the kindness shown him by Abū Hammū and left him (early 772/1370-1) to enter the service of the Marinid sultan, 'Abd al-'Aziz, and afterwards of his successor Muhammad al-Sacid. It was only after the capture of Fas al-Djadid by Sultan Abu 'l-'Abbas in 775/1373 that Yahyā returned to Tlemcen, where Abū Hammū again welcomed him and gave him his former secretarial office. He soon won the king's confidence again but thereby aroused the jealousy of the other court officials, and notably of Abū Hammū's eldest son and probable successor, Abū Tāshfin (II). The latter, with a few hired assassins, fell upon Yaḥyā as he was leaving the palace one night in Ramadan 780/1378 and murdered him. When Abu Hammu learned that his son had been the instigator of the crime, he had not the courage to take steps against the murderers.

Although Yahya's political career was shorter and less brilliant than that of his brother, yet it gave him the opportunity to write a historical work of great learning, the Bughyat al-ruwwād fī dhikr al-mulūk min Banī 'Abd al-Wād. It was much used by Brosselard and Bargès in their works on Tlemcen and A. Bel published the Arabic text with French translation under the title Histoire des Beni 'Abd al-Wād, rois de Tlemcen (2 vols., Algiers 1904-13). His history of the kingdom of Tlemcen is particularly important for its information on the long and often brilliant reign of Abū Hammū II, whose secretary and trusted adviser the writer was. In this capacity he was no doubt able to consult original political documents and he even quotes some in full in his book. Although the book neither covers so wide a field as that of his brother 'Abd al-Rahman nor shows such a lofty point of view or critical spirit, it is far superior in literary value. Yaḥyā reveals in it not only literary but also poetical skill, his elegant style is often elevated and his narrative is adorned with quotations from the best ancient Arab writers. He not only gives us a picture of the political history of the central Maghribi kingdom, but he also preserves for us in his work poems by contemporary court poets and gives information about scholars of his time and about the poetical meetings at the court of Tlemcen-information hardly to be found elsewhere and affording a precise survey of the intellectual life of the 'Abd al-Wadid capital in the 8th/ 14th century.

Bibliography: further to works mentioned in the text: Bargès, Complément de l'histoire des Beni Zeiyan, Paris 1887, 205-17. (A. Bel)

IBN KHALLAD, ABÜ 'ALI MUḤAMMAD AL-BAṢRĪ, a Muctazili theologian. After a slow start, he became the most distinguished disciple of $Ab\bar{u}$ Hāshim (d. 321/933; see AL-DJUBBĀ)ī), first in al-'Askar and then in Baghdad. He is the author of a Kitāb al-Uṣūl and a Kitāb al-Sharh; he was also a man of letters and of general culture (adab wa-ma'rifa). He did not live to an old age, and therefore seems to have died before the middle of the 4th/10th century. Two of his disciples, who also studied under Abū Hāshim and in their turn were teachers of the ķādī 'Abd al-Djabbār b. Ahmad [q.v.], were Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Husayn b. 'Alī al-Basrī and Abū Ishāk Ibrāhim b. 'Ayyāsh (mentioned by Ibn al-Murtaḍā, see below). It is probable that the Shark al-uṣūl al-khamsa of the kādī 'Abd al-Djabbār is a revision and completion of the (unfinished) Kitāb al-Sharh of Ibn Khallad. The same work was commented upon and supplemented by the Zaydi imām al-Nāţik bi'l-Hakk (d. 424/1033; Brockelmann, S I, 697f.; P. Voorhoeve, Handlist, 407). In the official isnad of the Mu'tazilī doctrine, Ibn Khallād appears as the authority of Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Baṣrī, who is in his turn the authority of the kāḍī 'Abd al-Djabbār. The recorded details of his doctrine (see M. Horten, Die philosophischen Probleme der spekulativen Theologie im Islam, 1910, index, s.v. Ibn Hallâd) confirm his doctrinal position between Abū Hāshim and 'Abd al-Djabbār.

Bibliography: Fihrist, 174; al-kādī 'Abd al-Djabbar b. Ahmad, Sharh al-uşül al-khamsa, ed. Abd al-Karim 'Uthmān, Cairo 1384/1965, introd., p. 28, and index; Ibn al-Murtada, Die Klassen der Muctaziliten, ed. S. Diwald-Wilzer, 1961, 105 (incorrect translation of this passage in M. Horten, Die philosophischen Systeme, etc., 1912, 426 f.); Brockelmann, S I, 348 (read Leiden, Or. 2949, and Landberg, no. 589). Ibn al-Murtada quotes the ķāḍī 'Abd al-Djabbar, of whose Tabaķāt al-Mu^ctazila a manuscript has recently become known (see introduction, p. xvi). See also M. Schreiner, in Actes du VIIIe Congrès des Orientalistes, II/i(A), Leiden 1893, 87 and n. 1; A. S. Tritton, in BSOAS, xiv (1952), 612-22 (from an unidentified work, possibly the Ziyādāt of Yaḥyā b. Ḥusayn to the K. al-Uṣūl of Ibn Khallād). (J. Schacht)

