

Sean Reilly

Section 5.3: 12, 26c ("5 | a+b" means that 5 divides a+b), 43, 44; (7th edition)

12.

Basis: f_1^2 below $= 1^2 = f_1 f_2$.

Inductive case: Suppose $f_1^2 + f_2^2 + \dots + f_n^2 = f_n f_{n+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^2 + f_2^2 + \dots + f_n^2 + f_{n+1}^2 &= f_n f_{n+1} + f_{n+1}^2 \\ &= (f_n + f_{n+1}) f_{n+1} \\ &= f_{n+1} f_{n+2} \end{aligned}$$

So $f_1^2 + f_2^2 + \dots + f_n^2 = f_n f_{n+1}$, when n is a positive integer.

26.

c) Basis: Holds because $5 \mid (0 + 0 = 0)$

Recursive: Suppose $a + b = 5k$ for some integer k . Then $5 \mid (a+2)+(b+3)$, because $(a+2)+(b+3) = a+b+5 = 5k+5 = 5(k+1)$, where $k+1$ is also an integer. Similarly, $5 \mid (a+3)+(b+2)$, because $(a+3)+(b+2) = a+b+5 = 5k+5 = 5(k+1)$, where $k+1$ is also an integer.

43. Basis: $n(T) = 1$ and $h(T) = 0$, and $1 \geq 2 \cdot 0 + 1$

Recursive: Assume result holds for all binary trees smaller than T . Need to show that $m(T) \geq 2h(T) + 1$ for the binary tree T . Based on the recursive definition of a full binary tree, T is formed by two subtrees T_1 and T_2 , where T_1 and T_2 are smaller than T . By the induction hypothesis, we know that the inductive hypothesis holds for T_1 and T_2 , i.e. $n(T_1) \geq 2h(T_1) + 1$ and $n(T_2) \geq 2h(T_2) + 1$. By the recursive definition of $n(T)$ and $h(T)$, we have $n(T) = 1 + n(T_1) + n(T_2)$ and $h(T) = 1 + \max(h(T_1), h(T_2))$. We can then show that:

$$\begin{aligned} n(T) &= 1 + n(T_1) + n(T_2) \\ &\geq 1 + 2h(T_1) + 1 + 2h(T_2) + 1 \\ &\geq 1 + 2\max(h(T_1), h(T_2)) + 2 \\ &= 1 + 2(\max(h(T_1), h(T_2)) + 1) \\ &= 1 + 2h(T) \end{aligned}$$

44.

The base binary tree has a single node: its root. As it has no descendants, it is thought of as a leaf, giving $\# \text{ of leaves} - \# \text{ of internal nodes} = 1$.

More generally, suppose that this is true for a given pair of binary trees T_1 and T_2 , and form a new tree T from these by attaching them to a new root node. This preserves all the old leaf nodes and adds one new internal node (the new root), giving:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\# \text{ of leaves of } T) - (\# \text{ of I.N.s of } T) \\ &= (\# \text{ of leaves of } T_1 + \# \text{ of leaves of } T_2) - (\# \text{ of I.N.s of } T_1 + \# \text{ of I.N.s of } T_2 + 1) \\ &= (\# \text{ of leaves of } T_1 - \# \text{ of I.N.s of } T_1) + (\# \text{ of leaves of } T_2 - \# \text{ of I.N.s of } T_2) - 1 \\ &= 1 + 1 - 1 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

using the inductive assumptions. By structural induction, this demonstrates the equality for all full binary trees.