is capable fell in their way without being even looked for by them. In their afflictions themselves, virtue afforded them the most solid comfort, pointed out the remedy, and converted their tribulations into the greatest advantages.

# ST. JOHN, PRIEST AND CONFESSOR,

SURNAMED OF MOUTIER, AND OF CHINON.

ST. GREGORY of Tours informs us, that he was a native of Great Britain, and led a retired life at Chinon or Caion, a village in the diocess of Tours. He confined himself to a little cell and oratory, with an orchard over against the church, and declined all superfluous commerce with men. In his orchard, which he cultivated himself, he planted a few laurel-trees, which, says, St. Gregory, are now so grown, that the boughs being brought together they form an agreeable shade. Under these laurel trees he used to sit reading or writing. After his death he was interred in the same place, and many sick were restored to their health by his intercession with God, as the same author assures us. St. John flourished in the sixth century. He is commemorated in the Roman, Gallican, and English Martyrologies on the 27th of June. See St. Gregory of Tours. I. de Gloria Confess. c. 23, &c.

### JUNE XXVIII.

# ST. IRENÆUS, BISHOP OF LYONS, MARTYR.

See Tillemont, t. 3; Ceillier, t. 2, p. 135; Orsi, t. 2; F. Colonia, Hist. Littéraire de la Ville de Lyon, Sec. 3, p. 133, and Dom Massuit, in his edition of this father's works.

#### A.D. 202.

This saint is himself our voucher that he was born near the times of Domitian, (1) consequently not in the close, as Dupin conjectures, but in the beginning of Adrian's reign, about the year 120. He was a Grecian, probably a native of Lesser Asia. His parents, who were Christians, placed him under the care of

the great St. Polycarp, bishop of Smyrna. It was in so holy a school, that he learned that sacred science which rendered him afterwards a great ornament of the Church in the days of her splendour, and the terror of her enemies. St. Polycarp cultivated his rising genius, and formed his mind to piety by precepts and example; and the zealous scholar was careful to reap all the advantages which were offered him by the happiness of such a master. Such was his veneration for his sanctity, that he observed every action and whatever he saw in that holy man, the better to copy his example, and learn his spirit. He listened to his instructions with an insatiable ardour, and so deeply did he engrave them in his heart, that the impressions remained most lively even to his old age, as he declares in his letter to Florinus, quoted by Eusebius.(1) St. Jerom informs us, that St. Irenæus was also a scholar of Papias, another disciple of the apostles. In order to confute the heresies of that age which, in the three first centuries, were generally a confused medley drawn from the most extravagant systems of the heathens and their philosophers, joined with Christianity, this father studied diligently the mythology of the Pagans, and made himself acquainted with the most absurd conceits of their philosophers, by which means he was qualified to trace up every error to its source, and set it in its full light. On this account he is styled by Tertullian.(2) "The most diligent searcher of all doctrines." St. Jerom often appeals to his authority. Eusebius commends his exactness. St. Epiphanius calls him "A most learned and eloquent man, endowed with all the gifts of the Holy Ghost." Theodoret styles him, "The light of the western Gauls."

The great commerce between Marseilles and the ports of Lesser Asia, especially Smyrna, made the intercourse between those places very open. The faith of Christ was propagated in that part of Gaul in the times of the apostles; and from thence soon reached Vienne and Lyons, this latter town being then by the advantage of the Rhone no less famous a mart than it is at this day. While the desire of wealth encouraged many to hazard their persons, amidst the dangers of the seas and robbers, in the way of trade, a zeal for the divine honour and the salvation of souls was a more noble and more powerful motive with others

<sup>(1)</sup> L. 5, c. 20. See St. Polycarp's life. (2) L. contra Valent c 5.

to face every danger and surmount every difficulty for so glorious an achievement. Among the Greeks and Orientals, whom we find crowned with martyrdom with others at Lyons and Vienne, several doubtless had travelled into those parts with a view only to carry thither the light of the gospel. St. Gregory of Tours informs us, that St. Polycarp himself sent St. Irenæus into Gaul, perhaps in company with some priest. He was himself ordained priest of the church of Lyons by St. Pothinus: and in 177, he was sent deputy, in the name of that church, to Pope Eleutherius to entreat him not to cut off from the communion of the Church the Orientals, on account of their difference about the celebration of Easter, as Eusebius(1) and St. Jerom(2) take notice. The multitude and zeal of the faithful at Lyons stirred up the rage of the heathens, and gave occasion to a tumultuary and most bloody persecution, of which an account has been given June 2d. St. Irenæus gave great proofs of his zeal hose times of trial; but survived the storm, during tho first part of which he had been absent in his journey to Rome. St. Pothinus having glorified God by his happy death in the year 177, our saint upon his return was chosen the second bishop of Lyons, in the heat of the persecution. By his preaching, he in a short time converted almost that whole country to the faith, as St. Gregory of Tours testifies. Eusebius tells us that he governed the churches of Gaul; but the faith was not generally planted in the more remote provinces from Marseilles and Lyons before the arrival of St. Dionysius and his companions in the following century.

