## TRIAL PROCEEDINGS NOVEMBER 18, 1987

THE COURT: The record should reflect that the jury is all present. You may call your next witness.

MR. HOEFER: Thank you, Your Honor. The State at this time will call Arnold Melnikoff.

ARNOLD MELNIKOFF, being first duly sworn as a witness on behalf of the plaintiff, upon direct examination by Mr. Hoefer, testified as follows:

- Q. Will you please state your name for the record.
  - A. Arnold Melnikoff.
  - Q. Where do you reside?
  - A. 701 Snow Bowl Road, Missoula, Montana.
  - Q. Where do you work?
- A. At the Montana Criminalistics Laboratory, commonly referred to as the State Crime Laboratory.
  - Q. And what is your job title there?
  - A. Laboratory Manager.
- Q. For the record what are your duties in that position?
- A. Until just recently my duties were examination of trace evidence and evidence in arson cases and lab manager.
  - Q. And what is your position currently?
  - A. I have been relieved of my examination duties

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and I am to be a full time lab manager.

- Q. How long have you worked at the State Criminal Lab?
  - A. Since July of 1970.
- Q. Now you have referred to the fact that one of your responsibilities was to handle trace evidence. Will you tell the jury what that term means.
- A. Trace evidence are small items of material that can be found at a crime scene or on avictim or suspect's clothing. They are items that usually you cannot specifically identify unless you send it to a laboratory, they include things like paint, glass, hair, things like that.
- Q. For the jury's information what is your formal education?
- A. I have a Bachelor's degree in biology from Northern Illinois University, and a Master's degree in organic chemistry from the University of Montana.
- Q. Have you further taken additional job related courses and if so what are those?
- A. Yes, I have. I took a course in hair identification from the FBI Laboratory. A course in forensic microscopy from the Macrom Institute which is located in Chicago, Illinois. I took several courses from the University of Montana Pharmacy School, one was on drug

- Q. In addition have you given any papers at the Northwest Association of Forensic Scientist's meetings?
  - A. Yes, I have.

- Q. And what were those regarding?
- A. Several papers concerning gascrotography and identification of fire accelerants in arson cases. One paper on cocaine toxicity, another paper on using diamond cell and infrared spectroscopy, paper on primate hair, the characteristics of primate hair, and a paper on using a marker in paint samples to identify the paint.
- Q. Have you previously testified in criminal cases?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And how many have you testified in?
  - A. Over one hundred.
- Q. Concerning the case of State of Montana versus James Bromgard, did you receive evidence concerning this case from the Billings Police Department?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And do you recall approximately when you received that evidence?

- A. Most of the evidence arrived in April of this year.
- Q. And were you asked to examine or did you examine evidence concerning this case?
  - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Specifically what evidence did you look at and what were you looking for?
- A. Primarily pillow cases, bed sheets and blankets to look for hair primarily.
- Q. Now in receiving evidence, what is your procedure for receiving it and handling the evidence?
- A. Well the evidence can be brought to the laboratory by several methods, for example mail, UPS or in person, and then it's assigned a lab identification number and put in a log book and then placed in a locked evidence storage room until it is specifically assigned to a scientist who does the examination of the evidence.
  - Q. Is the evidence sealed when you receive it?
- A. Right, it is not opened until the scientist actually starts the examination.
- Q. And after the examination does the scientist re-seal it?
- A. Yes, when the scientist is done with his or her part of the examinations, they re-seal it and either have it sent back or give it to another scientist in the

lab for other types of tests.

- Q. Showing you what's been marked as State's Exhibit No. 32, I believe you looked at that earlier this afternoon in my office. Is that one of the items that you received?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And was that with other items taken from the crime?
  - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And based on that information did you find any hair samples that were useful in this particular case.
- MR. ADAMS: Object to that as calling for conclusion as to whether they were useful or not.
  - MR. HOEFER: I will rephrase the question.
- Q. (By Mr. Hoefer): Did you find hair samples that you were able to utilize in analyzing evidence in this case?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. Specifically what did you find?
  - A. May I look at my notes?
  - O. Yes.
- A. On that particular item of evidence I removed and examined 46 slides containing mostly hair and a few containing fibers.

(Witness going to chart at this time.)

To start off with, human hair is microscopically different than animal hair, so you can easily distinguish human hair from other types of animal hair. The only hair that is similar is primate hair like monkeys and apes, and it is still different but in routine practice you don't usually have orangutans committing crimes so it is not much of a concern usually. Under a microscope, approximately 300 power, which means that a hair is being examined and blown up by the microscope so it is 300 times larger than you would see it with your naked eye, you see a lot of individual characteristics that are associated with that hair that allow you to compare one hair from one person to another. Human hair can contain an area in the center of the hair called the this is/medula. It's actually a hallow area in the center of the hair. In human hair it can either be absent or if it's present it's intermittent, it's not always there, and it can either be dark or it can be translucent, which means similar in color to the rest of the hair. Most cases it's dark but it's a characteristic that is not too useful because it varies a lot between people and a lot of times it's not present, probably the least useful hair characteristic to look at. outside of the hair contains a transparent coating that

25

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

actually goes around the hair called cuticle, and it varies with different individuals, the thickness of it and occasionally it can have what they call raised scales, in other words instead of being totally flat you see....

