

Real Analysis Qual, Fall 2022

Problem 1. Let $\mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}$ denote the characteristic function of $[0, \infty)$. Show that there is no everywhere continuous function f on \mathbb{R} such that $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (with respect to the Lebesgue measure).

Proof. Let f be a function which equals $\mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}$ almost everywhere. Take $\epsilon > 0$. Observe that $0 \in f^{-1}(B_\epsilon(f(0)))$. If f is continuous, then there is some δ such that $B_\delta(0) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_\epsilon(f(0)))$. In particular, $f(B_\delta(0)) \subseteq B_\epsilon(f(0))$. We claim that $0, 1 \in f(B_\delta(0))$. Indeed, $(0, \delta) \subseteq B_\delta(0)$, and $\mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}((0, \delta)) = \{1\}$. Since $(0, \delta)$ does not have measure 0, then there must be some $y \in (0, \delta)$ such that $f(y) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}(y) = 1$. An identical argument on $(-\delta, 0)$ shows that there is some $y \in (-\delta, 0)$ so that $f(y) = 0$. Hence, $0, 1 \in f(B_\delta(0))$ for all δ . Therefore, $0, 1 \in B_\epsilon(f(0))$. Taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives a contradiction. Thus, f is not everywhere continuous. \square

Problem 2. Let $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable family of Lebesgue measurable subsets of \mathbb{R}^d with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n) < \infty$$

where m denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d and let

$$E = \{x \in X : x \in E_n \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

(a) (**Classic**) Show that $E = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n$ and deduce that E is Lebesgue measurable with $m(E) = 0$.

(b) Show that

$$\chi_E(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{E_n}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ where, for any subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, χ_A denotes the characteristic function of A .

Proof. Set $F = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n$. Say that $x \in F$. Then, for all N , we have $x \in \bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n$. So, for all N , there is some $n \geq N$ such that $x \in E_n$. Hence, x is in infinitely many E_n , so $x \in E$, proving $F \subseteq E$. Now, take $x \in E$. Then, x is in infinitely many E_n . Therefore, for all N , there must be some $n \geq N$ such that $x \in E_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n$. Since this holds for all N , then $x \in \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n = F$, so $E \subseteq F$, giving equality. Note as well that

$$m\left(\bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n\right) \leq \sum_{n \geq N} m(E_n) \rightarrow_{N \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

given that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n)$ is convergent. Write $A_N = \bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n$, and note that $A_N \supseteq A_{N+1}$. Moreover, A_1 has finite measure, since $m(A_1) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n) < \infty$. Therefore, by continuity from above,

$$m(E) = m\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} A_N\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m(A_N) = 0.$$

So E is measure 0, proving part (a).

For (b), we have that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{E_n}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq n} \chi_{E_k}(x).$$

Now, either $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{E_n}(x) = 1$ or $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{E_n}(x) = 0$. In the case it equals 1, for all n , there exists some $k \geq n$ such that $x \in E_k$. Hence, x is in infinitely many E_k , so $x \in E$ and $\chi_E(x) = 1$. In the case it equals 0, then there is some n large enough such that for all $k \geq n$, we have $\chi_{E_k}(x) = 0$, and so x is in finitely many E_k . Thus, $x \notin E$, so $\chi_E(x) = 0$ as well. Since the output of $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{E_n}(x)$ and $\chi_E(x)$ can both only take on two values, then we have shown that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{E_n}(x) = \chi_E(x)$. \square

Problem 3. (Classic Technique) Prove that if g is continuous with compact support on \mathbb{R}^d , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)| dx = 0$$

and deduce from this that if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f(n^{1/n}x) - f(x)| dx = 0.$$

Proof. We first prove that $n^{1/n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$. Observe that $e^x \geq x$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$, and hence

$$e = e^{x \cdot (1/x)} = (e^x)^{1/x} \geq x^{1/x}.$$

On the other hand, for all $x \geq 1$, we have $\sqrt[n]{x} \geq 1$ for all n , and hence $x^{1/x} \geq 1$. Therefore, the sequence $n^{1/n}$ is bounded by $[1, e]$. By Bolzano-Weierstrass, there is some convergent subsequence (n_k^{1/n_k}) . Suppose that $n^{1/n} \not\rightarrow 1$. Then, there is some $\alpha > 1$ such that, for k sufficiently large, $n_k^{1/n_k} > \alpha$. In particular, $n_k > \alpha^{n_k}$ for all k sufficiently large. However, if $\alpha > 1$, then α^x is an exponential function, and hence there is some x large enough that for all $y > x$ we have $\alpha^y > y$. Picking $n_k > x$ gives a contradiction. So, we conclude that $n^{1/n}$ converges to 1.