Commodus succeeding his father Marcus Aurelius in the empire in 180, though an effeminate debauched prince, restored peace to the Church. But it was disturbed by an execrable spawn of heresies, particularly of the Gnostics and Valentinians. St. Ireneus wrote chiefly against these last, his five books against heresies. The original Greek text of this work was most elegant, as St. Jerome testifies. But, except some few Greek passages which have been preserved, only a Latin translation is extant, in which the style is embarrassed, diffusive, and unpolished. It seems to have been made in the life-time of St.

<sup>(1)</sup> Eus. 1. 5, c. 4.

<sup>(2)</sup> St. Hier. catal. c. 29.

Irensus, and to be the same that was made use of by Tertullian, as Dom Massuet shows.(1) This Valentinus was a good scholar, and preached with applause, first in Egypt, and afterwards at Rome. We learn from Tertullian,(2) that he fell by pride and jealousy, because another was preferred before him in an election to a bishopric in Egypt. He first broached his heresy in Cyprus, but afterwards propagated it in Italy and Gaul.\*

(1) In op. S. Irenzei Diss. 2, p. 101. (2) L. contra Valent. c. 4.

St. Irenmus in his first book gives us in detail the ridiculous dreams. of Valentinus concerning the progeny of thirty Mônes, an imaginary kind of inferior deities, which this heretic pretended to be produced by the eternal, invisible, and incomprehensible God, called Bathos or Depth, and his wife Ennois or Thought, otherwise called Sige or Silence. These chimeras he forged from Hestod's book of the generation of the heathen gods, and some notions of Plato, and some truths he borrowed from the gospel of St. John. St. Irenseus refutes him by the holy scriptures, by the Creed, of which he mentions almost all the articles, and by the unanimity of all churches in the same faith, to which he opposes the disagreement of the metics among themselves; for there was not a disciple of Valentinus not correct or change his master's dectrine. He mentions several of their variations, and describes at length the superstitions and impostures of the heresisrch Mark, who, in consecrating chalices filled with water and wine, according to the Christian rite, made the chalices appear filled with a certain red liquor, which he called blood, and who allowed women to consecrate the holy mysteries. The saint gives also a history of the other first heretics. In his second book he shows that God created the universe, and refutes the system of Æônes. He testifies (1. 2, c. 57, ed. Ben. olim c. 32,) that Christians wrought miracles in the name of the Son of God. "Some," says he, "cast out devils truly and most powerfully, so that they who have been delivered, most frequently have turned believers; others have the foreknowledge of future events, visions, and prophetic sayings; others cure the sick of any disease by the imposition of hands. Some persons who were dead have been raised again, and have continued among us many years. Nor can we sum up the miraculous works which the church, by the gift of God, performs every day over the whole world in the name of Christ Jesus." And in the preceding chapter, speaking of the disciples of Simon Magus, who pretended to miracles, or magical delusions, he writes: "They cannot give sight to the blind, nor hearing to the deaf, nor cast out all devils, but only such as they themselves have sent in. So far are they from raising the dead, as our Lord raised them, and as the apostles did by prayer, and as in the brotherhood oftentimes is done, when the whole church of the place hath begged it with much fasting and prayer, the spirit of the dead man hath returned, and the man hath been given back to the prayers of the saints," &c. Thus he assigns the gift of miracles as a mark of the true church. See this first testimony quoted by Eusebius, (Hist. 1. 5, c. 7,) who assures us himself that some remains of the miraculous powers continued in his time, in the fourth century. (Demonst. Evangel. 1. 3, p. 109 and 132.) The same author speaking of the successors of the apostles at the end of the first, and beginning of the second age, says, "They

When Florinus who had been his fellow-disciple under St. Polycarp, and was afterwards a priest of the Church of Rome, blasphemously affirmed that God is the author of sin, and was on that account deposed from the priesthood. St. Irenseus wrote

went about with God's co-operating grace; for even then the divine Spirit performed very many miracles by them." ilvere rote di autor πλειςαι παραδόξοι δυνάμεις ένηργεν. In the middle of the second century St. Justin Martyr writes: "There are prophetic gifts among us even till now." Παρά γάρ ημίν καὶ μέχρι νῦν προφητικά χαρίσματα And among these gifts he reckons up miraculous powers, as healing the sick, casting out devils, &c. (pp. 315, 330.) The testimonies of St. Theophilus, and all other writers of those times are no less full and express.