THE COURT: ....do you have a question?

JUROR: I can hardly see or hear the witness.

MR. HOEFER: If we can get another marker and you move it closer if you want to.

A. This is much better.

THE COURT: Why don't you move it up closer to the jury box so they can see.

(Moving chart closer to jury box at this time.)

A. As I was talking about, the cuticles are actually a thin coating, transparent coating and goes all the way around your hair just like the paint on a pencil. It is very thin and it is transparent, but when you lay the hair flat on a microscope you can see the edge and some people's hair is thick and some is thin. It is more common to be thin and occasionally it's composed of overlapping sells called scales, and occasionally they will be raised, they don't lie flat, you can see it on edge. This is kind of exaggerated. The most useful characteristics are the pigment granules that actually determine the color of the hair, and they

lie across the center of the hair every where except where the medula is. And little granules, and they can be various colors and shapes. The size, color and distribution of the pigment granules are the most individual characteristic of a person's hair and are most useful distinguishing one person's hair from another. In the caucasion hair, that's people of the white race, their hair is most variable and there is major differences between individuals. Even if their hair looks the same color to the eye, you look at the pigmentation in most cases it is different which is quite obvious which allows you to distinguish one person's hair from another.

(At this time witness returns to witness chair.)

- Q. Now in this case were you able to find human hair on the blanket itself?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And what did you do with that hair when you removed it from the blanket?
- A. All the hair I examined including the standard hairs were placed on separate slides. Okay, microscope slides, and then they were examined under a comparison microscope to see if they could be differentiated from one another.
- Q. Did you bring the 35 millimeter color slides or did you take color slides of those hair samples?

 A. Yes, the microscope we have allows you to take pictures of whatever you see under the microscope, which are exact representations of what you see, and you can use that to document what you previously saw in your examination, and I did take these color slide pictures to document my examination.

- Q. And do you have those slides with you today?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in your opinion would it be useful to show the jury those slides to help them understand how you arrived at your conclusions?
  - A. Yes, I think it would be very helpful.

MR. HOEFER: With the court's permission we do have the slides ready to show, and would proceed with that.

MR. ADAMS: No objection.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. HOEFER: If we could have the lights down, off, please. For the record the projector is being run by Kenneth Konzak.

- Q. (By Mr. Hoefer): What is the first slide?
- A. The first slide is the actual microscope that I talked about. It's a comparison microscope so it's actually composed of two separate microscopes with optical range that allows you to see side-by-side,

comparison of the view on each microscope and it also has an automatic camera which is this, allows you to take a picture of whatever you see.

- Q. Second slide please. If you would identify what is being shown here.
- A. Okay. These are two different microscope slides, one on the left is a known standard of Mr. James Bromgard's hair, and the one on the right is a known standard of Linda Tokarski's hair. There is a major difference between their hair that allows you to distinguish them. One is in general James Bromgard's hair is considerably thicker than Linda's, the cuticle, which is this edge, is thicker than Linda's hair and it's also slightly raised. You can see rough spots here. The other major differences is pigmentation granules. In James Bromgard's hair the granules are evenly disbursed and quite smooth, and Linda's hair the granules are more clumped together and more situated towards the outside of the hair with less pigment in the center.
- Q. For the record, are these head hairs of both individuals?
- A. Yes, they are known head hairs standards from both individuals.

Next slide. Again this is a known standard of James Bromgard's hair and a standard of Linda Tokarski's hair. They are slightly different colors. Most people's hair even though it looks uniform from a distance has range of shades and this depicts that. Linda's hair is one of her lighter hairs but the major differences that I talked about before are still there. Thickness, pigment distribution, you can see again her pigment granules are larger and more clumped and are located more towards the edge of the hair. Mr. Bromgard's hair, the granules are smaller and more evenly distributed.

Next slide, please. These are examples of their darkest hair, with Linda's hair again on the right. Again you see basically the same thing except for the color of the hair being a little darker. The granules are more clumped together and are located on the edge of the hair, the cuticle, which is up here is a little rougher or thicker, and it's generally, her hair is thinner than Mr. Bromgard's hair. Mr. Bromgard's hair again even though it is darker has very small pigment granules pretty well evenly distributed, and his hair is thicker, and this area in the center is actually the medula which was usually absent in most of his hair and in this hair it was present, in this case it is translucent, or you can see through it.

MR. ADAMS: If Your Honor please, may I ask one question of Mr. Melnikoff?

THE COURT:

Surely.

2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

∙17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. ADAMS: You have been showing hairs. You have shown three slides now. Are those three separate hairs or are they actually the same hair?

