

Object Oriented Programming with Java

Generics

Generics

- A facility of generic programming. Added in 2004 (version 5)
- Generics is trying to solve two different problems...

Problem 1: inefficient overloading

We need a function that can calculate the average of a vector of any type

```
public double average(Integer[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Integer v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

```
public double average(Float[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Float v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

```
public double average(Double[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Double v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

```
public double average(Byte[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Byte v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

Problem 1: inefficient overloading

The only variation among these overloaded methods is the **type** of the vector

```
public double average(Integer[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Integer v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

```
public double average(Float[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Float v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

```
public double average(Double[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Double v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

```
public double average(Byte[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Byte v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

Problem 1: inefficient overloading

Ideally, we would like to create just **one** method that can dynamically accept any type **T**

```
public double average(T[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (T v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

don't try this code, it won't compile as is

```
public double average(Float[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Float v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

```
public double average(Double[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Double v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

```
public double average(Byte[] vector) {  
    double avg=0.0;  
    for (Byte v : vector)  
        avg += v  
    return avg/vector.length;  
}
```

Problem 2: "lost" types

Consider the following code:

```
ArrayList alist = new ArrayList();  
Person gmu = new Person("Mason", 89);  
alist.add(gmu);
```

And then we can't directly get a Person back out like this:
→ compile-time error: found Object, needed Person

```
Person p = alist.get(0);
```

Instead, we must **downcast** everything that comes out of the ArrayList:

```
Person p = (Person) alist.get(0);
```

Problem 2: "lost" types

This issue would always arise when we retrieve things from the ArrayList

It's even more annoying with the for-each loop:

```
ArrayList personList = new ArrayList();  
// add many Person objects  
  
//NOT ALLOWED:  
for (Person p : personList)  
    p.whatever();
```

Instead, we must use **downcasting** after we retrieve with **Object type**, like this:

```
// allowed, but annoying, harder to read, and error-prone  
for (Object p : personList)  
{  
    ((Person) p).whatever();  
}
```

Generics: Establish & Remember Types

- Generics allow us to define **type parameters** – we can parameterize blocks of code with types!
- Where can we add type parameters?
 - **at class declarations** → available for entire class definition
 - **at method signatures** → available throughout just this method
- instead of **just** having the regular parameter list where we supply values, we can **also** give a listing of type parameters, which can then show up as the types of our formal parameters
- Think of it as an extra level of overloading but more powerful and dynamic

Declaring Generic Classes

We can add a generic type to a class definition:

```
public class Foo <T>
{
    // T can be anywhere: like field types.
    public T someField;

    public Foo(T t) // T used as parameter type
    {
        this.someField = t;
    }

    // T used as return type and param type
    public T doStuff(T t, int x) { ... }
}
```

Simply add the **<T>** or **<E>** or whatever name you like right after the class name, and then use **T** instead of a specific type in your code

Declaring Generic Classes with more types

```
public class Pair <R,S>
{
    public R v1;
    public S v2;

    public Pair(R r, S s)
    {
        v1 = r;
        v2 = s;
    }

    public String toString()
    {
        return "("+v1+","+v2+")";
    }
}
```

Declaring Generic Methods

- In a generic method the **<T>** notation must go **before the return type**
- It may then be used as a type anywhere in the method: parameter types, local definitions' types... even the return type!
- All we know about `u1` or `u2` is that it is a value of the **U** type. That's not much info! But we can still write useful, highly re-usable code this way

```
public <T> void echo (T t1, T t2)
{
    System.out.print(t1 + t2);
}

public <U> U choose (U u1, U u2, boolean b)
{
    return (b ? u1 : u2);
}
```

Declaring both Generic Class and Generic Method

We can declare new generic types that are only visible with one method, like `<U>`, and have a different generic type for the class, like `<T>`

```
public class Foo <T>
{
    ...
    public <U> void choose (U u1, U u2, boolean b, T var)
    {
        return (b ? u1 : u2);
    }
}
```

Creating Generic Objects

```
public class Pair <R,S> {  
    public R v1;  
    public S v2;  
  
    public Pair(R r, S s) {  
        v1 = r;  
        v2 = s;  
    }  
  
    public String toString() {  
        return "("+v1+","+v2+")";  
    }  
}  
  
public class RunMe {  
    public static void main (String[] args) {  
        Pair<Integer, Double> a = new Pair<Integer, Double>(1, 2.0);  
        Pair<Integer, Double> b = new Pair<>(3, 4.0);    // since Java 7  
    }  
}
```

Calling Generic Methods

Given a generic method (which happens to be static in this case):

```
public class Foo {  
    public static <U> U choose (U u1, U u2, boolean b) {  
        return (b ? u1 : u2);  
    }  
}
```

We instantiate the parameters and can call it like this:

