

CS-E-106: Data Modeling

Assignment 6

Instructor: Hakan Gogtas
Submitted by: Saurabh Kulkarni

Due Date: 11/11/2019

Question 1:

1- An analyst wanted to fit the regression model $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * X_{i1} + \beta_2 * X_{i2} + \beta_1 * X_{i3} + \epsilon_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, by the method of least squares when it is known that $\beta_2 = 4$. How can the analyst obtain the desired fit by using a multiple regression computer program?

Solution 1:

Step 1: Create a new variable $Y^* = Y_i - \beta_2 * X_{i2} = Y_i - 4 * X_{i2}$ *Step 2:* Using Y^* as the new response variable, run the regression model: $Y^* = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * X_{i1} + \beta_1 * X_{i3} + \epsilon_i$ using least squares (Using R functions: `lm(YStar~X1+X3, data)`). *Step 3:* Use the obtained coefficients as β_1 and β_3 , assuming $\beta_2 = 4$

Solution 2:

(a) Obtain the analysis of variance table that decomposes the regression sum of squares into extra sums of squares associated with X4; with X1 given X4; with X2 , given X1 and X4; and with X3 , given X1, X2 and X4. (10pts)

```
properties_data = read.csv("Commercial Properties.csv")
lm_prop = lm(Y~X4+X1+X2+X3, data=properties_data)
summary(lm_prop)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y ~ X4 + X1 + X2 + X3, data = properties_data)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.1872 -0.5911 -0.0910  0.5579  2.9441
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  1.220e+01  5.780e-01  21.110  < 2e-16 ***
## X4           7.924e-06  1.385e-06   5.722  1.98e-07 ***
## X1          -1.420e-01  2.134e-02  -6.655  3.89e-09 ***
## X2           2.820e-01  6.317e-02   4.464  2.75e-05 ***
## X3           6.193e-01  1.087e+00   0.570    0.57
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.137 on 76 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.5847, Adjusted R-squared:  0.5629
## F-statistic: 26.76 on 4 and 76 DF,  p-value: 7.272e-14

anova_F = anova(lm_prop)
anova_F

## Analysis of Variance Table
```

```
##
## Response: Y
##           Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## X4          1 67.775   67.775 52.4369 3.073e-10 ***
## X1          1 42.275   42.275 32.7074 2.004e-07 ***
## X2          1 27.857   27.857 21.5531 1.412e-05 ***
## X3          1  0.420    0.420  0.3248  0.5704
## Residuals 76 98.231    1.293
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

anovaTable = data.frame(anova_F)
totals = c(round(sum(anovaTable[,1])), round(sum(anovaTable[,2])), "", "", "")
anovaTable = rbind(anovaTable, totals)

#add names to the table
row.names(anovaTable) = c("SSR(X4)", "SSR(X1|X4)", "SSR(X2|(X4X1))", "SSR(X3|(X4X1X2))", "SSE", "Total")
colnames(anovaTable) = c("DF", "Sum Sq.", "Mean Sq.", "F-Value", "Pr(>F)")

kable(anovaTable)
```

	DF	Sum Sq.	Mean Sq.	F-Value	Pr(>F)
SSR(X4)	1	67.7750979864736	67.7750979864736	52.4368960852129	3.07327030821117e-10
SSR(X1 X4)	1	42.2745683242813	42.2745683242813	32.7073986187373	2.00386962405898e-07
SSR(X2 (X4X1))	1	27.8574934834163	27.8574934834163	21.5530561280181	1.41220768697313e-05
SSR(X3 (X4X1X2))	1	0.419746262940206	0.419746262940206	0.324753365555366	0.570445705115829
SSE	76	98.2305939428886	1.29250781503801	NA	NA
Total	80	237			

(b)

From the above ANOVA table, we can see that the P-value for SSR(X3|X4X1X2) is very high, which means that the extra regression sums of squares due to X3 is very low. Thus, X3 can be dropped. F-test below.

```
ssr = as.numeric(anovaTable["SSR(X3|(X4X1X2))", "Sum Sq."])
sse = as.numeric(anovaTable["SSE", "Sum Sq."])
df_diff = 1
df_E = as.numeric(anovaTable["SSE", "DF"])

FStar = (ssr/df_diff) / (sse/df_E)
print(FStar)
```

```
## [1] 0.3247534
print(paste("P-value:", 1-pf(FStar, df_diff, df_E)))
```

```
## [1] "P-value: 0.570445705115829"
```

```
#alpha is given
alpha = 0.01
```

```
# df from Summary above in a
FTest = qf(1-alpha, df_diff, df_E)
print(FTest)
```

```
## [1] 6.980578
```

Hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \beta_3 = 0$$

$$H_a : \beta_3 \neq 0$$

Decision Rules:

If $F^* \leq 6.9805778$, conclude H_0

If $F^* > 6.9805778$, conclude H_a

Conclusion:

Since our test statistic, $F^* = 0.3247534$, and $0.3247534 \leq 6.9805778$, we conclude H_0 . Thus, X3 can be dropped from the model.

(c) Test whether both X2 and X3 can be dropped from the regression model given that X1 and X4 are retained; use $\alpha = 0.01$. State the alternatives, decision rule, and conclusion. What is the P-value of the test? (5pts)

```
ssr = sum(as.numeric(anovaTable[c("SSR(X3|(X4X1X2))", "SSR(X2|(X4X1))"), "Sum Sq."]))
sse = as.numeric(anovaTable["SSE", "Sum Sq."])
```

```
df_diff = 2
df_E = as.numeric(anovaTable["SSE", "DF"])
```

```
FStar = (ssr/df_diff) / (sse/df_E)
print(FStar)
```

```
## [1] 10.9389
```

```
print(paste("P-value:", 1-pf(FStar, df_diff, df_E)))
```

```
## [1] "P-value: 6.68213642763815e-05"
```

```
#alpha is given
alpha = 0.01
```

```
# df from Summary above in a
FTest = qf(1-alpha, df_diff, df_E)
print(FTest)
```

```
## [1] 4.89584
```

Hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$$

H_a : Not both β s equal to zero

Decision Rules:

If $F^* \leq 4.8958399$, conclude H_0

If $F^* > 4.8958399$, conclude H_a

Conclusion:

Since our test statistic, $F^* = 10.9389047$, and $10.9389047 > 4.8958399$, we conclude H_1 . Not both β s equal to zero.

(d)

```
Y_new = properties_data$Y+0.1*properties_data$X1-0.4*properties_data$X2
lm_prop_R = lm(Y_new~properties_data$X3+properties_data$X4)
summary(lm_prop_R)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y_new ~ properties_data$X3 + properties_data$X4)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8267 -0.6642 -0.0671  0.5533  3.5096
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)    1.094e+01  2.446e-01  44.737 < 2e-16 ***
## properties_data$X3 2.142e+00  9.906e-01   2.162  0.0337 *
## properties_data$X4 5.804e-06  1.222e-06   4.751 9.06e-06 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.188 on 78 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.2716, Adjusted R-squared:  0.253
## F-statistic: 14.55 on 2 and 78 DF,  p-value: 4.28e-06
```

```
anova_R = anova(lm_prop_R)
anova_R
```

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Y_new
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## properties_data$X3  1    9.205    9.205    6.5187  0.01263 *
## properties_data$X4  1   31.872   31.872   22.5713 9.058e-06 ***
## Residuals        78  110.141    1.412
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
SSE_R = anova_R["Residuals", "Sum Sq"]
SSE_F = anova_F["Residuals", "Sum Sq"]
df_R = anova_R["Residuals", "Df"]
df_F = anova_F["Residuals", "Df"]
```

```
FStar = ((SSE_R-SSE_F)/(df_R-df_F))/(SSE_F/df_F)
print(FStar)
```

```
## [1] 4.60764
```

```
#alpha is given
alpha = 0.01
```

```
# df from Summary above in a
FTest = qf(1-alpha, (df_R-df_F), df_F)
print(FTest)
```

```
## [1] 4.89584
```

Solution 3:

(a)

```
standardize_corr = function(df){
  cols = colnames(df)
  df_new = df
  n = nrow(df)
  for(c in cols){
    mu = mean(df[, c])
    s = sqrt(var(df[, c]))
    df_new[, c] = (df[, c]-mu)/(s*sqrt(n-1))
  }
  df_new
}
```

```
brand_data = read.csv("Brand Preference.csv")
brand_data_new = standardize_corr(brand_data)
summary(brand_data_new)
```

