presence of ruins, or when he recalls with nostalgia and melancholy the days of his youth with the well-known theme of repentance.

Ibn Khafādja drew much of his inspiration from Eastern poets such as al-Sharif al-Radi, 'Abd al-Muḥsin al-Ṣūrī or Mihyār al-Daylami, and probably also from al-Buḥturī and al-Ṣanawbarī, although in the case of the last two he does not admit it (cf. H. Pérès, Poésie andalouse, 36). He in his turn influenced a series of Andalusian poets, beginning with his nephew Ibn al-Zakkāk, with whom, together with another poet, Ibn 'Ā'iṣha, he is said to have competed, upon an occasion described by al-Makkarī (Analectes, ii, 424). He has been described as the creator of a "School of Levante". García Gómez states that the khafādjī style continued until the end of the kingdom of Granada.

Bibliography: In addition to references in Brockelmann, II, 272, S I, 480-1, see: Makkari, Analectes, index; Ibn Dihya, Mufrib, Cairo ed. 1954, 111-7; Ibn Sa'id, Mughrib, ii, 367-71; R. Nykl, Hispano-Arabic poetry, 227-31; H. Pérès, Poésie andalouse, index; E. García Gómez, Poemas arábigoandaluces, Madrid 1943, 35. The best edition of the Diwān is that by Muṣṭafā Ghāzī, Alexandria 1960. (F. DE LA GRANJA)

IBN KHAPIF, ABŪ 'ABD ALLĀH MUHAMMAD AL-ṢHĪRĀZĪ, also called al-Ṣhaykh al-Kabīr or al-Ṣhaykh al-Shirāzī, famous mystic of Ṣhīrāz, died 371/982 in his native town, it is said at a very great age (Yākūt, s.v. Shīrāz). His works (26 titles preserved in the Ṣhadd al-Izār, 42-3) are lost, with the exception of some sentences transmitted mainly by al-Sulami, Abū Nuʿaym and al-Kushayrī, from a biography written by his disciple, the Ḥallādjī "philosopher" Abu 'l-Ḥasan al-Daylamī and later re-written and translated into Persian by Ibn Diunayd, the author of the Ṣhadd al-Izār (Sīrat-i Ibn Khafīf, ed. A. Schimmel, with two professions of faith). But this work is more reliable for information on the life of the master than on his teaching.

According to al-Hudiwiri (456/1063) however, Ibn Khafif was the founder of an independent school of mysticism (Kashf, GMS, xvii, 247; cf. Tadhkirat alawliyā', ii, 135). He had a lasting influence on the Kāzerūni movement (Vita Kāzerūni, ed. F. Meyer, Istanbul 1943, 17), and he figures in the mystic genealogy of the Suhrawardiyya (Depont and Coppolani, Confréries religieuses musulmanes, 534). As a result, the name of Ibn Khafif found a place in the genealogical trees of the futuwwa (Gölpinarlı, in İktisat fakültesi mecmuası, xi, 34). Rüzbahan Baklı (d. 606/1209), who was the author after Ibn Khafif of a Kitāb al-Ighāna and who reproduces in his Jasmin (ed. Corbin, 9) a long extract from the 'Aff of al-Daylami, receives the khirka at the hands of a descendant of the Banu Sāliba, who were formerly protégés of the Daylami dynasty and among whom the office of khafīfī was handed on from father to son (<u>Sh</u>add, 299; <u>Sh</u>īrāz-nāma, 113; cf. ibid., 117; Massignon, Passion, i, 374). Finally, in the time of Ibn al-Djawzi (d. 597/1200), the ribāt founded by Ibn Khafif at Shiraz was still flourishing (Shadd, 58). Ibn Khafif's teaching, together with the more or less occult influence of Ḥallādism, thus penetrated deeply into the mystic life of Fars until just before the Mongol invasion.

