## Miller, Robert

Testimony of Janice Davis Lyhane

1	JANICE DAVIS LYHANE,		
2	called	as a witness on behalf of the State of Oklahoma,	
3	having	been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
5	BY MR.	ELLIOTT:	
6	Q	State your full name, please.	
7	A	Janice Davis Lyhane. The last name's spelt	
8	L-Y-H-A-N-E.		
9	Q	And are you currently employed at this time?	
10	A	No, sir.	
11	Q	I direct your attention back to the very recent past.	
12	A	Yes, sir.	
13	ହ	During the last year perhaps, I'll ask you how you	
14	were employed at that time.		
15	A	I was employed as a forensic chemist specializing in	
16	serology and trace evidence for the Oklahoma City Folice		
17	Department located here in Oklahoma City.		
18		THE COURT: Miss Lyhane, would you kind of face	
19	me and Mr. Elliott a little more rather than the jury so		
20		THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.	
21		THE COURT: we can follow you a little	
22	better?		
23		Thank you.	
24	Q	(By Mr. Elliott) And how long were you employed as a	
25	forensi	forensic chemist with the Oklahoma City Police Department?	

- 1
- Approximately nine years.
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25

- And where were you employed prior to the Oklahoma City Police Department?
- I was employed by the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation. I also worked as a forensic chemist specializing in serology and trace evidence. I was with them approximately four years.
- Would you relate, if you would, your educational training and background for the jury, please?
- Yes, sir.
- In May of '75 I graduated from Central State University in Edmond, Oklahoma. I had a bachelor of science degree. I majored in chemistry, minored in biology and a minor in physics.
- Okay. Have you attended any specialized training or schools in furtherance of your profession?
- Yes. sir.
- Would you relate those to us, please?
- Investigation and later on with the Oklahoma City Police Department, I attended numerous specialized schools in areas of forensic sciences. These schools would include: Homicide

After being employed by the Oklahoma State Bureau of

- investigation at the University of Oklahoma in May of 1976;
- investigation of sex crimes from the Southern Police
- Institute in December of '76. Back at Central State

University in March of '77 I attended a forensic science in criminal investigation seminar.

In July of '77 I attended the FBI Academy my first time in basic serology. Serology is the dealing and the identification of body fluids and the analysis of those body fluids. My two-week's training course with the FBI Academy in serology was working in their training laboratory in Washington, D.C., on how they identify different body fluids in the human and identifying specific genetic markers in that body fluid.

In October of '79 I attended the FBI Academy on my second occasion. This time was at the Crime Scene
Technician School. I trained with FBI agents in their training laboratory on how to collect and preserve physical evidence from major crime scenes.

In October of '79 at the U.S. Central Police Institute in Oklahoma City I attended a school on the geometric interpretation of bloodstains.

In January of 1980 I went to the FBI Academy on a third occasion to attend their school on introduction to the comparison of forensic hairs and fibers. This was a two-week school where one week was specifically on the identification of hairs and the comparisons of those hairs forensically and the identification of fibers and the comparison of those fibers forensically as they do in their

own laboratory.

In July and October, 1978, I had an occasion to study with Professor Emeritus Dr. John MacLeod of Cornell Medical School. My studies with Dr. MacLeod were on a one-to-one basis on the identification and the morphological, which would be the shape, of human spermatozoa, the male sperm cell.

In April of 1980 I attended a basic electrophoresis course at the Serological Research Institute in Emeryville, California. Electrophoresis is an additional way of identifying specific enzymes and proteins in body fluids utilizing electricity.

In August of 1980 I attended an advanced electrophoresis course on the analysis of bloodstains. In February of '81 I attended an additional course on semen identification utilizing electrophoresis. In November of '83 in Dallas, Texas, I attended a crime scene investigation seminar. In approximately 1985 I returned to the FBI Academy for a three-day international symposium on the identification of comparison of forensic hairs.

- Q Okay. Without being too personal, what is it that you're doing today, now? You say --
- A I have married, and I am assisting my husband in wheat farming and cattle grazing.
- When was it you left the employment with the Oklahoma

City Police Department?

- A Shortly after I married in October '87.
- Directing your attention back to the year of 1986, 1987, did you have an occasion as a -- strike that. I'm one step ahead of myself.

Before I get to that, could you briefly explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury exactly what your duties and responsibilities of a forensic chemist are with Oklahoma City?

A Yes, sir.

As I've stated, I have specialized in forensics of serology, the analysis of body fluids and hair and fiber identification and comparisons. As a forensic chemist for the Oklahoma City Police Department, I analyzed physical evidence involved in major criminal cases throughout Oklahoma County and metropolitan area, most generally involved in violent crimes, homicides, and sexual assaults. The body fluids involved are analyzed using scientific technology. Conclusions are made and reports of which I would come to court and testify as to my analysis and explain to the jury what my analysis meant in layman's terms.

Now, in reference to your duties and responsibilities as a forensic chemist for the Oklahoma City Police

Department, did you receive items of evidence in reference to the homicide of Anna Fowler?

A Yes, sir, I did.

Would you please relate to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what items you received in reference to that case?

A Yes, sir,

In September the 4th of '86, Technical Investigator J. McCornack submitted evidence from the Medical Examiner's Office taken from Anna Fowler. These items included: A reference blood sample from her; reference pulled scalp hairs; reference pulled pubic hairs; a pubic hair combing; left hand and right hand fingernail clippings; swabs taken from the vaginal cervix; swabs taken from the vaginal posterior fornix; swabs taken from the anal area; swabs taken from the oral area; a pink and white floral nightgown; a pink night shirt; a multi-colored robe; a hair net; and the brown paper sacks that had been placed over her left and right hand to protect possible physical evidence; as well as two Amcare sheets that were used to transport her body from the crime area to the Medical Examiner's Office.

Later on on the same day, September the 4th of '86, Technical Investigator Ron Wortham submitted the following evidence of which he obtained at 1200 Northwest 31st: Hair taken from Anna Fowler's body; more hair taken from Anna Fowler's body; hair and fibers taken from Anna Fowler's bed; a vacuuming taken from her bedroom, being Anna Fowler; a vacuuming taken from the kitchen; beige slip laying

beside Miss Fowler; a piece of white cloth taken from the bed; an elastic waistband taken from underneath her body; black cloth that was laying beside her head; a dental plate that was taken from the bed; the white bedspread; a pink blanket; another white blanket which ended up being more like a sheet than a blanket; several pieces of cloth which include a white cloth, a beige cloth, another white cloth that I would describe more as a hanky, handkerchief, a floral cloth, multi-colored, another floral cloth; a pillow and case, yellow and floral; the white sheet from the bed of which Miss

On September the 5th and the 11th, 1986, evidence was received from Mr. Edgar E. Collins. These were reference body samples from Mr. Collins which included blood, saliva, scalp, pubic, and facial hair.

Fowler was laying on; the top sheet also from the bed; the

throw rug carpet; a liquid substance taken from the toilet;

On the same time was taken reference samples from a Mr. Bobby Ray Booker. Again, from Mr. Booker was taken reference blood, saliva, scalp, pubic, and facial hair, all reference samples from him.

On the 11th of September, 1986, was submitted reference samples taken from David Dwight Hilliard. Again, reference blood, saliva, scalp, and pubic hair.

On September the 16th and October the 17th samples of reference were taken from Mr. Howard Union. These

would include reference blood, reference saliva, scalp, pubic, and facial hair.

Also received were samples of reference source taken from Raymond H. Johnson. Again, a reference blood, saliva, scalp, and public hair.

On February the 23rd and the 24th, myself, Miss Joyce Gilchrist, Detectives Jerry Flowers and Bill Citty assisted in taking reference body samples from Robert Lee Miller, Jr. These samples included a reference blood sample, reference saliva, reference facial, scalp, a scalp hair combing, pubic hair, reference leg hair from each leg. I again took another additional reference scalp hair from Mr. Miller, reference facial hair and hair attached to his thigh area.

On February the 24th, 1987, myself and Detective Flowers assisted in taking samples from a Mr. Clem Jeffries. These samples included reference blood, reference saliva, scalp, pubic, and facial hair.

These are the items of evidence that I received as a chemist working on the case of Anna Fowler in the forensic laboratory at the Oklahoma City Police Department.

Okay. In reference to testing for body fluids and other blood and so forth, can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what tests you did in reference to the items that you received in this case?

A Yes, sir.