Since $\text{supp } g$ is compact, it is bounded. Say that $M = \sup_{x \in \text{supp } g} |x|$. Choose N so that $N > M + 1$. Let B be the closed ball of radius $2N$. We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)| dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_B |g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)| dx.$$

There is some m such that for all $n \geq m$, we have $|1 - n^{1/n}| > 1/2$. Therefore, for $x \notin B$, we have

$$|n^{1/n}x| = n^{1/n}|x| > \frac{1}{2}|x| > \frac{1}{2}(2N) = N.$$

So, $|n^{1/n}x| > M$, and hence $n^{1/n}x \notin \text{supp } g$. Thus, for $n \geq m$, if $x \notin B$, then $x, n^{1/n}x \notin \text{supp } g$. So,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)| dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_B |g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)| dx$$

as claimed.

Take $\epsilon > 0$. Since B is a closed ball of finite radius, it is a closed and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Therefore, by Heine-Borel, it is compact. Thus, given that g is a continuous function, it is uniformly continuous on B . Choose δ small enough that for all $x, y \in B$ satisfying $|x - y| < \delta$, we have $|g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon$. Pick m such that for all $n \geq m$, we have $|1 - n^{1/n}| < \delta/2N$. Note that $|1 - n^{1/n}| < 1/2$. Moreover,

$$|n^{1/n}x - x| = |(1 - n^{1/n})x| = |1 - n^{1/n}| \cdot |x| < \frac{\delta}{2N} \cdot 2N < \delta.$$

Therefore, $|g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$. Since $|1 - n^{1/n}| < 1/2$, by our preceding argument, we obtain for all $n \geq m$

$$\int |g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)| dx = \int_B |g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)| dx < \int_B \epsilon dx = m(B)\epsilon.$$

Since B was chosen independently of ϵ , then taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives the result for continuous functions with compact support.

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Continuous functions with compact support are dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so choose g such that $\|f - g\|_1 < \epsilon$. Then, pick m such that for all $n \geq m$, we have $\int |g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)| dx < \epsilon$. We obtain

$$\|f(n^{1/n}x) - f(x)\|_1 \leq \|f(n^{1/n}x) - g(n^{1/n}x)\|_1 + \|g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)\|_1 + \|g(x) - f(x)\|_1.$$

By our choice, $\|g(n^{1/n}x) - g(x)\|_1, \|g(x) - f(x)\|_1 < 2\epsilon$. On the other hand, substituting $y = n^{1/n}x$ and noting $dy = n^{1/n}dx$, we have

$$\int |f(n^{1/n}x) - g(n^{1/n}x)| dx = \int |f(y) - g(y)| n^{1/n} dy < n^{1/n} \epsilon \leq e \cdot \epsilon.$$

So, for all $n \geq m$, we have $\|f(n^{1/n}x) - f(x)\|_1 < 2/3\epsilon + e \cdot \epsilon$. Taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives the result. \square

Problem 4. Let f be a function defined over \mathbb{R} by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-1/2} & \text{if } 0 < x < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a given enumeration $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of the rationals \mathbb{Q} , let

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x + q_n).$$

- (a) Prove that F is a Lebesgue integrable function on \mathbb{R} and hence that the series defining F converges for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (b) Show, however, that this series is unbounded on every open interval, and in fact, any function G that agrees with F almost everywhere must be unbounded on every open interval.

Proof. Set $g(n, x) = \frac{1}{2^n} f(x + q_n)$. Since f is measurable, then g is measurable. Moreover, taken with respect to the counting measure on \mathbb{N} ,

$$F(x) = \int g(n, x) dx.$$

Observe that $g(n, x)$ is nonnegative for all n, x . Hence, by Tonelli's Theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{N}} g(n, x) dn dx = \int_{\mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(n, x) dx dn = \int_{\mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x + q_n) dx dr.$$

By invariance under shifts, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x + q_n) dx dr = \int_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^n} \int f(x) dx dr = \int_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^n} \int_0^1 x^{-1/2} dx dn = \int_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{2}{2^n} dn.$$

Putting it all together,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |F(x)| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{2}{2^n} dn = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2^n} = 2.$$

Therefore, F is a Lebesgue integrable function, proving (a).

Take an open interval (a, b) . By density of the rationals, there is some rational point $q_m \in (a, b)$. Let A be a null set. Note that $(q_m, q_m + 1/n) \not\subseteq A$ for any n , since $(q_m, q_m + 1/n)$ has nonzero measure. Therefore, we may take a sequence of points (x_n) satisfying $x_n \in (q_m, q_m + 1/n) \setminus A$. Observe that $x_n \rightarrow q_m$. For some k , $q_k = -q_m$. Therefore, $x_n + q_k \in (q_m + q_k, q_m + q_k + 1/n) = (0, 1/n) \subseteq (0, 1)$. Since f is continuous on $(0, 1)$ and $f(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, then $f(x_n + q_k) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$. Furthermore, by nonnegativity of f ,

$$\frac{1}{2^k} f(x_n + q_k) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x_n + q_n) = F(x_n).$$

Therefore, $F(x_n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x_n \rightarrow q_m$, then the tail of (x_n) is contained in (a, b) , so we conclude that F is unbounded on (a, b) . Moreover, we chose the sequence (x_n) to avoid the arbitrary null set A . Therefore, if $G = F$ almost everywhere, then G is distinct from F on a null set A . Constructing (x_n) to avoid A shows that G is unbounded on every open interval. \square

