## RL-Assignment 3 Srivatsava Kesanupalli MT18054

Question-3 Exercise 5.6

Equation analogous to 
$$V(s) = \{\xi \in \mathcal{I}(s)\}_{t: T(t)-1}^{p}$$
  
 $\xi \in \mathcal{I}(s)\}_{t: T(t)-1}^{p}$ 

for action values Q(s,a)

We have 
$$q_{t_1}(s,a) = E[P_{t:T-1}, G_t | S_t = s, A_{t=a}]$$

To estimate 9/11(s,a) we scale the returns by ratios and average. The results.

$$Q(s,a) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{J}(s,a)} P_{t:T(t)-1} G_{t}$$

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{J}(s,a)} P_{t:T(t)-1}$$

Why Temporal Difference methods are more efficient than Monte Carlo methods. We here consider the driving home example.

Now that we have moved to a new building and new parting lot, we still enter the highway at the same place. This is because, the artism values for many intermediate states remain the same. Consider, the old action values of the states in between the workplace and home be the true values for current trajectory, TD moves close to true values than Monte Coolo does. This phenomena can be explained by the tollowing equations

TD  $V(S_t) \leftarrow \alpha V(S_t) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + V(S_{t+1}) + V(S_t)]$ MC  $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha [G_t - V(S_t)]$ 

TD updates the values before the episode is completed owing to the V(St+1) term

For Mc, this is not true as it waits for the episode to complete.

Question-8 Exercise 6.12 The second of the second of the second of Q-Learning vs. Sansa Q-Learning. Initialize Q(s,a); + sest; a e A(s) for each epssode: Initialize S; for each step of episode of Choose A from Susing policy derived from Q Observe R, S' from A Q(s, A) <-Q(s, A) + &[R+1/max, Q(s', a) - Q(s, A)] undil Sis terminal Sarsa Initialize a(s,a), + sest; a eA(s) for each episode: Initialize S for each step of episode: Observe R, s' from A Choose A' from S' using policy derived from Q Q(s,A) (- B(s,A)+&[R+&Q(s',A')-Q(s,A)]

until s is terminal

Since &-learning is an off-policy algorithm, so it chooses the best policy which satisfies the convergence conditions Also, From the pseudocode it can be seen-that the O-function is updated first and then the action is chosen in the next Heradion. Hence, the weight updates are different.

Sarca on-the other hand is an on-policy algorithm, it choses the next action and updates the weights based on the new States. The Or-Sunction is updated after the action is obtained. The weight updates are différent from that of Or-learn -ing and hence, both are not same although both the algorithm -s converge at one point after indefinite amount of time.

Question 6:

6.3, 6.4, and 6.5

6.3: We have V(St) = V(St) + x [Pt++ + V(St+) - V(St)]

initially we begin with value = 0.5 For every state :. V(A) = 0.5 ? withally

On completing-the first episode V(A) is updated to the following on reaching the terminal state.

> $V(A) \leftarrow 0.5 + (0.1)[0 + 1(0) - 0.5]$ reward on reaching-terminal state

Which is what can be observed. The values for other States remain the same as the real state (be it left or right) is equal to itself and hence V(St+1) and V(St) cancel out

6.4 Smaller values of a are better than larger values in the long run as the lecouring is better. To decide which among

the two algorithms viz., MC and TD, Wider range of alpha Values cannot give a conclusive evidence. In general, TD converges better than MC

With higher values of or, the change in action value estimates

is high and hence a major difference in exploration. Also, higher a's won't allow much leaving. Initially, since the action values are high different actions are explored but this does not continue. Especially with TD which highly depends on the returns from new action value pairs, the root mean squared error is high with growing episodes. Lower a's explore better and the rms error although higher in the beginning, gradually reduces with growing episodes.

## Question-2

Backup diagram for MC estimation of 9rm

OSI

ay

T2

Estimates for each state are independent and ex

Ta2

-imate for one state does not build upon the

estimate of another state.

Terminal state

Question: Rewrite the pseudo code for MC Exploring stoods Importantly in MC Es we have the below block for each episode to Total Choose So ES and Ao EA randomly Generate an episode from So, Ao

Generate Choose  $S_0 \in S$  and  $A_0 \in A$  randomly Generate an episode from  $S_0, A_0$   $G \leftarrow O$  (returns from the episode)

for each step of episode i.e  $t = T_{-1}, T_{72}, ... o$   $G \leftarrow VG + R_{t+1}$ 

Unless St, At appears in So, Ao, S, A, . . . :

Append G to Returns (St, At)

 $8(S_{t}, A_{t}) \leftarrow \text{average (Returns(St.A_{t})}$   $T(S_{t}) \leftarrow \text{argmax}_{a} Q(S_{t}, a)$ 

This step involves calculating mean multiple times According to section 2.4 incremental updates  $Q(S_{t}, A_{t}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=t}^{T} G_{t}$ 

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_{-1}} = \frac{1}{T_{-$$

 $= \frac{1}{T} \left[ G_{T-1} + (T-1) Q(S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}) \right]$ 

which is finally  $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \frac{1}{T}(G_t - Q(S_t, A_t))$ 

redundant step removed.