# Algebraic and Semi-Algebraic Reasoning For Formal Methods

Lecture 3 - Gröbner Bases and Nullstellensatz

Sriram Sankaranarayanan

#### So far

- $\bullet \ \ \mathrm{Ideals:} \ I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ 
  - $\bullet \quad p_1, p_2 \in I \ \Rightarrow \ p_1 + p_2 \in I.$
  - $p \in I, q \in \mathbb{R}[\vec{x}], pq \in I.$
- Varieties: Set of all points defined by common zeros of polynomials.

# **Ideal Membership Testing**

- Input Generators of an ideal  $\langle p_1,\dots,p_m \rangle$ ,  $p \in K[\vec{x}]$
- $\bullet \quad \textbf{Output} \ p \in I?$

#### **Monomial Ordering**

- We will impose a ordering relation over monomials.
- For a single variable, this is easy:

$$x^0 \prec x^1 \prec x^2 \prec \cdots \prec x^n \prec \cdots$$

What about multiple variables?

#### Requirements:

- $\bullet$   $\prec$  is a total order over monomials.
- $p \prec q$  implies forall  $w, pw \prec qw$ .
- ≺ is well order: every non-empty set has a least element.

#### Monomial ordering

- We can view it as an order between monomials  $\vec{x}^{\alpha}$ .
- Alternatively, ordering over  $\mathbb{N}^n$ .

$$\vec{x}^{\alpha_1} \prec \vec{x}^{\alpha_2} \ \Rightarrow \ \alpha_1 \prec \alpha_2$$

#### **Lexicographic Ordering**

- Fix a rank among variables  $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$ .
- Write each monomial as a vector  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .
  - Variables arranged in decreasing rank.
- Use lexicographic comparison:

$$(\vec{\alpha} \prec \vec{\beta}) \text{ iff } \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \cdots, \alpha_{i-1} = \beta_{i-1}, \ \alpha_i < \beta_i$$

 $\bullet \quad \mathsf{Take} \ x > y.$ 

#### **Lexicographic Ordering**

- Fix a rank among variables  $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$ .
- Write each monomial as a vector  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .
  - Variables arranged in decreasing rank.
- Use lexicographic comparison:

$$(\vec{\alpha} \prec \vec{\beta}) \text{ iff } \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \cdots, \alpha_{i-1} = \beta_{i-1}, \ \alpha_i < \beta_i$$

- $\bullet \quad \mathsf{Take} \ x > y.$
- $\qquad xy^{100} \prec x^2y^{10}$

#### **Lexicographic Ordering**

- Fix a rank among variables  $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$ .
- Write each monomial as a vector  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .
  - Variables arranged in decreasing rank.
- Use lexicographic comparison:

$$(\vec{\alpha} \prec \vec{\beta}) \text{ iff } \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \cdots, \alpha_{i-1} = \beta_{i-1}, \ \alpha_i < \beta_i$$

- $\bullet \quad \mathsf{Take} \ x > y.$
- $xy^{100} \prec x^2y^{10}$
- $xy^2 \prec xy^4$

$$\vec{lpha} \prec \vec{eta}$$
 iff

 $\bullet$  Either  $|\vec{\alpha}|_1 < |\vec{\beta}|_1$ , OR

$$\vec{\alpha} \prec \vec{\beta}$$
 iff

- Either  $|\vec{\alpha}|_1 < |\vec{\beta}|_1$ , OR

$$\vec{lpha} \prec \vec{eta}$$
 iff

- Either  $|\vec{\alpha}|_1 < |\vec{\beta}|_1$ , OR
- $\quad \quad |\vec{\alpha}|_1 = |\vec{\beta}|_1 \ \land \ \vec{\alpha} \prec_{\mathsf{lex}} \vec{\beta}.$
- $\bullet \quad \mathsf{Take} \ x > y.$

$$\vec{lpha} \prec \vec{eta}$$
 iff

- Either  $|\vec{\alpha}|_1 < |\vec{\beta}|_1$ , OR
- $\bullet \quad |\vec{\alpha}|_1 = |\vec{\beta}|_1 \ \land \ \vec{\alpha} \prec_{\mathsf{lex}} \vec{\beta}.$
- Take x > y.

$$\vec{\alpha} \prec \vec{\beta}$$
 iff

- Either  $|\vec{\alpha}|_1 < |\vec{\beta}|_1$ , OR
- $|\vec{\alpha}|_1 = |\vec{\beta}|_1 \wedge \vec{\alpha} \prec_{\mathsf{lex}} \vec{\beta}.$
- Take x > y.
- $x^2y^{10} \prec xy^{15}$
- $xy^2 \prec xy^4$

$$\vec{lpha} \prec \vec{eta}$$
 iff

- Either  $|\vec{\alpha}|_1 < |\vec{\beta}|_1$ , OR
- $|\vec{\alpha}|_1 = |\vec{\beta}|_1 \wedge \vec{\alpha} \prec_{\mathsf{lex}} \vec{\beta}.$
- $\bullet \quad \mathsf{Take} \ x > y.$
- $x^2y^{10} \prec xy^{15}$
- $xy^2 \prec xy^4$
- $y^3 \prec xy^2 \prec x^2y \prec x^3$

Let  $\prec$  be a monomial oder and p be a polynomial.

• LT(p): the term in p

$$p = 2xy + y^2 + 3x^2 + y^3$$

- Take  $\prec$  to be lexicographic order with x > y.
- $LT(p) = 3x^2$ ,  $LM(p) = x^2$ .
- How does the answer change if we used graded lex ordering?

Let  $\prec$  be a monomial oder and p be a polynomial.

- LT(p): the term in p
  - $c_{\alpha}x^{\alpha}$ , wherein  $\alpha$  is the greatest among all monomials in the  $\prec$  ordering.

$$p = 2xy + y^2 + 3x^2 + y^3$$

- Take  $\prec$  to be lexicographic order with x > y.
- $LT(p) = 3x^2$ ,  $LM(p) = x^2$ .
- How does the answer change if we used graded lex ordering?

Let  $\prec$  be a monomial oder and p be a polynomial.

