

PA Assignment - 3

Name: Sriharan Vinoth Kurian

Roll No: 2024112022

Q1. Given: A_n is connected, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n \cap A_{n+1} \neq \emptyset \quad \forall n$.

To Prove: $\bigcup_n A_n$ is connected.

Define $B_K = \bigcup_{k=1}^K A_k$ — ①.

Let $K = 1$,

$$B_1 = A_1 \cup A_2$$

Since A_1 and A_2 are connected and $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$ (Given),
to prove that $A_1 \cup A_2$ is connected,

Assume $A_1 \cup A_2$ is disconnected, i.e., \exists open G_1, G_2 st
 $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$, such that, \dots

$$\text{i)} A_1 \cup A_2 \subseteq G_1 \cup G_2$$

$$\text{ii)} (A_1 \cup A_2) \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{iii)} (A_1 \cup A_2) \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$$

$$A_1 \cup A_2 \subseteq G_1 \cup G_2 \Rightarrow A_1 \subseteq G_1 \cup G_2 \text{ & } A_2 \subseteq G_1 \cup G_2$$

$$(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_1 \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset \text{ or } A_2 \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$$

$$(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A_1 \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset \text{ or } A_2 \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$$

Since $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$, $\exists x$ st $x \in A_1 \text{ & } x \in A_2$

If $A_1 \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ & $A_2 \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$ is true, we get that A_1 is disconnected, which is a contradiction. Similarly for A_2 as well.

If $A_1 \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $A_2 \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$ or $A_2 \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $A_1 \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$ is true, we get that A_1 is entirely within G_2 and A_2 is entirely within G_1 . (and vice versa)

But since $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \emptyset$, \Rightarrow ~~if $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$~~ $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$
(Contradiction).

\therefore The union of ^{connected} sets is connected if their intersection is non-empty. — (2)

Using (2),

$B_n = A_1 \cup A_2$ is connected between A and B way at.

Assume B_k is connected, then for B_{k+1} ,

$$B_{k+1} = B_k \cup A_{k+2} \quad (\because \emptyset = \text{nothing})$$

Again using (2) $\Rightarrow B_{k+1}$ is connected.

\therefore By mathematical induction,

B_k is connected $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. $\therefore B_n$ is connected.

\therefore The union of finite no. of connected sets is connected.

Q2 Let A be a connected set.

(1) f.i. (1)

$\text{int}(A)$ is the interior of set A , \bar{A} is the closure of set A

$\bar{A} = A \cup A'$ ($A' = \text{set of limit points of } A$). (1) f.i.

Assume \bar{A} is not connected. (1) f.i. (1) b.i.

$\therefore \exists G_1, G_2$ that are open sets such that $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ and,

- i) $\bar{A} \subseteq G_1 \cup G_2$
- ii) $\bar{A} \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\bar{A} \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$

However, since A is connected and $A \subseteq \bar{A}$,

$A \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ or $A \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$ (otherwise A would be disconnected).

Let $A \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ be true, i.e., A is entirely within G_2 .

Since $A \subseteq G_2$, $A' \subseteq \bar{G}_2$, and since $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$, the limit points of G_2 cannot be in G_1 .

$\Rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq G_2$ (since $A \subseteq G_2$ and $A' \subseteq G_2$), i.e. \bar{A} is entirely within G_2 .

But this is a contradiction since $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ but and $\bar{A} \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$.

$\therefore \bar{A}$ is connected.

For $\text{int}(A)$,

Define $A = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\} \cup \{(x, y) : (x-1)^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$.

$$\text{int}(A) = (0, 1)$$

Let A be $\{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$

Let B be $\{(x, y) : (x-2)^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$

The point ~~(1, 0)~~ $(1, 0)$ is a boundary point of both A and B .

$\Rightarrow (1, 0) \in A \cup B$, and by coordinate geometry

~~Since~~ A, B are connected, $\therefore A \cup B$ is also connected.

To prove that A is connected,

~~Assume A is disconnected, i.e., \exists open G_1, G_2 s.t.~~

~~$G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ and,~~

~~i) $A \subseteq G_1 \cup G_2$,~~

~~ii) $A \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $A \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$~~

By geometry, since a circle is a convex shape,
 $\forall (x, y) \in A$, \exists a path between x and y that is
 entirely within A .

