VAE – GAN: Identifying VAE-GAN Model for Latent Representation Learning

Sruthi Mandalapu, Chandra Kiran Matcha, Charishma Rathan Bala,
Gowtham Edumudi, Chandrika Kancheti

1. INTRODUCTION

As we find the approach of unsupervised learning involves a machine to be trained for analyzing and providing out the distributed clustered data sets, which is actually becomes strenuous. In general sense the process requires latent representation which allows in simplifying the data to clustered information based on statistical inference. Here the distribution of data is actually taken care by a probabilistic modelling to partition each cluster across the space. The process makes easier to get the trained data features to give input for generation of new outcome.

Introducing the Auto Encoders, which actually encodes allows in encoding the data from huge dimensional to put into a smaller dimensional than the original format. So, the decoder maps the lossy version of data into to get back to original high dimensional output. So, the main feature what actually it incorporates is while decoding as the input is smaller version, the decoder perfectly identifies the important features and extracts them to produce out enhancable output format. We can find in real scenarios where auto encoders in removal of water marks of the images, also helps in denoising of picture, where it produces a improved image removing noise. Few other features which are implemented through the Auto Encoders is neural impainting, this actually helps out in removal of features in background to look more profounded image and also allows to add missed streams from the existing image.

Variational Autoencoders allows to explain: Instead of mapping to fixed vector, you want to map the inputs to distribution, the difference is the bottle neck vector Z is replaced by two separate vectors one representing mean of the distribution and the other one representing standard deviation of the distribution. This VAE is merged with GAN which is Generative Adversarial Network to pull out the real image with high dimensional pixel quality. In GAN, the generator learns to produce reliable data. The created manifestations become negative educational examples for the discriminator. The discriminator learns to distinguish fake generator data from real data. The discriminator penalizes the generator for improbable results. At the beginning of training, the generator produces obviously false data, and the discriminator quickly learns that it is fake: As the training progresses, the generator approaches to produce power that can fool the separator. Finally, if generative learning goes well, the discriminator gets worse at distinguishing between genuine and fake. It starts classifying fake data as real and its accuracy decreases.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

VAE - GAN often suffers from overfitting, which actually performs training of data very poorly. Introducing regularization helps out to prevent overfitting to produce out simpler model. This would be helpful in controlling the complexities of representations. Through this we can achieve semi supervised learning where features can be contemplated easily during distribution in the latent space. So, here the main motivation involves in enhancements in latent space to control. This enables in high pixel quality data reconstructions.

3. OBJECTIVES AND GOALS

Advantage of Integrating VAE with GAN to avoid cons among them: The main objectives of VAE-GAN are to take advantage of the strengths of both models while addressing some shortcomings of conventional VAEs and GANs. VAE-GANs strive to combine the properties of both VAEs and GANs in order to enhance generative modelling, enhance latent space representations, and gain more control over the generation process while addressing some of the shortcomings of each specific model. Due to these goals, VAE-GANs are a versatile solution for a variety of machine learning applications, such as picture creation, data augmentation, and anomaly detection.

Ability to generate new data samples that closely resemble the training data: GAN component often has a main focal point where a generator network allows to generate a plausable data. The generated instances actually becomes negative training samples for the discriminator. And successively discriminator penalizes to provide out the generator with a implausible results. These samples would be helpful continuously in a way having a well trained data for generator.

Reconstructing High Quality Data by Improval of latent space representation: Regularization techniques often allows to avoid a problem of overfitting which gives out more trained data representation in latent space, as it is simpler way of representation the process goes out more easier to generate new data, which helps in improving pixel quality.

4. EXPECTED CHALLENGES

Instability during training: Achieving a balance between VAE and GAN components can be difficult, and it is important to find the right hyperparameters such as learning rate and weights to stabilize the training. Training VAE-GANs can be computationally intensive, especially for large datasets and complex architectures. Availability of powerful hardware or cloud resources may be limited.