- LT(p): the term in p
  - $c_{\alpha}x^{\alpha}$ , wherein  $\alpha$  is the greatest among all monomials in the  $\prec$  ordering.
- LM(p): the monomial in p

$$p = 2xy + y^2 + 3x^2 + y^3$$

- Take  $\prec$  to be lexicographic order with x > y.
- $LT(p) = 3x^2$ ,  $LM(p) = x^2$ .
- How does the answer change if we used graded lex ordering?

Let  $\prec$  be a monomial oder and p be a polynomial.

- LT(p): the term in p
  - $c_{\alpha}x^{\alpha}$ , wherein  $\alpha$  is the greatest among all monomials in the  $\prec$  ordering.
- LM(p): the monomial in p
  - $x^{\alpha}$ , wherein  $\alpha$  is the greatest among all monomials in the  $\prec$  ordering.

$$p = 2xy + y^2 + 3x^2 + y^3$$

- Take  $\prec$  to be lexicographic order with x > y.
- $LT(p) = 3x^2$ ,  $LM(p) = x^2$ .
- How does the answer change if we used graded lex ordering?

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- $\bullet$  First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:
  - $p = p 3x^2(x-2) = 4x^2 + 5$

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:
  - $p = p 3x^2(x-2) = 4x^2 + 5$
  - $q = q + 3x^2$

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:
  - $p = p 3x^2(x 2) = 4x^2 + 5$
  - $q = q + 3x^2$
- Multiply dividend by 4x and subtract.

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:

$$p = p - 3x^2(x-2) = 4x^2 + 5$$

- $q = q + 3x^2$
- Multiply dividend by 4x and subtract.
  - p = p 4x(x 2) = 8x + 5

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:
  - $p = p 3x^2(x-2) = 4x^2 + 5$
  - $q = q + 3x^2$
- Multiply dividend by 4x and subtract.
  - p = p 4x(x 2) = 8x + 5
  - $q = q + 4x = 3x^2 + 4x$

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:

$$p = p - 3x^2(x - 2) = 4x^2 + 5$$

$$q = q + 3x^2$$

• Multiply dividend by 4x and subtract.

• 
$$p = p - 4x(x - 2) = 8x + 5$$

• 
$$q = q + 4x = 3x^2 + 4x$$

Multiply div. by 8 and subtract.

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:

$$p = p - 3x^2(x - 2) = 4x^2 + 5$$

- $q = q + 3x^2$
- Multiply dividend by 4x and subtract.

• 
$$p = p - 4x(x - 2) = 8x + 5$$

• 
$$q = q + 4x = 3x^2 + 4x$$

- Multiply div. by 8 and subtract.
  - p = p 8(x 2) = 21

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:

$$p = p - 3x^2(x - 2) = 4x^2 + 5$$

$$q = q + 3x^2$$

• Multiply dividend by 4x and subtract.

• 
$$p = p - 4x(x - 2) = 8x + 5$$

• 
$$q = q + 4x = 3x^2 + 4x$$

Multiply div. by 8 and subtract.

• 
$$p = p - 8(x - 2) = 21$$

$$q = q + 8 = 3x^2 + 4x + 8.$$

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:

$$p = p - 3x^2(x - 2) = 4x^2 + 5$$

- $q = q + 3x^2$
- Multiply dividend by 4x and subtract.

• 
$$p = p - 4x(x - 2) = 8x + 5$$

• 
$$q = q + 4x = 3x^2 + 4x$$

- Multiply div. by 8 and subtract.
  - p = p 8(x 2) = 21
  - $q = q + 8 = 3x^2 + 4x + 8.$
- No more division possible.

$$(3x^3 - 2x^2 + 5) \div (x - 2)?$$

- $p = (3x^3 2x^2 + 5), q = 0.$
- First multiply dividend by  $3x^2$  and subtract:

$$p = p - 3x^2(x - 2) = 4x^2 + 5$$

- $q = q + 3x^2$
- Multiply dividend by 4x and subtract.

• 
$$p = p - 4x(x - 2) = 8x + 5$$

• 
$$q = q + 4x = 3x^2 + 4x$$

- Multiply div. by 8 and subtract.
  - p = p 8(x 2) = 21
  - $q = q + 8 = 3x^2 + 4x + 8.$
- No more division possible.
  - $q = 3x^2 + 4x + 8, r = 8.$

#### **Univariate Division**

Let  $f, g \in K[x]$  for field K.

```
• We can write f = qg + r,
```

•  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$ .

```
divide (f : K[x], g : K[x])
  p := f
  q := 0
  while (LT(g) divides LT(p) ):
     p := p - (LT(p)/LT(g)) g
     q := q + LT(p)/LT(g)
  r := p
```

Divide 
$$f: 2x^2y + 6y^2 + 4xy - 2x$$
 by

- $\quad \bullet \quad g_1:(y-2) \text{ and }$
- $f_1 = f 2y(x^2 + 3y) = 4xy 2x$

Divide  $f: 2x^2y + 6y^2 + 4xy - 2x$  by

- $\quad \bullet \quad g_1:(y-2) \text{ and }$
- $\quad \bullet \ \ g_2:(x^2+3y).$
- $f_1 = f 2y(x^2 + 3y) = 4xy 2x$
- $\bullet \ f_2 = f_1 4x(y-2) = 6x.$

Divide  $f: 2x^2y + 6y^2 + 4xy - 2x$  by

- $\quad \bullet \quad g_1:(y-2) \text{ and }$
- $f_1 = f 2y(x^2 + 3y) = 4xy 2x$
- $\bullet \ f_2 = f_1 4x(y-2) = 6x.$
- No more divisions possible.

Divide  $f: 2x^2y + 6y^2 + 4xy - 2x$  by

- $\quad \bullet \quad g_1:(y-2) \text{ and }$
- $f_1 = f 2y(x^2 + 3y) = 4xy 2x$
- $f_2 = f_1 4x(y-2) = 6x$ .
- No more divisions possible.
- $f = 2yg_1 + 4xg_2 + 6x$ .

