Peer-graded Assignment: Module 11 Assignment

You passed!
Congratulations. You earned 75.75 / 80 points. Review the feedback below and continue the course when you are ready. You can also help more classmates by reviewing their submissions.

Review Classmates' Work

Instructions   **My submission**   Discussions

module 11

Submitted on May 19, 2019   Shareable Link

PROMPT

Submit a document containing the solution for problem 1

🔗 m.11.problem.1.pdf

RUBRIC

The student uploaded a file containing the required solution

- ⦿ **10 points**
  The student uploaded a file containing all the required solutions. (10 points)

- ○ 5 points
  The student uploaded a file containing part of the required solution. (5 points)

- ○ 0 points
  The student did not upload a file containing the required solution (0 point)

See the suggested solution for problem 1 in the module 11 assignment solution (http://bit.ly/1J5lcax).

You should use the suggested solution and your best judgment to evaluate the solution.

- The student identified an example of an insertion anomaly.

- The student identified an example of an update anomaly.

- The student identified an example of a deletion anomaly.

- ○ 10 points
  Excellent: All the requirements are met. (10 points)

- ⦿ ~~7.5 points~~ **+8.75 pts because of a tie**
  Average: Only 1 missing or incorrect requirements. (7.5 points)

- ○ 0 points
  Poor: None of the requirements were met. (0 point)

Please provide your feedback to the student based on his/her performance in problem 1

👤 **Andrey Sivyakov**
good work

Joao Pereira Luamba

Nice Work!

PROMPT

Submit a document containing the solution for problem 2

⎘ m.11.problem.2.pdf

RUBRIC

The student uploaded a file containing the required solution

- ⊙ **10 points**
  The student uploaded a file containing all the required solutions. (10 points)

- ○ 5 points
  The student uploaded a file containing part of the required solution. (5 points)

- ○ 0 points
  The student did not upload a file containing the required solution (0 point)

See the suggested solution for problem 2 in the module 11 assignment solution (http://bit.ly/1J5lcax).

You should use the suggested solution and your best judgment to evaluate the solution

- The first step contains a list of FDs with 6 FD groups

- For step 2, the solution includes 6 tables, one for each FD group:

- For step 3, the Provider and ProviderEmail tables are merged and a unique constraint is added for ProvEmail. The PK of the merged table is ProvNo.

- ○ 10 points
  Excellent: All the requirements are met. (10 points)

- ○ 9 points
  Good: Only 1 or 2 missed or incorrect requirements (9 points)

- ⊙ **7.5 points**
  Average: About 3 to 5 missing or incorrect requirements. (7.5 points)

- ○ 5 points
  Below average: More than 5 requirements were missed or incorrect. (5 points)

- ○ 0 points
  Poor: None of the requirements were met. (0 point)

Please provide your feedback to the student based on his/her performance in problem 2

Andrey Sivyakov

good work

Joao Pereira Luamba

Please list the steps !

PROMPT

Submit a document containing the solution for problem 3

🗗 m.11.problem.3.pdf

RUBRIC

The student uploaded a file containing the required solution

- ⊙ **10 points**
  The student uploaded a file containing all the required solutions. (10 points)

- ○ 5 points
  The student uploaded a file containing part of the required solution. (5 points)

- ○ 0 points
  The student did not upload a file containing the required solution (0 point)

See the suggested solution for problem 3 in the module 11 assignment solution (http://bit.ly/1J5lcax).

You should use the suggested solution and your best judgment to evaluate the solution.

- The student indicated that all tables are in BCNF. The student provided a sufficient explanation.

- The student extended the tables to include UNIQUE constraints for StdEmail, LenderName and InstName attributes.

- ⊙ **10 points**
  Excellent: All the requirements are met. (10 points)

- ○ 9 points
  Good: Only 1 missed or incorrect requirement (9 points)

- ○ 7.5 points
  Average: Only 2 missing or incorrect requirements. (7.5 points)

- ○ 5 points
  Below average: More than 2 requirements were missed or incorrect. (5 points)

- ○ 0 points
  Poor: None of the requirements were met. (0 point)

Please provide your feedback to the student based on his/her performance in problem 3

> **Andrey Sivyakov**
> good work

> **Joao Pereira Luamba**
> Nice work!

PROMPT

Submit a document containing the solution for problem 4

🗗 m.11.problem.4.pdf

RUBRIC

The student uploaded a file containing the required solution

- ⊙ **10 points**
  The student uploaded a file containing all the required solutions. (10 points)

- ○ 5 points
  The student uploaded a file

containing part of the required solution. (5 points)

○ 0 points
The student did not upload a file containing the required solution (0 point)

See the suggested solution for problem 4 in the module 11 assignment solution (http://bit.ly/1J5lcax).

You should use the suggested solution and your best judgment to evaluate the solution.

- The student provided 7 FDs with the column OrdNo as the determinant.

- The student provided falsification examples for eligible FDs.

- The student indicated that no falsification examples is available for eligible FDs.

○ 10 points
Excellent: All the requirements are met. (10 points)

◉ ~~9 points~~ +9.5 pts because of a tie
Good: About 1 to 3 missed or incorrect requirements (9 points)

○ 7.5 points
Average: About 4 to 6 missing or incorrect requirements. (7.5 points)

○ 5 points
Below average: More than 6 requirements were missed or incorrect. (5 points)

○ 0 points
Poor: None of the requirements were met. (0 point)

Please provide your feedback to the student based on his/her performance in problem 4

Andrey Sivyakov
good work

Joao Pereira Luamba
Nice Job

OVERALL ASSIGNMENT RUBRIC

Overall, how the student performed in this assignment?

◉ **The student has made excellent work**

○ The student did great, However, there are areas for improvement

○ The student performed poorly in this assignment

Please provide your feedback to the student based on his/her performance in this assignment

Andrey Sivyakov
good work

Joao Pereira Luamba
Overall Nice work!

Edit submission

Comments

Comments left for the learner are visible only to that learner and the person who left the comment.

share your thoughts...