Each item of evidence was gone over meticulously looking for possible body fluids of which would of course be in the dried state on the cloth, such as the sheet of which Miss Fowler was laying on. Specifically stains of semen, seminal fluid were looked for and other stains on the sheet. Of course, trace evidence, such as hairs and fibers, were taken and preserved at that time and were looked at and compared forensically at a later date.

Each item of evidence, if a bloodstain was apparent on there, a sample was cut so that the type of the blood could be determined and compared to Miss Fowler to determine if it could be possibly her blood or blood that's foreign to her. If a stain was found, such as semen, which was looked for in this case of being a possible sexual assault, Miss Fowler could not produce seminal fluid from her body on her own. It's foreign to her. So, this body fluid would be analyzed extensively to determine as much information as possible from the donor of that fluid, the semen.

MR. ELLIOTT: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

Q (By Mr. Elliott) I now hand you what's been marked for identification purposes as State's Exhibit Number 19 and

ask you to look at it, please. 1 I can identify State's Exhibit Number 19. It bears my 2 signature and the date that I initially opened this envelope. 3 What is 19? State's Exhibit Number 19 contains sexual evidence 5 taken from Miss Anna Fowler at the time of her autopsy. 6 Okay. And I would ask you to open it now and remove 7 the contents. Can you identify the articles contained in 8 State's Exhibit 19? Yes, sir. Each of these items bears my initials, my 10 case number, and my item number that I placed on that when I 11 initially first came into contact with that item before I 12 ever broke the seal of that particular item. 13 Okay. Beginning with 19A, if you would, and proceed 14 through. Tell us which item is. 15 19A is a reference blood sample that was taken from 16 Anna Fowler, which was originally contained in this glass 17 tube. It has the label with everyone's initials and 18 identifying marks of Anna Fowler. The blood is not in here 19 at this time. 20 19B were the vaginal swabs taken from the 21 cervix of Anna Fowler. 22 19C, the vaginal swabs from her posterior 23 fornix. 24 19D, the swabs taken from the anal area of 25

Miss Fowler. 1 19E, the swabs taken from the oral area or the 2 mouth of Miss Fowler. 3 What was the condition of each of those items when you 5 received them? 6 They were in a sealed condition when I received them. MR. ELLIOTT: May I approach again, Your Honor? 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 9 (By Mr. Elliott) I'll now hand you what's been marked 10 for identification purposes as State's Exhibits 15, 16, and 17. 11 12 I can identify each, State's Exhibits 15, 16, and 17, as they bear my initials, case and item number that I placed 13 on them when I came into contact with them. 14 15 And beginning with Item Number 15, can you tell us what those are, please? 16 Yes. 17 Item 15, State's Exhibit 15, is hair taken from 18 the bed of Miss Fowler. 19 20 State's Exhibit 16 is hair taken from her body. State's Exhibit Number 17 is hair and fiber 21 taken from Miss Fowler. Excuse me. They were taken from her 22 bed. State's Exhibit Number 17. 23 What was the condition of State's 15, 16, and 17 when 24

25

you received them?

- A They were all in a sealed condition. The seal has still not been tampered with. As I received it I opened it at the opposite end.

  Q Okay. I'll now hand you what's been marked as State's
  - Exhibit 14 and ask you to examine it, please.

    A State's Exhibit Number 14 I can identify with my initials, case and item number that I placed on it when I
- g came into contact with it.

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

21

- Q Okay. Can you tell us what State's 14 is?
- 10 A Yes. This is the black cloth that was taken beside the victim's head.
- Q And what was the condition of State's 14 when you received it?
- 14 A It was sealed. I broke the seal.
  - Q I'll now hand you what's marked as State's Exhibit 18 and ask you to examine it, please.
    - A State's Exhibit Number 18 I can identify with my initials, case and item number that I placed on it when I came into contact with it.
- 20 Q Okay, What is contained in State's 18?
  - A The white bedspread from Miss Fowler's bed.
- Q And what condition was State's 18 when you received it?
- 24 A It was in a sealed condition when I broke the seal.
  - Q Now, I'll hand you what's been marked as State's

- 1 | Exhibit Number 20 and ask you to look at it.
- 2 A State's Exhibit Number 20 I can identify with my
- 3 | initials, case and item number.
  - Q Can you tell us what's contained in State's 20?
- 5 A Yes. Originally contained my Item Numbers 10A and 10B
- 6 of which were nightgown, pink and white floral, and then a
- 7 | pink night shirt.
- 8 | Q What condition was State's 20 when you received it?
- 9 A In a sealed condition.
- 10 Q I hand you State's Exhibit Number 21 and ask you to
- 11 | examine it, please.
- 12 A State's Exhibit Number 21 I can identify with my
- 13 | initials, case and item number that I placed on it when I
- 14 | initially came into contact with it.
- 15 | Q Okay. Can you tell us what's contained in State's 21?
- 16 A Yes. It contains the Amcare sheets used to transport
- 17 | Miss Fowler's body to the morgue, Oklahoma City -- Oklahoma
- 18 | State Medical Examiner's Officer.
- 19 Q And, again, what condition was State's 21 when you
- 20 | received it?
- 21 A It was in a sealed condition and I broke the seal.
- 22 Q And State's Exhibit 22. Ask you to examine it,
- 23 | please.
- 24 A State's Exhibit Number 22 I can identify with my
- 25 | initials, case and item number. They're placed on the outer

surface of the sack.

floral cloth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

- Q And can you tell us what was contained in State's 22?
  - A Was my Laboratory Item Numbers 26 through 32, which include pink blanket -- these were from 1200 Northwest 31st -- which included a pink blanket, white blanket, white cloth, face cloth, a white cloth, floral cloth, and then additional
- 8 Q What condition again was State's 22 when you received 9 it?
- $_{10}$  |  $\Lambda$  It was in a sealed condition and I broke the seal.
- 11 Q I hand you State's Exhibit 23 and ask you to look at it.
  - A State's Exhibit Number 23 I can identify with my initials, case and item number that I placed on this container when I came into contact with it.
  - Q And, again, what is contained in State's Number 23?
  - A The bottom white sheet and the top white sheet taken from the bed of Anna Fowler.
- Q Okay. Again, what condition was State's 23 when you received it?
  - A It was in a sealed condition, and I broke the seal.
  - Now, I hand you what's marked as State's Exhibits 49 and 50.
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q Ask you if you can identify those.

- A Yes, sir. On each State's exhibit I can identify each one with my initials, case and item number that I placed on it when I came into contact with it.
- 4 Q And beginning with Item 49, can you tell us what Item 5 49 is?
  - A Item Number 49 is the reference blood sample that was received from Robert Miller. Jr.
  - Q And Item 507

7

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 A Item 50 was the reference saliva sample again received 10 from Robert Miller, Jr.
- 11 Q And what condition were Items 49 and 50 when you 12 received them?
  - A I received these items from Joyce Gilchrist in our forensic laboratory. We work right across from each other in the laboratory. And she had originally taken these items from Mr. Miller and then she had given them to me at a later time.
- 18 | Q In what condition were they when she --
  - A They were secured in our laboratory. She had placed her initials on them and, you know, had partially opened the blood and taken some of the blood, and I took, you know, some more of the blood from the tube. But it was secure in our laboratory.
  - Q Now, in reference to State's Exhibits 19A through E, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 49, and 50, did you

```
1
    exhibits?
 2
           Yes, sir.
 3
           And in reference to the homicide of Anna Fowler?
 4
           Yes, sir, I did.
 5
                   MR. ELLIOTT: Your Honor, at this time the
 6
    State would move the admission of State's Exhibits 19A
 7
    through E, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 49, and 50.
 8
                   THE COURT: I think some have already been
    admitted. What were the numbers you've suggested? 14. 15?
10
                  MR. ELLIOTT: Some of them, I believe, might
11
    have, Judge, but I want to cover my base and make sure before
12
    she testifies to her results.
13
                  THE COURT: Is that all?
14
                  MR. ELLIOTT: Yes, sir.
15
                  THE COURT: Any objection?
16
                  MR. EVANS: Not at this point, Judge.
17
                  THE COURT: They are all admitted.
18
                  Let me go back over. Go in chronological
19
    order.
20
                  MR. ELLIOTT:
                                 Okay. State's 14 --
21
                  THE COURT: All right.
22
                  MR. ELLIOTT: -- 15, 16, 17, 18, 19A through E,
23
    20, 21, 22, 23, 49, and 50.
24
                  THE COURT: All right. They are all admitted.
25
```

perform any analyses or tests in reference to those State's

Q (By Mr. Elliott) Now, Mrs. Lyhane, in reference to your analysis as pertaining to body fluids, blood, et cetera, would you relate to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury your results in reference to the items that were just introduced into evidence?

