

Weakest Precondition Calculus

19CSE205 : PROGRAM REASONING

Dr. Swaminathan J

Assistant Professor

Department of Computer Science and Engineering



Jul - Dec 2020

- 1 Can proof constructions be automated?
- 2 Edsger W. Dijkstra
- 3 Weakest Precondition Calculus
- 4 Skip
- 5 Assignment
- 6 Sequence
- 7 Conditional branching
- 8 Proving theorems on program correctness

In the last lecture we saw the process of carrying out program proofs.

Source/Model
annotated with
specification



Verification
system



- YES, if able to prove.
- NO, if able to disprove.
- NO RESPONSE

So, how does the verification system construct proofs? Is it possible to automate the proof construction?

In the last lecture we saw the process of carrying out program proofs.



So, how does the verification system construct proofs? Is it possible to automate the proof construction?

- Dijkstra first provided a **procedural approach** to realize this objective in the form of Weakest Precondition calculus (topic of this lecture).
- This was followed by several improvisation and new techniques such as **model checking, design by contract, satisfiability modulo theories**, etc.
- The verification process demands lot of computing power. With the advancements in hardware speed, several tools are now available to construct proofs in an automated way.

Dijkstra has made phenomenal contributions to computer science. He was one of the reasons for computer science to become a separate discipline. He received the **ACM Turing Award** in the year 1972.



A subset of his works you will study in B.Tech

- Formal specification and verification
 - Weakest precondition calculus
- Concurrency control
 - Banker's algorithm to prevent deadlock
 - Semaphore: A synchronization mechanism
 - Dining Philosophers Problem
- Algorithms
 - Single source shortest path algorithm

Must read: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edsger_W._Dijkstra.

Dijkstra has made phenomenal contributions to computer science. He was one of the reasons for computer science to become a separate discipline. He received the **ACM Turing Award** in the year 1972.



A subset of his works you will study in B.Tech

- Formal specification and verification
 - Weakest precondition calculus
- Concurrency control
 - Banker's algorithm to prevent deadlock
 - Semaphore: A synchronization mechanism
 - Dining Philosophers Problem
- Algorithms
 - Single source shortest path algorithm

Must read: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edsger_W._Dijkstra.

Dijkstra has made phenomenal contributions to computer science. He was one of the reasons for computer science to become a separate discipline. He received the **ACM Turing Award** in the year 1972.



A subset of his works you will study in B.Tech

- Formal specification and verification
 - Weakest precondition calculus
- Concurrency control
 - Banker's algorithm to prevent deadlock
 - Semaphore: A synchronization mechanism
 - Dining Philosophers Problem
- Algorithms
 - Single source shortest path algorithm

Must read: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edsger_W._Dijkstra.

Weakest precondition calculus is a **deductive system**, proposed by Dijkstra, that provides an **algorithmic solution** to perform **symbolic execution** on program statements in the **backward direction** in order to deduce **predicates** that will guarantee a given **postcondition**.

Predicate	An expression that evaluates to either true or false.
Postcondition	A predicate that evaluates to true after execution a statement/block.
Precondition	A predicate which when true before execution of a statement/block ensures postcondition is true after execution of that statement/block.
Symbolic execution	An analysis technique that uses symbolic values to variables in an attempt to identify different execution paths that a program takes.
Deductive system	A system that uses axioms and rules of inference to prove theorems.

A weakest precondition P for a statement S given a postcondition Q is written as $P = wp(S, Q)$

1. Skip

A **skip** statement refers to a blank statement.

- A skip statement does not change the program state.
- More specifically, it doesn't affect the postcondition.

Consider the example below

P

S

skip

Q

$x > 0$

1. Skip

A **skip** statement refers to a blank statement.

- A skip statement does not change the program state.
- More specifically, it doesn't affect the postcondition.

Consider the example below

P $x > 0$

S **skip**

Q $x > 0$

$$\text{wp}(\text{skip}, Q) = Q$$

A **skip** statement refers to a blank statement.

- A skip statement does not change the program state.
- More specifically, it doesn't affect the postcondition.

