

L^AT_EX Mini Project 2

Sachin Srivastava
Rutgers Univeristy

sachin.srivastava@rutgers.edu

1. Problem 1

The complete strip is at most ϵ .
Probability that we miss a strip is $1 - \epsilon$.
Probability that N instances miss a strip is $(1 - \epsilon)^n$.

We want, $(1 - \epsilon)^n \leq \delta$

Now, we know that $(1 - x) \leq \exp^{-x}$.
Therefore, $\exp^{-N\epsilon} \leq \delta$

Which implies, $N \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$

2. Problem 2

2.1.

For a finite and consistent hypothesis $\mathbb{E}[h_d] \leq \frac{1}{N} (Ln|H| + Ln \frac{1}{\delta})$

Here,
 $H=2800$
 $N=200$
 $1 - \delta = 0.95$
 $\delta = 0.05$

Which implies
 $\epsilon \leq 1/200(Ln|2800| + Ln(1/0.05))$ Therefore,
 $\epsilon \leq 0.054$

2.2.

Now since the hypothesis is inconsistent we have $\mathbb{E}[h_d] \leq \mathbb{E}'[h|d] + \sqrt{\frac{Ln|H| + Ln(2/\delta)}{2N}}$
We have,
 $\epsilon \leq 20/200 + \sqrt{\frac{Ln2800 + Ln(2/0.05)}{2*200}}$
Therefore,
 $\epsilon \leq 0.27$

3. Problem 3

3.1.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{error}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\text{error}, x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\text{error}|x)P(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{error}) &= P(w_1 \text{ and } x < \theta) + P(w_2 \text{ and } x > \theta) \\ &= P(x < \theta|w_1)P(w_1) + P(x > \theta|w_2)P(w_2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$P(\text{error}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} P(x|w_1)P(w_1)dx + \int_{\theta}^{\infty} P(x|w_2)P(w_2)dx$$

3.2.

Differentiating the above equation,

$$\begin{aligned} dP(\text{error})/dx &= P(w_1)[p(x|w_1)]_{-\infty}^{\theta} + P(w_2)[p(x|w_2)]_{\theta}^{\infty} \\ &= P(w_1)P(\theta|w_1) - P(w_2)P(\theta|w_2) \end{aligned}$$

As at ∞ and $-\infty$, $P(x|w) = 0$

Equating $dP(\text{error})/dx$ to zero to find the minima we get,

$$P(w_1)P(\theta|w_1) = P(w_2)P(\theta|w_2)$$

3.3.

Here, θ is not necessarily a unique value. This inequality might be true for different values of x and also θ can be a range of values.

3.4.

If we take two symmetric Gaussian distribution with equal variance but different means. At there point of intersection the $P(\text{error})$ will be maximum. For example if we take $N(0,1)$ and $(2,1)$ then at θ equal to 1, the $P(\text{error})$ will be maximum.

4. Problem 4

4.1.

For a deterministic decision rule we have overall risk $R = \int R(\alpha|x)p(x)dx$

For a stochastic decision rule we have $P(\alpha_i|x)$ of deciding each action $\alpha_i(x)$

Then,

$$R(\alpha(x)|x) = \sum_{i=1}^a R(\alpha_i(x)|x)P(\alpha_i|x)$$

Therefore, the overall risk in this case is

$$R(\alpha) = \int [\sum_{i=1}^a R(\alpha_i(x)|x)P(\alpha_i|x)]p(x)dx$$

4.2.

If we have, $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = 1$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n > 0$

then, $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n > \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

Using this we can say in

$$\sum_{i=1}^a R(\alpha_i(x)|x)P(\alpha_i|x) > \min[R(\alpha_i(x)|x)]_{i=1}^a$$

Hence R is minimized by choosing the $\min[R(\alpha_i(x)|x)]$ with $P(\alpha_i|x) = 1$

4.3.

Yes, one can gain from randomizing a sub optimal rule because if a lower risk action happens with high probability, the overall risk will lower.

5. Problem 5

5.1.

Let $a \leq b$ then, $b = a + \delta$, where $\delta \geq 0$

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{ab} = \sqrt{a(a + \delta)} = \sqrt{a^2 + a\delta} > a$$

$$\therefore \sqrt{ab} \geq a$$

Therefore we have,

$$\min[a, b] \leq \sqrt{ab}$$

5.2.

$$P(\text{error}) = \int \min[P(w_1)P(x|w_1), P(w_2)P(x|w_2)]dx$$

From the proof in the above we get,

$$P(\text{error}) \leq \int \sqrt{P(w_1)P(w_2)}\sqrt{P(x|w_1)P(x|w_2)}dx$$

Therefore,

$$P(\text{error}) \leq \sqrt{P(w_1)P(w_2)} \int \sqrt{P(x|w_1)P(x|w_2)}dx$$

$$\text{Now, } \sqrt{P(w_1)P(w_2)} \leq 0.5$$

Hence, $P(\text{error}) \leq 1/2\rho$, where,

$$\rho = \int \sqrt{P(x|w_1)P(x|w_2)}dx$$

5.3.

The Bhattacharyya error bound for two multivariate Gaussian densities with the unit covariance and uniform priors is 0.5.

This is because the $\int \sqrt{P(x|w_1)P(x|w_2)}dx = 1$ in this case.

Hence, $P(\text{error}) \leq 1/2$