Mutex Locks	<ul> <li>Previous solutions are complicated and generally inaccessible to application programmers</li> <li>OS designers build software tools to solve critical section problem</li> <li>Simplest is mutex lock</li> <li>Protect a critical section by first acquire() a lock then release() the lock         <ul> <li>Boolean variable indicating if lock is available or not</li> </ul> </li> <li>Calls to acquire() and release() must be atomic</li> <li>But this solution requires busy waiting</li> </ul>
Semaphore	<ul> <li>Synchronization tool that provides more sophisticated ways (than Mutex locks) for process to synchronize their activities</li> <li>Semaphore S – integer variable</li> <li>Can only be accessed via two indivisible (atomic) operations <ul> <li>Wait() and signal() *originally called P() and V()</li> </ul> </li> <li>Definition of the wait() operation <ul> <li>Wait(S)</li> <li>{ while (s &lt;=0);</li> <li>// busy wait</li> <li>S;</li> <li>}</li> </ul> </li> <li>Definition of the signal() operation <ul> <li>Signal(S) {</li> <li>S++;</li> <li>}</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Deadlock and Starvation	<ul> <li>Deadlock – two or more processes are waiting indefinitely for an event that can be caused by only one of the waiting processes</li> <li>Starvation – indefinite blocking         <ul> <li>A process may never be removed from the semaphore queue in which it is suspended</li> </ul> </li> <li>Priority inversion – scheduling problem when lower-priority process holds a lock needed by higher-priority process</li> </ul>