Consider the example below

P $x > 0$

S **skip** $\text{wp}(\text{skip}, Q) = Q$

Q $x > 0$

$$\text{wp}(\text{skip}, x > 0) = x > 0$$

Each statement can be viewed as a **predicate transformer** that turns a precondition to a postcondition. $\text{wp}(S, Q)$ does the reverse transformation.

2. Assignment

A **assignment** statement is of the form **value = expression**.

- An assignment statement changes the program state.
- It results in the variable on the left hand side to change.

Consider the example below

P

S

$x = y + 5$

Q

$x > 7$

2. Assignment

A **assignment** statement is of the form **value = expression**.

- An assignment statement changes the program state.
- It results in the variable on the left hand side to change.

Consider the example below

P $y > 2$

S $x = y + 5$

Q $x > 7$

$$\text{wp}(x=E, Q) = Q[x \leftarrow E] = P$$

2. Assignment

A **assignment** statement is of the form **value = expression**.

- An assignment statement changes the program state.
- It results in the variable on the left hand side to change.

Consider the example below

P $y > 2$

S $x = y + 5$ $wp(x=E, Q) = Q[x \leftarrow E] = P$

Q $x > 7$

$$wp(x=y+5, x>7) = y+5>7 = y>2$$

A postcondition can have many preconditions. For the above example $y>2$, $y>3$, $y>100$ will all ensure the postcondition $x>7$. Among them $y>2$ is the least constraining condition and hence it is the weakest precondition.

3. Sequence

A **sequence** denotes a block of statements.

- A sequence usually results in change of state more than once.

P

$S_1 \quad y = z * 2$

Q

$S_2 \quad x = y + 5$

R $x > 7$

3. Sequence

A **sequence** denotes a block of statements.

- A sequence usually results in change of state more than once.

P

S_1 $y = z * 2$

Q $y > 2$

S_2 $x = y + 5$

R $x > 7$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wp}(S_2, R) &= \text{wp}(x = E_2, R) \\ &= R[x \leftarrow E_2] = Q \end{aligned}$$

3. Sequence

A **sequence** denotes a block of statements.

- A sequence usually results in change of state more than once.

P $z > 1$

S_1 $y = z * 2$

Q $y > 2$

S_2 $x = y + 5$

R $x > 7$

$$\begin{aligned} wp(S_1; S_2, R) &= wp(S_1, wp(S_2, R)) \\ &= wp(y = E_1, Q) \\ &= Q[y \leftarrow E_1] = P \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} wp(S_2, R) &= wp(x = E_2, R) \\ &= R[x \leftarrow E_2] = Q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} wp(y = z * 2; x = y + 5, x > 7) &= wp(y = z * 2, wp(x = y + 5, x > 7)) = wp(y = z * 2, y + 5 > 7) \\ &= wp(y = z * 2, y > 2) = z * 2 > 2 = z > 1 \end{aligned}$$

3. Sequence

A **sequence** denotes a block of statements.

- A sequence usually results in change of state more than once.

P $z > 1$

S_1 $y = z * 2$

Q $y > 2$

S_2 $x = y + 5$

R $x > 7$

$$\begin{aligned} wp(S_1; S_2, R) &= wp(S_1, wp(S_2, R)) \\ &= wp(y = E_1, Q) \\ &= Q[y \leftarrow E_1] = P \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} wp(S_2, R) &= wp(x = E_2, R) \\ &= R[x \leftarrow E_2] = Q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} wp(y = z * 2; x = y + 5, x > 7) &= wp(y = z * 2, wp(x = y + 5, x > 7)) = wp(y = z * 2, y + 5 > 7) \\ &= wp(y = z * 2, y > 2) = z * 2 > 2 = z > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Generalization: $wp(S_1; \dots; S_n, Q) = wp(S_1; \dots; S_{n-1}, P_{n-1}) \dots = wp(S_1, P_1) = P$
where $P_n = R$, $P_{i-1} = wp(S_i, P_i)$ and $P_0 = P$

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: **If** it rains **then** I will play chess **else** I will shop.

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND / OR?

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

① $B \Rightarrow S_1 \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow S_2$

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

② It rains \wedge I play chess

Its doesn't rain \wedge I shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

① $B \Rightarrow S_1 \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow S_2$

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

② It rains \wedge I play chess

AND / OR?