A. No, each slide is a different hair.

MR. ADAMS: Is that a different hair of Mr.

. . . . .

Bromgard?

A. Right.

MR. ADAMS: This is known controlled samples?

A. That's correct.

MR. ADAMS: It would be something that has been sent to you then?

A. That's correct.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you.

A. All three slides on the left are different hair standards of Mr. Bromgard, and all three slides on the right are different known standards of Linda Tokarski's hair showing the range of variation of the hair.

Next slide please. The next two slides are known public hair standards of Mr. Bromgard, none were sent in from Linda Tokarski because I was told that she didn't have any because of her age. This big black thing is an air bubble which unfortunately got next to the hair. Public hair is inherited with separate characteristics from head hair, so you cannot predict what public hair

will look like if you know what head hair is. Some individuals who are blond headed have very dark pubic hair or visa versa, or it can be the same. In the case of Mr. Bromgard, his pubic hair was very similar to his head hair. Another thing about pubic hair is it's not uniform in thickness, it's pointed at one end and thick in the center and thin in the end and tends to curl around itself. So to compare hairs with pubic hair you always have to look the same general location of the hair. All of the pubic hair I am going to show you is taken approximately the middle of the hair, so this just shows you what Mr. Bromgard's pubic hair looks like. This is a medula here which has fluid in it so it's what they call translucent and just slightly darker than surrounding hair. Pigment granules you can see and in his pubic hair the pigmentation is very similar to what you see in his head hair.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next slide, please. Another known standard of Mr. Bromgard's head hair. Major differences is that medula in this case does not have fluid in it so it appears dark and this is the most common way you see a medula human hair, usually dark. Again the pigment granules are similar to his head hair and similar to the other pubic hair, they are very fine and evenly disbursed throughout his hair. And you can see the hair is slightly

twisted and that's a characteristic of pubic hair. It's usually never very straight.

Next slide please. Okay, the one on the left is hair I removed from the blanket. The one on the right is a known standard of Mr. Bromgard's hair. As you can see it matches very well, thickness, in color, and in pigment distribution showing that fine pigment granules fairly evenly distributed in his hair as I mentioned before.

Next slide, please.

- Q. (By Mr. Hoefer): Could we stop there just a second. First of all was this pubic or head hair here?
  - A. I am sorry, this is head hair.
- Q. And second, the line running down the middle is simply the demarcation between the right and the left side of the microscope, the two different slides underneath?
- A. Yes, that is correct. You can see this line and you can tell also two different slides to this air bubble here, only appears on one slide, it doesn't go across, so the one again on the left is a head hair that was removed from the blanket, the one on the right is a known standard head hair from James Bromgard.
  - Q. Thank you.
  - A. Next slide, please. Okay, one on the left

again is a pubic hair that was removed from the blanket, the one on the right was pubic hair standard of James Bromgard. You can see the line down the center here, and between the two microscopes. Again you can see that the hairs compare very well. Known standard pubic hair matches very well. The hair from the blanket on the left matches all the characteristics of the known pubic hair from James Bromgard on the right, and they almost

(At this time the lights are turned back on in the courtroom.)

look like one hair. That is all the slides I have.

THE COURT: Are you going to show any more slides?

A. No sir.

THE COURT: Would you remove that screen, please, counsel.

(Screen is removed at this time.)

- Q. (By Mr. Hoefer): Mr. Melnikoff, so that the jury is completely clear on this, how did the standard samples of Mr. Bromgard's hair, how were those obtained?
- A. The were obtained by the Billings Police

  Department and sent in what is known a rape examination

  kit where hairs are specifically removed and packaged

  and sent to the laboratory, and an additional set of

  pubic hair standards were also sent at a later date but

  they were all originally obtained by the Billings Police

Department.

- Q. And would your records indicate that Doctor Haniffy obtained the rape kit, is that correct?
- A. Yes, well I can look, I am pretty sure that is correct, but I have copies.
- Q. I will show you. This has been marked as State's Exhibit No. 35.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. And does that indeed indicate that it was from Doctor Haniffy?
- A. Yes, and then he gave them to Detective Regan of the Billings Police Department.
- Q. The standards from Linda Tokarski, referring to the same exhibit, were obtained by Detective Chuck Regan, is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And finally, the additional pubic samples were also forwarded to you and these are of the defendant Jimmy Bromgard by Detective Regan, is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. In addition was the evidence that you received, including the blanket, forwarded to you by Detective Regan?
- A. Yes, they were sent by certified mail with cover letters and information that was signed and

State's Exhibit 33, I have previously provided counsel

with a copy of it.

MR. ADAMS: He has, Your Honor, provided us with a copy of the report. I would object to the introduction of the report itself on the grounds it violates the right of cross examination and violates the right of confrontation, it violates the constitutional rights of this defendant to have evidence presented and it is merely supplementary to the testimony I assume that this man will offer regarding the report. He has at this time laid no foundation to show....