Latent Space Disentanglement: Although VAEs are known for their interpretable latent states, fully extracting the drivers of data variability can be difficult. It can be difficult to ensure that each latent space dimension corresponds to a single independent feature. When a latent space is extracted, each dimension or direction of the space corresponds to a specific and interpretable property or attribute of the data. This function is valuable because it allows better management and comprehensible creation and processing of data

Avoid Cons of Overfitting Problem: Weight normalization (weight reduction) of models including both encoder and decoder. Regularization favors smaller weight values and can prevent the model from fitting the training data too closely. Here, Models that are too complex, with too many parameters, are prone to overfitting. To avoid this, consider reducing the size of the hidden space, reducing the number of layers and neurons in the networks or using regularization techniques such as dropping or weighting.

Quality of Data: VAE-GANs aim to produce data that closely resemble real-world examples and introduce variations that reflect the diversity and richness of the dataset. This quality is achieved through a competitive training process where the generator competes with the discriminator to produce data indistinguishable from the real data. The quality of the data produced by VAE-GAN can also be affected by the quality of the input data and the effectiveness of the data pre-processing techniques. The data quality, in VAE GAN refers to the characteristics of the dataset that is used to train the model. It's crucial for the data to be accurate, consistent and free from any errors or noise. The datasets relevance to the task, such as using face images for face generation plays a role. While larger datasets can improve the models robustness they should still maintain quality. Preprocessing tasks such as resizing, normalization and augmentation also contribute to maintaining data quality. Ultimately the quality of data significantly impacts how well the model learns representations and generates samples.

5. SIGNIFICANCE

Adversarial Training: VAE-GAN includes a reconstruction loss that promotes the similarity of the generated data to the real data. The job of the discriminator is to maximize its ability to distinguish between real and synthetic data. At the same time, the Generator tries to minimize the separator's ability to do so. The adversarial component of VAE-GAN training ensures higher accuracy and authenticity of the generated samples. This iterative process refines VAE's latent spatial representation, improving its ability to produce high-quality and consistent data.

Detection of Outliers: VAE-GAN incorporating discriminator (as in GAN), you can use the output of the discriminator to measure how realistic the generated data point is. Data points with consistently low discrimination scores can be marked as outliers. This task is difficult because VAE-GANs are designed to produce data similar to the training distribution. This can be overcomed by regularization.

Neural Inpainting: Gathering a dataset of images on which you want to perform inpainting, this ensures that this dataset contains images with missing or corrupted regions that need to be inpainted. Here the generator takes the encoded hidden representation and creates an output image. The task of the generator is to generate the missing or damaged parts of the input image by completing it. To improve color quality, GAN technology is used to further enhance the reconstructed image. The GAN generator network improves the VAE output and makes it more visually believable by adding high-frequency details and improving overall image quality. At the same time, the discrimination network separates real and painted images and provides competitive feedback to improve the generator.

6. RESOURCES

Datasets: CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100, ImageNet, MNIST
Python (PyTorch): PyTorch, a versatile Python deep learning library, is commonly used to implement VAE-GAN (variational Autoencoder-Generative Adversarial Network) models. VAE-GAN combines two powerful deep learning architectures: variational autoencoders (VAE) and generative adversarial networks (GAN). In PyTorch, we start by defining a VAE component that learns a probabilistic mapping between input data and a hidden state. This includes defining encoder and decoder networks, choosing appropriate loss functions. PyTorch's seamless GPU integration speeds up training, which is critical for complex models like VAE-GAN. Finally, the performance of the model is evaluated using various metrics such as visual inspection.

7. TIMELINE

Training the collected data by VAE - Oct 12
Implementing VAE-GAN - Oct 30
Applying Problem Faced - Novemeber 12
Testing over different data sets - November 25

8. REFERENCES

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9766338
https://omdena.com/blog/denoising-autoencoders/
https://medium.com/@jwbtmf/comparison-of-autoencoders-vs-variational-autoencoders-7993442bb377
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10226378/