#### Rewriting System

$$f \xrightarrow{g_i} f'$$

- Choose a term t in f.
  - *LT*(*q<sub>i</sub>*) must divide *t*.
- $f' = f \frac{t}{LT(q_i)} g_i$
- Gets rid of t, replacing it with smaller terms.

$$f: \ 2x^2y + 6y^2 + 4xy - 2x$$

$$f \xrightarrow{y-2} (2x^2y + 6y^2 + 4xy - 2x) - 2x^2(y-2)$$
$$= 4x^2 + 6y^2 + 4xy - 2x$$

#### **Rewriting System**

Polynomial division: f with  $g_1,\dots,g_m.$ 

$$\quad \bullet \quad f \xrightarrow{g_1} f_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} f_2 \cdots \xrightarrow{g_i} \cdots f_m.$$

## **Rewriting System**

Polynomial division: f with  $g_1,\ldots,g_m$ .

- $\quad \bullet \quad f \xrightarrow{g_1} f_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} f_2 \cdots \xrightarrow{g_i} \cdots f_m.$
- Terminating? Yes, how do we prove it?

# **Rewriting System**

Polynomial division: f with  $g_1,\dots,g_m.$ 

- Terminating? Yes, how do we prove it?
- Confluent? (i.e, unique normal form?)

# **Rewriting System**

Polynomial division: f with  $g_1,\dots,g_m.$ 

- Terminating? Yes, how do we prove it?
- Confluent? (i.e, unique normal form?)
  - Not necessarily.

## **Multivariate Division**

- Result is not unique
- It depends on the order in which we divide.

Divide f by  $g_1,\dots,g_m$  (in  $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ ):

Fix monomial order ≺.

- Fix monomial order ≺.
- $\blacksquare \ \ \text{Initialize} \ p=f, q_1=0,\dots,q_m=0, r=0.$

- Fix monomial order ≺.
- Initialize  $p = f, q_1 = 0, \dots, q_m = 0, r = 0.$
- While  $p \neq 0$ :

- Fix monomial order ≺.
- Initialize  $p = f, q_1 = 0, \dots, q_m = 0, r = 0.$
- While  $p \neq 0$ :
  - if  $\exists i, LT(g_i) \mid LT(p)$  then:

- Fix monomial order ≺.
- Initialize  $p = f, q_1 = 0, \dots, q_m = 0, r = 0.$
- While  $p \neq 0$ :
  - $\qquad \text{if } \exists i, LT(g_i) \mid LT(p) \text{ then:} \\$ 
    - $\bullet \quad p := p (LT(p)/LT(g_i))g_i$

- Fix monomial order ≺.
- Initialize  $p = f, q_1 = 0, \dots, q_m = 0, r = 0.$
- While  $p \neq 0$ :
  - if  $\exists i, LT(g_i) \mid LT(p)$  then:
    - $\bullet \quad p := p (LT(p)/LT(g_i))g_i$
    - $\quad \bullet \quad q_i := q_i + LT(p)/LT(g_i)$

- Fix monomial order ≺.
- Initialize  $p = f, q_1 = 0, \dots, q_m = 0, r = 0.$
- While  $p \neq 0$ :
  - if  $\exists i, LT(g_i) \mid LT(p)$  then:
    - $\bullet \quad p := p (LT(p)/LT(g_i))g_i$
    - $\quad \bullet \quad q_i := q_i + LT(p)/LT(g_i)$
  - else:

- Fix monomial order ≺.
- Initialize  $p = f, q_1 = 0, \dots, q_m = 0, r = 0.$
- While  $p \neq 0$ :
  - if  $\exists i, LT(g_i) \mid LT(p)$  then:
    - $\bullet \quad p := p (LT(p)/LT(g_i))g_i$
    - $\quad \bullet \quad q_i := q_i + LT(p)/LT(g_i)$
  - else:
    - $\quad \mathbf{p} := p LT(p)$

- Fix monomial order ≺.
- Initialize  $p = f, q_1 = 0, \dots, q_m = 0, r = 0.$
- While  $p \neq 0$ :
  - if  $\exists i, LT(g_i) \mid LT(p)$  then:
    - $\bullet \quad p := p (LT(p)/LT(g_i))g_i$
    - $\quad \bullet \quad q_i := q_i + LT(p)/LT(g_i)$
  - else:
    - p := p LT(p)
    - $\quad \bullet \quad r := r + LT(p)$

- Fix monomial order ≺.
- $\bullet \ \ \text{Initialize} \ p=f, q_1=0,\dots,q_m=0, r=0.$
- While  $p \neq 0$ :
  - if  $\exists i, LT(g_i) \mid LT(p)$  then:
    - $\bullet \quad p := p (LT(p)/LT(g_i))g_i$
    - $\quad \bullet \quad q_i := q_i + LT(p)/LT(g_i)$
  - else:
    - p := p LT(p)
    - r := r + LT(p)
- $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{return} \ q_1, \dots, q_m, r$

# **Reminder Properties**

Divide 
$$f$$
 by  $g_1,\dots,g_m$  (in  $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$  ): 
$$f=q_1g_1+\dots+q_mg_m+r$$

What can we say about  $q_i, r$ ?

 $\bullet \quad \text{No term in } r \text{ is divisible by } LT(g_i) \text{ for any } i.$ 

# **Reminder Properties**

Divide 
$$f$$
 by  $g_1,\dots,g_m$  (in  $K[x_1,\dots,x_n])$ :

$$f=q_1g_1+\cdots+q_mg_m+r$$

What can we say about  $q_i, r$ ?

- No term in r is divisible by  $LT(g_i)$  for any i.
- $\qquad \text{If } q_ig_i \neq 0 \text{, then } LT(q_ig_i) \preceq LT(f).$

# **Reminder Properties**

Divide 
$$f$$
 by  $g_1,\dots,g_m$  (in  $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ ):

$$f = q_1 g_1 + \dots + q_m g_m + r$$

What can we say about  $q_i, r$ ?

- No term in r is divisible by  $LT(g_i)$  for any i.
- If  $q_ig_i \neq 0$ , then  $LT(q_ig_i) \leq LT(f)$ .
  - Let's call this no higher degree cancellation property.