THE COURT: ....the witness is here, why don't you just ask him the questions that you need answered or the evidence you need presented and the opinions that you need offered?

MR. HOEFER: Very well, Your Honor.

MR. ADAMS: If the court please, I may at that time then withdraw my objections and allow the report to go forward.

THE COURT: Well I don't think that we need both, we can either have the report or we can have the testimony.

 ${\tt MR.}$  ADAMS: I would prefer the testimony.

THE COURT: Very well, let's go with the testimony then.

Q. (By Mr. Hoefer): Mr. Melnikoff, in conclusion is it your testimony that the head and pubic hair of the

same microscopic characteristics as Jimmy Bromgard's were present on the blue blanket?

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. How common is it for two individuals to have head hair which is microscopically indistinguishable?
- A. Well the best way that I know to answer that question is to relate to my own case work experience, and I have done over 700 cases involving head hair and have only had five or six cases where I could not distinguish the head hair between two individuals.
- Q. What is your experience in the same regard with pubic hair?
- A. Well I have probably examined less cases because not all the cases involving hair involve pubic hair, but I would guess it's probably close to 500 cases, most of the time it does, and I have had the experience where only three times pubic hair standards from two individuals submitted in the case could not be distinguished.
- Q. And in your opinion the hair here is microscopically indistinguishable, is that correct?
- A. It's almost indistinguishable, I would say it has the same range of characteristics, so it's microscopically similar to the point that it could have come from that person.

identifying it as Jimmy Bromgard's head hair?

A. Well going back to what I previously stated from my own case work experience, the odds are less than one chance in a hundred that two people's hair cannot be microscopically distinguished, either head or pubic hair.

- Q. So each one would be one in a hundred, what would the two together be. In other words if the pubic hair and head hair are both matching up, what are the odds of that being a mistake?
- A. Well there are actually two mutually exclusive events because they come from different areas of the body, and their characteristics are not necessarily the same. So if you find both head and pubic hair there you have one chance in a hundred for the head hair matching a particular individual and one chance in a hundred for the pubic hair. If you find both it's a multiplying effect, it would be one chance in 10,000, it's the same as two dice, if you throw one dice with a one, one

chance out of six; if you throw another dice with a one, it's one chance out of six, you multiply the odds together. You do the same in this case, so it's one times one hundred, times one, times one hundred, and you get one in 10,000.

- Q. Consequently, so that I understand it correctly and the jury understands it correctly, is it your opinion that there is less than one in ten thousand chance that this was not actually Jimmy Bromgard's hair?
  - A. Yes.

. 3

- Q. On the slide the hair appeared to be brownish in color, do slides change the coloration of hair?
- A. They don't actually change it but under microscope they tend to look a little lighter than they would to the naked eye because lights can shine through the hair so it usually doesn't change anything, it just makes it look slightly lighter shade than the original color would look if you just looked at the hair by itself visually.
- Q. Consequently having done as many cases as you have with head hair, how would you describe the head hair coloration of Mr. Bromgard?
- A. Light to intermediate brown. His hair did vary in color from shades of brown from a light to intermediate shade, depending on which hair you looked at.

MR. HOEFER: For purposes of introduction I have marked this plastic container for slides as State's Exhibit No. 36, could we remove the slides from the carousel and put these in here and then I would offer the slides into evidence.

MR. ADAMS: If Your Honor please, I will have use for the slides in cross examination and will ask that they remain there with the stipulation that they may be removed.

THE COURT: Since you are going to use them as well I suspect you are not going to have any objection to their being introduced as evidence?

MR. ADAMS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So the slides will be ultimately marked as Exhibit 36 and Exhibit 36 is received, and Exhibit 33 is refused.

MR. HOEFER: That's all the questions I have at the moment, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may cross examine.

CROSS EXAMINATION of Mr. Arnold Melnikoff by Mr. Adams to-wit:

Q. There are certain things that I want to understand and I am not sure that I do understand them. You received a blanket as I understand it from the

1 Billings Police Department and you exmained that blanket, is that correct? 2 3 That's correct. Α. 4 Q. From that blanket, how many hairs did you find on the blanket itself? 5 6 Α. I believe it was 45 or 46, I can look at my 7 notes. If you will, please. 8 Q. Α. 46. Q. 46 hairs? 10 Α. Right. 11 Q. And how many of those hairs were Linda's hair 12 that you could determine? 13 14 Α. 28. 15 Q. And the other hairs belong to who? 16 One head hair that matched the characteristics of James Bromgard, two pubic hairs that matched the 17 18 characteristics of James Bromgard, there were eight dog 19 or cat hairs and five slides of various types of fibers 20 and one slide of a human body hair, which is a hair that's not head or pubic hair, either from the arms or 21 22 chest.

