not\subseteq BE$ holds

Hence, C can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

To check whether E can be removed from $B \rightarrow CE$, we compute whether $E \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}, B)$ holds

This is not the case since $B^+ = BC$ and $E \not\subseteq BC$ holds

Hence, E can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

To check whether E can be removed from $A \rightarrow E$, we compute whether $E \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}, A)$ holds

This is the case since $A^+ = ABCEH$ and $E \subseteq ABCEH$ holds

Hence, E can be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

To check whether H can be removed from $A \rightarrow H$, we compute whether $H \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow \emptyset, D \rightarrow B\}, A)$ holds

This is not the case since $A^+ = ABCE$ and $H \not\subseteq ABCE$ holds

Hence, H can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

To check whether B can be removed from $D \rightarrow B$, we compute whether $B \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow \emptyset\}, D)$ holds

This is not the case since $D^+ = D$ and $B \not\subseteq D$ holds

Hence, B can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

Step 4: We obtain $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

Step 5:

a: standard form, $F_c := \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow E, A \rightarrow H, D \rightarrow B\}$

3. [10 points] Find a minimal cover for the relation $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$ with the set $K = A \rightarrow D, AC \rightarrow DE, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE$ of functional dependencies. Show each step.

Step 1: $F_c := \{A \rightarrow D, AC \rightarrow DE, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

Step 2:

$AC \rightarrow DE$ has more than one attribute on left-hand side

To check whether A can be removed, we compute whether $DE \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(F_c, C)$ holds

This is not the case since $C^+ = C$ and $DE \not\subseteq C$ holds

Hence, A can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow D, AC \rightarrow DE, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

To check whether C can be removed, we compute whether $DE \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(F_c, A)$ holds

This is the case since $A^+ = ACDE$ and $DE \subseteq ACDE$ holds

Hence, C can be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow DE, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

Step 3:

To check whether D can be removed from $A \rightarrow D$, we compute whether $D \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow DE, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}, A)$ holds

This is the case since $A^+ = ACDE$ and $D \subseteq ACDE$ holds

Hence, D can be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow DE, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

To check whether D can be removed from $A \rightarrow DE$, we compute whether $D \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}, A)$ holds

This is not the case since $A^+ = AE$ and $D \not\subseteq AE$ holds

Hence, D can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow DE, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

To check whether E can be removed from $A \rightarrow DE$, we compute whether $E \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}, A)$ holds

This is the case since $A^+ = ADCE$ and $E \subseteq ADCE$ holds

Hence, E can be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

To check whether D can be removed from $A \rightarrow D$, we compute whether $D \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow \emptyset, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}, A)$ holds

This is not the case since $A^+ = A$ and $D \not\subseteq A$ holds

Hence, D can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

To check whether F can be removed from $B \rightarrow F$, we compute whether $F \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow \emptyset, D \rightarrow CE\}, B)$ holds

This is not the case since $B^+ = B$ and $F \not\subseteq B$ holds

Hence, F can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

To check whether C can be removed from $D \rightarrow CE$, we compute whether $C \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow E\}, D)$ holds

This is not the case since $D^+ = DE$ and $C \not\subseteq DE$ holds

Hence, C can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

To check whether E can be removed from $D \rightarrow CE$, we compute whether $E \subseteq \text{CalculateAttributeClosure}(\{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow C\}, D)$ holds

This is not the case since $D^+ = CD$ and $E \not\subseteq CD$ holds

Hence, E can not be removed, so $F_c := \{A \rightarrow \emptyset, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

Step 4: We obtain $F_c := \{A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

Step 5:

a: standard form, $F_c := \{A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow E\}$

b: nonstandard form, $F_c := \{A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow F, D \rightarrow CE\}$

4 Exercise 4

1. [5 points] Consider the relation schema $R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I)$ with the set of functional dependencies $K = \{B \rightarrow G, A \rightarrow D, DE \rightarrow F, G \rightarrow BD\}$. List all candidate keys of R in a systematic manner (do not use Armstrong's Axioms) and explain how you determine them. Show each step.

Step 1 - Isolated attributes: CHI

Step 2 - Attributes only on the left side of any FD: AE

Step 3 - Attributes only on the right side of any FD: F

Step 4 - Union of the attributes from step 1 and step 2: ACEHI

Step 5 - Computation of the closure of the attributes from step 4: $ACEHI^+ = ACDEFHI$. This does not include all attributes from R

Step 6 - Attributes that can be found on both sides of the FDs: BDG

Step 7 - Computation of the closure of the attributes from step 4 and every combination of attributes from step 6

We construct the power set of the set BDG: $\{B, D, G, BD, BG, DG, BDG\}$

We consider the sets with six attributes by adding the sets with one element to ACEHI:

$$AECHIB^+ = ABCEHI^+ = ABCDEFGHI = R$$

$$AECHID^+ = ACDEHI^+ = ACDEFHI \subset R$$

$$AECHIG^+ = ACEGHI^+ = ABCDEFGHI = R$$

We have found the candidate keys ABCEHI, ACEGHI by adding one-element sets to ACEHI.

We consider the sets with seven attributes by adding the sets with two elements to ACEHI:

$$AECHIBD^+ = ABCEHID^+ = ABCEHI^+ = R$$

$$AECHIBG^+ = ABCEHIG^+ = ABCEHI^+ = R$$

$$AECHIDG^+ = ACEGHID^+ = ACEGHI^+ = R$$

We see that we only get superkeys that properly contain candidate keys when we add one-element sets to ACEHI.

When adding BDG to ACEHI, we obtain ACEHIBDG⁺ = ABCDEGHI⁺ = R, which is the default superkey; it contains any candidate key found.

In summary, the candidate keys are: ABCEHI, ACEGHI.

2. [5 points] Consider the relation schema R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H) with the set of functional dependencies K = {A→B, B→DE, F→H, G→CE}. Determine all candidate keys of R in a systematic manner (do not use the Armstrong's Axioms) and explain how you determine them. Show each step.

Step 1 - Isolated attributes: ∅

Step 2 - Attributes only on the left side of any FD: AFG

Step 3 - Attributes only on the right side of any FD: CDEH

Step 4 - Union of the attributes from step 1 and step 2: AFG

Step 5 - Computation of the closure of the attributes from step 4: $AFG^+ = ABCDEFGH$. This includes all attributes from R

As a result, the attributes from step 4 form the only candidate key, so the candidate key is AFG.