A In reference to the serological analysis; is that correct?

Q Yes, ma'am.

On each item of evidence I ways looking for a specific body fluid, to identify that body fluid and to obtain as much information as I could in reference to that body fluid to know who it could have come from.

Beginning with Miss Anna Fowler, I took the reference blood sample that I received from her and subjected it to numerous tests of which I was able to get a profile of what genetic markers or what blood types, enzymes, and proteins were contained in her blood and other body fluids. I discovered that Miss Anna Fowler is a blood type "O" person of the ABO system. She is a secretor, which means that in her body fluids, other than her blood, such as her saliva, her vaginal secretions, possibly even urine, that you would be able to determine her ABO blood type without having actually her blood. So, if you have vaginal secretions from her and you subjected it to secretor typing tests, you would be expected to find that she is blood type "O."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

I did additional analysis utilizing electricity to separate enzymes and proteins to identify specific genetic markers from her in different systems other than what we're familiar with, ABO system. These would include Peptidase A, ESD, PGM, and PGM-subtyping, of which I concluded Miss Fowler is a Peptidase A (1), an ESD (1), a PGM (2), and a PGM-subtyping (2+). This will become more relevant as we see what other body fluids we find in this case and what they mean.

For instance, the vaginal swabs taken from her cervix, the first thing I did was to look for the presence of a foreign body fluid that we routinely look for in these types of swabs and semen. Has the woman possibly been sexually molested. In these vaginal swabs I was able to determine that there were human sperm heads present. other words, there was a fluid in her vaginal canal that she could not produce that would have to come from a male. I subjected this swab to further testing to see if I could determine the blood type of the semen donor and any other pertinent information in reference to the semen donor. often times when we have a body of which has laid deceased, is dead, and has laid there for some period of time, drainage is going to occur from the vaginal area. And as I expected, I was not able to conclude any additional information as to the semen donor from this sample because I quantitated the

amount of semen that was present, and there was very, very little semen. The sperm heads more or less stuck to the tissue in her vaginal canal, but the liquid drained out.

The posterior fornix, which is a different area of the vaginal canal, I again identified semen by identification of sperm heads. I subjected that to secretor typing and semen quantitation. The amount of semen present was insufficient to determine anything about the semen donor. But I did determine that there was a secretor blood substance of "H" present, which is consistent with Anna Fowler's body fluid. So, there was enough of her vaginal secretion attached to it to pick up her blood type.

The anal swabs, sperm heads were identified, which is a positive identification of seminal fluid that is absolutely foreign to Miss Fowler. She cannot produce this in her body. It must come from a male individual. And I subjected that to further testing of which semen was not concentrated enough or sufficient on this swab to determine anything else about the semen donor. I quantitated it by identification of an enzyme, protein called P-30.

The oral swabs taken from her mouth no sperm were identified, no other body fluid, other than, you know, the blood attached to it. Her mouth was bloody. This is not uncommon in this type of a case.

The nightgown and the night shirt of which were

attached to her at one point in time, I looked on numerous places for semen stains. I looked for spermatozoa, acid phosphatase, and P-30 and none was detected. So, I was not able to find a body fluid of semen on the night shirt or the nightgown. I did type some blood of which was human blood type "O" from the pink night shirt around the color area which was consistent with her injuries.

The next item, which would be State's Exhibit
Number 21, the Amcare sheets used to transport Miss Fowler
from the crime scene to the State Medical Examiner's Office,
numerous hairs and fibers were taken of which I will disclose
of my conclusions to that at a later time.

must then go on to State's Exhibit Number 23, which is the bottom white sheet that Miss Fowler was laying on. As I previously explained, she was laying there on the sheet. And where her vaginal and anal area lay or the pelvic area, I saw not only in the photographs taken from the crime scene before the body was removed so I could see where those stains were associated with her, there was a large stain slightly yellow in color and had a smell, an odor to it of urine, which is not uncommon with a deceased body. So, I suspected that that would be urine that would drain from her bladder. But contained right next to her was a stain, the exact size I cannot be sure, but it was a smaller stain as compared to the

large urine stain, and it was much different as it was stiff. When it dried it became stiff in the sheet. So, that was my first clue to think that we had a semen draining from the vaginal and possibly anal area of Miss Fowler. So, that stain, of course, was cut for further analysis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I took that stain and subjected it to the same tests of which I would have reference samples in this case so we can determine as much information as possible from it. From that stain I identified the human fluid as semen or seminal fluid. Again, cannot come from Miss Fowler. cannot produce this fluid. Females cannot produce semen. was able to do this and quantitate it to a fact through P-30 semiquantitation that it was a rather concentrated amount of semen which would be consistent with that draining from a vaginal area or anal area after an ejaculation. words, it was very concentrated semen. So, I was able to determine that the blood type of the semen donor -- I subjected it to secretor analysis -- and I was able to determine that the semen donor was a blood type "A." So, that person, whoever it was, from their body fluid, other than their blood, as well as their blood, we know that they're blood type "A." And I also was able to determine that their Peptidase A identification was a (1), their PGM status was a (1). I did not get any results on the ESD as I previously tested because ESD is not found in semen. It is

only found in blood. So, I, of course, did not obtain results from that. But I tried and tried on numerous occasions to obtain the PGM-subtyping of this (1) to determine if it was a (1-), a (1+), or a (1-1+). But I was not able to repeat my results to the satisfaction of being able to call what it was. So, I was inconclusive as to that.

The vaginal swabs, from the cervix of which I have previously testified that there was very little semen present, I went ahead and subjected it to electrophoresis analysis just in case I might be able to pick up an additional genetic marker that was not coming from the vaginal secretion of Anna Fowler. I did this and I did get results, but they were all consistent with Miss Fowler, which is what my previous tests had shown, that there was not enough semen present to really give any results. So, this was done and no additional information was obtained. The swabs from the cervix, no results were obtained on the electrophoresis analysis.

The anal swabs, all of the results were consistent with the body fluid coming from Anna Fowler. So, again, as I've previously stated, the semen that I identified from the sperm heads from the anal swabs of Anna Fowler was not sufficient in the amount of fluid present on that stain to obtain any additional information. So, in this case in reference to the serological or the body fluid analysis, the

stain that was found foreign to her was contained on the sheet that she lay there between her legs, the semen. So, we have a body fluid that we know she cannot produce and had additional genetic markers that she could not produce.

When reference samples are received from suspects in the forensic laboratory, each one of these items is analyzed as could this person possibly be a possible donor of evidence involved in this case. So, each item of reference samples received were subjected to this.

In reference to State's Exhibit Number 49 and 50, which is a reference blood and saliva from Robert Miller, Jr., I was able to obtain that Mr. Miller was a blood type "A" in the ABO system, he was a secretor. So, not only from his blood but his reference saliva sample that I took, or that was taken from him by Miss Gilchrist, you could take that stain, subject it to the proper tests and you're able to say that the saliva which that person came from is a blood type "A." Therefore, he is a secretor. So, a semen stain or a semen body fluid that he would leave in a place would, of course, be type "A."

The Peptidase A in this case was a (1) from Mr. Miller, which is the same was Miss Anna Fowler. So, there are no distinguishing genetic markers there that you could distinguish between the two in a mixed body fluid as I had from the semen stain on the sheet.

0

The ESD, Miss Fowler was a (1). Mr. Miller is a (2-1). But unfortunately with the technology that we have now in forensic serology, we are not able to determine the ESD factor in semen. Only blood. So, the semen at the scene found on the sheet, we do not know the ESD donor of that because, of course, it is semen.

The FGM, which is Phosphoglucomutase, which is found in blood and semen, was determined to be a (1) by Mr. Miller. Miss Fowler is a (2). And the semen from the stain from the sheet, I subjected it to the test, and it is a PGM (1). In other words, I'm picking up the genetic marker PGM from the semen and not from the fluids of Miss Fowler. And the reason I'm able to say this is because I quantitated that stain and there is a sufficient amount of semen present that I can say that the donor is a FGM (1).