# **Ideal Membership Problem**

Input  $\langle g_1,\dots,g_m \rangle, \ f \in k[x_1,\dots,x_n].$ 

Output  $f \in \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ .

$$f = q_1 g_1 + \dots + q_m g_m + r$$

Claim If r=0 then  $f \in \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ .

Q: Does the converse hold?

Take 
$$I = \langle xy^2 - x - y, x^2y - x - y \rangle$$
.

- Let ≺ be graded lex ordering.
- $\begin{array}{ll} & y^2-x^2\in I \text{ since} \\ & (y^2-x^2)=x\times (xy^2-x-y)-y\times (x^2y-x-y). \end{array}$

Take 
$$I = \langle xy^2 - x - y, x^2y - x - y \rangle$$
.

- Let ≺ be graded lex ordering.
- Reminder upon dividing  $y^2 x^2$  w.r.t  $xy^2 x y, x^2y x y$ ?

Take 
$$I = \langle xy^2 - x - y, x^2y - x - y \rangle$$
.

- Let ≺ be graded lex ordering.
- $\begin{array}{ll} & y^2-x^2\in I \text{ since} \\ & (y^2-x^2)=x\times (xy^2-x-y)-y\times (x^2y-x-y). \end{array}$
- Reminder upon dividing  $y^2 x^2$  w.r.t  $xy^2 x y$ ,  $x^2y x y$ ?
  - $\bullet \quad \text{Answer } r=y^2-x^2.$

Take 
$$I = \langle xy^2 - x - y, x^2y - x - y \rangle$$
.

- Let ≺ be graded lex ordering.
- $\begin{array}{ll} & y^2-x^2\in I \text{ since} \\ & (y^2-x^2)=x\times (xy^2-x-y)-y\times (x^2y-x-y). \end{array}$
- Reminder upon dividing  $y^2 x^2$  w.r.t  $xy^2 x y$ ,  $x^2y x y$ ?
  - $\bullet \quad \text{Answer } r = y^2 x^2.$
- Issue : Proving membership of  $y^2-x^2$  requires higher degree term cancellation.

Take 
$$I = \langle xy^2 - x - y, x^2y - x - y \rangle$$
.

- Let ≺ be graded lex ordering.
- $\begin{array}{ll} & y^2-x^2\in I \text{ since}\\ & (y^2-x^2)=x\times (xy^2-x-y)-y\times (x^2y-x-y). \end{array}$
- Reminder upon dividing  $y^2 x^2$  w.r.t  $xy^2 x y$ ,  $x^2y x y$ ?
  - Answer  $r = y^2 x^2$ .
- Issue : Proving membership of  $y^2 x^2$  requires higher degree term cancellation.
- However, remember polynomial division has the no higher degree cancellation property.

 Formulated by Büchberger in 1965: Named after his PhD advisor Wolfgang Gröbner!

- Formulated by Büchberger in 1965: Named after his PhD advisor Wolfgang Gröbner!
- Es un gran ganga!

- Formulated by Büchberger in 1965: Named after his PhD advisor Wolfgang Gröbner!
- Es un gran ganga!
- $\bullet \ \ \text{We are given an ideal} \ I=\langle g_1,\dots,g_m\rangle.$

- Formulated by Büchberger in 1965: Named after his PhD advisor Wolfgang Gröbner!
- Es un gran ganga!
- We are given an ideal  $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ .
- Polynomial division is unable to test for membership.

- Formulated by Büchberger in 1965: Named after his PhD advisor Wolfgang Gröbner!
- Es un gran ganga!
- We are given an ideal  $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ .
- Polynomial division is unable to test for membership.
  - Due to higher degree cancellation problem.

- Formulated by Büchberger in 1965: Named after his PhD advisor Wolfgang Gröbner!
- Es un gran ganga!
- $\bullet \ \ \mbox{We are given an ideal } I=\langle g_1,\dots,g_m\rangle.$
- Polynomial division is unable to test for membership.
  - Due to higher degree cancellation problem.
- Compute a different basis  $\langle p_1, \dots, p_K \rangle \equiv \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle.$

- Formulated by Büchberger in 1965: Named after his PhD advisor Wolfgang Gröbner!
- Es un gran ganga!
- $\bullet \ \ \mbox{We are given an ideal } I=\langle g_1,\dots,g_m\rangle.$
- Polynomial division is unable to test for membership.
  - Due to higher degree cancellation problem.
- Compute a different basis  $\langle p_1, \dots, p_K \rangle \equiv \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ .
  - Generates the same ideal.

- Formulated by Büchberger in 1965: Named after his PhD advisor Wolfgang Gröbner!
- Es un gran ganga!
- $\bullet \ \ \mbox{We are given an ideal } I=\langle g_1,\ldots,g_m\rangle.$
- Polynomial division is unable to test for membership.
  - Due to higher degree cancellation problem.
- Compute a different basis  $\langle p_1,\ldots,p_K\rangle \equiv \langle g_1,\ldots,g_m\rangle.$ 
  - Generates the same ideal.
- Guarantee:  $f \in I$  if and only if polynomial division of f w.r.t  $p_1, \ldots, p_K$  yields remainder 0.

Gröbner Basis and Büchberger's

**Algorithm** 

- $\bullet \quad I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n],$
- Closed under addition:

• 
$$f_1, f_2 \in I \implies f_1 + f_2 \in I$$
.

- Closed under multiplication with any element:
  - $f \in I, g \in K[\vec{x}] \Rightarrow gf \in I$ .
- $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle = \{\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_i \mid \lambda_i \in K[\vec{x}]\}.$

- $\bullet \quad I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n],$
- Closed under addition:

$$f_1, f_2 \in I \Rightarrow f_1 + f_2 \in I.$$

- Closed under multiplication with any element:
  - $f \in I, g \in K[\vec{x}] \Rightarrow gf \in I$ .
- $\langle g_1,\ldots,g_m\rangle=\{\sum_{i=1}^m\lambda_ig_i\mid \lambda_i\in K[\vec{x}]\}.$
- $\bullet$  Can any ideal I be written as  $I=\langle g_1,\dots,g_l\rangle$  for a finite l?