Q. You didn't know who that would be from?

23

24

25

A. No, there weren't any standards submitted.

Q. You say there was one head hair and two pubic

21

22

23

24

25

- A. That's correct.
- Q. That apparently were comparable to Mr. Bromgard?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. They had certain characteristics, now not all of the characteristics are ever existent on any hair, are they?
- A. Not all hair have the same characteristics from the same individual.
- Q. In respect to this particular hair in question were all of the characteristics existent?
- A. All the usual ones that you normally compare were there, I mean part of the problem is some people's hair has more individual characteristics than others, so I am a little confused when you state all the characteristics, all the known characteristics of James Bromgard's hair that were in the standard hair were on that one head hair.
- Q. Well let us enumerate those characteristics if we can. One would be color, is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What would the next be?
  - A. Thickness.
  - Q. What would be the next?
  - A. Shape. If the hair is straight or curled or

-241-

- 2

- 3
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- And the fourth? Ο.
- The cuticle, if it's thick or thin and if it's flat or raised. You have two different characteris-
- tics associated with the cuticle.
- Q. With respect to the cuticle, it would not necessarily be the same, be just merely similar, is that correct, on any two hairs?
- A. Well no two hairs are the same, just by definition they are separate hairs, I mean they have the same characteristics.
- When you say the same characteristics, in other words an educated guess would give you the impression that they were about the same size then?
- A. You can't distinguish any noticeable difference, that's another way of looking at it.
  - What else, what is the fifth characteristic?
  - Α. The absence or presence of the medula.
- Q. The absence or presence of medula, and in this particular case did you find that there was in both instances that existed?
- His head hair, I don't believe that his medula existed in all the hairs I examined. mentioned, in human hair the medula in some people's hair doesn't exist and the standard hairs of his head

hair and the hair that I found in the blanket there was no medula.

- $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{Q}}.$  There is no medula on either one of those hairs?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. What is the seventh characteristic?
- A. Besides the absence or presence of medula it's what it looks like, if it's thin, thick, dark or translucent, so there is another factor, the medula, what it looks like and if it's present or not.
- Q. In respect to that you don't measure it, you just take an educated guess, is that correct?
- A. Well we measure it by, under microscope, it's the same magnification, you compare the size, if you have a real thick medula consistent in one person's hair and have thin medula in another hair it is obviously different, in this case there wasn't a medula in head hair so there wasn't anything to compare.
  - Q. The eighth characteristic?
  - A. The rest concerned the pigment granules.
  - Q. Are they always the same?

they evenly distributed or are they clumped together in various locations. There is four factors of what the pigment granules can be arranged in color, size, shape and orientation.

- Q. The use of a substance on the hair would not affect this in any way then, such as an oil?
- A. Depends on what kind of substance you are talking about.
  - Q. I am talking about a hair oil, for example.
- A. Most hair treatments do not affect the hair except that if you bleach it first it will because it destroys the color of the pigment granules, and it goes all the way through the hair and discolors everything, and you would not be able to be able to see the color of the pigment granules and they become almost clear and then you can almost never see them and then you can't compare them to a normal existing hair, so everything but bleaching the hair would usually not change it.
  - O. What about medula?
  - A. It won't change that.
- Q. That would be, the absence of it then would not....
  - A. ...be affected by bleach, no.
  - Q. The oil itself, would it show on the hair?
  - A. You could see it if it was there, you could

1 see a residue on the outside of the hair. 2 Q. In this instance did you find any residue in 3 the hair that was sent to you from Mr. Bromgard? A. I didn't notice any. 5 Q. Could there have been some? You say you 6 didn't notice some but could there have been some? 7 Might have been very thin film that I didn't 8 notice. 9 Q. Would that affect the thickness of the hair? 10 A. No, just an additional outside coating on the 11 hair. 12 Q. You said you attended a school I believe on 13 this in the east, is that correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 At that school did they discuss with you the 16 fact that hair itself is not like fingerprints? 17 A. Yes. 18 It's not like fingerprints, is it? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 Q. Other people may have the same hair, the same 21 both pubic hair and hair of the head, is that correct? 22 Α. It's possible. 23 In this instance your figures say one in 24 10,000, is that correct? 25 Α. Yes.

-245-

teristics that you can find in human head hair. There

is couple things that I didn't discuss that are very

24

- Q. In regards to these slides that you have just shown, am I correct in stating that the first four or five of these slides related to your comparison of Mr. Bromgard's hair to the hair of Ms. Tokarski?
- A. Yes, they were examples to show the range of variation of both of their hair.
- Q. But when it came to the actual analysis of Mr. Bromgard's hair to the specimen that you found on the blanket, the alleged pubic hair, there is only one slide there regarding that pubic hair, is that correct?
- A. Only one picture taken of the comparison, is that what you are talking about?
- Q. That's what I am saying, that's on the one slide, the second to the last slide.
- A. Well it's semantics, I have duplicates of that slide but I only took the same picture about four times, but it was the same comparison.
- Q. On these slides that are shown here there is only one that shows that comparison, is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. In respect to the hair that was taken from the blanket and compared to Mr. Bromgard's hair, there is only one of these slides that are actually applicable to that