If you take into consideration from a semen stain left at this scene that the person is an "A" secretor, a PGM (1), and a Peptidase A, then you will be able to say that it would be approximately 13 percent of the population. If you had additional information to determine whether it was a Caucasian or a black, which in this case I'll talk about the hairs, there were several Negroid hairs left, due to statistics for the black race, then you would have one in eight black males could leave the semen stain.

Q Okay. So, if I understood you correctly -- and do

- correct me if I'm wrong -- that your results show that there
  was certainly semen present in Mrs. Fowler's vaginal area.
  - A Yes, sir. Absolutely.
    - Q And on the stain on the sheet which had been underneath her vaginal area.
- 6 | A That's correct,

4

5

7

8

11

12

13

16

17

- Q However, from the swabs from her vaginal area, both the fornix and the posterior, there was just not enough to determine the blood type of the donor.
- 10 A That's correct.
  - Q However, on the stain on the sheet underneath her vaginal area, you were able to determine that the donor was a blood type "A" secretor with a PGM of (1).
- 14 A And a Feptidase A (1).
- 15 | Q Excuse me. Right.
  - And from your analyzing of Robert Lee Miller's blood, you determined that he is "A" secretor, Peptidase A (1), and PGM (1).
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q Consistent with the donor of the seminal fluid found 21 on the sheet underneath Mrs. Fowler's vaginal area.
- 22 A Yes. He could not be eliminated. In other words, he could have left that semen.
- Q Now, in reference to the reference samples you received from a Edgar Collins, a Bobby Ray Booker, a David

Dwight Hilliard, a Howard Union, a Raymond H. Johnson, and Clem Jeffries, were you able to determine the blood type of all of those individuals?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Were any of those individuals of the blood type "A?"
- A Yes.

- Q How many and which ones?
- 8 A Mr. Jeffries was a blood type "A."
- 9 Q Were you able to determine his secretor status?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q And what was that?
    - Mr. Jeffries is a nonsecretor; therefore, in his body fluids, other than his blood, such as his saliva, of which I received a reference sample, you cannot determine his ABO blood type through routine absorption-inhibition standard testings. So, in other words, by the testing methods that I did in this case, he could not leave the semen. He could be excluded as the semen donor.
    - In fact, in reference to any of these individuals -and again correct me if I'm wrong -- as to Edgar Collins,
      Bobby Booker, Dwight Hilliard, Howard Union, Raymond H.

      Johnson, and Clem Jeffries, could any of those individuals
      been the semen donor of the seminal fluid that you found in
      reference to Anna Fowler?
    - A No, sir. They were all eliminated.

Now, you indicated in the course of your testimony that you also did some analyses and investigation or viewing, if you will for lack of a better term, in reference to hairs. Could you explain, first of all, what process your procedures are in reference to the identification in observing and studying in looking at hairs?

A Yes, sir.

Human hairs, scalp and pubic, are routinely analyzed in a forensic laboratory. This analysis is done forensically by the adaptation of a microscope, specifically a comparison microscope, so that you can look through a microscope at a set of reference hairs and at the same time look at an unknown hair. So, if you were visually looking through the microscope, you could see two objects at the same time and visualize them and compare them. There are numerous microscopic characteristics that we compare in a forensic hair comparison.

For a hair to be a match or to be consistent with an unknown hair, a reference sample, it must be within the realm of all these microscopic characteristics to the unknown hair as to the reference hair. In other words, from the root end of the hair to the tip end, however long that hair be, we compare all the microscopic characteristics as we visually look through there and see these characteristics. If they are in that range, and they are the same, on a one

on one, a hair from the reference sample and that to the 1 unknown hair, then we are able to say that they could have 2 come from the same source. It is not a positive 3 identification. It is not like a fingerprint where you can rule everyone else out in the world. But you can, on the 5 other hand, eliminate people very easily. So, you can say, 6 this hair is consistent with this individual but it is not 7 consistent with these. So, we know that the hair could not 8 have come from Miss Fowler, it's not like her hair. So, it's 9 foreign to her. So, if there were hairs found on evidence 10 and consistent with this case, be it her sheets, her 11 clothing, and in the area of her home, we can say that there 12 are hairs there that she cannot shed, you know, through her 13 daily routine of living there and that they cannot come from 14 her. So, then these are the hairs that we concentrate on and 15 then we compare to suspects to see if we can come up with a 16

Q Are you able through your analysis to determine the difference between say Caucasian hair, Negroid hair, or Mongoloid hair?

A Yes, sir.

match.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Is it always possible to make hair comparisons? I mean, are there factors involved that would prevent you from making a definite conclusion one way or the other as to --

Yes, sir, there are. Some of these reasons would be 1 2 that the hairs is not sufficient in length that you can see enough quality throughout that hair for a forensic 3 comparison. In other words, it's a very short hair. A rule 5 of thumb -- it would, of course, vary from an examiner to a forensic hair examiner. But a rule of thumb, a hair around a 6 half inch or more is utilized in a forensic hair comparison. 7 Again, it depends on the characteristics that you see in that 8 hair. Because, as in this case, I actually have a hair 9 that's an inch and a quarter long, a rather good size lengthy 10 11 scalp hair, but it could not be utilized for forensic comparison, because when I looked through the microscope to 12 13 see the microscopic characteristics, such as the pigmentation, it cannot be seen. It's completely opaque. 14 15 It's just looking at a piece of black tape laying on there. And that's not what a hair comparison is about. A hair 16 17 comparison is comparing the cuticle, the scales, and the pigmentation of how it varies throughout that hair in the 18 color and in the variety. So, if you can't see through a 19 hair to do this, you can't utilize it in a forensic 20 21 comparison.

Q So in other words, if a hair, for instance, is short in length (indicating), it could be difficult if not impossible to make a comparison?

22

23

24

25

A That's correct. However, there is information that we

may be able to obtain from that hair. We may be able to look 1 at it and say -- you know, we can measure it. It's a quarter 2 of an inch, it's a half an inch. And there are 3 characteristics there that we say are Negroid characteristic or that it would be that of the black population, or there 5 are characteristics there that would be that of the Caucasian race. So, we may be able to determine that it was a scalp 7 hair or a pubic hair. But as far as comparing it and determine if we can decide if it could have come from an individual, that's not possible. But we could actually say maybe what type of a hair it is or what -- of what type race it came from.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, even though it might be too short to compare as to the reference sample, it still would be comparison, for lack -- comparable, for lack of a better term, to --

With the identification that it's present. In other words, if you found pieces and fragments of Negroid hair on the bed and the clothing of Miss Anna Fowler -- Miss Anna Fowler has no Negroid characteristics in her hair. She is, you know, Caucasian. She could not put these hairs there. So, it's showing you that someone's been in that environment of the black race. But, you know, there's not enough sufficient amount of hair to compare just to determine who that person was. You can just say they're not Caucasian, that they are black.

2

3

5

8

g

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

You mentioned that if the hair is so opaque, it's just like a black piece of tape laying on a slide. Would dirty hair, unkempt hair, or unclean hair be one example that would prevent a hair from being seen?

Most generally the debris attached to a hair, looking at a hair under power of anywhere from 100 to 400 magnification, you can see through the hair still and see the. debris of even received hair in cases that had been painted over with a black paint to obliterate the hairs on the surface, but you can still see through the hair and see that the black chips of paint or the dirt surface on there. I've never seen a hair so filthy that you couldn't analyze it. But the pigments in the hair can be so concentrated that you cannot see the differentiation of the pigmentation.

And you said something there, incidentally, that Mrs. Fowler could not have placed those hairs there herself. Can you explain the process of how we lose hair, or do we lose hair?

We all naturally shed hair from our scalp and pubic region through a normal growing process of living. is theorized that we in the scalp hair, and on an average basis, that we each lose approximately 150 scalp hairs a day. We never really miss those hairs because through the natural growing process those hairs are being replenished, and we have so many hairs that it's really not noticed. That's

1

10 11

8

9

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

just on the average growing cycle. If you take 150 hairs naturally shed by each individual, that's a lot of scalp These hairs are most generally shed when we are going through our normal hygiene routine of washing our hair or combing it, preparing for events of the day. However, we can still shed hair throughout the day, and it would, of course, depend on our hairstyle, how tight of a weave we have our hair in, or how much hair spray we have, and how clean we are to how much hair would actually be shed from where we go from place to place on just an average basis. And again, if we come in a confrontation with someone, physical struggle with two people, between two individuals, you're going to havehair most generally shed. And that's what we look for in forensic hairs to compare, because most generally there are hairs shed and left at a crime scene. And that's what we look for.