Its doesn't rain \wedge I shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

① $B \Rightarrow S_1 \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow S_2$

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

② It rains \wedge I play chess

OR

Its doesn't rain \wedge I shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

① $B \Rightarrow S_1 \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow S_2$

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

② It rains \wedge I play chess

OR

Its doesn't rain \wedge I shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

① $B \Rightarrow S_1 \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow S_2$

② $B \wedge S_1 \vee \neg B \wedge S_2$

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

② It rains \wedge I play chess

OR

Its doesn't rain \wedge I shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

① $B \Rightarrow S_1 \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow S_2$

② $B \wedge S_1 \vee \neg B \wedge S_2$

wp (if B then S_1 else S_2 , Q)

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

② It rains \wedge I play chess

OR

Its doesn't rain \wedge I shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

① $B \Rightarrow S_1 \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow S_2$

② $B \wedge S_1 \vee \neg B \wedge S_2$

wp (if B then S_1 else S_2 , Q)

$= B \Rightarrow \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

$= B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

A conditional is a control point that results in alternative execution paths. This has some subtle issues. We will do it in two steps.

Example: If it rains then I will play chess else I will shop.

① It rains \Rightarrow I will play chess

AND

It doesn't rain \Rightarrow I will shop

② It rains \wedge I play chess

OR

Its doesn't rain \wedge I shop

Generalizing, if B then S_1 else S_2

① $B \Rightarrow S_1 \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow S_2$

② $B \wedge S_1 \vee \neg B \wedge S_2$

wp (if B then S_1 else S_2 , Q)

$= B \Rightarrow \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

$= B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

B does not change state. Hence,
 $\text{wp}(B, Q)$ is not required.

4. Conditional branching

We will use: $\text{if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 = B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

P $y > 1$

S_1 if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$

S_2 else
 $x = y - 1$

Q $x > 0$

4. Conditional branching

We will use: $\text{if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 = B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

P $y > 1$

S_1 if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$

S_2 else
 $x = y - 1$

Q $x > 0$

$\text{wp}(\text{if } y < 0 \text{ then } x = y + 1 \text{ else } x = y - 1, x > 0)$

4. Conditional branching

We will use: $\text{if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 = B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

P $y > 1$

S_1 if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$
 S_2 else
 $x = y - 1$

Q $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wp}(\text{if } y < 0 \text{ then } x = y + 1 \text{ else } x = y - 1, x > 0) \\ = y < 0 \wedge \text{wp}(x = y + 1, x > 0) \vee \neg(y < 0) \wedge \text{wp}(x = y - 1, x > 0) \end{aligned}$$

4. Conditional branching

We will use: $\text{if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 = B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

P $y > 1$

S_1 if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$

S_2 else
 $x = y - 1$

Q $x > 0$

$\text{wp}(\text{if } y < 0 \text{ then } x = y + 1 \text{ else } x = y - 1, x > 0)$

$= y < 0 \wedge \text{wp}(x = y + 1, x > 0) \vee \neg(y < 0) \wedge \text{wp}(x = y - 1, x > 0)$

$= y < 0 \wedge y + 1 > 0 \qquad \vee \qquad y \geq 0 \wedge y - 1 > 0$

4. Conditional branching

We will use: $\text{if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 = B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

P $y > 1$

S_1 if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$

S_2 else
 $x = y - 1$

Q $x > 0$

$\text{wp}(\text{if } y < 0 \text{ then } x = y + 1 \text{ else } x = y - 1, x > 0)$

$$= y < 0 \wedge \text{wp}(x = y + 1, x > 0) \vee \neg(y < 0) \wedge \text{wp}(x = y - 1, x > 0)$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y + 1 > 0 \qquad \vee \qquad y \geq 0 \wedge y - 1 > 0$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y > -1 \qquad \vee \qquad y \geq 0 \wedge y > 1$$

4. Conditional branching

We will use: if B then S_1 else $S_2 = B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

