Topics in Coding Theory

Date - 3-3-2021

Lecture 11

Instructor: Dr. Prasad Krishnan

Scribe: Rasagna Chigullapally (20171003)

1 Reed-Muller Codes

We have already discussed Reed-Solomon codes which are single variable evaluation codes.(m(X) of deg \leq k-1 over \mathbb{F}_q - evaluate at some distinct points of \mathbb{F}_q to get codeword corresponding to m(X))

Generalized Reed-Muller Codes: These are evaluation based codes with multivariate polynomials for message $M(X_1, X_2, ... X_m)$ under some degree constraint(s).(These are evaluated at points from \mathbb{F}_q to get components of the codeword)

Discussion here is based on **Binary Reed-Muller codes** (under the total degree constraint). Note that for any α in \mathbb{F}_2 , $\alpha^2 = \alpha$.

1.1 Binary Reed Muller Codes

Set of message polynomials for RM code RM(m,r)

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ M(X_1, X_2, ..., X_m) = \sum_{(i_1, ..., i_m) \in \{0,1\}^m : \sum_{i=1}^m i_j \le r} a_{i_1, ..., i_m} X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} ... X_m^{i_m} : a_{i_1, ..., i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_2 \}$$

RM(m,r) code is defined as -

Codeword corresponding to message polynomial $M(X_1,..,X_m) = (M(X_1,..,X_m)|_{(X_1,..,X_m)\in\mathbb{F}_2^m})$ is of length $2^m = n$ (Coordinates of the codeword are indexed by the vector form \mathbb{F}_2^m).

$$RM(m,r)code = \{(M(X_1,..,X_m)|_{(X_1,..,X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}) : M(X_1,..,X_m) \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

Size of code = Number of message polynomials($|\mathcal{M}|$) = $2^{\sum_{j=0}^{r} {m \choose j}}$ (because any $0 \le j \le r$ variables of the m variables can be a monomial)

Example 1. RM(m=4,r=2)

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ M(X_1, X_2, ..., X_m) = a_{1100}X_1X_2 + a_{1010}X_1X_3 + a_{1001}X_1X_4 + a_{0110}X_2X_3 + a_{0101}X_2X_4 + a_{0011}X_3X_4 + a_{1000}X_1 + a_0100X_2 + a_{0010}X_3 + a_{0001}X_4 + a_{0000} : a_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4} \in \mathbb{F}_2 \}$$

Eg of message polynomial -

- $M(X_1,..,X_m) = X_1X_2 + X_3$
- $M(X_1,..,X_m)=1$
- $M(X_1,...,X_m) = X_1 + X_2 + 1$

Codeword corresponding to $(X_1X_2 + X_3) = (0_{(X_1X_2X_3X_4 = 0000)}, 0_{(1000)}, 1_{(1100)}, ...)$ - 16 length size of the code = $2^{11} = 2^{\sum_{j=0}^{2} {j \choose j}}$

Claim: This is a linear code. $(\alpha \underline{c}_1 + \underline{c}_2 \in \mathcal{C} \ \forall \underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2 \in \mathcal{C}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, \text{ since } \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_2, \text{ we only have to check sum of codewords is a codeword or not)}$

Proof. Let $\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2 \in \mathcal{C} = RM(m, r)$

Then $\underline{c}_1 + \underline{c}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ (why?)

since $\underline{c}_1,\underline{c}_2 \in \mathcal{C}, \exists M_1,M_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\underline{c}_1 = (M_1(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_2 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}), \underline{c}_3 = \{(M_2(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}),$$

Then

$$\underline{c}_1 + \underline{c}_2 = (M_3(X_1, ..., X_m)|_{(X_1, ..., X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m})$$

where $M_3 = M_1 + M_2$ (sum of polynomials).

But $M_3(X_1,..,X_m)$ obeys the same degree constraints as M_1,M_2 and hence $M_3 \in \mathcal{M}(\text{valid message polynomial})$.

 $\implies \underline{c}_1 + \underline{c}_2$ is the evaluation of a valid message polynomial $\implies \underline{c}_1 + \underline{c}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$.

Dimension of RM(m,r)=log₂ $|\mathcal{C}| = \sum_{j=0}^{r} {m \choose j} = k$ (no.of coefficients appearing in an arbitrary msg polynomial in \mathcal{M})

length of code $n = 2^m = no.$ of evaluations.

Rate =
$$\frac{k}{n} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{r} {m \choose j}}{2^m}$$

what about minimum distance?

Claim: $d_{min}(RM(m,r)) = 2^{m-r}$

Proof. Since RM(m,r) is a linear code d_{min} = minimum wt of non-zero codewords, Non-zero codewords correspond to evaluations of non-zero message polynomials.

Let $M(X_1,..,X_m)$ be an arbitrary non-zero polynomial.

In any non-zero msg polynomial, degree(monomial) \leq r. Assume that the max degree of any monomial in $M(X_1,..,X_m)$ is equal to l.

without loss of generality, let this be $X_1X_2..X_l$

Thus $M(X_1,..,X_m) = X_1 X_2 ... X_l + M^1(X_1,..,X_m)$ for some polynomial M^1 .

Now in the above, let $(X_{l+1}, ... X_m) = (0, ..., 0)$

 $\implies M(X_1,...,X_l,X_{l+1}=0,...,X_m=0)=X_1X_2..X_l+\text{some terms in }(X_1,X_2,...,X_l)=\text{non-zero polynomial}$

Since this is a non-zero polynomial in $(X_1,..,X_l)$, at least one evaluation of this polynomial will be non-zero. (Proof is continued in next class)