

Real Analysis Qual, Spring 2021

Problem 1. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space, and let $E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ be a measurable set for $n \geq 1$. Let $f_n = \chi_{E_n}$ be the indicator function of the set E_n . Prove that

- (a) $f_n \rightarrow 1$ uniformly if and only if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E_n = X$ for all $n \geq N$.
- (b) $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ for almost every x if and only if

$$\mu \left(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{k \geq n} (X \setminus E_k) \right) = 0.$$

Proof. We prove (a). First suppose that $f_n \rightarrow 1$ uniformly. Then, there is some N such that for all $n \geq N$, we have $|1 - \chi_{E_n}(x)| < 1/2$ for all x . If there is some x such that $x \notin E_n$, then $|1 - \chi_{E_n}(x)| = |1 - 0| = 1 \not< 1/2$. Therefore, we have $E_n = X$ for all $n \geq N$. For the reverse direction, suppose there is some n such that $E_n = X$ for all $n \geq N$. Then, take $\epsilon > 0$ to be arbitrary. For all $n \geq N$, we have $|1 - \chi_{E_n}(x)| = |1 - \chi_X(x)| = 0 < \epsilon$. So, $f_n \rightarrow 1$ uniformly.

Now we prove (b). Suppose that $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ for a.e. x . Observe $x \in \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{k \geq n} (X \setminus E_k)$ if for all $n \geq 0$, there exists some $k \geq n$, such that $x \in X \setminus E_k$. In particular, for all $n \geq 0$, there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $x \notin E_k$, so that $f_k(x) = 0$. Therefore, $f_k(x) \not\rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, x belongs to the set of points A such that $f_n(x) \not\rightarrow 1$. Since $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ for a.e. x , then A has measure 0, so

$$\mu \left(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{k \geq n} (X \setminus E_k) \right) \leq \mu(A) = 0,$$

as needed.

Suppose alternatively that $\mu(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{k \geq n} (X \setminus E_k)) = 0$. Consider the set of points A such that $f_n(x) \not\rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that $x \in A$. Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is no $n \geq 0$, such that for all $k \geq n$ we have $|1 - f_n(x)| < \epsilon$. In particular, choosing $\epsilon = 1/2$, for all $n \geq 0$, there is some $k \geq n$ such that $|1 - f_k(x)| > 1/2$. Then, $f_n(x) \neq 1$, so $f_k(x) = 0$, and thus $x \in X \setminus E_k$. So, for all $n \geq 0$, there is some $k \geq n$ such that $x \in X \setminus E_k$. So, for all $n \geq 0$, we have $x \in \bigcup_{k \geq n} X \setminus E_k$, and thus $x \in \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{k \geq n} X \setminus E_k$. This is a 0 measure set, and it contains A , so A is a 0 measure set. \square

Problem 2. (Classic) Calculate the limit

$$L := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n \frac{\cos(x/n)}{x^2 + \cos(x/n)} dx.$$

Proof. Pointwise $\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]} \cos(x/n) \rightarrow 1$, since $x/n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise and $\cos(0) = 1$. Therefore, for all $x > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]} \frac{\cos(x/n)}{x^2 + \cos(x/n)} = \frac{1}{x^2 + 1}.$$

Since $3/2 < \pi/2$, then on the interval $[0, 3/2]$, $\cos(x/n)$ decreases monotonically for all n . Moreover, since $3/2 \leq 3/2n$ for all n , then we have $\cos(3/2n) \geq \cos(3/2)$ for all n . Thus, for all n , on the interval $[0, 3/2]$,

$$\left| \frac{\cos(x/n)}{x^2 + \cos(x/n)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{x^2 + \cos(x/n)} \leq \frac{1}{x^2 + \cos(3/2)}.$$

Moreover, for all n we have $\cos(x/n) \geq -1$. Therefore, for $x \in [3/2, \infty)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\cos(x/n)}{x^2 + \cos(x/n)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{x^2 + \cos(x/n)} \leq \frac{1}{x^2 - 1}.$$

Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^2 + \cos(3/2)}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 3/2], \\ \frac{1}{x^2 - 1}, & \text{if } x \in (3/2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Note that $|f| = f$, and that, by the foregoing, we have

$$\left| \frac{\cos(x/n)}{x^2 + \cos(x/n)} \right| \leq f(x)$$

for all x . Moreover, f is Lebesgue integrable on $[0, \infty]$. Indeed,

$$\int_0^\infty |f(x)| dx = \int_0^{3/2} f(x) dx + \int_{3/2}^\infty f(x) dx = \int_0^{3/2} \frac{1}{x^2 + \cos(3/2)} dx + \int_{3/2}^\infty \frac{1}{x^2 - 1} dx.$$

Both these integrals are finite, so f is Lebesgue integrable as claimed. Therefore, by DCT,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n \frac{\cos(x/n)}{x^2 + \cos(x/n)} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} dx.$$

Now, observe that $\tan : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a diffeomorphism. So, we perform the substitution $x = \tan(\theta)$. Note that $dx = \sec^2(\theta) d\theta$. Therefore, since $\tan^2(\theta) + 1 = \sec^2(\theta)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n \frac{\cos(x/n)}{x^2 + \cos(x/n)} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} dx = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\tan^2(\theta) + 1} \sec^2(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} 1 dx = 2\pi.$$

□

Problem 3. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a finite measure space. Let $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq L^1(X, \mu)$ and $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ such that $f_n(x) \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for almost every $x \in X$. Prove that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $M > 0$, and a set $E \subseteq X$, such that $\mu(E) < \epsilon$ and $|f_n(x)| \leq M$ for all $x \in X \setminus E$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Define $A_m = \{x \in X : \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, |f_n(x)| > m\}$. Observe that the A_m are monotone, since if $x \in A_{m+1}$, there is some n such that $|f_n(x)| > m+1 \geq m$, and so $x \in A_m$. For $x \in \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty A_m$, the sequence $(f_n(x))$ does not converge, since for every M , there exists some n such that $|f_n(x)| \geq M$. Since f_n converges pointwise a.e., then $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty A_m$ must be a null set. Since X is a finite measure space, and so A_1 in particular is finite, then by continuity from below

$$0 = \mu \left(\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty A_m \right) = \lim \mu(A_m).$$

So, let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Pick M so that $\mu(A_M) < \epsilon$. Then, for $x \in X \setminus A_M$, we must have $|f(x)| \leq M$. Thus, $A_M = E$ satisfies the requirements of our set, proving the claim. □

Problem 4. (Classic Technique) Let f and g be Lebesgue Integrable on \mathbb{R} . Let $g_n(x) = g(x - n)$. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - g_n\|_1 = \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1.$$

Proof. We first suppose that f, g are continuous functions with compact support. Since $\text{supp } f, \text{supp } g$ compact, then they are bounded. So, say that $\text{supp } f$ is supported on $[-N, N]$ and that $\text{supp } g$ is supported on $[-M, M]$. Observe that if $g_n(x) \neq 0$, then $g(x - n) \neq 0$, and this happens if and only if $x - n \in [-M, M]$ which occurs if and only if $x \in [n - M, n + M]$. So, $g_n(x)$ is supported on $[n - M, n + M]$. Take $n \geq N + M$. Then, $[n - M, n + M] \cap [-N, N] = \emptyset$, and so $f(x) \neq 0$ if and only if $g_n(x) = 0$, and vice versa. Therefore,

$$\int |f - g_n| dx = \int_{-N}^N |f - g_n| dx + \int_{n-M}^{n+M} |f - g_n| dx = \int_{-N}^N |f| dx + \int_{n-M}^{n+M} |g_n| dx.$$

Now, applying the change of coordinates $y = x - n$, we have

$$\int_{n-M}^{n+M} |g_n| dx = \int \mathbb{1}_{[-M, M]}(x - n) |g(x - n)| dx = \int \mathbb{1}_{[-M, M]}(y) |g(y)| dy = \int_{-M}^M |g| dx.$$

So,

$$\int |f - g_n| dx = \int_{-N}^N |f| dx + \int_{-M}^M |g| dx = \int |f| dx + \int |g| dx$$

for all n sufficiently large.