St. Irenseus in his third book complains, that when the heretics are pressed by scripture, they elude it by pretending to fly to tradition: but that when tradition is urged against them, they abandon it to appeal to the scriptures alone; whereas both scripture and tradition confute them. He observes, that the apostles certainly delivered the truth and all the mysteries of our faith, to their successors the pastors: to these therefore we ought to have recourse to learn them, especially "to the greatest church, the most ancient and known to all, founded at Rome by the two most glorious apostles, Peter and Paul, which retains the tradition which it received from them, and which is derived through a succession of bishops down to us. Showing which, we confound all who, any way out of self-conceit, love of applause, blindness, or false persuasions, embrace what ought not to be advanced; for to this church (of Rome,) on account of its chiefer presidentship, it is necessary that every church, that is, the faithful everywhere, address themselves, in which church the tradition from the apostles is everywhere preserved." To show this succession in the Roman Church, he names its bishops, saying, that SS. Peter and Paul chose Linus to govern is after them; who was succeeded by Anacletus, Clemens, Evaristus, Alexander, Sixtus, Telesphorus, Hyginus, Pius, Anicetas, Soter, and Eleutherius, who is now the twelfth bishop of Rome, says he. St. Irenæus adds, (chap. 4,) "What should we have done if the apostles had left us no writings? We should certainly have followed this channel of tradition. As many barbarous nations possess the faith without the use of writing; who would stop their ears were they to hear the blasphemies of the heretics, who, on the contrary, have nothing but the novelty of their doctrine to show: for the Valentinians were not before Valentinus, nor the Marcionites before Marcion. All these arose much too late." In his fourth book he proves the unity of the Godhead, and teaches (c. 17, 18,) that Christ abolishing the ancient sacrifices instituted the clean oblation of his body and blood to be offered everywhere, as is foretold in Malachi. He gives the multitude of martyrs as a mark of the true church, saying the heretics cannot boast the like advantage, though some few of them have been mingled with our martyrs. (l. 4, c. 33.) In the fifth book he proves our redemption by Christ, and the resurrection of the dead; and again (c. 6,) mentions the prophetic gifts and other miraculous powers as then subsisting in the church. He makes a recapitulation of the heresies he had confuted, and says that their novelty alone suffices to confound them. He

him a letter entitled. "On the Monarchy or Unity of God, and that God is not the author of sin," which is now lost. Eusebius quotes from it a passage in which the holy father in the most tender manner reminds him with what horror their common master St. Polycarp, had he been living, would have heard such impleties. Florinus was by this letter reclaimed from his error. but being of a turbulent proud spirit, he soon after fell into the Valentinian heresy. On which occasion St. Irenæus wrote his Ogdoade, or Confutation of Valentinus's eight principal Æônes, by whom that heresiarch pretended that the world was created and governed. In the end of this book, the saint added the following adjuration, preserved by Eusebius: "I conjure you who transcribe this book, by our Lord Jesus Christ, and by his glorious coming to judge the living and the dead, that you diligently compare your copy, and correct it by the original. By this precaution, we may judge of the extreme care of the fathers in this respect, and how great their abhorrence was of the impulent practice of some heretics in adulterating writings. One Blastus, a priest at Rome, formed a schism, by keeping Easter on the 14th day of the first moon, and to this schism added heresy, teaching this to be a divine precept.(1) He was deposed from the priesthood, and St. Irenæus wrote against him his treatise on schism. The dispute about Easter being renewed. Pope Victor threatened to excommunicate the Asiatics: but

(1) Tert. Præscr. c. 53. Eus. Hist. l. 5, c. 25.

adds some remarks on the coming of Antichrist, and from a mistaken interpretation of a passage of the Apocalypse received from his master Papias, he infers the millenarian reign of Christ on earth with his elect, before the last judgment, in spiritual pleasures, (not in carnal delights, which was the heresy of Cerinthus and others.) This opinion was soon after exploded by consulting the tradition of the church, according to the rule of St. Irenæus; though the millenarian system has been revived by several Lutherans in Germany, and among the English Protestants by Dr. Wells, (Notes on the Apoc.) and some others.