```
##           Y           X1           X2
## Min.      :-0.46786   Min.      :-0.3354   Min.      :-0.25
## 1st Qu.: -0.20293   1st Qu.: -0.1677   1st Qu.: -0.25
## Median :  0.02818   Median :  0.0000   Median :  0.00
## Mean      : 0.00000   Mean      : 0.0000   Mean      : 0.00
## 3rd Qu.:  0.18602   3rd Qu.:  0.1677   3rd Qu.:  0.25
## Max.      : 0.41149   Max.      : 0.3354   Max.      : 0.25
```

```
lm_brand_new = lm(Y~., data=brand_data_new)
summary(lm_brand_new)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y ~ ., data = brand_data_new)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.099209 -0.039740  0.000564  0.035794  0.094699
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -1.238e-17  1.518e-02   0.000      1
## X1           8.924e-01  6.073e-02  14.695 1.78e-09 ***
## X2           3.946e-01  6.073e-02   6.498 2.01e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.06073 on 13 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.9521, Adjusted R-squared:  0.9447
## F-statistic: 129.1 on 2 and 13 DF,  p-value: 2.658e-09
```

β_0 is almost 0. Thus,

Regression Function: $Y = 0.892 * X_1 + 0.3946 * X_2$

```
lm_brand = lm(Y~., data=brand_data)
```

```
library(QuantPsyc)

## Loading required package: boot
##
## Attaching package: 'boot'
## The following object is masked from 'package:lattice':
##
##      melanoma
## The following object is masked from 'package:alr3':
##
##      wool
## The following object is masked from 'package:car':
##
##      logit
##
## Attaching package: 'QuantPsyc'
## The following object is masked from 'package:base':
##
##      norm
lm.beta(lm_brand)
```

```
##           X1           X2
## 0.8923929 0.3945807
```

(b)

Interpretation:

- We can see that the β_0 is almost equal to 0, which is expected since Y is now centered at 0 (based on definition and summary of the new data in part (a)).

•

(c)

```
lm_brand$coefficients

## (Intercept)           X1           X2
##      37.650       4.425       4.375
```

(d)

```
df = brand_data

R2_Y1 = anova(lm(Y~X1, data=df))[1,2]/sum(anova(lm(Y~X1, data=df))[1:2,2])

R2_Y2 = anova(lm(Y~X2, data=df))[1,2]/sum(anova(lm(Y~X2, data=df))[1:2,2])

R2_12 = sum(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2, data=df))[1:2,2])/sum(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2, data=df))[1:3,2])

R2_Y1_2 = anova(lm(Y~X2+X1, data=df))[2,2]/sum(anova(lm(Y~X2+X1, data=df))[2:3,2])

R2_Y2_1 = anova(lm(Y~X1+X2, data=df))[2,2]/sum(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2, data=df))[2:3,2])

R2 = R2_12
```

$$R_{Y1}^2 = 0.796365$$

$$R_{Y2}^2 = 0.155694$$

$$R_{12}^2 = 0.952059$$

$$R_{Y1|2}^2 = 0.9432184$$

$$R_{Y2|1}^2 = 0.7645737$$

$$R^2 = 0.952059$$

Solution 4:

(a)

```
inc_cols = c("Number.of.active.physicians", "Total.population", "Total.personal.income",
             "Land.area", "Percent.of.population.65.or.older", "Number.of.hospital.beds",
             "Total.serious.crimes")
cdi_data = read.csv("CDI.csv")[, inc_cols]
colnames(cdi_data)
```

```
## [1] "Number.of.active.physicians"      "Total.population"
## [3] "Total.personal.income"            "Land.area"
## [5] "Percent.of.population.65.or.older" "Number.of.hospital.beds"
## [7] "Total.serious.crimes"
```

```
cdi_data =
cdi_data %>%
  rename(
    Y = Number.of.active.physicians,
    X1 = Total.population,
    X2 = Total.personal.income,
    X3 = Land.area,
    X4 = Percent.of.population.65.or.older,
    X5 = Number.of.hospital.beds,
    X6 = Total.serious.crimes
  )
```

```
colnames(cdi_data)
```

```
## [1] "Y" "X1" "X2" "X3" "X4" "X5" "X6"
```

```
lm_cdi = lm(Y~X1+X2, data=cdi_data)
summary(lm_cdi)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y ~ X1 + X2, data = cdi_data)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -1849.1  -198.3   -71.4    39.7   3755.3
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -6.444e+01  3.283e+01  -1.963  0.0503 .
## X1           5.310e-04  2.775e-04   1.914  0.0563 .
## X2           1.072e-01  1.297e-02   8.269 1.64e-15 ***
```