We are able to determine from those hairs if they positively cannot come from the individual that we know that was laying there because she microscopically has a certain characteristic to her hair, and yet these hairs display a totally different look about them, and we know that, number one, they're from a Negroid and they could not have come from Miss Fowler.

If I understand you, me just walking from here Okay. to you, it would be possible for me to get hair on this

carpet just walking up there to you.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

It's possible. More and most likely you won't shedvery many hairs in here today unless you really ram around in your hair. But what's more important is these hairs do not deteriorate. In other words, those hairs will sit where they have laid and fell until they are either swept up with a sweeper, vacuum cleaner, or some nature of that source, or the wind would blow them someplace else. But when you routinely see a lot of hair on a certain surface area, like that of a bed, that are foreign to an individual such as this, where Miss Fowler is laying there dead, then it's a very strong indication that the person that has been in association with that bed with Miss Fowler in a recent association because the hairs are found in that general area of the Negroid race, then it appears that a Negroid person has been in that area.

Now, in reference to the State's exhibits that have just been introduced that you've identified, would you relate to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury your findings of your analysis and your results there?

- A In reference to the hairs?
- 22 | Q Yes, ma'am.
  - A I'm not sure on reference to this State's exhibit, I believe it's 19A, the pubic hair combing --

Am I correct on the State's exhibit number?

2

I believe 19A is the reference blood from Miss Fowler.

A Okay. Well, the combing that was taken from Miss Fowler at the time of autopsy, this is done routinely on sexual assault victims, both deceased and alive, so that in the confrontation of a sexual assault, if there's a hair exchanged from one person's pubic area to another, then possibly we can preserve these hairs that were transferred by the combing of that pubic area. So, any hairs that are taken from this comb or area where they are placed for security, and that would be in a paper bindle in this area with a comb, then these hairs would not be reference hairs. They are referred to as unknown hairs. In other words, even though we know they were combed from Miss Fowler's pubic region, we don't know that they all originated from her. And that's the purpose of taking a combing.

Along with that, afterwards, a reference pull sample of hairs are taken from Miss Fowler, representative samples of the hairs in her pubic region — long straight ones, short curly ones, very curly ones, and some that are not so curly — a good reference sample of those hairs, so that when we do a forensic microscopic comparison, we have the variety that's contained in her pubic region. So, this type of comparison is routinely done.

In this instance, from her combing, there were 15 hairs received in the combing, of which I determined 13 of

them were public hairs, and they were consistent in all microscopic characteristics of those of Anna Fowler. Therefore, it's my opinion that these 13 public hairs originated from her public region. There was one scalp hair contained in that of which, again, was consistent with Miss Fowler's scalp hair. I also received reference scalp hair from all areas of her scalp, the different textures — the fine, the course and the medium hair, the lighter hair and the curlier hair and the straighter hair. These reference hairs were compared on this one scalp hair, and I found a hair of hers that was a one-on-one microscopic comparison that was the same. So, I was able to conclude that this scalp hair in my opinion originated from her.

There was an additional scalp hair found in this combing that was determined to be a Caucasian but was not consistent with her. Therefore, it was my opinion that it did not derive from her scalp. So, it being a Caucasian hair from her pubic combing, it was of quite concern of where it would originate as she was laying their dead. In my final analysis, which I discovered in my — in reviewing my notes for the testimony today, I discovered that that Caucasian scalp hair was consistent with my scalp hair, as we are trained to know what our own hair looks like. So, with routine of analyzing cases we should shed any hair and actually contaminate the evidence, we can identify our own

---

. .

hair and identify it. After numerous hours of contemplating, as I discovered this morning in reviewing my report for testimony today, I finally discovered that that Caucasian hair was consistent in all microscopic characteristics on a one-on-one basis with the hair from my scalp that I pulled as a reference hair. Therefore, it's my opinion that that scalp hair originated from me.

MR. EVANS: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?

THE COURT: If you must.

MR. EVANS: We must.

(The following was said outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. EVANS: Your Honor, I would at this time move for a mistrial. There was a discovery motion filed months ago that the State was to turn over to me all results of all scientific tests. The last result I have had has nothing about this sort of testimony and this sort of result contained in the last report that I got regarding Miss Lyhane's comparisons. For that reason I would move for a mistrial.

THE COURT: From the testimony it appears that it was just -- this analysis was made just yesterday or very recently so as to not have been available to the State.

Is that your understanding of it?

MR. MACY: That's exactly right, Your Honor. 1 We didn't know this until this morning ourselves. 2 MR. EVANS: Well, that doesn't change the 3 surprise effect that it has on the defense's case. 4 the basis for my mistrial. 5 6 THE COURT: It's overruled. MR. EVANS: Okay. I would object to further 7 and ask that the --8 I'd object first to this testimony and ask it 9 10 be stricken. THE COURT: Overruled. 11 12 MR. EVANS: Yes, sir. 13 (The following was said within the hearing of the 14 jury:) 15 (By Mr. Elliott) Miss Lyhane, do you have an explanation as to why or how -- is probably the better way to 16 17 ask it -- how your scalp hair could have got in with this sample? 18 Yes, sir. 19 20 Okay. Could you tell us? 21 At some point in time, after opening the envelope and the paper bindle of which these hairs were contained, the 22 time of placing those hairs and securing them in a permanent 23

position in a microscope slide with Permount, which is a

permanent glue, I shed a hair into that and did not notice it

24

at the time that I did it. And later, after subjecting those hairs to analysis, I was able to conclude that that's what happened. And at some point in time it happened without me seeing it.

- So, in other words, what you've described earlier could have happened when we shed our hair in a daily routine through no jerking or pulling or so forth. Apparently it just happened.
- A That's correct.

- Q Okay. Which -- is that common or uncommon?
- A That's happened to me on several occasions.
- Proceed, if you will, as to your Item Number 10A.
- A Yes, sir.

State's Exhibit Number 20, the nightgown taken from Miss Fowler, contained three scalp hairs, Caucasian.

They were consistent in microscopic characteristics in reference to her scalp hair. Therefore, it's my opinion that these scalp hairs originated from her. They are not foreign to her.

Also found on this nightgown were three Negroid hairs. All three of the hairs for one reason or another, either due to their length or their characteristics within that length, they are not suitable for forensic comparison. In other words, I can only say that they originated from someone of the Negroid race, but I cannot compare them to

reference sample.

State's Exhibit 20.

Q At this point let me interrupt you for a moment.

On your report you've got the three Negroid hairs listed under the column "hairs not consistent with any of these." Now, are you indicating that you can exclude Robert Lee Miller, Jr., by placing those hairs in that category?

No, sir. That category is placed columnized like that that the hairs in that column are not consistent with either the deceased, the victim, or they could not be compared or they are not comparable to Mr. Miller. In other words, there is additional information about the hairs in these columns of which the little asterisk denotes that the sample is not suitable for forensic comparison. But by this simple nature that I can determine that it is of the Negroid race, I can say it's foreign to Miss Fowler — she could not have produced that — and that it is someone of the Negroid race.

A Miss Fowler's night shirt, one scalp hair was found.

This scalp hair was consistent in microscopic characteristics as with Miss Fowler's reference scalp hair. Therefore, it's my opinion that it is not foreign to her and that it originated from her.

Moving on to your Item Number 10B, which is still

In addition, five pieces or fragments of

Negroid hair were found on this night shirt, five more pieces. And these, like I said, could not have originated from Miss Fowler. However, they did originate, we know, by their characteristics what little was present in those hairs that they are from someone from the Negroid race.

I might add, this is not uncharacteristic of criminal cases or of that of the Negroid race, because the hair and in the way that the diameter is on Negroid hair, it has a very wide diameter, goes very narrow and wide, which makes it very curly, they have a tendency to be more prone to break very easily. So, those people's from the Negroid race hair will break in small fragments rather easily where hair that has a diameter like Caucasian hair. It's more of a smooth area in the diameter. They're more sturdy. So, pieces are not that commonly found. They don't routinely fall in small pieces like Negroid hair. So, this is characteristic of the type of hair that's present.

Q Moving up to your Item 14A and B, which is State's Exhibit Number 21.

Yes. State's Exhibit Number 21, the Amcare sheets that were used to transport Miss Fowler. On the two sheets three pubic hairs were found. They were Caucasian. They were compared to Miss Fowler's. And they were like her hairs in microscopic characteristics. So, it's my opinion they are not foreign to her; therefore, they could have originated

from her.