The works of St. Irenæus were published by F. Erasmus, then by F. Feuardent, and, in 1702, by Grabe, though this last editor often made retardent, and, in 1702, by Grabe, though this last entro often made too bold with the text, and his heterodox notes disfigure his work, in which he turns everything topsy-turvy to favour the idol of his new religion, especially his fond new idea of the great eucharistic sacrifice of bread and wine. Dom Massuet, a Benedictin Maurist monk, gave us the most correct edition in 1710. Psaff, a Lutheran, in 1715, published from a manuscript in the library of Turin, four other fragments of this other. The second fragment is a remarkable proof of the eucharistic sacrifice.

was prevailed upon to tolerate for some time that practice of discipline by a letter of St. Irenæus, who entreated and advised that, considering the circumstances, a difference of practice might be allowed, in like manner, as the faithful did not all observe in the same manner the fast of Superposition, or of one or more days without taking any sustenance in holy week, but some kept it of one, others of two, others of more days.(1) Thus the pope's severity prevented these false teachers who pretended the legal ceremonies to be of precept, from drawing any advantage from this practice of the Orientals; and the moderation of St. Irenæus preserved some from a temptation of sinning by obstinacy and disobedience, till a uniformity in that important point of discipline could be more easily established.

The peace which the Church at that time enjoyed, afforded our saint leisure to exert his zeal, and employ his pen to great advantage. Commodus began his reign with extraordinary moderation: and though he afterwards sunk into debauchery and cruelty, yet he never persecuted the Christians. poisoned and strangled in 192, being thirty-one years old, of which he had reigned twelve. Pertinax, an old man, was made emperor by compulsion, but reigned only eighty-seven days, always trembling for his own safety. Being esteemed too frugal and rigorous, he was slain; and the prætorian guards, who had often made and unmade emperors at pleasure, whom the nevergainsaying senate confirmed, on that occasion debased to the last degree the dignity of the Roman empire by exposing it to sale by public auction. Didius Julianus and Sulpicianus having several times outbid each other, when the latter had offered five thousand drachms. Julianus at once rose to six thousand two hundred and fifty drachms, which he promised to give every soldier; for which price he carried the empire. confirmed the election, but the purchaser being embarrassed to find money to acquit himself of his engagement, was murdered sixty-six days after; having dearly bought the honour of wearing the purple, and of having his name placed among the emperors. Severus was next advanced to the throne by a part of the troops, and acknowledged emperor by the senate. Niger and Albinus were proclaimed by different armies; but Severus

333

defeated the first by his generals in 194, and the latter himsell near Lyons in Gaul, in 197. The Christians had no share in these public broils. Tertullian at that time much extols the fidelity of the Christians to their princes, and says, none of them were ever found in armies of rebels, and particularly, that none of them were ever engaged in the party, either of Niger or of Albinus.\* It is evident from the whole series of the history of the Roman emperors, that the people, from the days of Augustus, never looked upon that dignity as strictly hereditary.† The confirmation of the senate in the name of the whole Roman people, seems to have been regarded as the solemn act of the state, by which the emperor was legally invested with that supreme dignity; on this account the Christians every where acknowledged and faithfully obeyed Severus. He had also other obligations to them. Tertullian tells us.(1) that a Christian, called Proculus, cured him of a certain distemper. for which benefit the emperor was for some time favourable to the Christians, and kept Proculus as long as he lived in his pa-This Proculus was the steward of Euhodus, who was a freed man of the emperor Severus, and by him appointed to educate his son Caracalla. Tertullian mentions this cure as miraculous, and joins it to the history of devils cast out. This cure is confirmed by pagan writers.(2) Yet the clamours of

(1) L. de Scapul. c. 4.
(2) See Tillem. Hist. des Emp. t. 3, p. 89, and Hist. Eccl. t. 3, p. 111, and Fabricius, Bibl. Gr. t. 8, p. 460.

 Nunquam Albiniani nec Nigriani nec Cassiani inveniri potuerunt Christiani. Tert. ad Scap. c. 2.