1 hair, is that correct? 2 I only show one slide to show the comparison, 3 that is correct. 4 O. And that would be the last slide then? 5 A. Well two comparison slides, one of head hair 6 and one of pubic hair. 7 Q. Now in regards to - you had a number of Mr. 8 Bromgard's hairs, did you not? 9 Α. Yes. 10 That you had received from him, that is the 11 control specimens as you refer to them? 12 Α. Right. 13 In regards to that, did you compare each of 14 those control specimens to the hair that was on the 15 blanket? 16 A. No I didn't individually compare every control, 17 no. 18 Yet you indicated to us that there could be a difference as I understood it between those hairs? 20 Yes, I did. Α. Why did you not then compare all of them? 22 As I stated before, it's not an exact compari-23 son. What you are doing is you see a range of characteristics which I showed in the first three or four slides of the

head hair and what you do is you show that even though

21

24

25

-248-

there is a range of characteristics they don't interlap, that the two sets of hair are different even though they both vary and then you find a hair that matches the known hair of that individual. Okay, and that's what I did after that, and that's what I showed with those pictures.

- Q. Then some of these hairs did not match the known characteristics, is that correct?
  - A. I didn't say that.
- Q. Well I understood you said you found a hair that matched the characteristics?
- A. I said there was a range of characteristics. In other words the hairs aren't exactly the same but they have a range of characteristics and each range is separate between the two individuals. It matched a known range of characteristics of that person.
- Q. Well you compared four of Miss Tokarski's hair, Linda Tokarski's hair to the defendant's hair, is that correct?
  - A. In the pictures I showed, yes.
- Q. But you only looked at one and compared one of Miss Tokarski's hair to the known specimen that you have from the blanket?
- A. In reality that's not what I did. In reality I looked at the standards, got a picture in my own mind

how they differed, and when I found the hair that might match I went through several standards to see if it was in the range of hair, and then I took a picture of the one that I thought showed the comparison the best.

That's what I did. I didn't take pictures of everything I did.

- Q. What about the pubic hair, was the same true, the two pubic hairs?
- A. I looked at about over thirty standards of Mr. Bromgard's pubic hair and then I went through and was satisfied that it was in the range of standards that are shown by his hair characteristics and then I took a picture of one comparing that hair to his pubic hair.
- Q. Now you have stated that there were, in certain instances that there were six or seven cases out of about I believe you said 700 that you had examined?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. That you couldn't make comparisons on, is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Do you mean by that that they were different?
- A. No. What I mean is they were at least two individuals, well two individuals whose hair was submitted in that case that I could not distinguish their hair microscopically. Their microscopic characteristics were

so similar that I couldn't tell them apart.

- Q. And those were hairs that were sent in the same cases?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And cases where you were going outside the case itself to look at hair, for example, I don't mean to be offensive to you, but did you examine your hair in regards to this particular hair.
- A. Well I always, I have standards in the lab of my hair because there is always a possibility of my contaminating a specimen with it, so I look at it quite frequently and I can easily spot my own hair.
- Q. But in certain cases that you had, about 700 cases, you have cases where they have sent you hair of two different people and they were comparable to each other?
- A. At least two different people. I have had cases as many as nine different people.
  - O. That matched?
- A. No. I have had cases where hair standards are sent from nine people, as many as that and down to two like in this case. And there were 700 cases altogether which varied from nine to two, and in that situation I have had five cases where between all those individuals that was submitted on that one particular case I could

- Q. In other words they were similar?
- A. Yes, microscopically similar to the point you can't distinguish.
- Q. What you are saying is in the event there had been other hairs submitted from other persons allegedly involved in this proceedings, it is possible that those hairs compared microscopically with the hair that you had found on the blanket, could have been similar, is that correct?
- A. It's always possible that you could find similar hair from another individual.
- Q. And that probability you have indicated would probably be one you think in 10,000 here because of the two different specimens?
- A. Right, that both the head and pubic hair could not be distinguished from that individual, both.
- q. But you don't actually have figures in regards to it?
  - A. I don't know what you want for figures.
  - O. Well studies have not been made.
  - A. Yes, they have. The Canadian study has.
- Q. And the Canadian study was about one in 3,000?
  - A. One in 3,000.

- Q. You have had 700 cases, some of which have had duplicate hairs submitted?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And some of those cases you weren't able to make a distinction between the characteristics, is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. So it's possible that it would be greater than one in 3,000?
- A. I am not saying I agree with one in 3,000, that's what the Canadian study said. I am basing it on my own experience of the 700 cases that I have looked at. I never said that I thought it was one in 3,000.
  - Q. No, you said one I believe in 300 or....
  - A. ....one in a hundred.
- Q. One in a hundred. Where did you find these hairs on this blanket, do you recall?
- A. They were located randomly on the blanket. I don't remember that they were all in one place, you know. If they were I would have noted it in my notebook if they all came from one location.
- Q. Because the blanket had been folded and sent to you it would be impossible to really say where they originated or whether they possibly had been shaken down.
  - A. In the process of moving the blanket they

′

· 18

 could easily be transferred from one part of the blanket to the other.