```
String s = Foo.<String>choose("yes", "no", true);  
String t = Foo.choose("yes", "no", true);
```

If it were non-static, we'd need an object to call it:

```
Foo f = new Foo();  
String s = f.<String>choose("yes", "no", true);  
String t = f.choose("yes", "no", true);
```

Since Java 7 we only need to specify the generic type when it's not clear from the parameters

Generics with Java Collections

- You will see many collections that use Java Generics, usually to represent a grouping of elements of some contained type.
 - e.g., a list of something, a set of something, a map of something
- Instantiate the given type parameter(s) to what you want contained by that collection. Example:

```
ArrayList<Integer> xs = new ArrayList<>();
```

- Then you can use the methods of the collection, and Java will know that this `ArrayList` holds only `Integer` values, and not just any old `Object` values, **without you needing to cast everywhere.**

Example: Using ArrayList Generically

Let's look at how we actually get to use generics with ArrayList:
→ we need to **instantiate** the class's type parameter:

```
//instantiate the type parameter with <>'s:  
ArrayList<String> slist = new ArrayList<String>(); // before Java 7  
ArrayList<String> slist = new ArrayList<>();      // since Java 7  
  
//now use all methods without having to specify again  
slist.add("hello");  
slist.add("goodbye");  
String elt = slist.get(0);  
System.out.println("some element: " + elt);  
System.out.println("the list: " + slist);
```


Wildcard

When we need to declare a generic type parameter but we're not going to use it anywhere in the code (but it still needs to be present for syntactic reasons), we can use the wildcard `?` to indicate this don't-care situation. Examples:

```
public static int countItems(ArrayList<?> c)
{
    return c.size();
}
```

```
public void processElements(ArrayList<?> elements)
{
    for(Object o : elements)
        System.out.println(o);
}
```

Practice Problems



Write a non-generic class that:

1. Has a generic method that returns a subarray of the first three items of a generic array

```
public <U> U[] subArray(U[] arr)
```

2. Has a generic method that returns the max value of a generic array

```
public <T> T maxValue(T[] arr)
```

Issues with Generics

```
public class IssuesWithGenerics
{
    public <U> U[] subArray(U[] arr)
    {
        U[] subArray = new U[3]; // ERROR
        for(int i=0; i<subArray.length; i++)
            subArray[i] = arr[i];
        return subArray;
    }

    public <T> T maxValue(T[] arr)
    {
        T max = arr[0];
        for (int i = 1; i < arr.length; i++)
        {
            if(arr[i] > max) // ERROR
            {
                max = arr[i];
            }
        }
        return max;
    }
}
```

Solving the Issues with Generics – instantiation

```
public <U> U[] subArray(U[] arr)
{
    U[] subarray = new U[3]; // this instantiation is not allowed
    ...
}
```

```
@SuppressWarnings("unchecked") // to avoid compiler warnings
public <U> U[] subArray(U[] arr)
{
    U[] subarray = (U[]) new Object[3]; // downcasting
    // note that the cast type is U[] not just U
    ...
}
```

Solving the Issues with Generics – comparison

```
public <T> T maxValue(T[] arr){
    T max = arr[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < arr.length; i++){
        if(arr[i] > max){ // this comparison is not allowed
            max = arr[i];
        }
    }
    return max;
}
```

```
public <T extends Comparable<T>> T maxval(T[] arr){
    T max = arr[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < arr.length; i++){
        if(arr[i].compareTo(max)>0){
            max = arr[i];
        }
    }
    return max;
}
```

Bounded Type Parameters – Upper bound

- Sometimes you want to restrict the types that can be used as type arguments
- For example, a method that operates on numbers might only want to accept instances of `Number` or its subclasses
- To declare an **upper bound** we use the **extends** keyword: `<T extends SomeType>`
- It means that `T` is a **sub-class** of `SomeType` or **implements** the `SomeType` interface
- We can even add multiple extensions: `<T extends A & B & C>`
- The same way that classes/interfaces gave us subtypes, we're now saying "any class that's a subtype of `SomeType`". But we can make multiple claims at once this way
- In multiple extensions, if one of the bounds is a class, it must be specified first (i.e. before the interfaces)

Bounded Type Parameters – Lower bound

- We can also use generics with a lower bound, indicating that it's acceptable for a type parameter to be any type that is a supertype of something particular. Example:

```
<? super PickupTruck>
```

In this case, we can use any type that can accept PickupTruck values like PickupTruck, Truck, and Vehicle

- Look for instance at Collections.sort