In addition to those three pubic hairs, there were two scalp Caucasian hairs. One was five inches long and the other was six and a half inches long. Where these Caucasian scalp hairs came from I do not know. They came from someone that was either in contact with the sheets or someone in the process of washing the sheets the hairs clinged to it and they were there when the sheets were used to transport her. We do not know. All we know is that there are two Caucasian hairs that do not match to me, as the examiner in this case, that opened the evidence and preserved it, and they are not like Miss Fowler's.

In addition to these sheets, there were six fragments of hair found from someone of the Negroid race. In other words, these hairs can positively be said they could not have come from Miss Fowler, but they did come from someone of the Negroid race. Six pieces.

- Woving on to your Item Number 15, which is State's Exhibit Number 16.
- A Yes, sir. State's Exhibit 16 is the hair taken from Miss Fowler's body at the crime scene. Included in this were four hairs. Three of those were scalp hair, and they were like Miss Fowler's reference scalp hair. Therefore, it's my opinion that they could have originated from her.

In addition, the remaining hair was one and one

quarter inch long. It could not have originated from Miss
Fowler, and in my opinion that hair is of the Negroid race.

It is the hair which is completely opaque. You cannot see
through it. Its diameter fluctuates. It is of sufficient
length if I could see the characteristics in the hair for a
comparison. But because those characteristics cannot be
seen, they are so densely — it is so densely pigmented,
which is characteristic of a Negroid hair, all I can say is
that they are of a Negroid race. It is. Excuse me.

Q And moving to State's Exhibit Number 15, which is your Item 16, I believe.

A Yes, sir. This is hair taken from Anna Fowler's bed at the crime scene. And in this container was found two hairs. These two hairs were determined to be Negroid hair fragments. In others, there's no way they could be associated with Miss Fowler. They're foreign to her. But we do know that they were associated and came from someone of the Negroid race, but they are not suitable for a forensic comparison.

Q Okay.

Additional hair, State's Exhibit Number 17, is more hair that was taken from Miss Fowler's bed at the crime scene. It was in a separate container. This hair contained four hairs. Three of them were scalp and they were microscopically consistent with Miss Fowler's reference scalp

hair. Therefore, it's my opinion that they could have originated from her and they are not foreign to her.

The remaining hair is that of a Negroid fragment. In other words, it is not suitable for forensic comparison, but I can determine it could not have originated from Miss Fowler and it did originate from someone in the Negroid race.

Q State's Exhibit 14, which is your Item 23, I believe.

Yes, sir. That's a black cloth taken next to Miss Fowler's head: There were numerous hairs attached to this cloth that were near her head. We are trained, of course, to give an overall view of an object. We have a reference sample of Miss Fowler's hair, that hair clinging to this cloth but basically like her hair. So, a representative sample of that clump of hair or hairs was taken of which I took six hairs. I took course hairs, medium hairs, fine hairs, and very fine hairs that would represent the sample of the hairs attached to that cloth. These six hairs were microscopically consistent with the hairs of Miss Fowler's. Therefore, it's my opinion that they could have originated from her.

There was a remaining one hair of which was a fragment of that of the Negroid race. Miss Fowler could not have produced it. It's foreign to her. But all I can say that it is from the Negroid race. It's not suitable for

comparison.

These -- and you may have said. If I missed it I apologize. These hairs that were consistent with having come from Mrs. Fowler that were in the black cloth, could you determine which portion of her body those hairs came from?

A Yes, sir. They were scalp hairs.

Q Moving on to your Item 25, which is our State's Exhibit Number 18.

A Yes, sir. State's Exhibit Number 18 is the white bedspread from Miss Fowler's bed. It contained one hair. I determined through a microscopic observation that this one hair was that of a Negroid race. However, it was insufficient for a forensic comparison. All I'm able to say is it could not have originated from Miss Fowler, she is not Negroid, but that it did originate from someone of the Negroid race.

State's Exhibit Number 22, the white blanket, contained three hairs, two scalp hairs. They were microscopically consistent with Miss Fowler's scalp hair. Therefore, it's my opinion that they could have originated from her. They're not foreign to her. That the remaining one hair is foreign to Miss Fowler in my opinion, and this hair originated from someone of the Negroid race. It was insufficient in its microscopic characteristics for a forensic comparison.

3

State's Exhibit Number 23, the white sheet of which Miss Fowler lay on, which I had previously testified to the stain that I identified from that, this sheet also contained numerous hairs. Eleven hairs were analyzed. They were determined that they could not have originated from Miss Fowler because they contained Negroid characteristics in my opinion, but due to their length in their microscopic characteristics, they were just fragments, I could not utilize them to compare to someone's reference sample. So, all I was able to say, that those eleven hairs originated from someone of the Negroid race.

Is it unusual or could you characterize it as unusual based upon your experience that you have so many hairs all of which are not suitable for forensic comparison? I mean, is that an unusual finding?

No, sir, not as -- not when we're dealing with someone of the Negroid race, as I've previously explained. Their hair breaks rather easily and it breaks in small fragments because of the fluctuation in the diameter of the hair. Instead of either hanging onto the head or being pulled from the head, it just breaks and severs in very small pieces.

Backing up to State's Exhibit Number 14, the black cloth, which is your Lab Number 23, is there any way to tell or could you tell if the hairs, the scalp hairs, the six scalp hairs that you've identified that were microscopically

\_

comparable to Mrs. Fowler, is there any way you can characterize those hairs as to whether they were clinging to the cloth, embedded in the cloth, or attached to the cloth? Is there any characterization you can make there?

The best I recall -- I would have to look at the cloth to be absolutely certain, but the way I recall, it was tied in a knot and there were a lot of hairs contained in the knot and around the cloth. So, it actually appeared it had been around her head, possibly around her face. And it would be consistent with that to the amount of hairs that were entangled in the cloth.

One more question and then I'll sit down. I'm going to back up to the serological analysis that you did and ask you one question.

In reference to State's Exhibit 19D, the anal swabs -- and again, if you indicated this, I apologize -- did you identify semen or sperm on those samples which is your Lab 8A and 8B?

A Yes, sir. I identified sperm heads in an anal canal. It is very characteristic to only identify sperm heads because the tails detach rather quickly when in a bacteria environment, and the anal canal is very much a bacteria environment. So, the tails that you may suspect to see in a vaginal canal attached you rarely do see in an anal canal, specifically with a deceased body. But because these human

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. ELLIOTT: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may cross-examine.

MR. EVANS: May we approach the bench first, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, if it's something I have not ruled on.

(The following was said outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. EVANS: Judge, I would respectfully ask to break at this time. It's a few minutes before five o'clock. Miss Davis has testified to some new things that I was not aware of. She hit the stand 20 minutes ago. And I would like to have some time to consult some authorities and some

sperm heads were found and were identified, it is a positive

You may cross-examine the State's witness, Mr. 1 Evans. 2 MR. EVANS: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: You've previously been sworn and you're still testifying under oath. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. sir. 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 Please be seated. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. EVANS: 10 Miss Lyhane, bear with me here. This is pretty 11 technical. And you certainly have me at your advantage. 12 Let me make sure we understand one thing. You 13 found no hair in Miss Fowler's bed or you examined no hair at 14 the Fowler scene that you can testify is consistent with 15 Robert Miller: is that correct? 16 Not in a forensic comparison as that we normally do. 17 I did find hair that is Negroid as I have previously 18 testified, and he is a Negroid. 19 Yes, ma'am. 20 But aside from knowing Mr. Miller is black and 21 you found Negroid hairs at the scene, as far as putting the 22 hairs under the microscope and trying to match them up and 23

say they're consistent with each other, consistent -- the

hair from the scene is consistent with the hair, sample hair

24

- taken from Robert's head, you weren't able to do that in this case, were you?
  - A No, sir, I was not. That's correct.
- Q Okay. Now, does that mean, Miss Lyhane, that Robert is eliminated as a suspect in this case based on the fact that you can compare microscopically no hair of his with that found at the scene?
  - A No, sir, he is absolutely not eliminated as a suspect in this case on my analysis.
    - So, if -- it would be erroneous for a chemist to come into the courtroom and testify that since he or she found no hair matching the defendant's or the suspect's at the scene that the suspect is eliminated. You can't say that, can you?
  - A Not from my report as I have testified.
  - Q The fact that you find no hair that matches to the suspect's hair doesn't mean that he wasn't at the scene.
  - A That's correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q All right. Now, there was a hair that -- let's make sure.
  - MR. EVANS: If I could approach the witness for a second, Judge?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- Q (By Mr. Evans) Let's make sure that we're looking at the same report, Miss Lyhane.
  - A Same report.