The question arises as to whether the historical personality of Ibn \underline{Kh} afif was such as to justify his filling such an important role. It is known for certain that he was $Z\bar{a}hiri$ in fikh, an $A\underline{sh}^{c}$ ari in $kal\bar{a}m$, and an anti-Sālimi in mystical theology

(L. Massignon, Essai, 315). More simply, the life and the thought of this illustrious Shirazi can be said in principle to divide themselves into two successive periods. The first is dominated by the practical problems of the mystic life (Mucamalat) which preoccupied greatly the ascetics of Fars, who often showed definite tendencies to Zāhirism and particularly to nascent Malāmatiyya or futuwwa (examples are Abū 'Amr al-Istakhri, 'Ali b. Sahl, Bundār b. al-Husayn; al-Sulami, *Tabaḥāt*, ed. <u>Sh</u>ariba, 467, Abu 'l-Hasan al-Muzayyin, and especially Abū Djafar al-Ḥadhdhā, who enjoyed great prestige among them: Shadd, 96). The second period, which came under the Djunaydi influence of the Baghdad school, is more speculative; it was during this period that the master finally settled in Shiraz, that his written work appeared and that he played a political role at the court of the Daylami 'Adud al-Dawla (who was ruler of Shīrāz from 338/949), when his eminent position may have enabled him to offer protection to the Halladis who were returning to their native country from 'Irāķ, where they had been persecuted. It seems preferable to suppose his thought to have developed in harmony with these two broad phases of his life, leading him towards increasingly intellectualist theses (Djunaydi and semi-Ḥallādiì), than to attribute to him an eclecticism as vague as it was persistent. There are various indications to corroborate this hypothesis: Ibn Khafif used in turn two initiatory isnāds. the one purely Shirazi with the names of Dia far al-Hadhdha? (Sīra, 149, 178, 202) and of Abū 'Amr al-Iştakhri (Sīra, 33, 35, 87, 152), the other artificially linked to al-Djunayd (L. Massignon, Essai, 129, rejected by the Kāzerūnis, op. cit., 25); Ibn Khafif retracted at the reading of a dissertation of al-Djunayd (Aff, ed. Vadet, 3), he hesitated between the school of al-Djunayd and the teaching of his first Baghdadi master Ruwaym, a Zāhirī mystic of Malāmatiyya tendencies who had close links with Abū 'Amr al-Işţakhri but was on rather bad terms with al-Djunayd (I. Goldziher, Die Zähiriten, 179; al-Sulami, op. cit., 462; al-'Afifi, Malāmatiyya, 60; Ta'rīkh Baghdād, viii, 431; cf. Shīrāz-nāma, 95-6).

The mystic theology of Ibn Khafif, worked out from actual experience but rapidly codified at a later stage in a circle of theoreticians, reconciles after a fashion the two basic aspects of his life. It seems to have been governed by the following propositions: (1) The necessity of poverty (fakr) and the preeminence of this poverty over wealth ("poverty" is an imitation of the Prophet, it is also "to rid oneself of one's attributes", Tadhkirat al-awliya", 131; hence it is like a negative realization of tawhid, "unification of the Divine names and attributes with verification in the heart", Abū Nucaym, x, 386). (2) The "poor man" is not ipso facto a sūfī, any more than the sūfī is himself a wali. (3) The impression of the "moment" (ghalaba) is not enough to constitute ecstasy (wadjd), just as the latter is an insufficient basis for sanctity (wilāya). (4) Sanctity is much more a condition, and one not clearly defined, than a transitory and unstable "state" (hāl). Certainly, in the eyes of Ibn Khafif the "station" is preferable to the "state", in the same way that "sobriety" is of more worth than "drunkenness". It is difficult to say whether Ibn Khafif gave anywhere in his works a valid definition of this "sanctity" which he considered to be the true end of "poverty". It has been defined for him by his Ḥallādiī disciples or pseudo-disciples on the basis of their conceptions of cishk and mahabba. Ibn Khafif contented himself with an incomplete synthesis. This fact helps to explain both the universal fame of the master of <u>Sh</u>irāz and the almost total disappearance of his work.

