

Q4) local X foo in

local X in

foo = proc { \$Y } Y=X end

X=2

end

{foo X }

end

ST

(local... end,  $\phi$ )

SAS

$\phi$

---

{ local --- end, foo X,  $\phi$  }       $\bullet$   $\langle a \rangle, \langle P \rangle$

X  $\rightarrow$   $\langle a \rangle$

foo  $\rightarrow$   $\langle P \rangle$

---

foo = proc { \$Y } Y=X end, X=2

$\langle a \rangle, \langle P \rangle, \langle Y \rangle$

X  $\rightarrow$   ~~$\langle a \rangle$~~   $\langle Y \rangle$

foo  $\rightarrow$   $\langle P \rangle$

foo X

X  $\rightarrow$   $\langle a \rangle$

foo  $\rightarrow$   $\langle P \rangle$

---

{foo X }

X  $\rightarrow$   $\langle a \rangle$

foo  $\rightarrow$   $\langle P \rangle$

$\langle P \rangle$  = proc

\$Y Y=X end

$\langle Y \rangle = \underline{\underline{2}}$

$\langle a \rangle$

0

$$\langle p \rangle = \text{proc} - \dots$$

$$\langle a \rangle = 2$$

$$\langle y \rangle = \underline{\underline{2}}$$

# Question 5.)

## Semantic Stack

SAS'

local -- end ,  $\phi$

$\phi$

Record =

H = 1

Y = 2

T = 3

case --

end

Record  $\rightarrow \langle r \rangle$   $\langle r \rangle$

~~Record~~  
H  $\rightarrow \langle h \rangle$   $\langle h \rangle$

T  $\rightarrow \langle t \rangle$   $\langle t \rangle$

X  $\rightarrow \langle x \rangle$   $\langle x \rangle$

Y  $\rightarrow \langle y \rangle$   $\langle y \rangle$

case -- H  $\rightarrow \langle h \rangle$  Record  $\rightarrow \langle r \rangle$   
T  $\rightarrow \langle t \rangle$

end X  $\rightarrow \langle x \rangle$   
Y  $\rightarrow \langle y \rangle$

$\langle r \rangle$  ~~feature 1 = T~~  $\langle r \rangle$ . feature 2 = H

~~$\langle x \rangle$~~   $\langle t \rangle = 3$

$\langle y \rangle = 2$

$\langle h \rangle = 1$   $\langle x \rangle$

X = T

Record  $\rightarrow \langle r \rangle$

H  $\rightarrow \langle h \rangle$

T  $\rightarrow \langle t \rangle$

X  $\rightarrow \langle x \rangle$

Y  $\rightarrow \langle y \rangle$

$\langle r \rangle$ . feature 1 = T

$\langle r \rangle$ . feature 2 = H

$\langle t \rangle = 3$

$\langle y \rangle = 2$

$\langle h \rangle = 1$   $\langle x \rangle$

expression

will evaluate

to false

$\langle \sigma \rangle$ . feature 1 = T

$\langle \sigma \rangle$ . feature 2 = H

$\langle t \rangle = 3$

$\langle y \rangle = 2$

$\langle h \rangle = 1$

$\langle a \rangle = \underline{\underline{3'}}$

Qc)

$$A = \lambda xyz. xz(yz)$$

$$B = \lambda xy. x$$

$$ABB =$$

$$(\lambda xyz. xz(yz)) (\lambda xy. x) (\lambda xy. x)$$

$\downarrow \alpha$

$$(\lambda xyz. xz(yz)) (\lambda ab. a) (\lambda mn. m)$$

$\downarrow \beta$

$$\lambda z. (\lambda ab. a) z ((\lambda mn. m) z)$$

$\downarrow \beta$

$$\lambda z. \underline{z} = \text{identity } \underline{\text{term}}$$

---

~~ABB~~ ~~AST~~ ASTU = SU(TU)

$$BST = S$$

$$ABB\ X =$$

$\downarrow$  left associativity

$$= BX (BX)$$

$$= \underline{X}$$

$$ABBX = X \rightarrow \text{identity } \underline{\text{function}}$$

07) Assume that  $\lambda x.x$  and  $\lambda y.y$  can be obtained from same term - A

Then according to the Church-Rosser Theorem, we can say that -

$\exists P$  such that

$$(\lambda x.x) \xrightarrow{*} P \text{ and } (\lambda y.y) \xrightarrow{*} P$$

and there can be at most one normal form of any  $\lambda$  term.

But here both  $\lambda x.x$  and  $\lambda y.y$  are normal form of A

Therefore our assumption is wrong.  
hence contradiction

$$\underline{Q8)} \quad \text{ZERO} = [\neg x \cdot x (\neg T) T]$$

if negin inputs then this evaluates to true.

else it evaluates to false.

$$\text{ZERO } 0 =$$

$$(\neg x \cdot x (\neg T) T) 0$$

$$= 0 (\neg T) T \quad (\neg 0 \cdot 0)$$

$$= T$$

$$\text{ZERO } 1$$

$$= 1 (\neg T) T$$

$$= \neg T T = \underline{\underline{0}} T \quad \underline{\underline{\text{False}}}$$

$$(\neg x y z \cdot \text{ZERO}(x) y z)$$

↓

Required function