† This point Dr. Hicks might have taken for granted, and have spared himself the pains he was at to prove it in his Jovian. The senate, from the time that it first was compelled to choose a master, could no more oppose an election of an emperor made by the armies than it could withstand the will of an emperor. So weak had it become, that when some of that body complained, that it was deprived of all cognizance of state affairs, Domitian paid it a mock compliment, by vouchsafing to consult it what was the best way of dressing a huge turbot, which had been sent him for a present. Which grave deliberation, with the flatteries of the senators to the tyrant upon that occasion, as portending victories and triumphs, is facetiously described by Juvenal. But nothing shows more notoriously the slavery of the senate, than the most abject flatteries which it bestowed on Caligula, Nero, and Heliogabalus for their most outrageous acts of madness and inhuman tyranny. Notwithstanding its dependence, the decree of this supreme court was at least a solemn energistration, and the definitive cere mony in the most important acts of state.

the heathens at length moved this ungrateful emperor, who was naturally inclined to severity, to raise the fifth persecution against the Church; for he was haughty, cruel, stubborn, and unrelenting.\* He published his bloody edicts against the Christians about the tenth year of his reign, of Christ 202. Having formerly been governor of Lyons, and eye-witness to the flourishing state of that church, he seems to have given particular instructions that the Christians there should be proceeded against with extraordinary severity; unless this persecution was owing to the fury of the particular magistrates and of the mob. For the general massacre of the Christians at Lyons seems to have been attended with a popular commotion of the whole country against them, whilst the pagans were celebrating the decennial games in honour of Severus. It seems to have been stirred up, because the Christians refused to join the idolaters in their sacrifices. Whence Tertullian says in his Apology: "Is it thus that your public rejoicings are consecrated by public infamy?"† Ado, in his chronicle, says, St. Irenæus suffered martyrdom with an exceeding great multitude. An ancient epitaph, in leonine verses, inscribed on a curious mosaic pavement in the great church of St. Irenæus at Lyons, says, the martyrs who died with him amounted to the number of nineteen thousand. I St. Gregory of Tours writes, that St. Irenæus had in a short time converted to the faith almost the whole city of Lyons: and that with him were butchered almost all the Christians of that populous town; insomuch, that the streets ran with streams of blood. Most place the martyrdom of these saints in 202. the beginning of the persecution, though some defer it to the year 208, when Severus passed through Lyons in his expedition

† Siccine exprimitur publicum gaudium per publicum dedecus!"
Tert. Apol.

i Millia dena novemque fuerunt sub duce tanto," &c. See F. Colonia.

<sup>\*</sup> Vere pertinax, vere severus, as the common people used to say of them, alluding to his names, Pertinax, Severus.

<sup>§ &</sup>quot;Modici temporis spatio prædicatione suâ maximè, in integro civitatem reddidit Christianam. Tanta multitudo Christianorum est jugulata, ut per plateas flumina currerent de sanguine Christiano, quorum nec numerum nec nomina colligere potuerimus. B. Irenæum carnifex Domino per martyrium dedicavit." S. Greg. Turon. Hist. Francor. l. l. c. 29. See St. Gregory the Great, ep. 50, ad Etherium Lugdun. St. Justin vel alius Resp. ad quæstion. ad Orthodox. Bede, Ado, and Usuard in Martyrol, and the Greek Menæa.

into Britain. The precious remains of St. Irenæus were buried by his priest Zachary, between the bodies of the holy martyrs SS. Epipodius and Alexander. They were kept with honour in the subterraneous chapel in the church of St. John, till in 1562, they were scattered by the Calvinists, and a great part thrown into the river. The head they kicked about in the streets, then cast it into a little brook; but it was found by a Catholic and restored to St. John's church.(1) The Greeks honour his memory on the 23rd of August; the Latins on the 28th of June. The former say he was beheaded.

It was not for want of strength or courage, that the primitive Christians sat still and suffered the most grievous torments, insults, and death; but from a principle of religion which taught them the interest of faith does not exempt men from the duty which they owe to the civil authority of government, and they rather chose to be killed than to sin against God, as Tertullian often takes notice. Writing at this very time, he tells the Pagans, that the Maurs, Marcomans, and Parthians, were not so numerous as the Christians, who knew no other bounds than the limits of the world. "We are but of yesterday,"(2) says he, "and by to-day we are grown up, and overspread your empire; your cities, your islands, your forts, towns, assemblies, and your very camps, wards, companies, palace, senate, forum, Your temples are the only places all swarm with Christians. which you can find without Christians. What war are not we equal to?(3) And supposing us unequal in strength, yet considering our usage, what should we not attempt? we whom you see so ready to meet death in all its forms Were the numerous hosts of Christians but to retire from the empire, the loss of so many men of all ranks would leave a hideous gap, and the very evacuation would be abundant revenge. You would stand aghast at your desolation, and be struck dumb at the general silence and horror of nature, as if the whole world was departed." He writes that the Christians not only suffered with patience and joy every persecution and insult, but loved and prayed for their enemies, and by their prayers protected the state, and often delivered the persecutors