Now, take f, g to be arbitrary L^1 functions. Take $\epsilon > 0$. Let ϕ be within $\epsilon/4$ of f , and let ψ be within $\epsilon/4$ of g in the L^1 -norm. Then, choose n sufficiently large that $\|\phi - \psi_n\| = \|\phi\|_1 + \|\psi\|_1$, which is possible by the above proof. Observe that using the proper change of coordinates, we have $\|g_n - \psi_n\| = \|g - \psi\|$. So,

$$\left| \|f - g_n\| - \|\phi - \psi_n\| \right| \leq \|f - g_n - (\phi - \psi_n)\| \leq \|f - \phi\| + \|g_n - \psi_n\| < \epsilon/2.$$

We have

$$\left| \|f - g_n\| - \|\phi\| - \|\psi\| \right| \leq \left| \|f - g_n\| - \|\phi - \psi_n\| \right| + \left| \|\phi - \psi_n\| - \|\phi\| - \|\psi\| \right| = \epsilon/2.$$

So, for all n sufficiently large,

$$\left| \|f - g_n\| - \|f\| - \|g\| \right| \leq \left| \|f - g_n\| - \|\phi\| - \|\psi\| \right| + \left| \|\phi\| + \|\psi\| - \|f\| - \|g\| \right| \leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon,$$

completing the proof. \square

Problem 5. Let $f_n \in L^2([0, 1])$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that

(a) $\|f_n\|_2 \leq n^{-51/100}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

(b) \hat{f}_n is supported in the interval $[2^n, 2^{n+1}]$, that is

$$\hat{f}_n(k) = \int_0^1 f(x) e^{-2\pi i k x} dx = 0, \text{ for } k \notin [2^n, 2^{n+1}].$$

Prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ converges in the Hilbert space $L^2([0, 1])$.

Proof. We prove that (f_n) is an orthogonal sequence. Say that $m \neq n$. Then,

$$\langle f_n, f_m \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_n e^{-2\pi i k x}, f_m e^{-2\pi i k x} \rangle \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_n e^{-2\pi i k x}\|_2 \|f_m e^{-2\pi i k x}\|_2.$$

Observe that $\|f_n e^{-2\pi i k x}\| = |\hat{f}_n(k)|$. Moreover, $\hat{f}_n(k) \hat{f}_m(k) \neq 0$ if and only if $k \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}]$ and $k \in [2^m, 2^{m+1}]$. If $m \neq n$, then these two intervals are disjoint, and so

$$\langle f_n, f_m \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_n e^{-2\pi i k x}\|_2 \|f_m e^{-2\pi i k x}\|_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{f}_n(k) \hat{f}_m(k)| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0.$$

So, the f_n are orthogonal as claimed. Therefore, by the Pythagorean Theorem

$$\left\| \sum_{n=k}^N f_n \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=k}^N \|f_n\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{n=k}^N n^{-102/100}.$$

Taking $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n \right\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} n^{-102/100} < \infty.$$

For all k , this is a p -series, and thus convergent. Moreover, we have $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} n^{-102/100} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Therefore, $S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since Hilbert spaces are complete, then the S_N converge. \square

Problem 6. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function, and for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ define the set

$$E_x := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : m(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x, z) = f(x, y)\}) > 0\}.$$

Show that

$$E := \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{x\} \cup E_x$$

is a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Hint: Consider the measurable function $h(x, y, z) := f(x, y) - f(x, z)$.

There is some lore behind this problem. It is a known hard problem. It went unsolved during the qual, and I do not know if a solution is known. I have not tried to solve it, and I don't think you should worry about this question.