The basic text remains the edition of the Sirat-i Ibn Khafif by Dr A. Schimmel (Ankara 1955, with introd. and bibl.). This text, however, unfortunately does not supersede the notices by the two historians of Shirāz: Abu '1-'Abbās Zarkūb (d. 734/1333; Shīrāz-nāma, ed. Bahmān Karimi) and Ibn Djunayd al-Shirāzi (d. 791/1388, Shadd al-Izār). For Ibn Khafif's "hallādjism" there may be consulted L. Massignon, Akhbār al-Hallādj, Paris 1957, 38 and 81, also Vie et œuvres de Rūzbīhān Baklī, in Studia Orientalia Ioanni Pedersen . . ., Paris 1953.

The life and doctrine of Ibn Khafif are part of a group of wider questions which have not yet been sufficiently answered. These are: (1) The opposition between the Djunaydism of Baghdad and the practical mysticism of Persia and Khurāsān in the 3rd/9th century (the memory of Abū Yazīd al-Biştāmī, Malāmatiyya, the insistence on "poverty" and "sincerity", futuwwa; for a summary of their doctrine, see Abū Nucaym, x, 387). (2) This opposition was not unconnected with the growing Ash carism and Zāhirism: at the time of Ibn Khafif these were the two militant and opposing wings of Shāficism, particularly that of 'Irāķ, with which the school of al-Djunayd finally became integrated. (3) It is only when these first two questions have been answered that Ibn Khafif's rather ambiguous attitude to Ḥallādjism will be better understood, and with it perhaps the internal evolution of this doctrine, at least in Fars.

Bibliography: in the text. (J. C. VADET)

IBN KHAFIF [see MUHAMMAD B. KHAFIF].

IBN KHĀĶĀN, name of several secretaries and viziers of the Abbāsid period.

- (1) Yahyā B. Khākān, secretary of Khurāsānī origin, was in the service of al-Ḥasan b. Sahl [q.v.] under the caliphate of al-Ma³mūn and became, under al-Mutawakkil, secretary to the office for land-taxes, and then director of the mazālim-court, when his son 'Ubayd Allāh became vizier.
- (2) UBAYD ALLAH B. YAHYA was the first member of the family to become a vizier. Patronized by the caliph al-Mutawakkil, who had appointed him as his private secretary, he succeeded in about 236/851 in gaining appointment to the office of vizier, which had for some years remained vacant, and in obtaining important powers, notably those permitting him to nominate the main government officials and thus to eliminate any possible rivals. He was tutor to one of the princes; at the end of al-Mutawakkil's reign he exercised a considerable influence and seems to have encouraged the caliph in his anti-'Alid policy. Having withdrawn from political life after the assassination of al-Mutawakkil, he was exiled to Barka in 248/862, and did not return to Baghdad until 253/867. The accession of the caliph al-Muctamid led to his being appointed once again to the office of vizier, which he occupied from 256/870 until his death in 263/877.
- (3) MUHAMMAD B. 'UBAYD ALLAH, Abū 'Alī, known as al-Khākānī, became vizier in the reign of al-Muktadir in Dhu 'l-Hididia 299/July 912 and remained in office until Muharram 301/August 913. He succeeded Ibn al-Furāt, whose officials he dismissed, and attempted to replenish the treasury by imposing severe fines on these discredited officials. He also took measures against the Shī'l elements in the population of Baghdād and attempted to satisfy the claims of the Hanbalis, but his administration did not please the caliph's entourage. After his dismissal,

he was imprisoned once by 'Ali b. 'Isā and a second time by Ibn al-Furāt, who had returned to power n 304/917; he died in 312/924-5.

