

Lab4

Naveen (navga709), Sridhar(sriad858), Juan(juado206), Samia(sambu064)

December 13, 2019

Contents

Assignment 1	2
A - Test at the 5% level if there is any association between the groups of variables.	2
B - How many pairs of canonical variates are significant?	3
C - Interpret the significant squared canonical correlations.	3
D - Interpret the canonical variates by using the coefficients and suitable correlations.	4
E - Are the significant canonical variates good summary measures of the respective data sets? . . .	5
F - Give your opinion on the success of this canonical correlation analysis.	5

Assignment 1

A - Test at the 5% level if there is any association between the groups of variables.

```
data_1 <- read.table("P10-16.DAT")
data_1
```

	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5
1	1106.000	396.700	108.400	0.787	26.230
2	396.700	2382.000	1143.000	-0.214	-23.960
3	108.400	1143.000	2136.000	2.189	-20.840
4	0.787	-0.214	2.189	0.016	0.216
5	26.230	-23.960	-20.840	0.216	70.560

```
p = 3
q = 2
n = 46
alpha = 0.05

#Split the covariance matrix
S11 = as.matrix(data_1[1:p, 1:p])
S22 = as.matrix(data_1[(p+1):(p+q), (p+1):(p+q)])
S12 = as.matrix(data_1[1:p, (p+1):(p+q)])
S21 = as.matrix(data_1[(p+1):(p+q), 1:p])
S = as.matrix(data_1)

test_stat = (n - 1 - 0.5*(p + q + 1)) * log((det(S11) * det(S22))/det(S))
critical_value = qchisq(alpha, df=p*q)
cat("\nTest Stat : ", test_stat,
    ",\tCritical Value : ", critical_value,
    ",\tResult : ", test_stat > critical_value, "\n")
```

Test Stat : 13.74948 , Critical Value : 1.635383 , Result : TRUE

Using the formulas from the book we performed the test for association between the groups of variables at 5% level of significance. The result was that the two groups have a strong association and hence we are successful at rejecting the null hypothesis(zero association between the groups).

B - How many pairs of canonical variates are significant?

```
#calculate the eigen values and eigen vectors
e_mat = solve(sqrt(S11)) %*% S12 %*% solve(S22) %*% S21 %*% solve(sqrt(S11))
e = eigen(e_mat)

f_mat = solve(sqrt(S22)) %*% S21 %*% solve(S11) %*% S12 %*% solve(sqrt(S22))
f = eigen(f_mat)

sqCanonicalCorr = e$values

for(k in 1:q){
  temp = c("\nFirst", "\nSecond")
  test_stat = ( - log(prod(1 - sqCanonicalCorr[(k+1):3])) )*(n - 1 - 0.5 * (p+q+1))
  critical_value = qchisq(alpha, df=(p-k)*(q-k))
  cat(temp[k], "Canonical Correlation : ", test_stat,
      ",\tCritical Value : ", critical_value,
      ",\tResult : ", test_stat > critical_value, "\n")
}
```

First Canonical Correlation : 1.176238 , Critical Value : 0.1025866 , Result : TRUE

Second Canonical Correlation : 4.662937e-15 , Critical Value : 0 , Result : TRUE

There are two significant canonical variates. This is maximum possible number of variates for this data as the smaller group has just two members. Both the possible canonical variates are significant.

C - Interpret the significant squared canonical correlations.

```
sqCanonicalCorr[1:q]
```

```
[1] 1.55627405 0.02761715
```

The first two eigen values of the matrix

$$S_{11}^{-1/2} * S_{12} * S_{22}^{-1} * S_{21} * S_{11}^{-1/2}$$

are the significant squared canonical correlations.

D - Interpret the canonical variates by using the coefficients and suitable correlations.

```
#calculate the linear constants
a1 = t(t(as.matrix(e$variables[1,]))) %*% solve(sqrt(S11))
a2 = t(t(as.matrix(e$variables[2,]))) %*% solve(sqrt(S11))

b1 = t(t(as.matrix(f$variables[1,]))) %*% solve(sqrt(S22))
b2 = t(t(as.matrix(f$variables[2,]))) %*% solve(sqrt(S22))
```

$$a_1 = e'_1 * S_{11}^{-1/2}$$

$$U_1 = a_1 * X^1$$

```
t(a1)
```

```
          1          2          3
[1,] 0.03190054 -0.06528672 0.05237954
```

$$a_2 = e'_2 * S_{11}^{-1/2}$$

$$U_2 = a_2 * X^1$$

```
t(a2)
```

```
          1          2          3
[1,] -0.02687517 0.002375188 0.02001867
```

$$b_1 = e'_1 * S_{22}^{-1/2}$$

$$V_1 = b_1 * X^2$$

```
t(b1)
```

```
          4          5
[1,] -9.72274 0.5197661
```

$$b_2 = e'_2 * S_{22}^{-1/2}$$

$$V_2 = b_2 * X^2$$

```
t(b2)
```

```
          4          5
[1,] 2.057672 -0.2314993
```

E - Are the significant canonical variates good summary measures of the respective data sets?

Yes, we think that the canonical variates are a good summary measure of the respective dataset. As we can see from the dataset of covariance matrix, it is not calculated from a scaled dataset. This is the reason some of the variables have large variance and some have very small. The canonical covariates assigned to the variables with large variance are very small and the variables with small variance is large. So, we think that the canonical covariates are a good summary measure for this dataset.

F - Give your opinion on the success of this canonical correlation analysis.

In canonical correlation analysis we measure the relationship between the variables. CCA is useful and gives you successful results in some conditions. If data doesn't satisfy the conditions then the results might not be satisfactory, not successful analysis as well. For instance, canonical correlation will be greatly affected by the outliers and the variables should not be completely redundant. In our case, results were satisfactory and seems a successful analysis of canonical correlation.