~~Since~~ $\Rightarrow A$ is path connected

$\Rightarrow A$ is connected.

Similar proof can be stated for B as well.

$\Rightarrow A \cup B$ is connected.

$$\text{int}(A \cup B) = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 < 1 \text{ or } (x-2)^2 + y^2 < 1\}$$

By coordinate geometry, we can see that $(1, 0)$ is the only common point between A and B .

$\Rightarrow x^2 + y^2 < 1$ and $(x-2)^2 + y^2 < 1$ represent 2 disjoint sets as they do not have any common points.

Since $\text{int}(A \cup B)$ is the union of 2 disjoint open sets, clearly, $\text{int}(A \cup B)$ is disconnected.

$\therefore \text{int}(A)$ may or may not be connected if A is connected

Q3. Given: A_i] To Prove: The union of finite no. of compact sets is compact.

Let $\{A_i\}$ be a collection of compact sets $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define $B_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i$. $\bigcup_{m=1}^{k+1} A_m$

i) $k = 1$,

$$B_1 = A_1 \cup A_2$$

Let C , be the ^{a open} cover of A_1 . By definition of compact sets, C , has a finite open subcover. Wly C_2

classmate

① $C_1 \cup C_2$ is a cover of $A_1 \cup A_2$,

$\Rightarrow A_1 \subseteq (\text{union of sets in } C_1)$

$A_2 \subseteq (\text{union of sets in } C_2)$

$A_1 \cup A_2 \subseteq (\text{union of sets in } C_1) \cup (\text{union of sets in } C_2)$

$\Rightarrow A_1 \cup A_2 \subseteq (\text{union of sets in } C_1 \cup C_2)$

$\therefore C_1 \cup C_2$ is a cover of $A_1 \cup A_2$.

Since both C_1 and C_2 have finite subcovers, using the same logic as before, i.e. finite subcovers in C_1 and C_2 .

$\Rightarrow A_1 \cup A_2$ is compact.

\therefore union of 2 compact sets is compact

$\Rightarrow B_n$ is compact

i) Assume B_K is compact, for B_{K+1} ,

$$B_{K+1} = B_K \cup A_{K+2}$$

By ②, since B_K and A_{K+2} are compact, B_{K+1} is also compact.

\therefore By induction, B_n is compact $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

\therefore The union of finite no. of compact sets is compact.

Q4. Given: $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, $K \subseteq A$ is compact.

To prove: $f(K)$ is also compact.

Since $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in A , and $K \subseteq A \subseteq A'$, we can say that $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also ~~cont~~ continuous.

Let $\{B_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an open cover of K . \exists a finite subcover of $\{B_i\}$ since K is compact.

$$\Rightarrow K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$$

$$= f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(B_i)$$

$\Rightarrow \{f(B_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is ~~an~~ open cover of $f(K)$

Also $\{f(B_i)\}$ is an open cover since,

$$B_i \text{ is open } \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow f(B_i) \text{ is open } \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

(Since f is continuous)

Let $\{C_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a finite subcover of K . By the same logic as above $\{f(C_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a finite subcover of $f(K)$.

$\therefore \forall$ open cover of $f(K)$, \exists a finite subcover.

$\Rightarrow \therefore f(K)$ is compact.

Q5. To find: - A open cover of $(0, 1)$, which has no finite subcover.

Define a collection of sets $C = \{(0, 0.2), (0.1, 0.3), (0.2, 0.4), (0.3, 0.5), \dots, (0.8, 1)\}$, i.e.

$$C = \{(0.1n, 0.1n+0.2) \text{ where } n=0, 1, 2, \dots, 8\}$$

Clearly 'C' is an open cover of $(0, 1)$, since all the elements of C are open sets, and $\bigcup C_i = \text{Region}(0, 1)$.

But any subset of this cover is not a subcover of $(0, 1)$, since

$$C' = \{(0.1n, 0.1n+0.2) \text{ where } n \leq 8\}$$

is the general form of all subsets of the cover.

$$\text{If } n > 0, \quad 0.1n > 0$$

$$\text{If } n \leq 8, \quad 0.1n + 0.2 < 0.8 + 0.2$$

$$\therefore 0.1n < 0.8$$

$$\therefore 0.1n + 0.2 < 1$$

$$\therefore \bigcup C' \subseteq (0, 1)$$

\therefore \nexists a subcover of C' that is finite.