(4) CABD ALLAH B. MUHAMMAD, Abu '1-Kāsim, son of the above, had been secretary during his father's vizierate and succeeded Ibn al-Furāt in Rabi^c I 312/June 924, but encountered serious internal difficulties with which he was incapable of dealing, so that he was dismissed in Ramaḍān 313/November 925 on the insistence of the amīr Mu'nis; after having been imprisoned and paying a fine, he died in 314/926-7.

Bibliography: D. Sourdel, Vizirai, index; G. Lecomte, Ibn Qutayba, index; Abū Ya'lā, Tabakāt al-Hanābila, i, 204. (D. SOURDEL)

IBN KHĀLAWAYH, ABŪ 'ABD ALLĀH AL-HUSAYN B. AHMAD (but MUHAMMAD in Shirawayh's History of Hamadhan, see Ķifți, Inbah, i, 325, 12) B. ḤAMDAN AL-HAMADHANI, famous Arabic grammarian and adib. He was born in Hamadhan [q.v.]. The exact year of his birth is not known but it must have been in the ninth decade of the 3rd century A.H., since he went in 314/926, while still young, to study in Baghdad, where he found eminent teachers. Among his teachers of the Kur'an was the head of the Kur'an readers of Baghdad, Ibn Mudjahid (d. 324/936) and he studied grammar and lexicography not only with the representatives of the Kūfan school Ibn al-Anbārī [q.v.] and Abū 'Umar al-Zāhid al-Muțarriz Ghulām Tha lab (d. 345/956), but also with the famous Başran Ibn Durayd [q.v.] and the latter's pupil al-Sirāfī [q.v.], and also with Niftawayh, who was already a representative of the mixed school (khalata 'l-madhhabayn, Fihrist, 81, bottom; in Brockelmann, S I, 184 listed under the Kūfans; d. 323/935). Consequently he was himself an eclectic. There is named as one of his teachers of hadīth Muḥammad b. Makhlad al-cAṭṭār (Ta'rīkh Baghdād, iii, 310 f.; Dhahabi, Tadhkirat al-huffāz, 11th tab., no. 40, where Ahmad is incorrectly given instead of Makhlad; d. 331/942-3). Subkī lists him among the Shāficis (Tabaķāt, ii, 212 f.); according to Ibn Hadiar, however, he was an Imami, who pretended to be a Sunni only in the presence of Sayf al-Dawla (Lisān al-Mīzān, Ḥaydarābād 1330, ii, 267; cf. also Krenkow in a postscript to Ibn Khālawayh, I'rāb thalāthin sūra, 246). From Baghdād he went to Syria, and in Aleppo was admitted to the court of the Hamdanid Sayf al-Dawla [q.v.], who appointed him tutor to one of his sons. After Sayf al-Dawla's death he remained in the service of the Hamdanids. Kifti (Inbah, i, 326, lines 5 ff.) records from the Kitāb al-Utrudidja by Muslim b. Muḥammad al-Lahdii that Ibn Khālawayh visited the Yemen, and Ibn al-Djazarî (Tabakāt al-kurrā, i, 237, bottom) also mentions the precise place, Dhimar. He died in 370/980-1 in Aleppo.

Already during his lifetime Ibn Khālawayh was famous. His reputation as an expert in all branches of 'ilm and adab brought to him many pupils from far and near. The sources mention explicitly the 'Irāķī faķīh and man of letters al-Mu'āfā b. Zakariyyā' (d. 390/1000), the reader of the Kur'ān Ibn Ghalbūn (a native of Aleppo, d. 389/999) and al-Hasan b. Sulaymān al-Anṭāki (d. 399/1008-9) as well as the traditionist Ibn 'Adī, known also as Ibn al-Kaṭṭān (Brockelmann, I, 167; d. 360/971). He is reputed also to have been a poet of ability, Tha'ālibi (Yatīma, Damascus 1304, i, 76 f.) and Yāķūt (Irṣhād, iv, 6) providing a few brief specimens of his work. The disputations which he is reported to have had with al-Mutanabbi [q.v.] in the presence of Sayf