```

## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 568 on 437 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8998, Adjusted R-squared:  0.8993
## F-statistic: 1961 on 2 and 437 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
df = cdi_data

print(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X3,df)))

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Y
##           Df      Sum Sq   Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## X1          1 1243181164 1243181164 3959.184 < 2.2e-16 ***
## X2          1  22058054   22058054   70.249 7.271e-16 ***
## X3          1   4063370    4063370   12.941 0.0003583 ***
## Residuals 436 136903711    313999
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(r2_X3 = anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X3,df))[3,2]/sum(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X3,df))[3:4,2]))

## [1] 0.02882495

print(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X4,df)))

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Y
##           Df      Sum Sq   Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## X1          1 1243181164 1243181164 3859.8919 < 2.2e-16 ***
## X2          1  22058054   22058054   68.4870 1.571e-15 ***
## X4          1   541647    541647    1.6817  0.1954
## Residuals 436 140425434    322077
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(r2_X4 = anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X4,df))[3,2]/sum(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X4,df))[3:4,2]))

## [1] 0.003842367

print(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X5,df)))

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Y
##           Df      Sum Sq   Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## X1          1 1243181164 1243181164 8617.70 < 2.2e-16 ***
## X2          1  22058054   22058054  152.91 < 2.2e-16 ***
## X5          1  78070132   78070132  541.18 < 2.2e-16 ***
## Residuals 436  62896949    144259
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(r2_X5 = anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X5,df))[3,2]/sum(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X5,df))[3:4,2]))

## [1] 0.5538182

```



```
print(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X6,df)))
```

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
```

```
##
```

```
## Response: Y
```

```
##           Df      Sum Sq    Mean Sq  F value    Pr(>F)
## X1          1 1243181164 1243181164 3873.4274 < 2.2e-16 ***
## X2          1  22058054   22058054   68.7271 1.414e-15 ***
## X6          1   1032359    1032359    3.2166 0.07359 .
## Residuals 436 139934722    320951
## ---
```

```
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
(r2_X6 = anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X6,df))[3,2]/sum(anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X6,df))[3:4,2]))
```

```
## [1] 0.007323408
```

$$R^2_{3|12} = 0.028825$$

$$R^2_{4|12} = 0.0038424$$

$$R^2_{5|12} = 0.5538182$$

$$R^2_{6|12} = 0.0073234$$

(b)

X_5 is the best predictor we can add to the model as it has the maximum coefficient of partial determination. Yes, the extra sum of squares associated with this variable is larger compared to other variables also, which makes sense since SST will remain constant.

(c)

```
anova_x5 = anova(lm(Y~X1+X2+X5, data=cdi_data))
```

```
anova_x5
```

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
```

```
##
```

```
## Response: Y
```

```
##           Df      Sum Sq    Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## X1          1 1243181164 1243181164 8617.70 < 2.2e-16 ***
## X2          1  22058054   22058054  152.91 < 2.2e-16 ***
## X5          1   78070132   78070132  541.18 < 2.2e-16 ***
## Residuals 436  62896949    144259
## ---
```

```
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
ssr = as.numeric(anova_x5["X5", "Sum Sq"])
```

```
sse = as.numeric(anova_x5["Residuals", "Sum Sq"])
```

```
df_diff = 1
```

```
df_E = as.numeric(anova_x5["Residuals", "Df"])
```

```
FStar = (ssr/df_diff) / (sse/df_E)
```

```
print(FStar)
```

```
## [1] 541.1801
```

```
print(paste("P-value:", 1-pf(FStar, df_diff, df_E)))
```

```
## [1] "P-value: 0"
```

```

#alpha is given
alpha = 0.01

# df from Summary above in a
FTest = qf(1-alpha, df_diff, df_E)
print(FTest)

```

```
## [1] 6.693358
```

Hypotheses:

$H_0 : \beta_5 = 0$

$H_a : \beta_5 \neq 0$

Decision Rules:

If $F^* \leq 6.6933576$, conclude H_0

If $F^* > 6.6933576$, conclude H_a

Conclusion:

Since our test statistic, $F^* = 541.1800993$, and $541.1800993 \leq 6.6933576$, we conclude H_0 . Thus, X3 can be dropped from the model.