

Unsteady adjoint optimization with grid adaptation

Sheikh Razibul Islam

Institute of Aeronautics and Applied Mechanics
Warsaw University of Technology

srislam@meil.pw.edu.pl

August 18, 2014



There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

- 1 Suppose p were the largest prime number.
- 2 Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
- 3 Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
- 4 Thus $q + 1$ is also prime and greater than p . □

The proof used *reductio ad absurdum*.



There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

- 1 Suppose p were the largest prime number.
- 2 Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
- 3 Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
- 4 Thus $q + 1$ is also prime and greater than p .



The proof used *reductio ad absurdum*.



There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

- 1 Suppose p were the largest prime number.
- 2 Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
- 3 Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
- 4 Thus $q + 1$ is also prime and greater than p .



The proof used *reductio ad absurdum*.



There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

- 1 Suppose p were the largest prime number.
- 2 Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
- 3 Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
- 4 Thus $q + 1$ is also prime and greater than p .



The proof used *reductio ad absurdum*.



Personal Information

Background

- Born in Khulna, Bangladesh
- B.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering from Bangladesh University of Engineering & Technology
- M.Sc. in Computational Mechanics from University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany

Current Position

- Joined WUT as ESR 14 on October, 2013
- Supervised by Prof. Jacek Szumbarski



Objectives

- Adjoint solver for unsteady Navier-Stokes (including check pointing)
- Usage of adjoint calculation for shape optimization and optionally for optimal control
- Optimize the performance with grid adaptation and optionally multi-grid



Work Plan

Preliminaries

- Unsteady adjoint for a simple ODE with checkpointing
- optimal control for simple ODE

Phase 1

- Unsteady Euler/NS solver (Residual Distribution Scheme)

Phase 2

- Implementation of unsteady adjoint in the NS solver
- Implementation of moving geometry
- Gradient-based shape optimization



Work Plan

Preliminaries

- Unsteady adjoint for a simple ODE with checkpointing
- optimal control for simple ODE

Prerequisites

- Unsteady Euler/NS solver (Residual Distribution Scheme)

Project Tasks

- Implementation of unsteady adjoint in the NS solver
- Implementation of moving geometry
- Gradient-based shape optimization



Work Plan

Preliminaries

- Unsteady adjoint for a simple ODE with checkpointing
- optimal control for simple ODE

Prerequisites

- Unsteady Euler/NS solver (Residual Distribution Scheme)

Primary Task

- Implementation of unsteady adjoint in the NS solver
- Implementation of moving geometry
- Gradient-based shape optimization



Work Plan - continued

Testing and evaluation

- Testing of the Euler/NS code on non-stationary cases
- Testing of the obtained gradients against finite difference
- Testing of a complete optimization

Performance improvements

- Hessian-of-solution based mesh refinement
- Goal-oriented based mesh refinement



Progress

- Literature review
- Training on adjoint-based mesh adaptation and optimization
- Training on AD tools
- Training on Open MPI
- Development of Adjoint implementation on Euler solver is underway.



Secondments

RWTH

- Familiarization in parallelization of adjoint solvers
- Review of AD tools for parallel application
- Implementation of operator overloading based AD to develop Adjoint solver

RR

- Investigation of turbo-machinery problem using the developed adjoint solver
- Application of grid adaptation tool chain available in WUT for turbo-machinery test cases



Duality Formulation For Adjoint Design

Primal:

$$Q^{n+1} = F(Q^n) \quad (1)$$

Tangent Linear:

$$\frac{\partial Q^{n+1}}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial \alpha} \quad (2)$$

Adjoint Equation:

$$v^n = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial Q} \right)^T v^{n+1} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial Q} \quad (3)$$



Advantage of Finite Difference to Develop Jacobian

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & a_{76} & a_{77} & a_{78} & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & a_{87} & a_{88} & a_{89} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & a_{98} & a_{99} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

- Developed Jacobian is a complete sparse matrix which can be calculated locally
- It is conveniently scalable
- Options to implement higher order FDM, if more accurate jacobian is required
- Tested for stationary problems with sufficient accuracy



Acknowledgements

This work has been conducted within the **About Flow** project on
“Adjoint-based optimization of industrial and unsteady flows”.

<http://aboutflow.sems.qmul.ac.uk>

About Flow has received funding from
the European Union's Seventh
Framework Programme for research,
technological development and
demonstration under Grant Agreement
No. 317006.



National
Technical
University of
Athens



ABOUTflow