IBN KHALLIKAN, AHMAD B. MUHAMMAD B. IBRĀHĪM ABU 'L-'ABBĀS SHAMS AL-DĪN AL-BARMAKĪ AL-IRBILI AL-SHAFIG, Arabic biographer, b. 11 Rabī II 608/22 September 1211 at Irbil in a respectable family that claimed descent from the Barmakids. At the age of two, he lost his father, who was mudarris in the Muzaffariyya college founded by the Begteginid [q.v.] Muzaffar al-Din Gökburi (see Ibn Khallikan, no. 558). He began his studies under his father's successor Sharaf al-Din al-Irbili (ibid., no. 44); he then continued them from 626/1229 in Aleppo under Ibn Shaddad (ibid., no. 852) and Ibn Ya'ish (ibid., no. 842). After Ibn Shaddad's death in 632/1234 he went to Ibn al-Salāh (ibid., no. 422) in Damascus. He also visited Mosul several times and became acquainted with the historian Ibn al-Athīr (d. 630/1234) and with Kamāl al-Dīn Ibn Yūnus (Subkī, Tabaķāt al-Shāficiyya, v, 158 ff.). In 635 or 636 he went to Egypt and was in 646/1249 at the latest appointed deputy to the kadi 'l-kudat of Egypt Badr al-Din Yusuf b. Hasan known as Ķādī Sindjār who was in office until 659/1261, In this year the Mamlük Sultan Baybars appointed Ibn Khallikān ķādī 'l-ķudāt of Damascus. In this capacity he administered justice in the whole of Syria, whilst the judges of the Hanafi, Hanbali, and Mālikī schools were his deputies. In 664/1266 Baybars gave orders that the judges of the aforesaid three schools should be promoted to the rank of kādī 'l-kudāt, and in 669/1271 Ibn Khallikān lost his post altogether. He went back to Cairo and became a mudarris in the college al-Fakhriyya. After the death of Baybars in 676/1277, Ibn Khallikān was again appointed kādī 'l-kudāt of Syria and in 677/1278 was received in Damascus with great honours. But new troubles lay ahead. When Kalawun ascended the throne, the governor of Damascus Sunkur al-Ashkar rose in revolt, but was defeated. The troops of Ķalāwūn entered Damascus in Ṣafar 679/June 1280, and a general amnesty was announced. Yet Ibn Khallikān was arrested and accused of having given a fatwā which Sunkur could use as a justification for his revolt; but three weeks later he was released and re-installed as kāḍī 'l-kuḍāt by an immediate order of the Sultan. At the beginning of the next year (680/1281) Ķalāwūn visited Damascus; three days later Ibn Khallikan was dismissed. He died on

26 Radjab 681/30 October 1282 in Damascus. Ibn Khallikan was a man of keen intellect, a shrewd observer, well versed in all legal matters, and just and impartial in his judgement; he was also very cultured, sociable, witty, and a lover of the pleasures of life. He was very fond of poetry and a connoisseur of the Diwan of Mutanabbi. Amongst his friends were the Egyptian poets Baha' al-Din Zubayr [q.v.] and Ibn Matruh (Wafayat, no. 821). Above all he had a liking for historical studies, so much so that he began to collect materials on the lives of persons who for some reason or other had gained fame. Later on he arranged his notes alphabetically according to the ism of the person concerned. Thus began his famous biographical dictionary Wafayāt al-a'yān wa- anbā' abnā' al-zamān, which contains only persons whose year of death the author could ascertain. He omitted on purpose (1) the Companions of the Prophet, (2) the transmitters of the second generation (tabicun) with few exceptions, and (3) all caliphs, because information about persons belonging to one of these groups was easily available in biographical and historical works. He began with the arrangement in 654/1256 at Cairo, but when in 659/1260 he had come to the article on Yahyā b. Khālid b. Barmak (no. 816) he had to stop, owing to his transfer to Damascus; it was only after his return to Cairo in 669/1271 that he could revise and finish his work in 672/1274. This book, intended by its author as a historical compendium, is a mine of information, especially in those parts where he speaks of contemporaries, whilst in the articles on men of earlier times he often quotes sources which are either lost or not yet published. He himself took pains to improve his book; his autograph (in the British Museum, Cat. no 1505 and Supplement no. 607) is full of emendations and marginal notes. This and the popularity of the book explain also the differences in the number and serial order of the articles in manuscripts and editions. A supplement, Fawat al-Wafayat, was written by Muḥammad b. Shākir al-Kutubī (d. 764/1363). There exist also translations into Persian and Turkish.