P $y > 1$

S_1 if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$

S_2 else
 $x = y - 1$

Q $x > 0$

$\text{wp}(\text{if } y < 0 \text{ then } x = y + 1 \text{ else } x = y - 1, x > 0)$

$$= y < 0 \wedge \text{wp}(x = y + 1, x > 0) \vee \neg(y < 0) \wedge \text{wp}(x = y - 1, x > 0)$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y + 1 > 0 \qquad \vee \qquad y \geq 0 \wedge y - 1 > 0$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y > -1 \qquad \vee \qquad y \geq 0 \wedge y > 1$$

$$= \text{FALSE} \qquad \vee \qquad y > 1$$

4. Conditional branching

We will use: if B then S_1 else $S_2 = B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

P $y > 1$

S_1 if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$

S_2 else
 $x = y - 1$

Q $x > 0$

$\text{wp}(\text{if } y < 0 \text{ then } x = y + 1 \text{ else } x = y - 1, x > 0)$

$$= y < 0 \wedge \text{wp}(x = y + 1, x > 0) \vee \neg(y < 0) \wedge \text{wp}(x = y - 1, x > 0)$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y + 1 > 0 \qquad \vee \qquad y \geq 0 \wedge y - 1 > 0$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y > -1 \qquad \vee \qquad y \geq 0 \wedge y > 1$$

$$= \text{FALSE} \qquad \vee \qquad y > 1$$

$$= y > 1 \qquad = P$$

4. Conditional branching

We will use: $\text{if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 = B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

P $y > 1$

S_1 if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$
 S_2 else
 $x = y - 1$

What if we had
if..then without
the else part?

if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$

Q $x > 0$

$\text{wp}(\text{if } y < 0 \text{ then } x = y + 1 \text{ else } x = y - 1, x > 0)$

$$= y < 0 \wedge \text{wp}(x = y + 1, x > 0) \vee \neg(y < 0) \wedge \text{wp}(x = y - 1, x > 0)$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y + 1 > 0 \quad \vee \quad y \geq 0 \wedge y - 1 > 0$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y > -1 \quad \vee \quad y \geq 0 \wedge y > 1$$

$$= \text{FALSE} \quad \vee \quad y > 1$$

$$= y > 1 \quad = P$$

4. Conditional branching

We will use: $\text{if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 = B \wedge \text{wp}(S_1, Q) \vee \neg B \wedge \text{wp}(S_2, Q)$

P $y > 1$

S_1 if $y < 0$ then
 $x = y + 1$

S_2 else
 $x = y - 1$

What if we had
if..then without
the **else** part?

As good as \rightarrow

if $y < 0$ then

$x = y + 1$

else

$x = x$

Q $x > 0$

$\text{wp}(\text{if } y < 0 \text{ then } x = y + 1 \text{ else } x = y - 1, x > 0)$

$$= y < 0 \wedge \text{wp}(x = y + 1, x > 0) \vee \neg(y < 0) \wedge \text{wp}(x = y - 1, x > 0)$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y + 1 > 0 \quad \vee \quad y \geq 0 \wedge y - 1 > 0$$

$$= y < 0 \wedge y > -1 \quad \vee \quad y \geq 0 \wedge y > 1$$

$$= \text{FALSE} \quad \vee \quad y > 1$$

$$= y > 1 \quad = P$$

To conclude, by combining **skip**, **assignment**, **sequence** and **conditional branching** statements we can construct a wide variety of programs.

- We can hence deduce weakest precondition P for a program given Q .

Steps to prove program correctness

- Let S be the program.
Let P' be the input condition.
Let Q' be the output condition.

Theorem: Does $P' \Rightarrow Q'$?

Proof: Let postcondition $Q = Q'$

- 1 Obtain $P = wp(S, Q)$. i.e. $P \Rightarrow Q$
- 2 Check $P' \Rightarrow P$
Therefore $P' \Rightarrow P \Rightarrow Q = Q'$

Recall previous example:

Let $S: z = y * 2; x = y + 5;$

Let $Q': x > 6$

1. Given $P': z < 0$
We obtained $P: z > 1$
Does $z < 0 \Rightarrow z > 1$? No
2. Given $P': z > 5$
We obtained $P: z > 1$
Does $z > 5 \Rightarrow z > 1$? Yes

Note: We will cover loops later as it involves some intricacies.