- $\bullet \quad I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n],$
- Closed under addition:
  - $\bullet \quad f_1, f_2 \in I \ \Rightarrow \ f_1 + f_2 \in I.$
- Closed under multiplication with any element:
  - $f \in I, g \in K[\vec{x}] \Rightarrow gf \in I$ .
- $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle = \{ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_i \mid \lambda_i \in K[\vec{x}] \}.$
- $\bullet$  Can any ideal I be written as  $I=\langle g_1,\dots,g_l\rangle$  for a finite l?
  - Si se puede!

- $\bullet \quad I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n],$
- Closed under addition:
  - $\bullet \quad f_1, f_2 \in I \ \Rightarrow \ f_1 + f_2 \in I.$
- Closed under multiplication with any element:
  - $f \in I, g \in K[\vec{x}] \Rightarrow gf \in I$ .
- $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle = \{ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_i \mid \lambda_i \in K[\vec{x}] \}.$
- Can any ideal I be written as  $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_l \rangle$  for a finite l?
  - Si se puede!
  - Hilbert's finite basis theorem.

### Hilbert's Finite Basis Theorem

Hilbert, David (1890). "Über die Theorie der algebraischen Formen". Mathematische Annalen. 36 (4): 473–534.

Any ideal I over  $K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ , where K is a field, can be written  $I=\langle g_1,\ldots,g_m\rangle$  for a finite set of generators.

**Corollary:** Any increasing chain of ideals converges:

$$I_1\subseteq I_2\subseteq I_3\subseteq\cdots I_N\subseteq\cdots$$

- $\quad \blacksquare \ \exists j \geq 1 \text{ such that } I_j = I_{j+1} = \cdots.$
- Modern terminology  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a Noetherian Ring.

- ullet Consider the set of all leading terms of I.
  - $J = \{ LT(p) \mid p \in I \}.$
  - ullet Consider the ideal generated by J.

Example: 
$$I = \{x^2, x^2y, 2x^3, \frac{1}{2}x^2y^2 + x^2 + x^2y, \cdots \}$$

• 
$$LT(I) = \{x^2, x^2y, x^3, \frac{1}{2}x^2y^2, \cdots\}$$

- Consider the set of all leading terms of I.
  - $J = \{ LT(p) \mid p \in I \}.$
  - ullet Consider the ideal generated by J.

Example: 
$$I = \{x^2, x^2y, 2x^3, \frac{1}{2}x^2y^2 + x^2 + x^2y, \cdots \}$$

- $LT(I) = \{x^2, x^2y, x^3, \frac{1}{2}x^2y^2, \cdots\}$
- Since K is a field, the coefficients can be set to 1.

- ullet Consider the set of all leading terms of I.
  - $J = \{ LT(p) \mid p \in I \}.$
  - ullet Consider the ideal generated by J.

Example: 
$$I = \{x^2, x^2y, 2x^3, \frac{1}{2}x^2y^2 + x^2 + x^2y, \cdots \}$$

- $\qquad LT(I) = \{x^2, x^2y, x^3, \tfrac{1}{2}x^2y^2, \cdots \}$
- Since K is a field, the coefficients can be set to 1.
- Monomial ideal: ideal generated by a set of monomials.

#### Dickson's Lemma

- Every monomial ideal is finitely generated.
- TODO: include a nice picture visualizing this.
  - Monomial ideals as a subset of points in  $\mathbb{N}^n$ .
  - Closed under addition.

ullet Consider the set of all leading terms of I.

- Consider the set of all leading terms of *I*.
  - $\quad \blacksquare \quad J = \{LT(p) \mid p \in I\}.$

- Consider the set of all leading terms of *I*.
  - $J = \{LT(p) \mid p \in I\}.$
  - ullet Consider the ideal generated by J.

- Consider the set of all leading terms of I.
  - $J = \{LT(p) \mid p \in I\}.$
  - ullet Consider the ideal generated by J.
  - Dickson's Lemma J is finitely generated.

$$\langle J \rangle = \langle m_1, \dots, m_k \rangle$$

- Consider the set of all leading terms of I.
  - $J = \{ LT(p) \mid p \in I \}.$
  - Consider the ideal generated by J.
  - **Dickson's Lemma** *J* is finitely generated.

$$\langle J \rangle = \langle m_1, \dots, m_k \rangle$$

- Consider the basis  $g_1,\dots,g_k\in I$  such that  $LT(g_i)=m_i.$ 

- ullet Consider the set of all leading terms of I.
  - $J = \{ LT(p) \mid p \in I \}.$
  - ullet Consider the ideal generated by J.
  - Dickson's Lemma J is finitely generated.

$$\langle J \rangle = \langle m_1, \dots, m_k \rangle$$

- Consider the basis  $g_1,\dots,g_k\in I$  such that  $LT(g_i)=m_i.$
- $\bullet \quad \mathsf{Claim} \colon I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$

Claim: 
$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$$

 $\bullet \ \langle g_1,\ldots,g_k\rangle\subseteq I \text{, since } g_1,\ldots,g_k\in I.$ 

Claim: 
$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$$

- $\bullet \ \langle g_1,\ldots,g_k\rangle\subseteq I \text{, since } g_1,\ldots,g_k\in I.$
- $\bullet \ \ \text{To prove:} \ I\subseteq \langle g_1,\dots,g_k\rangle.$

Claim: 
$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$$

- $\langle g_1,\ldots,g_k\rangle\subseteq I$ , since  $g_1,\ldots,g_k\in I$ .
- To prove:  $I \subseteq \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ .
  - $\qquad \text{Contradiction: let } p \in I \text{ but } p \notin \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle.$

Claim: 
$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$$

- $\langle g_1,\ldots,g_k\rangle\subseteq I$ , since  $g_1,\ldots,g_k\in I$ .
- To prove:  $I \subseteq \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ .
  - $\bullet \quad \text{Contradiction: let } p \in I \text{ but } p \notin \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle.$
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Consider polynomial division of p w.r.t  $g_1,\ldots,g_k.$

Claim: 
$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$$

- $\langle g_1,\ldots,g_k\rangle\subseteq I$ , since  $g_1,\ldots,g_k\in I$ .
- To prove:  $I \subseteq \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ .
  - $\qquad \text{Contradiction: let } p \in I \text{ but } p \notin \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle.$
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Consider polynomial division of p w.r.t  $g_1,\ldots,g_k.$
  - $p = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i g_i + r$ , where  $r \neq 0$ .