- Q. In this particular instance the man was not supposed to have removed his clothing. I believe Ms. Tokarski, the prosecutrix here, informed the court that he did not remove his clothing. The pubic hairs would then have come from where, the two?
- A. Well I don't have a crystal ball but I can tell you where it might have come from.
  - Q. Go right ahead.
- A. Well normally pubic hairs are always in one location on your body, and it could be transferred to another object either directly or from an object that's already in contact with that area of your body, so you can either get it from inside your pants, you can get it from your underwear, areas of your clothing that are in contact with pubic hairs and then that's transferred again to another object such as a blanket if you don't take your clothes off.
- Q. Only one head hair was found yet the subject was supposed to have been over her and on the bed.
- A. Well I can't tell you why that is, I am just telling you what I found.
- Q. You would say then that did you make some comparison to any of the hairs of any other person

A. The only hair comparisons I made were to Linda Tokarski's head hair standards and the known standards of James Bromgard's head hair and pubic hair.

- Q. You don't know whether any specimens were taken from the father or any other person who may have used the bed?
- A. They were not submitted to our laboratory, that's all I know.
- Q. You did however find some, did you say twenty some hairs of Miss Tokarski's on the bed?
- A. I found 28 head hairs which matched known characteristics of Linda Tokarski's head hair.
- Q. And how many were others that were not comparable to her head hair or to Mr. Bromgard's?
- A. There was only one hair that I couldn't distinguish it matching the known characteristics of Mr. Bromgard's or Linda Tokarski's and that was a body hair and I had no body hair standard.
- Q. I understood you to say you found 40 some hairs there.
- A. Yes, but there were dog and cat hair there too.
  - Q. Pardon?
  - A. There were 46 slides made of hair and fiber

1 found on the blanket. How many were of hair? 3 All but six, so there were 43 hair slides. 43? 0. Α. Excuse me, 40. 6 Q. 40 hairs were found in that blanket? 7 Α. Right. 8 Q. Twenty were those that you compared to Miss 9 Tokarski's and believed them to be hers. 10 28. Α. 11 28, that would leave 12, is that correct? 12 Α. That's correct. 13 And two additional were compared to Mr. 14 Bromgard's and you believed them to be his, is that 15 correct? 16 Three were, two pubic hair and one head hair. 17 That would still leave, if I am not mistaken, O. 18 nine, is that correct? 19 A. That's correct. 20 Whose were the nine? 0. 21 Eight were from a dog or cat and one was body 22 hair which I mentioned before. 23 Q. And that you mentioned already? 24 Α. Yes. 25 0. I see. No other hair was found then?

-256-

- A. I don't know what you mean by positive. I can make a statement that it matches a known characteristic of Mr. Bromgard's hair and I can be positive about that.
- Q. Well that's but on all particulars, all characteristics?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. If we took one hair, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, they would still match in every particular?
- A. They did, you saw the pictures yourself, they matched.
- Q. Isn't actually hair more valuable to exclude rather than include?
- A. It's more specific because if the hair doesn't match then you can specifically say it wasn't that person; if it does match it could be that person within the one in a hundred probability because it's not an absolute identification like a fingerprint.
- Q. That's what I am getting at, it isn't an absolute, is it?

1 A. No, it isn't. 2 Q. And you learned that also at the FBI school, 3 did you not? A. I knew that before I got there. 5 Q. You used the word "usually" several times in 6 your narrative? A. Yes. That's what you are actually saying regarding 8 hair comparison, it usually is the same but it could be 9 10 different, from a different person? A. Well again it's the semantics, playing on 11 words. I said my conclusion that the hair matched known 12 characteristics of Mr. Bromgard. 13 14 Q. We have heard that several times, I am asking you, you say it is not positive though. 15 A. I said it's not absolute, I didn't say it wasn't 16 17 positive. 18 MR. ADAMS: Thank you very much. THE COURT: Redirect examination. 19 20 MR. HOEFER: Just a few questions, Your Honor. 21 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION of Mr. Arnold Melnikoff by Mr. Hoefer: 23 Q. First of all, Mr. Adams referred to the 24 25 Canadian study and you indicated that rather than one

in a hundred theirs is one in 3,000, is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So the two combined with that, if my math was right, it would be one in nine million, is that correct?
- A. It would be nine times ten to the sixth which would be one in nine million.
- Q. Now you have indicated that in six cases you couldn't make comparisons out of six hundred and that accounts for the roughly one in one hundred, is that right?
  - A. Six in seven hundred.
- Q. Okay. Now first of all in matching hair types do people that are white have more variable hair than people that are of a black or dark-skinned variety?
- A. There are three basic human racial types and caucasian or white hair is the most variable with Negroid or people of the black race being the second most, and people of Mongoloid or Oriental background having the least variable hair between individuals.
- Q. Consequently, since Mr. Bromgard is white his would be more reliable than someone that would be of a non-white persuasion, is that correct?
- A. Yes, you see more individual characteristics with caucasian hair than you see with other races.
  - Q. Now out of the six you have indicated that

you weren't able to make comparisons on, how many of those six involved whites?