$\therefore C$ fits the example asked.

Q6. To find:- Pointwise limit of $\{f_n(x)\}$, where $f_n(x) = x^n + n \in \mathbb{N}$.
on the closed segment $[0, 1]$.

Pointwise limit of $\{f_n(x)\}$ is $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$, such that
 $\forall x \in E, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st $\forall n \geq N_0, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$

$$\text{then } f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n$$

Since $x \in [0, 1]$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x=1 \\ 0, & x \in [0, 1) \end{cases}$$

(*) For uniform convergence, $\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$f_n(x)$ is said to uniformly converge to $f(x)$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$,

st $\forall n > N_0$,

$$\therefore |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon, \forall x \in E$$

If $x \in [0, 1)$,

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |f_n(x)| < \epsilon$$

$$= |x^n| < \epsilon = x^n < \epsilon, \forall \epsilon > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{0, 1}$$

Assume $\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st the above statement is true (i.e. assume
fair uniform convergent).

Let $x = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{N_0+1}}$ and $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$

$$x^n = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{N_0+1}}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{N_0+1}} < \frac{1}{4} \quad \forall n > N_0$$

Take $n = N_0 + 1$,

~~$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{N_0+1}{N_0+1}} = \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{4} \rightarrow \text{False, i.e., Contradiction}$$~~

$\therefore f_n(x)$ is not uniform convergent.

Q. To Prove: $\exists x \in \mathbb{Q}'$ st $x^n \in \mathbb{Q}' \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define a set $A = \{(x, n) : x \in \mathbb{Q}', n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ st. } n \text{ is the min. possible no. for which } x^n \in \mathbb{Q}\}$.

Define a set $B = \{x^n : x \in \mathbb{Q}', n \in \mathbb{N}, x^n \in \mathbb{Q}\}$.

Define a $f: A \mapsto B$ st $f((x, n)) = x^n$.

f is a bijective function. To prove that:

(for injectivity,

$$f(x_1, n_1) = f(x_2, n_2)$$

$$= x_1^{n_1} = x_2^{n_2}$$

Case 1: $x_1 = x_2$, and $n_1 \neq n_2$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{n_1} &= x_2^{n_2} \\ \Rightarrow x_1^{\frac{n_1}{n_2}} &= x_2 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{n_1}{n_2} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow n_1 = n_2 \rightarrow \text{Not allowed}$

\therefore This case is not possible.

Case 2: $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $n_1 = n_2$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{n_1} &= x_2^{n_2} \\ \Rightarrow x_1^{n_1} &= x_2^{n_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1^{\frac{n_1}{n_1}} = x_2$$

$\Rightarrow x_1 = x_2 \rightarrow \text{Not allowed}$

\therefore This case is not possible.

$$\therefore x_1^{n_1} = x_2^{n_2} \Rightarrow (n_1, n_1) = (n_2, n_2)$$

$\therefore f((n_1, n_1)) = f((n_2, n_2)) \Rightarrow (n_1, n_1) = (n_2, n_2)$, i.e., f is injective.

For surjectivity,

Since every element of B is of the form x^n , where $x \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \exists elements of B \exists a corresponding element (n, n) of A such that $f(n, n) = x^n$.

$\therefore f(n, n)$ is surjective.

$\therefore f(n, n)$ is bijective.

Since every element of B is rational, $B \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$.

We know that \mathbb{Q} is countably infinite.

Since $B \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, B is also countably infinite.

Since \exists a bijection between A and B , A is also countably infinite.

A is countably infinite \Rightarrow \exists There are countably infinite $x \in \mathbb{Q}'$ such that $x^n \in \mathbb{Q}$ ~~where $n \in \mathbb{N}$~~ .

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the cond. is satisfied.

But, \mathbb{Q}' is uncountably infinite set.

$\therefore \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n \in \mathbb{Q}' \text{ } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since the set of n that satisfy the prev. cond is countably finite (and \mathbb{Q}' is uncountably finite, there should be a number that $\notin \mathbb{Q}'$ outside the set of n).