Claim: 
$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$$

- $\langle g_1,\ldots,g_k\rangle\subseteq I$ , since  $g_1,\ldots,g_k\in I$ .
- To prove:  $I \subseteq \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ .
  - $\bullet \quad \text{Contradiction: let } p \in I \text{ but } p \notin \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle.$
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Consider polynomial division of p w.r.t  $g_1,\ldots,g_k.$
  - $p = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i g_i + r$ , where  $r \neq 0$ .
  - $r = \left(p \sum_{i=1}^k q_i g_i\right) \in I.$

Claim: 
$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$$

- $\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle \subseteq I$ , since  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in I$ .
- To prove:  $I \subseteq \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ .
  - $\bullet \quad \text{Contradiction: let } p \in I \text{ but } p \notin \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle.$
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Consider polynomial division of p w.r.t  $g_1,\ldots,g_k.$
  - $p = \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i g_i + r$ , where  $r \neq 0$ .
  - $r = \left(p \sum_{i=1}^k q_i g_i\right) \in I.$
  - $\ \ \,$  However, LT(r) is not divisible by  $LT(g_i).$

Claim: 
$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$$

- $\langle g_1,\ldots,g_k\rangle\subseteq I$ , since  $g_1,\ldots,g_k\in I$ .
- To prove:  $I \subseteq \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ .
  - $\bullet \quad \text{Contradiction: let } p \in I \text{ but } p \notin \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle.$
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Consider polynomial division of p w.r.t  $g_1,\ldots,g_k.$
  - $p = \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i g_i + r$ , where  $r \neq 0$ .
  - $r = \left( p \sum_{i=1}^k q_i g_i \right) \in I.$
  - $\ \ \,$  However, LT(r) is not divisible by  $LT(g_i).$
  - $r \notin I$ .

Claim: 
$$I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$$

- $\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle \subseteq I$ , since  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in I$ .
- To prove:  $I \subseteq \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ .
  - $\bullet \quad \text{Contradiction: let } p \in I \text{ but } p \notin \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle.$
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Consider polynomial division of p w.r.t  $g_1,\ldots,g_k.$
  - $p = \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i g_i + r$ , where  $r \neq 0$ .

  - However, LT(r) is not divisible by  $LT(g_i)$ .
  - $r \notin I$ .
  - Contradiction!

Consider ideal  $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Let  $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$  such that

$$\langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle = \langle LT(I) \rangle$$

lacksquare G appeared in the proof of Hibert's theorem.

Consider ideal  $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

$$\langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle = \langle LT(I) \rangle$$

- G appeared in the proof of Hibert's theorem.
- $\bullet \ \ \mathrm{Let} \ p \in I \text{, consider } r \text{ reminder of } p \text{ divided by } g_1, \dots, g_m.$

Consider ideal  $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

$$\langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle = \langle LT(I) \rangle$$

- G appeared in the proof of Hibert's theorem.
- $\bullet \ \ {\rm Let} \ p \in I \mbox{, consider } r \mbox{ reminder of } p \mbox{ divided by } g_1, \ldots, g_m.$ 
  - Claim: r = 0.

Consider ideal  $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

$$\langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle = \langle LT(I) \rangle$$

- G appeared in the proof of Hibert's theorem.
- Let  $p \in I$  , consider r reminder of p divided by  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ 
  - Claim: r = 0.
- $\bullet$  Contradiction:  $r = (p \sum_{i=1}^m q_i g_i) \in I.$

Consider ideal  $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

$$\langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle = \langle LT(I) \rangle$$

- G appeared in the proof of Hibert's theorem.
- Let  $p \in I$ , consider r reminder of p divided by  $g_1, \dots, g_m.$ 
  - Claim: r = 0.
- $\bullet$  Contradiction:  $r = (p \sum_{i=1}^m q_i g_i) \in I.$ 
  - $\ \ \,$  However, LT(r) is not divisible by  $LT(g_i).$

Consider ideal  $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

$$\langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle = \langle LT(I) \rangle$$

- G appeared in the proof of Hibert's theorem.
- Let  $p \in I$ , consider r reminder of p divided by  $g_1, \dots, g_m.$ 
  - Claim: r = 0.
- Contradiction:  $r = (p \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i g_i) \in I$ .
  - $\bullet \quad \text{However, } LT(r) \text{ is not divisible by } LT(g_i).$
  - $\quad \blacksquare \quad r \in I \text{, } LT(r) \in LT(I) \text{ but } LT(r) \notin \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle.$

Consider ideal  $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

$$\langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle = \langle LT(I) \rangle$$

- G appeared in the proof of Hibert's theorem.
- Let  $p \in I$ , consider r reminder of p divided by  $g_1, \dots, g_m.$ 
  - Claim: r = 0.
- Contradiction:  $r = (p \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i g_i) \in I$ .
  - $\bullet$  However, LT(r) is not divisible by  $LT(g_i).$
  - $\bullet \quad r \in I \text{, } LT(r) \in LT(I) \text{ but } LT(r) \not\in \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle.$
  - Contradiction!

Consider ideal  $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

$$\langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle = \langle LT(I) \rangle$$

- G appeared in the proof of Hibert's theorem.
- $\ \ \, \text{Let}\; p \in I \text{, consider}\; r \; \text{reminder of}\; p \; \text{divided by}\; g_1, \ldots, g_m.$ 
  - Claim: r = 0.
- Contradiction:  $r = (p \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i g_i) \in I$ .
  - $\bullet$  However, LT(r) is not divisible by  $LT(g_i).$
  - $r \in I$ ,  $LT(r) \in LT(I)$  but  $LT(r) \notin \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_m) \rangle$ .
  - Contradiction!
- G is called a Gröbner basis of I.

