

Class 14

We saw defn of the dual code of a linear code \mathcal{C} in the quiz.

Defn:

Let \mathcal{C} be a $[n, k]$ linear code over \mathbb{F}_q .

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{C}^\perp (\text{perp}) = \left\{ \underset{\substack{\text{row vector}}}{\underline{v}} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \underset{\substack{\text{row}}}{\underline{v}} \underset{\substack{\text{column}}}{\underline{c}^T} = 0 \quad \forall \underline{c} \in \mathcal{C} \right\}$$

(this is a dot product but not inner product.)

This is not an 'inner product' as you might studied in std L. Alg course.

↓

In an inner product defn, $\langle \underline{v}, \underline{v} \rangle = 0$, then $\underline{v} = \underline{0}$

But here that will not always be true. For example, say
 $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is even weighted vector. Then $\underline{v}^T \underline{v} = 0 \pmod{2}$
 $\in \mathbb{F}_2$ operation

but \underline{v} need not be zero vector.

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \dim(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = n-k \\ \mathcal{C}^\perp \text{ is linear} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\perp \text{ is an } [n, n-k] \text{ linear code.}$

Easy to show

Duality Result for RM codes:

Claim: Let $C = \text{RM}(m, r)$. Then $C^\perp = \text{RM}(m, m-r-1)$

Proof: Let $\underline{v} \in \text{RM}(m, m-r-1)$ be an arbitrary codeword. Then there exists some msg poly $M_2(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ such that $\deg(M_2) \leq m-r-1$ &

$$\underline{v} = \left(M_2(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mid_{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m} \right)$$

We want to show $\underline{v} \in C^\perp$ (i.e. \underline{v} is orthogonal to each codeword in C).

Let \underline{c} be an arbitrary codeword in $C = \text{RM}(m, r)$. Then \exists some $M_1(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ such that $(M_1(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mid_{\mathbb{F}_2^m}) = \underline{c}$

Then clearly $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{c}^T = \sum_{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{F}_2^m} M_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \Big|_{\underline{x}=\underline{a}} M_2(x_1, \dots, x_m) \Big|_{\underline{x}=\underline{a}}$

(This add is mod 2 addition)

$$= \sum_{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{F}_2^m} (M_1(x_1, \dots, x_m) M_2(x_1, \dots, x_m)) \Big|_{\underline{x}=\underline{a}} \rightarrow \textcircled{I}$$

Let $M_3(x_1, \dots, x_m) = M_1 M_2$. Then $\deg(M_3) \leq (m-1) + 1 \leq m-1$

Now the vector $\underline{y} = (M_3(x_1, \dots, x_m) \Big|_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^m}) \in \underline{RM(m, m-1)}$.
 \rightarrow has min distance = 2.

Then $w_H(\underline{y}) \rightarrow$ weight which is even. [Exercise]
 Pls try!

Using this in \textcircled{I} implies the $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{c}^T = 0 \pmod{2}$

Thus every $\underline{v} \in RM(m, m-r-1)$ is orthogonal to \mathcal{C} .

$$\Rightarrow RM(m, m-r-1) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp \rightarrow \text{Step 1} \rightarrow \text{PTO}$$

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}) + \dim(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = n = 2^m$$

Now we know that

Now checking

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}) + \dim(RM(m, m-r-1)) = \dim(RM(m, r)) + \dim(RM(m, m-r-1))$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{m}{j} + \sum_{j'=0}^{m-r-1} \binom{m}{j'} \right\} \Rightarrow \dim(RM(m, m-r-1))$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{m}{j} + \sum_{j=r+1}^m \binom{m}{j} \right\} = 2^m$$

$$= \dim(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \rightarrow \text{Step 2} \rightarrow \text{PTO}$$

$$\Rightarrow RM(m, m-r-1)$$

$$= \mathcal{C}^\perp. \text{ Q.E.D.}$$

General idea to show equality of 2 subspaces of a vector space.

Let U, V be subspaces of v.s. W .

We want to check if $U = V$.

Step 1: Show that $U \subseteq V$ by proving every $\underline{u} \in U$

is also lying in \underline{V} .

Step 2: Instead of showing $V \subseteq U$, we can show (if that's easier), $\dim(U) = \dim(V)$.

By Step 1 & Step 2 we have proved $U = V$.

ASIDE:

Decoding of RM codes (Majority logic decoding - $O(n)$ steps for each coefficient of msg polynomial)

upto half of min distance

$$\left[\text{no of correctable errors} = \frac{d(e)-1}{2} \right]$$

[Unique decoding]

$\Rightarrow O(n k)$ total steps.

$$\text{Where } k = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{m}{i}$$

$\Rightarrow O(2^m k)$ steps.

Lemma (needed to see the decoding algo):

(will clarify this later)
unclear

Suppose we have a poly over \mathbb{F}_2

in l variables, of degree $< l$. (say $g(x_1, \dots, x_l)$
s.t. $\deg(g) < l$)

Then
$$\sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_e) \in \bar{A}_2^L} g(x_1, \dots, x_e) = 0.$$

Proof:

General Research Tip	Paper Reading Tip:
Statements of Theorems (or any claim) with general quantities cannot be proved completely via examples or particular values of the quantities.	BUT ^{for understanding}

the statement or for getting ideas for proof, plug in various values for the quantities REALLY HELPS!].