Bibliography: Yāsisī, Mir'āt al-dinān, iv, 143-7; Subkī, Tabakāt al-Shāsisiya, v, 14 s.; Tashköprüzāde, Mistāh al-sasāda, i, 208 s.; Ulughkhānī, Zasar al-wālih, ed. E. D. Ross, i, 184 (quoting al-Birzālī's Musdam); Ibn al-sīmād, Shadharāt al-dhahab, v, 370 s.; see also Quatremère, Histoire des Sultans Mamlouks par Makrizi, i/2, 180-9, 271; Brockelmann I, 326-8; S I, 561; and de Slane's introduction to his translation of Ibn Khallikān's Biographical Dictionary.

(J. W. Fück)

IBN KHAMĪS, ABŪ 'ABD ALLĀH MUḤ. B. 'UMAR

B. MUḤ. B. 'UMAR B. MUḤ. B. 'UMAR B.

MUḤ. AL-ḤIMYARĪ, AL-ḤADJRĪ AL-RUʿAYNĪ, AL
TILIMSĀNĪ (and not al-Tūnusī as Ibn Ķunfuḍh

mistakenly says), Arab poet born at Tlemcen in

650/1252 and assassinated at Granada in 708/1308.

On his origins, which he traces to the tribe of Himyar in the Yemen, there is known only what he himself states in his poems; of the early part of the 58 years of his life we know only that he knew poverty and lived in "a room in a funduk with sheepskins for bed-covers", that he was able to give himself freely to pleasures, of which he later repented in his poems, and that he received a very profound literary education, to judge by his work and by his appointment, in 681/1282, to the office of personal secretary of the sultan Abū Saʿīd ʿUthmān I b. Yaghmurāsan (681-703/1282-1303).

It is not known how long he occupied this post. In 688/1299, the traveller al-Abdari, who was passing through Tlemcen and who had a great admiration for him, found him in difficult circumstances. Ten years later, Tlemcen was invested by the Marinid Yackūb Yūsuf (685-706/1286-1307) and Abu the siege lasted a hundred months, until the besieger was assassinated. Although the exact date and the manner are unknown, it was during this siege that Ibn Khamis left his native town, following an attempt on his life by those in power who accused him of being in favour of a surrender of the city. This at least is what he himself insinuates in two of his poems. He went to Ceuta, at that time governed by Abū Țālib 'Abd Allāh b. Muh. b. 'Ahmad al-'Azafi and his brother Abū Ḥātim; there he attempted to establish himself as a teacher, but his attempt failed, his own pupils, instigated by a rival named Abu 'l-Hasan 'Ali b. Abi 'l-Rabi', having baffled him from the start by hurling at him embarrassing grammatical questions. He went to Algeciras, then to Malaga and finally, in 703/1304, to Granada. Everywhere he earned his living by teaching and by writing poems in which he gives himself the "pleasure of praising" the great. The ruler of Granada at this time was Muḥammad III, known as al-Makhlūc (701-8/1302-9), whose vizier, Ibn al-Ḥakim Muḥ. b. Abd al-Rahman b. Ibrahim (660-708/1262-1308), was an important personality of the period and by way of being a patron. Returning from a long voyage in the east, the latter had passed through Tlemcen where he had met Ibn Khamis. At Granada his court was attended by scholars and men of letters; he invited Ibn Khamis to join it, thus assuring him at last an easy life, in return of course for laudatory poems. In 706/1306, Ibn Khamis returned to Malaga on a visit, then went to Almeria where the general Ibn Kumāsha, a subordinate of Ibn al-Hakim, hastened to welcome him. He loved to travel-"I am", he said "like the blood; I put myself in motion every spring". He never forgot Tlemcen, and dreamed of returning there. But, one morning, on the feast of the breaking of the fast in the year 708/1309, he was surprised in his dwelling at Granada by a riot resulting from the coup d'état provoked by Abu 'l-Djuyūsh Nașr b. Muḥammad, who seized power (708-13/1309-14); a certain 'Ali b. Nasr, called al-Abkam (= the dumb), killed him with a lance. The reason for the murder was his connexion with Ibn al-Hakim, who was killed on the same day.

The biographers of Ibn Khamis describe him as a scholar, philosopher, sage, astrologer, alchemist, heresiographer, and littérateur. But there is no positive evidence for these attributes and all that is certain is that he was a poet. All that have survived of any works he may have written are poems. They are said to have been collected by a certain kādī Abū 'Abd Allāh Muh, b. Ibrāhīm al-Hadrami, who has not been further identified, in a collection entitled al-Durr al-nafis fi shi'r Ibn Khamis, of which nothing more is known. The poems of Ibn Khamis are nevertheless accessible, if not entirely, at least in large part. They are scattered throughout the works of al-'Abdari, Yahyā Ibn Khaldun, Ibn al-Kādī and al-Makkarī, who reproduces Ibn al-Khaţib. Ibn Manşūr was able to collect of them sixteen kaşidas, totalling more than 610 verses, ten of them each consisting of more than 30 verses and two reaching 80 verses each.

We find in them the traditional themes: madh, hidiā, fahhr, sometimes preceded by nasīb. He praises the Banū Zayyān of Tlemcen, the traveller