## **S-Polynomials**

Take two polynomials  $g_1, g_2$ .

$$S(g_1,g_2) = \frac{L(g_1,g_2)}{LT(g_1)}g_1 - \frac{L(g_1,g_2)}{LT(g_2)}g_2$$

- $\bullet \ L(g_1,g_2)$  is the smallest degree monomial divisible by both  $LT(g_1)$  and  $LT(g_2).$
- $LT(g_1) = a_1 x^{\alpha_1}, LT(g_2) = a_2 x^{\alpha_2}.$ 
  - $\quad \blacksquare \ L(g_1,g_2) = x^{\max(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}.$
- Forces cancellation of the leading terms.

•  $g_1 = xy^2 - x - y, g_2 = x^2y - x - y.$ 

• 
$$g_1 = xy^2 - x - y, g_2 = x^2y - x - y.$$

$$\quad L(g_1,g_2) = x^2 y^2.$$

- $g_1 = xy^2 x y, g_2 = x^2y x y.$
- $L(g_1, g_2) = x^2 y^2.$
- $\qquad \qquad \qquad S(g_1,g_2) = \frac{x^2y^2}{xy^2}(g_1) \frac{x^2y^2}{x^2y}(g_2)$

• 
$$g_1 = xy^2 - x - y, g_2 = x^2y - x - y.$$

• 
$$L(g_1, g_2) = x^2 y^2$$
.

$$S(g_1, g_2) = \frac{x^2 y^2}{xy^2} (g_1) - \frac{x^2 y^2}{x^2 y} (g_2)$$

$$= x^2y^2 - x^2 - xy - x^2y^2 + xy + y^2$$

• 
$$g_1 = xy^2 - x - y, g_2 = x^2y - x - y.$$

• 
$$L(g_1, g_2) = x^2 y^2$$
.

$$S(g_1,g_2) = \frac{x^2y^2}{xy^2}(g_1) - \frac{x^2y^2}{x^2y}(g_2)$$

$$= x^2y^2 - x^2 - xy - x^2y^2 + xy + y^2$$

$$\bullet = y^2 - x^2.$$

# S-Polynomials (cont)

 $\, \blacksquare \,$  S-polynomials capture the result of cancellation:

## S-Polynomials (cont)

- S-polynomials capture the result of cancellation:
- $\qquad \qquad \text{Suppose } LM(p_i) = \vec{x}^\delta \text{ for } i=1,\ldots,m.$

# S-Polynomials (cont)

- S-polynomials capture the result of cancellation:
- Suppose  $LM(p_i) = \vec{x}^\delta$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .
- However,  $LM(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i) \prec \vec{x}^{\delta}$ ,

# S-Polynomials (cont)

- S-polynomials capture the result of cancellation:
- Suppose  $LM(p_i) = \vec{x}^{\delta}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .
- However,  $LM(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i) \prec \vec{x}^\delta$ ,
  - It follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{p}_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j>i} c_{i,j} S(p_i,p_j)$ , for some  $c_{i,j} \in K$ .

# Büchberger's Criterion

A basis  $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$  is a Gröbner basis if and only if

- For every  $g_i, g_j, \ (i \neq j)$ , reminder of  $S(g_i, g_j)$  upon division by  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  is 0.
- $\bullet$  Previously,  $I=\langle xy^2-x-y,x^2y-x-y\rangle$  , not a Gröbner basis.
- $x^2 y^2 \in I$  but reminder of  $x^2 y^2$  is non-zero.
- However,  $I = \langle y^3 x y, x^2 y^2, xy^2 x y \rangle$  is a Gröbner basis.

```
import sympy as sp
from sympy.abc import x, y

F = [x * y**2 -x - y , x**2*y -x -y]
G = sp.Groebner(F, x, y, order='grlex',domain='C')
print(G)
```

Result: 
$$\langle y^3 - x - y, x^2 - y^2, xy^2 - x - y \rangle$$
.

- $S(x^2 y^2, xy^2 x y) = y^4 x^2 xy$ 
  - $= y \times (y^3 x y) + 1 \times (x^2 y^2) + 0.$
  - Reminder is zero √.
- $S(y^3 x y, x^2 y^2) = y^5 x^3 x^2y$ 
  - $= y^2(y^3 x y) (x + y)(x^2 y^2) + 0.$
  - Reminder is zero ✓.

- An algorithm for constructing Gröbner basis.
  - Input  $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ 
    - Monomial order ≺.
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Output  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_K \rangle$  Gröbner basis for I.
- $\bullet \ I_0 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle.$

- An algorithm for constructing Gröbner basis.
  - $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{Input} \ I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ 
    - Monomial order ≺.
  - $\bullet$  Output  $\langle f_1,\dots,f_K\rangle$  Gröbner basis for I.
- $\bullet \ I_0 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle.$
- $\bullet \ \ \operatorname{Let} \ I_j = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l \rangle.$

- An algorithm for constructing Gröbner basis.
  - $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{Input} \ I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ 
    - Monomial order ≺.
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Output  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_K \rangle$  Gröbner basis for I.
- $\bullet \quad I_0 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle.$
- $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{Let} \ I_j = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l \rangle.$
- Check if current basis is Gröbner.

- An algorithm for constructing Gröbner basis.
  - $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{Input} \ I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ 
    - Monomial order ≺.
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Output  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_K \rangle$  Gröbner basis for I.
- $\bullet \ I_0 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle.$
- Let  $I_j = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l \rangle$ .
- Check if current basis is Gröbner.
  - $\qquad \hbox{Compute } S(p_i,p_j) \text{ for each } i \neq j.$

- An algorithm for constructing Gröbner basis.
  - $\bullet \ \ \operatorname{Input} \ I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ 
    - Monomial order ≺.
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Output  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_K \rangle$  Gröbner basis for I.
- $\bullet \ I_0 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle.$
- Let  $I_j = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l \rangle$ .
- Check if current basis is Gröbner.
  - $\blacksquare \ \ \text{Compute} \ S(p_i,p_j) \ \text{for each} \ i \neq j.$
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Compute reminder of  $S(p_i,p_j)$  wrt  $p_1,\ldots,p_l.$

