

# Assignment 1: Getting know your Data

*Sri Seshadri*

*6/22/2017*

## Introduction

This report discusses the Ames, Iowa housing data set, its quality and observations from Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA). EDA was used to identify potential predictor variables that can be used for predicting value of a “typical” home.

## Sample Definition

It is assumed that typical home buyers are those that move from apartments to single family or town homes. Also apartments are less likely to be sold to individuals as they remain holdings of owners for rental income. Single family and town homes belong to “Residential Low density” (RL) zoning classification in the city of Ames. Data belonging to only to the RL zone is considered for this analysis. There are a total of 2930 data points, out of which the rows belonging to the following zoning classifications are not sampled. The sampled data however has few two-family conversion and duplex houses, which are not removed from the sample at this time.

Table 1: Drop waterfall

Zoning	counts
A (agr)	2
C (all)	25
FV	139
I (all)	2
RH	27
RM	462

## Data cleaning and Sanity check

From the Ames, Iowa housing dataset’s dictionary (see Apendix) and based on some preliminary EDA the variables listed in Table 2 and Table 3 were chosen to be important for furhter analysis. The dataset is fairly clean and there are 2 points that are missing. Table 2 shows the summary statistics of the numeric variables and it is noted that statistics are within reasonable bounds and appear to be in the units of measure as described in the data dictionary. Table 3 shows the chosen categorical (Nominal) variables and their unique number of values, sample size (n) and count of missing data. 4 of the 26 neighborhood are not in the sample frame chosen.

Table 2: Data sanity check for numeric variables

	min	Q1	median	Q3	max	mean	sd	n	missing
LotArea	1700	8339	10000	12160	215245	11143.83	8428.74	2273	0
OverallCond	1	5	5	6	9	5.52	1.03	2273	0
YearRemodel	1950	1967	1993	2004	2010	1985.30	19.88	2273	0
TotalBsmtSF	0	855	1056	1367	6110	1111.84	448.40	2273	0
GrLivArea	334	1149	1479	1788	5642	1535.49	516.33	2273	0

	min	Q1	median	Q3	max	mean	sd	n	missing
BsmtFullBath	0	0	0	1	3	0.47	0.53	2272	1
BsmtHalfBath	0	0	0	0	2	0.07	0.26	2272	1
FullBath	0	1	2	2	4	1.60	0.55	2273	0
HalfBath	0	0	0	1	2	0.40	0.51	2273	0
BedroomAbvGr	0	3	3	3	6	2.91	0.79	2273	0
TotRmsAbvGrd	2	6	6	7	15	6.56	1.53	2273	0
GarageCars	0	1	2	2	4	1.85	0.74	2273	0
MoSold	1	4	6	8	12	6.22	2.72	2273	0
YrSold	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2007.78	1.31	2273	0
SalePrice	35000	137500	172000	223500	755000	191283.25	81295.74	2273	0

Table 3: Data sanity check for nominal variables

	# Unique	n	missing
LotConfig	5	2273	0
Neighborhood	22	2273	0
HouseStyle	8	2273	0
KitchenQual	5	2273	0
SaleCondition	6	2273	0

## Initial Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

For ease of performing exploratory data analysis using the R software, a unique identification number for each row is added as a variable to the dataset. The year sold (YrSold) and month sold (MoSold) are combined into one variable as yrmnth for example January 2001 is coded as 2001.01. The data is then arranged in chronological order by “Neighborhood”. The sales price of properties are right skewed as seen in Figure 1. It is hypothesized that values in some neighborhoods are higher relative to others.




Figure 1: Control Chart and Histogram

It is evident from Figure 2 that the sale price that were above the upper control limit on the control chart were more from Stone Brook, Northridge Heights and Northridge than the rest of the neighborhoods. Also it is seen that the some neighborhoods have more sales than others (Figure 3). They may be either new housing developments or likely to have a floating population.




Figure 2: Boxplot of Sales by Neighborhood




Figure 3: Frequency of Sales by Neighborhood

The sale condition has a pronounced effect on the sale price (see Figure 4). It is seen that homes that were not fully completed when last assessed were expensive. This could mean that they were newly constructed homes that were priced higher than the older ones. This leads us to hypothesize that year built or remodelled would have similar effect on the sales price. Also it would be interesting to see if new constructions are from a specific neighborhood and how do they compare with mature neighborhoods, which we'll explore in the next section.




Figure 4: Sales by Sale condition

Homes with excellent kitchen quality have on an average higher sale price, as seen in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Sale price by kitchen quality assesment

From Figure 6, we can infer that there are more style of houses available for sale in lots in the inside of a community than Corners, Cul-de-sac or more frontage lots. Also, Cul-de-sac and 3 frontal properties are values more compared to the test of the lots (see Figure 7).




Figure 6: Sale price by lot configuration and style




Figure 7: Probability density of Sale price by lot configuration