```
public static <T> void sort(List<T> list, Comparator<? super T> c)
```

We could have limited ourselves to Comparator<T> that would only allow Comparator<PickupTruck> values to sort a list of PickupTruck objects. But if we also had a Comparator<Truck>, or a Comparator<Vehicle> it would make perfect sense to use them as another way to sort trucks or vehicles, which certainly includes PickupTrucks.

By using the **super** keyword we can set a **lower bound** and accept all these different comparators when sorting a list of PickupTrucks.

Upper and Lower bounds combined

- We can also mix upper and lower bounds in the same declaration. Example:

```
public static <T extends Comparable<? super T>> sort(List<T> list)
```

It means that the items in the list must be descendants of Comparable (otherwise it's impossible to compare them and then sort them), but the implementation of the Comparable interface (i.e. the implementation of the compareTo method) doesn't necessarily have to come from T directly, it can be inherited from its ancestor(s).

- How did we come up with the above declaration. Three attempts:

```
public static <T> sort(List<T> list) // error
```

```
public static <T extends Comparable<T>> sort(List<T> list) // ok but limited
```

```
public static <T extends Comparable<? super T>> sort(List<T> list) // best
```


Revisiting *is-a* relationship with Generics

```
public void someMethod(Number n) { /* ... */ }  
someMethod(new Integer(10));  
someMethod(new Double(10.1));
```

is this valid?

```
ArrayList<Number> box = new ArrayList<>();  
box.add(new Integer(10));  
box.add(new Double(10.1));
```

is this valid?

```
public void boxTest(Box<Number> n) { /* ... */ }  
boxTest(new Box<Integer>());
```

is this valid?

Box<Integer> is not a subtype of **Box<Number>**. Given two concrete types **A** and **B** (e.g, **Number** and **Integer**), **MyClass<A>** has no relationship to **MyClass**, regardless of whether or not A and B are related.

Nested Generic Types

Let's create 2D array of Integers with ArrayList (3 rows, variable-size columns)

```
public class ArrayListMatrix {  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        ArrayList<ArrayList<Integer> > matrix = new ArrayList<ArrayList<Integer> >(3);
```

?

```
        for (int i = 0; i < matrix.size(); i++) {  
            for (int j = 0; j < matrix.get(i).size(); j++) {  
                System.out.print(matrix.get(i).get(j) + " ");  
            }  
            System.out.println();  
        }  
    }  
}
```

Nested Generic Types

Let's create 2D array of Integers with ArrayList (3 rows, variable-size columns)

```
public class ArrayListMatrix {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        ArrayList<ArrayList<Integer> > matrix = new ArrayList<ArrayList<Integer> >(3);

        ArrayList<Integer> row1 = new ArrayList<Integer>();
        row1.add(1);
        row1.add(2);
        matrix.add(row1);

        ArrayList<Integer> row2 = new ArrayList<Integer>();
        row2.add(5);
        matrix.add(row2);

        ArrayList<Integer> row3 = new ArrayList<Integer>();
        row3.add(10);
        row3.add(20);
        row3.add(30);
        matrix.add(row3);

        for (int i = 0; i < matrix.size(); i++) {
            for (int j = 0; j < matrix.get(i).size(); j++) {
                System.out.print(matrix.get(i).get(j) + " ");
            }
            System.out.println();
        }
    }
}
```

Diamond operator

```
// Before Java 7: the generic type must be on  
// both sides of the expression  
List<String> students = new ArrayList<String>();
```

```
// From Java 7: the generic type can be  
// inferred on the right side of expression  
List<String> students = new ArrayList<>();
```

Before Java 9, the diamond operator won't work with inner anonymous classes. Compiler will give the following error for this code on the right

error: cannot infer type arguments for Sum

```
abstract class Sum<T> {  
    abstract T add(T num1, T num2);  
}  
  
public class CS112 {  
    public static void main(String[] args)  
    {  
        Sum<Integer> obj = new Sum<>() {  
            Integer add(Integer n1, Integer n2)  
            {  
                return (n1 + n2);  
            }  
        };  
        Integer result = obj.add(10, 20);  
        System.out.println("sum is " + result);  
    }  
}
```

Type Erasure

To implement generics, the Java compiler applies type erasure to:

- Replace all type parameters in generic types with their bounds or `Object` if the type parameters are unbounded. The produced bytecode, therefore, contains only ordinary classes, interfaces, and methods.
- Insert type casts if necessary to preserve type safety.
- Generate bridge methods to preserve polymorphism in extended generic types.

Restrictions with Generics

<https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/generics/restrictions.html>

- Cannot instantiate generic types with primitive types
- Cannot create instances of type parameters
- Cannot declare static fields whose types are type parameters
- Cannot use casts or instanceof with parameterized types
- Cannot create arrays of parameterized types
- Cannot create, catch, or throw objects of parameterized types
- Cannot define overloaded methods that will have the same signature after type erasure