



Packet: Pattern Recognition in Accidents in London

1 Introduction

The UK government has put their efforts into building an aggregated collection of accident records in the England, Scotland and Wales regions over a span of ten years from 2005 to 2014. The data includes 1.6 million accident instances. Having access to this, one of the most comprehensive data sets on traffic, we work towards uncovering patterns and answer questions related to the potential causes and trends of accidents. We start our analysis on the whole of the UK area and eventually shift towards isolating and understanding urban cities where most accidents are concentrated, taking London as the candidate for our study.


1.1 The Dataset

We consider the accident data from years 2009 to 2014 for our experiments. Every record of an accident includes the location (in geographical co-ordinates), the type of area, and the date and time of the accident. In addition, it includes the speed of the vehicle, the severity, the number of officers attended, the number of casualties, the weather conditions, the road and lighting conditions during the accident.

Furthermore, to understand the flow of traffic, we are given the Annual Average Daily Flow data, that tracks the amount of traffic which has been present on the roads at different points of time.

2 Exploring our data

We begin our analysis, identifying the areas where accident concentrations are the highest. The towns/cities of the accident were identified by decoding the geo-tags and the top 200 places where the concentration is the highest were isolated. The records from these 200 places were tagged on a map to see the spread of hotspots. As we can see from the map, these hotspots are centered around the main cities in the UK.



These regions, which we have identified to be the hotspots are also the highest populated cities[1], as well as the most traffic dense regions in the UK(Appendix, Fig 1).

Looking into the summary statistics of the data, we realized that most accidents occur leaving at least one casualty. Most accidents involve two vehicles, or a pedestrian and a vehicle. It is also noteworthy that the accidents are not decreasing or increasing consistently during the years under consideration. The numbers of accidents in a year stays around 150000, with an increase of over 175000 in 2012. We could also see that the number of accidents peak during the hours from 5.00pm to 6.00pm and between 8.00am to 9.00 am. These being the typical commute times of people to their workplace and towards back home, could be an early indicator that the increase in traffic could be a significant cause of the number of accidents, or rather the roads are not well equipped to contain it.




Figure 1: Frequency of Accidents




Figure 2: Hourly variations




Figure 3: Daily trends

Considering that there are four distinct seasons with significant variations in climate, we were inclined to check the possibility of skew in the distribution of accidents across seasons and light conditions.





Figure 4: Trends in accidents - Weather and Lightning conditions

From the plots, we conclude that the number of accidents that happen during perfectly good weather are much higher in count compared to the conditions which could possibly affect the normal traveling conditions adversely. In addition, it is seen that the count of accidents in daylight are far more than the rest. This analysis makes it evident that neither of these two is the root cause of accidents.

Moving forward from this point, we narrowed our focus to metropolitan cities in the United Kingdom. This is because for every clustering or algorithm that we used to analyze the data, the results converged into two regions - urban or rural. We realized that this is because of the large differences in values of attributes in urban and rural areas (Appendix:Figure 5). Since London consistently tops in the frequency of accidents and in search of more interesting results, we chose it to be the candidate region for our

analysis.

3 Investigation of Patterns in Traffic - London

Streets in the UK are uniquely identified by an alpha-numeric numbering scheme[6]. Concatenating this identifier with the Northing and Easting co-ordinates makes a good marker for us to uniquely identify an accident spot or a street fragment without losing information about the street. The Annual Average Daily Flow (AADF) of traffic was analyzed to cluster the traffic dense regions in London.

Road fragments with similar characteristics in traffic were identified though cluster analysis. *K-means* was our candidate algorithm. Variables that quantify traffic of a region were manually selected, which included number of motor cycles, number of taxis, and the number bus coaches. The experiment was performed with same parameters for every year between 2009 and 2014 to study the variations in areas with high traffic density. *Silhouette method* was used to determine the optimal number of clusters, which was discovered to be two [Figure 7].

Examining the clusters, we could see that the density of traffic in spots belonging to one of the clusters is very high compared to the ones in the second cluster. The co-ordinates of points belonging to this cluster was dropped on a map and it turns out they are very close to each other. We plotted yearly heatmaps of accidents to study how they correlate with the traffic flow plots. It can be observed that there is a positive correlation between the hotspots where traffic density is the highest and the number of accidents. In other words, high traffic flow zones in London are also accident prone areas. To illustrate this at one of the locations, consider the map segment which shows the traffic flow density on street A41 between Edgware Road(Circle District and Hammersmith & City) and Baker Street[Figure 8,9]. This could be an indication that the traffic dense hotspots are not equipped enough to contain the traffic.




Figure 5: Traffic density and accident spot correlation - Marylebone Road, London

4 Appendix




Figure 6: Frequency of vehicles per county




Figure 7: Accident Severity and Population Density in different regions in the UK

5 References