<sup>(1)</sup> Galha Christ. nova, t. 4, p. 12. (2) Apolog. c. 37. (3) Cui bello non idonei?

from many dangers of soul and body, and from the incursions of their invisible enemies the devils. He says: "When we come to the public service of God, we come as it were in a formidable body to do violence to him, and to storm heaven by prayer; and this violence is most grateful to God. When this holy army of supplicants is met, we all send up our prayers for the life of the emperors, for their ministers, for magistrates, for the good of the state, and for the peace of the empire."(1) And in another place: " "To this Almighty Maker and Disposer of all things it is, that we Christians offer up our prayers, with eyes lifted up to heaven; and without a prompter, we pray with our hearts rather than with our tongues; and in all our prayers are ever mindful of all our emperors and kings wheresoever we live, beseeching God for every one of them, that he would bless them with length of days, and a quiet reign, a well established family, a valiant army, a faithful senate, an honest people, and a peaceful world, with whatever else either prince or people can wish for. Thus while we are stretching forth our hands to God, let your tormenting irons harrow our flesh, let your gibbets exalt us, or your fires consume our bodies, or let your swords cut off our heads, or your beasts tread us to the earth. For a Christian, upon his knees to his God, is in a posture of defence against all the evils you can crowd upon him. Consider this, O you impartial judges, and go on with your justice; rack out the soul of a Christian, which is pouring out herself to God for the life of the emperor." He says, indeed, that there are some Christians, who do not live up to their profession; but then they have not the reputation of Christians among those who are truly such; and no Christian had then ever been guilty of rebellion; though even philosophers among the heathens were often stained with that and other crimes. Hippias was killed whilst he was engaged in arms against his country; whereas no Christian had ever recourse to arms or violence, even for the deliverance of

(1) Apolog. c. 30.

<sup>\*</sup> Oramus etiam pro imperatoribus, pro ministris, &c. Apol. c. 33.

<sup>†</sup> Hoc agite, boni præsides, extorquete animam Deo supplicantem pro imperatore. Apol. c. 30.

his brethren, though under the most provoking and barbarous usage.

# ST. LEO II., POPE, C.

HE was by birth a Silician, eminent for his piety, and perfectly skilled in the Latin and Greek tongues, in the church music, and both in sacred and polite literature. Pope Agatho dying on the 1st of December, 681, he was chosen to fill the pontifical chair. He confirmed, by the authority of St. Peter, as he says. (writing to the zealous emperor Constantine Pogonatus.(1) the sixth general council held at Constantinople, in which his predecessor St. Agatho had presided by his legates. In the censure of this council we find the name of Honorius, joined with the Monothelite heretics, Theodorus bishop of Pharan, and Cyrus, Sergius, Pyrrhus, Paul, and Peter of Constantinople. Pope Leo II. in his first letter to the bishops of Spain, (2) gives the reason, because Honorius "did not extinguish the flame of the heretical doctrine in its rise, as it became the apostolical authority, but fomented it by negligence." And in his letter to king Ervigius(3) he makes the same distinction between Honorius and the others. It is evident from the very letters of Honorius himself, which are still extant, from the irrefragable testimony of his secretary who wrote those letters, and from others(4) that he never gave into the Monothelite error; though had he fallen into heresy, this would have only hurt himself; nor is the question of any other importance than as an historical Favourers are sometimes ranked with principals. Honorius had by unwariness, and an indiscreet silence, temporized with a powerful heresy, before his eyes were opened to see the flame which he ought to have laboured strenuously to extinguish

(2) Conc. t. 6, p. 1257.
(3) Ibid. p. 1252
(4) See Nat. Alex. Hist. Sec. 7, Diss. de Honorio. Tournely, Tr. de Incarn. &c.

<sup>(1)</sup> Conc. t. 6, p. 1817.

<sup>\*</sup> Hippias dum civitati insidias disponit, occiditur; hoc pro suis omni attrocitate dissipatis nemo unquam Christianus tentavit. Apol. c. 46. Hippias, a celebrated Grecian philosopher, having deserted to Darius Hystaspis the Persian, before the battle of Marathon, was slain fighting against his country.