All right. Now, when you examined the hairs -staying with the hair evidence for right now -- we're talking
about four hairs that were examinable microscopically that
did not -- that were not consistent with Miss Fowler and that
were not Negroid; is that correct? It's complicated because
there's a bunch of hairs that we --

A That's correct. There are four Caucasian hairs that were found in this case that do not match microscopically to Miss Fowler.

Miss Fowler's pubic area was combed and we found a scalp Caucasian hair that was inconsistent with Miss Fowler.

So, we know it wasn't Miss Fowler's scalp hair.

A Correct.

Q And that hair you determined to be yours.

A Yes, sir, that's my opinion.

Q Okay. Now, when we say that's your opinion, does it necessarily mean that that hair is yours or just consistent with yours?

A No, sir. That means that I believe because it is microscopically consistent with my hair it could have come from me. I'm not saying it came from no one else in the world. I cannot say that with the technology that we have in forensics today.

Q And when we say that the hairs, the dozens of them that were consistent with Anna Fowler, we can't say

```
scientifically that they were Anna Fowler's hairs, can we?
1
           No, sir. That is not possible. It's not a positive
2
3
    identification as fingerprints. However, it is a positive
    elimination.
4
5
           Okay. Now by that, by saying that you can eliminate
    people on the basis of hairs, what do you mean?
6
           By that I can say positively that these Negroid
7
    fragments, 32 of them, positively did not originate from Anna
8
    Fowler --
           Okay.
10
           -- that were found on and around her body.
11
           And moving down to the Amcare sheet, you found two
12
    scalp Caucasian hairs that you can state categorically
    positively were not Anna Fowler's; right?
14
           That's my opinion, yes, sir.
15
           Okay. And there was something that Mr. Elliott didn't
16
    ask you about yesterday. There was a pink blanket that was
17
    part of the bed clothes of Miss Anna Fowler, and on that pink
18
    blanket were two scalp hairs consistent with Anna Fowler were
19
    found; is that right?
20
                    That's correct.
           Uh-huh.
21
           And there was -- there were no hairs consistent with
22
```

Robert Miller.

Correct.

Correct?

23

24

25

Q

the pink blanket that was part of Miss Fowler's bed clothes 2 that was inconsistent with Miss Fowler's hair; is that right? 3 Yes, sir. So, we have a pubic hair, a Caucasian pubic hair that 5 we know is not Mrs. Fowler's in Mrs. Fowler's bed; is that 6 right? 7 If this pink blanket originated from her bed, yes, 8 sir. I'm not absolutely positive of that. I just have it 9 listed as a pink blanket. It came from somewhere in her 10 residence. 11 Now, since we have Caucasian hairs that are -- that we 12 know are not Mrs. Fowler's -- we have three of them. Let's 13 assume for the sake of argument that they are taken from her 14 bed. We can't rule out that in fact one of the semen donors 15 was in fact a Caucasian. That's a possibility, isn't it? 16 Are you saying there's more than one semen donor in 17 this case? 18 I'm saying it's a possibility. Would you not concede 19 that it's a possibility that there could be more than one 20 semen donor? 21 If there is more than one semen donor, we know that 22 both semen donors have the same genetic markers. 23 But it is possible, isn't it?

1

Α

25

And there was a pubic Caucasian hair found on

Sure, it's possible, but it's most generally not

probable.

And it's possible that there is in fact one semen donor and that that one semen donor is a white man. Isn

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

25

that possible?

- A I cannot say from the analysis of the body fluid of the semen that the semen donor is white or black. I do not have that capability with the analysis I was able to do.
- 8 Q He might be a black person, he might be a -- he might 9 be a black man, he might be a white man.
  - A In reference to the semen, what I base my stats on for the black population was because of the 32 Negroid fragment pieces of hair that were around the semen stain.
  - Q But we have foreign white hairs too; correct?
  - A We have --
- 15 Q We have foreign Caucasian hairs too.
- 16 A We have two scalp from the Amcare sheets and one pubic 17 from a pink blanket.
- So, if we're willing to concede that it's possible.

  that the semen donor was white, that changes the statistics that you quoted to Mr. Elliott yesterday, doesn't it?
- 21 | A To some extent.
- Q I think the statistics that you quoted to Mr. Elliott yesterday were -- well, it came out to about 13 percent, didn't it, Janice?
  - A Yes. Just a moment. Yes, sir.

Now, you're basing that 13-percent figure on the fact 1 that we know that the -- we at least know that the semen 2 donor was of a type "A" blood type; correct? 3 Correct. Q And that he was a secretor. 5 Correct. 6 And that he had Peptidase A as a genetic marker. 7 Peptidase A (1), yes, sir. 8 A (1). And Phosphoglucomutase as another genetic 10 marker; is that right? 11 Correct. It was a (1) also. 12 Now, how do we arrive at the 13-percent figure? 13 You simply multiply 26 percent, which is the "A" 14 secretor of the black population, by 58 percent, which is the 15 percent of black population you would expect to have the PGM 16 (1). Multiply that again by 87 percent, which you would 17 expect the black population to have Peptidase A (1). With 18 that you come with .1312 which is 13.12 percent. Or if you 19 take the inverse of that and divide it into one, it would be 20 one in eight people. In this instance, I used the fact that 21 it was a male because it is semen -- a female cannot produce 22 it -- and the fact that the hairs that were around the body 23 in the area of the semen were Negroid hairs. 24

Negroid. You know, that nature. So, I said one in eight

25

She's not

black males in my opinion.

Q Okay. If you change the figures to include whites, white males also, how many white and black males have the "A" secretor status?

A Just a moment.

Okay. To be of the white, the type "A" would be 40 percent approximately. If we use the same stats that I used in the black -- we'll change that to 35.8, which is the <u>Journal of Forensic Science</u>, Volume 23, Number 3. I furnished you a copy at preliminary hearing.

Q Yes, ma'am.

A Okay. So, we'll say 35.8 percent times 80 percent, which is the secretor status. PGM (1) in whites would be 58.9. And referring to Peptidase A, you cannot find it on that sheet. So, you would go to the following sheet I furnished you with for the status here. Just a moment. It's only on the blacks. Just a moment. I have to refer to another piece. Would be 86.7 percent of the whites you would expect to have -- no, excuse me -- 98.6 percent of the whites to have Peptidase A (1). So, it would be 98.6.

Okay. In taking those figures, as I previously stated, 35.8 percent being blood type "A" in whites, 80 percent of those people would be secretors, 58.9 percent would be PGM (1), 98.6 percent of those people in the white population would be expected to have Peptidase A (1). You

```
multiply those together and you get 0.166 or 16.6 percent, or
1
    taking the inverse of that would be one in six white males.
2
           Okay. You're saying approximately 13 percent of black
3
    males would have these characteristics that you found in the
    semen stain in Miss Fowler's bed and 16.6 percent of the
5
    white males would have these characteristics; is that right?
6
           That's what you would expect to find when you did a
7
    genetic study and did the frequencies of those.
8
           Now, when you examined -- you examined other suspects
9
    besides Robert in regards to this case, the Fowler case; is
10
    that right?
11
           Yes, sir.
12
           I think you talked about some of them.
13
                  You examined Edgar Collins; right?
14
           Yes, sir.
    A
15
           You examined Bobby Ray Booker, David Hilliard, Howard
16
    Union, Raymond Johnson, and Clem Jeffries; is that correct?
17
           Yes.
18
           Is that the extent of the other suspects that you
19
    examined in regards --
20
           These were --
21
           -- to this case?
22
           Yes.
23
           So, you never examined an individual named Ra-Heru
24
```

Khepra; is that right?

- A No, sir, I've never heard of that to my knowledge.
- 2 | Q Nobody ever took you any of his hairs or examined any 3 | of his bodily fluids: is that correct?
  - A Not in reference to this case.
- Q Miss Lyhane, is there a length of hair or is there a standard length a hair has to be before it's microscopically comparable?
  - As I said yesterday, more or less it's a rule of thumb around a half inch becomes the point of where you begin to think a hair could be forensically compared. Again, you've got to look at that hair microscopically and look at those characteristics to determine if in fact it has enough microscopic characteristics to compare. As in the instance in this case, we had a hair -- in my opinion it was a scalp hair -- and it was one inch and one fourth long, yet it's opaque and cannot be used for forensic comparison.
  - Q Now, Miss Lyhane --

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. EVANS: Yes, sir.