Problem 5. Let $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove that the collection $\{u_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$u_{j,k}(x, y) = u_j(x)u_k(y)$$

forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. We show that $u_{j,k} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. So,

$$\int |u_{j,k}(x, y)u_{i,\ell}(x, y)| d(x \times y) = \int |u_j(x)|^2 |u_k(y)|^2 d(x \times y).$$

The integrand is a nonnegative measurable function, so by Tonelli's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int |u_j(x)|^2 |u_k(y)|^2 d(x \times y) &= \iint |u_j(x)|^2 |u_k(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &= \left(\int |u_j(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(\int |u_k(y)|^2 dy \right) \\ &= 1 \cdot 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\int |u_{j,k}(x, y)|^2 d(x \times y) = 1$, so $u_{j,k} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Hence $u_{j,k} \bar{u}_{i,\ell} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, for

$$\int |u_{j,k}(x, y)| |u_{i,\ell}(x, y)| d(x \times y) \leq \|u_{j,k}\|_2 \|u_{i,\ell}\|_2 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz. Thus, by Fubini's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int u_{j,k}(x, y) \overline{u_{i,\ell}(x, y)} d(x \times y) &= \iint u_j(x) \overline{u_i(x)} \cdot u_k(y) \overline{u_\ell(y)} dx dy \\ &= \left(\int u_j(x) \overline{u_i(x)} dx \right) \left(\int u_k(y) \overline{u_\ell(y)} dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

If either $j \neq i$ or $k \neq \ell$, then the result is 0, since in this case either u_i, u_j or u_k, u_ℓ are orthogonal. Otherwise, if $j = i$ and $k = \ell$, then the integrands are $|u_j|^2, |u_k|^2$, so, by the computation above, the result is 1. Therefore, $\{u_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Since it is an orthonormal sequence, $\{u_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^\infty$ is linearly independent. It remains to show that its spanning set is dense.

First, $g(x, y) \overline{u_{j,k}(x, y)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, for by Cauchy-Schwarz $\| |g| \cdot |u_{j,k}| \|_2 < \infty$. Now, suppose that $\langle g, u_{j,k} \rangle = 0$ for all j, k . Then,

$$0 = \int g \overline{u_{j,k}} d(x \times y) = \iint g(x, y) \overline{u_j(x)} \overline{u_k(y)} dx dy = \int \overline{u_k(y)} \left(\int g(x, y) \overline{u_j(x)} dx \right) dy.$$

Considering j as fixed, and regarding $\int g(x, y) \overline{u_j(x)} dx$ as a function in y , we have for all k that

$$\left\langle \int g(x, y) \overline{u_j(x)} dx, u_k \right\rangle = 0.$$

Since u_k is an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^d , then $\int g(x, y) \overline{u_j(x)} dx = 0$ for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For each j let A_j be the set of y such that $\int g(x, y) \overline{u_j(x)} dx$ is nonzero. Then, $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty A_j$ is still a null set. Therefore, for all $y \notin A$, and thus almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\langle g(x, y), u_j \rangle = 0$. Again, since u_j is an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^d , then for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the function $g(x, y)$ is an almost everywhere 0 function. Let B be the set of x such that $g(x, y)$ is nonzero for $y \notin A$. Then, for all $(x, y) \notin A \times B$, we have $g(x, y) = 0$. Since A, B are null sets, then $A \times B$ is a null set. So, $g(x, y)$ is a.e. the zero function. Therefore, $\{u_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^\infty$ has a dense span, so $\{u_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. \square

Problem 6. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space with $\mu(X) = 1$. Prove that for any integrable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in X : |f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_1 \right\} \right) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{\|f\|_1}{2\|f\|_\infty}, \frac{\|f\|_1^2}{4\|f\|_2^2} \right\}.$$

Proof. We observe that if either $\|f\|_\infty = \infty$ or $\|f\|_2 = \infty$, then at least one of the inequalities is clear. Hence, for our proofs, we may suppose that $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Set $E = \{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_1\}$. Since $m(X \setminus E) \leq 1$, we have

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_E |f| dx + \int_{X \setminus E} |f| dx \leq \int_E |f| dx + \int_{X \setminus E} \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_1 dx \leq \int_E |f| dx + \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_1.$$

Therefore, after rearrangement, $\frac{1}{2}\|f\|_1 \leq \int_E |f| dx$. We bound this further in two different ways. So,

$$\int_E |f| dx \leq \int_E \|f\|_\infty dx = m(E)\|f\|_\infty.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{\|f\|_1}{2\|f\|_\infty} \leq m(E).$$

On the other hand, by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\int_E |f| dx = \int |f| \mathbb{1}_E dx \leq \|f\|_2 \|\mathbb{1}_E\|_2 = \|f\|_2 (m(E))^{1/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\|f\|_1^2}{4\|f\|_2^2} \leq m(E).$$

Since $m(E)$ bounds both, then

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in X : |f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_1 \right\} \right) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{\|f\|_1}{2\|f\|_\infty}, \frac{\|f\|_1^2}{4\|f\|_2^2} \right\},$$

proving the claim. □