- An algorithm for constructing Gröbner basis.
  - $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{Input} \ I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ 
    - Monomial order ≺.
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Output  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_K \rangle$  Gröbner basis for I.
- $\bullet \ I_0 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle.$
- Let  $I_j = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l \rangle$ .
- Check if current basis is Gröbner.
  - $\qquad \hbox{Compute } S(p_i,p_j) \text{ for each } i \neq j.$
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Compute reminder of  $S(p_i,p_j)$  wrt  $p_1,\dots,p_l.$
  - $I_{j+1} = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l, r_{i,j} \rangle.$

- An algorithm for constructing Gröbner basis.
  - $\bullet \quad \text{Input } I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ 
    - Monomial order ≺.
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Output  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_K \rangle$  Gröbner basis for I.
- $\bullet \quad I_0 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle.$
- Let  $I_j = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l \rangle$ .
- Check if current basis is Gröbner.
  - $\blacksquare \ \ \text{Compute} \ S(p_i,p_j) \ \text{for each} \ i \neq j.$
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Compute reminder of  $S(p_i,p_j)$  wrt  $p_1,\ldots,p_l.$
  - $I_{j+1} = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l, r_{i,j} \rangle.$
- If all S-polynomials leave a reminder of 0, then we have a Gröbner basis.

- An algorithm for constructing Gröbner basis.
  - $\bullet \quad \mathsf{Input} \ I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ 
    - Monomial order ≺.
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Output  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_K \rangle$  Gröbner basis for I.
- $\bullet \ I_0 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle.$
- Let  $I_j = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l \rangle$ .
- Check if current basis is Gröbner.
  - Compute  $S(p_i, p_j)$  for each  $i \neq j$ .
  - ${\color{red} \bullet}$  Compute reminder of  $S(p_i,p_j)$  wrt  $p_1,\dots,p_l.$
  - $I_{j+1} = \langle p_1, \dots, p_l, r_{i,j} \rangle.$
- If all S-polynomials leave a reminder of 0, then we have a Gröbner basis.
- Termination?

### Complexity of Gröbner Basis

- Ideal membership is known to be EXPSPACE-complete.
  - Ernst Mayr, Journal of Complexity, 1997.
- Gröbner basis can be quite expensive.
  - Bound on the degree of polynomials is very high.
  - See Thomas Dube, SIAM J. of Comp. 1990.
- Büchberger Algorithm complexity bounded in EXPSPACE (?).

#### Gröbner Basis

- Expensive computation in the worst case.
  - Best algorithms include Faguere's F5 algorithm.
- It is implemented in most computer algebra systems.
- Ideas to speed up:
  - Dynamically alter the monomial ordering on the fly.
  - Avoid unnecessary S-polynomial reductions.
  - .

### Weak Nullstellensatz

- Let  $p_1=0,\dots,p_m=0$  represent an inconsistent set of polynomial inequalities.
- $\quad \bullet \quad 1 \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle.$

**Corollary** (Reduced) Gröbner basis must be  $\langle 1 \rangle$ .

### **Nullstellensatz**

$$p_1=0,\dots,p_m=0\models p=0$$

Hilbert's Nullstellensatz:

$$p^r \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$$

- Rabinowitsch trick:
  - $\bullet$  Compute Grobner basis of  $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n, (1-yp) \rangle$
  - $\bullet$  Claim: Entailment holds iff  $1 \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_n, (1-yp) \rangle$

### Rabinowitsch Trick

- $\quad \quad \mathbf{p}^r \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\bullet \ 1 \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_n, (1-yp) \rangle$

**Proof** See chapter 3 of book/during lecture.

### **Operations on Varieties**

- ullet Algebraic Variety V
  - ullet Representation: Gröbner basis of the ideal  $\operatorname{Id}(V)$ .
- Intersection of varieties:
- $\bullet \ \ V_1 \cap V_2 \mathsf{Groebner}(G_1 \cup G_2)$
- Union of varieties:
  - $\bullet \quad V_1 \cup V_2 G_1 \otimes G_2.$

- Inclusion Checking:  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ 
  - Check that every generator in  $G_1$  belongs to  $\langle G_2 \rangle$
- Image computation:
  - Assertion:  $\varphi:g_1(\vec{x})=0 \ \land \ \cdots \ \land \ g_m(\vec{x})=0$
  - Transition relation  $\rho: p_1(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = 0 \land \cdots p_m(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = 0.$
  - $\qquad \text{Post-Condition: } (\exists \ \vec{x}) \ \varphi[\vec{x}] \land \ \rho[\vec{x},\vec{x}']$

Let 
$$I:\langle p_1,\dots,p_m\rangle$$
 be an ideal in  $K[x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m].$ 

ullet Compute Gröbner basis G under an elimination order

Let  $I:\langle p_1,\dots,p_m\rangle$  be an ideal in  $K[x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m].$ 

- ullet Compute Gröbner basis G under an elimination order
  - $\blacksquare$  Eg., lexicographic ordering:  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n > y_1 > \cdots > y_m$

Let  $I:\langle p_1,\dots,p_m\rangle$  be an ideal in  $K[x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m].$ 

- ullet Compute Gröbner basis G under an elimination order
  - $\bullet$  Eg., lexicographic ordering:  $x_1>\cdots>x_n>y_1>\cdots>y_m$
- Take all polynomials involving  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ :

Let 
$$I:\langle p_1,\dots,p_m\rangle$$
 be an ideal in  $K[x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m].$ 

- Compute Gröbner basis G under an elimination order
  - $\bullet$  Eg., lexicographic ordering:  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n > y_1 > \cdots > y_m$
- Take all polynomials involving  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ :
  - $\bullet \ \widehat{G} = G \cap K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$

Let 
$$I:\langle p_1,\dots,p_m\rangle$$
 be an ideal in  $K[x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m].$ 

- ullet Compute Gröbner basis G under an elimination order
  - $\bullet$  Eg., lexicographic ordering:  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n > y_1 > \cdots > y_m$
- Take all polynomials involving  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ :
  - $\bullet \ \widehat{G} = G \cap K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$
- $\bullet \quad \mathsf{Claim} \colon I \cap K[y_1, \dots, y_m] = \langle \widehat{G} \rangle.$

#### **Next Session**

- Tuesday the 15th.
- Will try to show some calculations for programs and differential equations.
- Move on to talking about inequalities/semi-algebraic sets.