1. SVM derivation:

Initially the margin boundaries are defined as $W-X+b=\pm 1$

Now let's replace 1 with constant θ , where $\theta > 0$ The margin boundaries looks as $\theta = \pm \theta$

Intuition:

- J, Firstly, SVM creates a hyperplane.
- 2. Now to construct this hyperplane, it takes help of other Support vectors
- 3. These are parallel and equidistant from each other.

 Now, the hyperplane becomes optimum hyperplane.

So, thow that optimum hyperplane is created, we need to maximise the distance between support victors to create max-margin hyperplane.

charly, in either case, Mx+b=0 will be our hyperplane

Margin distance = max $(\frac{28}{101})$

as y is constant \rightarrow max(margin distance) \Rightarrow min (1N1) Which is same as in case of N.x+b=±1

For mathematical convinience we'd write

max (Margin Distance) = min(1 | Mlt)

which is same for both, w.x+b=±1 and w.x+b=±3 but only the inequality constraint changes to

y; (w.1; +b) ≥ y. where y > 0

Required to show:
$$\frac{1}{2^{k}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i}$$

We have seen the optimal conditions in class that,

$$W = \sum_{j} x_{j} y_{j} x_{j}^{*}$$
 and $\sum_{j} a_{j} y_{j}^{*} = 0$.

The lagrangian addition to the primal is,

for margins, we know that y: (wxi+b)=1

Summing upon i,

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} (w \cdot x_i) \cdot x_i \cdot y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \cdot y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \cdot y_i$$

Since
$$W = \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} y_{i}^{i} x_{i}^{i}}}_{N}$$

 $(M \cdot M) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} y_{i}^{i}}_{i=1}}_{i=1}$

Since
$$\leq x_i y_i = 0$$

 $|w|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$

We have
$$|M| = \frac{1}{e} \Rightarrow |M|^{\nu} = \frac{1}{e^{\nu}}$$

$$\therefore \quad \frac{1}{e^{\nu}} = \underset{i=1}{\overset{N}{\leq}} \alpha_{i}$$

3, Given K1, K2 are valid kernel-functions.

Required to check validity of some kernels.

 $a, k(x_{12}) = k_1(x_{12}) + k_1(x_{12})$

kle can write $k_1(x,2) = \langle \phi_1(x), \phi_1(2) \rangle$

Similarly $K_2(x_1\pm) = \langle \phi_c(x), \phi_c(\pm) \rangle$

 $K_1(x,z)+K_2(x,z)=\langle\phi_i(x),\phi_i(z)\rangle+\langle\phi_i(x),\phi_i(z)\rangle$

 $= \langle [\phi_i(x) \phi_i(x)], [\phi_i(z) \phi_i(z)] \rangle$

It is in the form of inner product let's say $\phi' = \phi$, ϕ , and it can replace the equation of x, z

So it's a valid kernel.

 $b_1 = K_1(x_1 z) = K_1(x_1 z) K_2(x_1 z)$

It is trivial that the matrix k is product of matrices K1 and K2

Since K, and K2 are valid, K is also valid.

So it's a valid kernel.

C, $K(x,t) = h(K_1(x,t))$

Given h has all positive co-efficients, and is a polynomial function

from b, multiplication gives valid kernel.

from 10,, addition also gives valid kernel.

So, it's a ralid kernel.

We know from taylor's theorem that,

Replacing x with Ki (n, 2)

the equation turns out to be polynomial.

from (C_i) , polynomial function yields valid, knowlds, exp $(K_1(X_1\pm))$ is a valid knowld.

 $\mathcal{E}, \quad K(N, 2) = \exp\left(-\frac{(n-2)!^{\nu}}{2}\right)$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^{2}-|z|^{2}+2x^{2}}{2}\right)$$

=
$$\exp\left(-\frac{\ln v}{\sigma v}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{12v}{\sigma v}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{2\cdot x^{1}\cdot 2}{\sigma v}\right)$$

From b, multiplication yields valid kernel, From d, exponentiation yields valid kernel. So it's a valid kernel.