- A. Three.
- Q. And the other three were?
- A. The other three were Oriental or Mongoloid racial origin.
- Q. And in Montana it's pretty obvious that it's largely a white population, isn't that a fair assumption?
  - A. Yes, predominantly white population.
- Q. So the fact that three of those were non-whites would be relatively high percentage, is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Mr. Adams asked you some questions and there was a little confusion in my mind about comparison to the other known samples. Did you find any samples that you felt were inconsistent with Mr. Bromgard's hair from the standards?
- A. The first time one of the pubic hairs matched his characteristics except for one variation, it was a little bit more twisted, remember I mentioned that pubic hair tends to twist. The known standards that he had and everything else matched, the color, pigmentation, the medulary, because of that I asked for additional standards that were sent and an additional standard it showed several other hairs that were the same degree of

twists so then I concluded that the second pubic hair was also in the range of standards on Mr. Bromgard's hair so the end conclusion was that I did not find any hair that was not consistent with the known standards of Mr. Bromgard's hair, and the three hairs that I found that did not match Linda Tokarski's head hair.

- Q. Now when you refer to the twist of the hair do individuals have different twist in say the same pubic area?
  - A. Yes, they can. Can I use the chart?
    MR. HOEFER: Surely.

(Witness going to chart at this time.)

A. To start off with there are two things that determine twist or curliness, whatever you want to call it. One is the cross section of the hair. If you cut across it, you know, and the hair is circular, in other words it's even all the way around, it will be perfectly straight. Your hair if it's curly or straight is determined by the follicle that grows out of your head, because it has an opening, the shape of that follicle the hair fills it when it grows determines if it's round and oval or a flat and oval. This hair is straight. This is curly. And this is kind of what you'd call kinky or exceptionally curly. Okay, that you inherit. The other factor for pubic hair is a shape of the hair

itself independent of what follicle it grows out of.

Pubic hair tends to look like that, it's pointed at one end, varying thickness in the center, then thinner at the end or root end. Okay. That shape itself varies a lot with individuals, so between the two that determines the amount of curl. Now because pubic hair tends to be shaped that way even if the person tends to have straighter hair the pubic hair will tend to be curly even if his head hair is straight.

- Q. And consequently another point, when you are comparing that hair to the known sample then you have to compare it roughly to the same location?
- A. Yes, I mentioned that pubic hair we are looking at was in the middle would be right here, so I was looking at the relative straightness or curliness of the hair at that particular point.
- Q. So getting back to my initial question, would it be unusual to have hair that has different twists to it out of the same individual?
- A. Well there would be variation but you won't find somebody that has hair that is curly or twisted and straight at the same time. There just be variation but some a little bit more twisted than others, some a little straighter than others, but you won't find someone with hair totally the opposite, whether it's relatively

.9

 straight or extremely curly, you won't find that in the same individual.

- Q. So with regard to the defendant in this case, was his consistent to your satisfaction?
- A. Yes, after I saw the additional standards it was.
- Q. Now Mr. Adams had said it would be possible for another individual to have the same hair as Mr. Bromgard, but in your estimation the probability would only be one in 10,000, is that correct?
  - A. Both head and pubic hair, together.

MR. HOEFER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Recross.

MR. ADAMS: I will make it brief.

RECROSS EXAMINATION of Mr. Arnold Melnikoff by Mr. Adams:

- Q. Regarding the 3,000 figure that Canada gave to you, do you recall whether or not in that article it didn't cover both areas that you have now made 10,000?
- A. There were two separate articles written by the same person who is hair examiner for the RCMP Lab at Edmonton, Alberta, and one was on pubic hair and one on head hair and came out with approximately the same conclusion.

A. I don't specifically remember if he made a final conclusion by multiplying the two together or not in those two articles, I don't remember, he may have.

- Q. So the figure of 3,000 could cover both, is that correct?
- A. No, they were two separate articles. One was on comparison of the probability of finding head hair from an individual and matching another person that couldn't be distinguished, and another article on pubic hair. They were both published in the journal of forensic science.
- Q. In regards to this, however, you made a slide only on one of the pubic hairs, is that correct?
- A. I only compared one hair at one time to a known standard, so there is two hairs in one picture, that's all I took the picture of, those two hairs.
- Q. One was from the standard and the other from the blanket?
  - A. Right.
- Q. What about the second hair that was from the blanket, you called pubic hair?
  - A. Same thing.
  - Q. You didn't present that slide though today?