- Q (By Mr. Evans) I have got the <u>Handbook of Forensic</u>

  <u>Science</u> here. It's from the Federal Bureau of

  Investigation. Would you agree that's a good reference book?
- 23 | A Yes, sir.
- 4 || Q Okay.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

A It's specifically mainly for telling individuals who

are going to mail evidence to the F.B.I. laboratory in Washington, D.C., how to mail it, how to prepare a report and attach it with the evidence.

Well, let me see -- let me read you something and see if you agree with it out of this book.

The F.B.I. is talking about evidence from class characteristics only and specifically refers to hair evidence, and the F.B.I. says: Such as evidence -- excuse me -- no matter how thoroughly examined can only be placed in a glass. A definite identification can never be made since there is a possibility of more than one source for the material found.

A Yes, sir, I agree with that. That's reworded of what I've previously testified to.

Q Yes, ma'am.

Now, there is a new test on the horizon, or actually it's here today, called DNA testing, isn't there?

A Yes, sir.

And this DNA testing is such that you can take bodily fluids from rapists, a rapist/murderer in this case, you can analyze those fluids, and the DNA testing can break those fluids down to where the fluids would become just like a fingerprint. Is that your understanding of the DNA testing?

A Somewhat. I do not know the limitations of those

examinations, how much of the body sample would be needed to

1	make the analysis and how old or fresh it would have to be
2	and under what conditions it was preserved. That I'm not
3	aware of.
4	Q Okay. You would agree though that were DNA done in
5	this case, we wouldn't be talking about one in 13, we
6	wouldn't be talking about 1 in 16 or 13 percent or 16 percent
7	or one in eight or one in four
8	MR. MACY: May it please the Court.
9	I'm going to object to this line of questioning
10	and ask to approach the bench.
11	THE COURT: If you must, I can rule on it from
12	here now.
13	MR. MACY: We object to this as clearly
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	MR. MACY: hypothetical.
16	THE COURT: It's speculative. It's not a part
17	of this case, Mr. Evans.
18	MR. EVANS: Well, I would ask to approach the
19	bench then, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	(The following was said outside the hearing of the
22	Jury:)
23	MR. EVANS: Judge, I would submit to the Court
24	that it is part of this case.
25	THE COURT: A little louder.

MR. EVANS: Sir?

2

THE COURT: Louder.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would submit to the Court that it MR. EVANS: is a part of this case. That certainly if we had a burglary here, which we do, and that the State didn't try to raise any fingerprints, that would certainly be an adequate crossexamination field, and I'm sure the Court would allow me to do that. All I'm doing is asking this witness if --

THE COURT: The difference is this witness is not knowledgeable of DNA, nor is there any proof that it has reached that point of scientific acceptability. I don't know of anything.

Well, then she can say that if MR. EVANS: she's not that knowledgeable. I don't think she has vet.

MR. MACY: Your Honor, to allow him to impeach our witness as our evidence by a technique that was not available at the time the crime was committed is improper. DNA is not even yet today been accepted into Oklahoma court. And for him to insinuate to this jury that we didn't do our job because we didn't do ---

THE COURT: That's the basis of my ruling. will not permit you to ask about it.

MR. EVANS: Your Honor, I would state that it is acceptable, that it has been accepted in an Oklahoma court. In Cleveland County it was accepted.

THE COURT: How about our appellate court? 1 MR. EVANS: Yes. 2 3 THE COURT: Court of Criminal Appeals? MR. EVANS: 4 Yes. The Court of Criminal Appeals ruled on that and said that it was accepted. I can get you 5 the case if you need it. THE COURT: What I'll let you do is inquire of 7 her knowledge of DNA and if it was available at the time that 8 she made her analysis. 9 10 MR. EVANS: All right. That's fair enough then. 11 12 (The following was said within the hearing of the jury:) 13 (By Mr. Evans) Miss Lyhane, as to the DNA type 14 15 testing, you have sufficient knowledge of it that you can tell this jury and tell this Court that we would -- that had 16 17 it been used in this case certainly we would have been able to break down who did the crime more than we have so in this 18 19 case. Would you agree with that? MR. MACY: I object, Your Honor. You gave him 20 a predicate --21 22 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. MACY: -- question. 23 24 THE COURT: You may qualify the witness's 25 knowledge of this method and whether it was or it was not

2	Q (By Mr. Evans) Did you know about
3	THE COURT: Depending on her answer, I'll see
4	what my ruling is.
5	Q (By Mr. Evans) Miss Lyhane, did you know about DNA
6	testing at the time you were doing the analysis in this case?
7	A If I did it was very limited.
8	Q But you had heard of it; is that right?
9	A To be quite sure of the cutoff point there, I'm not
10	absolutely positive. But if I did I was vaguely aware of it,
11	but I did not know particulars.
12	Q Okay. This case has been around the Fowler case
13	has been around since September of 1986. Now we're finally
14	getting to trial in this case. So, the your analysis has
15	been an ongoing process though, hasn't it?
16	A The analysis in this case has been an ongoing
17	process. My analysis was completed when my report was sent
18	out.
19	Q But we know the analysis is an ongoing process because
20	it was just yesterday that you came up with yet another
21	tested result. Just yesterday, just yesterday morning you
22	discovered that one of the hairs that was foreign to Miss
23	Fowler was your hair. Right?
24	MR. MACY: May it please the Court.
25	I object again. He's talking about a

accessable to her at that time.

technique that was not available in 1986. 1 THE COURT: I understand, but I'm going to rule 2 on it in just one moment. Let me see the next question. 3 4 Go ahead. 5 (By Mr. Evans) Well, I think there's a question on 6 the floor, but let me ask you another one then. 7 As of last week was DNA analysis available to your knowledge? 8 9 I do not know the exact limitations of DNA 10 fingerprinting on body fluids. 11 All right. 12 MR. EVANS: No further questions. THE COURT: Anything further, State, of this 13 witness? 14 15 MR. MACY: Just a minute, Judge. 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLIOTT: 17 18 Miss Lyhane, referring to one of the items that Mr. Evans discussed with you is your Lab Number 26 on your hair 19 20 analysis chart, Item Number 26 being the pink blanket in which you found a pubic Caucasian hair foreign to Mrs. 21 Fowler. 22 23 Yes, sir. 24 Had her grandson slept on that blanket six months before, you'd have no way of knowing at this point as we're 25

sitting here today that that could have been his hair; is 1 that correct? 2 That is correct. 3 MR. ELLIOTT: All right. No further questions. 4 THE COURT: Anything further, cross-5 examination? 6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. EVANS: 8 9 But, Miss Lyhane, in regard to that pubic hair, the hair that Mr. Elliott just asked you the question about, did 10 you test Miss Fowler's grandson to see if that was -- that 11 hair was consistent with his? 12 No, sir. 13 Did you test the family members of Miss Fowler to see 14 if that pubic hair was consistent with any of them? 15 No, sir. 16 Did you test the technicians, the technical 17 investigators from the police department that got there on 18 the scene that were around the bed to see if that hair was 19 consistent with them, that pubic hair? 20 No. sir. 21 Did you test the Amcare people, the people we know 22 their names? Did you test those Amcare people to see if that 23 pubic hair was consistent with them? 24

No. sir.

25

Α

```
Did you take one of Dr. Larry Balding's hairs, the
    Q
1
    medical examiner that worked on this case? Did you take one
    of Dr. Balding's pubic hairs and compare it to see if it was
    consistent with his?
4
5
           No. sir.
6
                  NR. EVANS: Nothing further.
                  THE COURT: Is that all of this witness?
7
                  MR. MACY: That's all of this witness.
8
                  THE COURT: Thank you.
9
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, Gir.
10
                  THE COURT: You may stand down.
11
                  THE WITNESS: May I be excused?
12
                  THE COURT: Yes.
13
                  No one's going to need this witness again, I
14
    assume?
15
                        You may be excused.
                  Yes.
16
17
                  THE VITNESS: Thank you.
                  THE COURT: Call your next.
18
                  I believe you have testified before.
19
                  THE VITNESS: Yes, sir.
20
                  THE COURT: You've been sworn and you're still
21
22
    testifying under oath. Do you understand?
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23
                  THE COURT: All right.
24
                  MR. MACY: May it please the Court.
25
```