1	going to smear the fingerprint to the point it is no longer
2	verifiable.
3	MR. MOSS: No further questions.
4	MR. CURRAN: I have nothing further.
5	MR. MOSS: May the witness be excused?
6	THE COURT: The witness is excused.
7	(The witness was excused.)
8	JOSEPH CROW being sworn by the Deputy Clerk, testified:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	QUESTIONS BY MR. MOSS:
11	Q Would you state your name again for the record,
12	please?
13	A Joseph Crow.
14	And are you employed with the St. Louis Metropolitan
15	Police Department?
16	A Yes, I am.
17	Q In what capacity?
18	A Crime analysis.
19	Q What are your activities and duties?
20	A To receive evidence submitted by police officers,
21	perform appropriate tests on the evidence received, write a
22	report concerning the evidence received and the result of the
23	tests performed and to testify in court concerning the evi-
24	dence we received, the tests performed and the results.
25	Q What specific Now, I'm interested in the area of

- 163 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

body fluids. Do you specific examinations for type or body fluid? Yes, I do. A Specifically, in regard to rape evidence, what types Q of tests do you do? I have a degree in chemistry from the University of Missouri. I went through a six month training period in the United States Army which included the examination of body fluids, both human blood and human seminal fluids, I took two courses at the FBI Academy that included the examination of blood and body fluids and have taken graduated courses at Northeast State University and Southeast Missouri State University on the identification of body fluids. In rape cases, what we're looking for is seminal fluid on items of evidence " DIDNOT CONNECT! and to try to connect the person who had intercourse or try " DIONOT PROVE" to prove who the person was that had intercourse with the victim. Q All right. Now, in conjunction with your duties, specifically on or about the 31st or 1st day of February, 1984, did you receive your laboratory what is known as a sexual assault kit containing various smears of body areas and other items including panties of the victim? A Yes, I did.

Q Likewise, did you receive from Detective Patrolman Sengheiser a portion of fabric?

1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q And likewise, did you receive a one package con-
3	taining a sweatshirt?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q All right. Let me show you an item which I removed
6	from an envelope marked State's Exhibit Twenty and tell me if
7	you can I better mark this as Twenty-three.
8	(Whereupon, said envelope was marked as State's Exhibit
9	Twenty-three, for identification.)
10	Q (by Mr. Moss) Let me show you what's been marked
11	as State's Exhibit Twenty-three, what is it I have handed to
12	you?
13	A A slide mailer marked vaginal smears.
14	Q Did you receive it in a specific rape kit that has
15	been designated as State's Exhibit Twenty?
16	A Yes, I did.
17	Q All right. Did you examine it and if so, what test
18	did you perform on it and what did those tests disclose?
19	A I performed a microscopic examination. That is, I
20	took one slide and put it on a microscope and looked at it
21	through the microscope. I found human spermatazoa on the
22	slide.
23	Q All right. And human spermatazoa is the body fluid
24	that comes from where?
25	A From the male penis during ejaculation.

1	Q	Likewise, did you examine some State's Exhibit
2	Nineteen?	
3	A	Yes, I did.
4	Q	And what did you examination disclose concerning
5	the exami	nation of those panties?
6	A	There was estain in the crotch of the panties.
7	Analysis o	f the stain revealed the presence of human sperma-
8	tozoa.	
9	Q	Likewise, did you examine State's Exhibit Twenty-
10	two?	
11	A	Yes, I did.
12	Q	And what did you examination of State's Exhibit
13	Twenty-tw	o disclose?
14	A	There was a stain on the sweater. I can't recall
15	exactly w	here without taking it out. Examination of the
16	stain rev	ealed the presence of human spermatozoa.
17	Q	Okay. And did you receive it in this particular
18	container	bearing the name of Jane DeRousse?
19	A	Yes, I did.
20	Q	The slide that was in there, did you make it?
21	A	Yes, I did.
22	Q.	Or the package of slides?
23	A	Yes.
24		MR. MOSS: You may inquire.
25		CROSS EXAMINATION

## QUESTIONS BY MR. CURRAN:

Q Dr. Crow, is there a way to type the spermatozoa?

MR. MOSS: Excuse me. I object to the irrelevancy unless it was done in that particular case.

MR. CURRAN: That's what I was reading up, Judge.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. MOSS: Excuse me. Can we approach the bench?

THE COURT: You may.

(Counsel approached the bench and the following proceedings were had:)

MR. MOSS: I would just point out that this is somewhat like when fingerprints are not searched for.

The action has not been discussed. It's not relevant under State versus Nolan either in the final argument or in the case. To my knowledge, this Court has, in the past, excluded testimony concerning the type of tests which Mr. Curran is talking about. Namely, a saliva test. This Court, in the past, has found the percentages are insufficient to allow relevancy or admissability to that particular test result. I don't see the materiality whether the tests weren't performed and when the Court won't let the tests results in and if he's allowed to pursue this area, I -- If it discloses that he is one in the group of people that could have had intercourse

with her, I would seek to have that admitted, but I would run into a problem of what this Court's prior cases have been on this type of thing, so I think we're barking up a tree and that can't be. We're going to get into evidence that leads to nowhere.

MR. CURRAN: Judge, my basic position is that I don't see what the harm is in the State asking the question as far as what tests are run. I realize with fingerprints -- First of all, I believe he can ask if they were checked or not and this is a question that can be asked and determines what can be argued. What I have now -- The State put on this man to say, yes, I found sperm at this point. The jury is left with the impression that my client being a male, he obviously secretes sperm. I think they have a right to know that there is more tests that weren't done so they're not left with the impression that this is the definite sperm of this man. If we let them go -- If it weren't done, he can explain it. That's fine. I'll abide by that that I'm not allowed to argue that as evidence.

THE COURT: I think what the State's attorney has said in respect to the Court's position about the saliva test is correct. I have up to this point, at least not permitted evidence of saliva tests which would indicate that a person charged is within that group of persons that could conceivably have done it. And I think for me not to start

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 14

**—** 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

permitting evidence, but they did make such a test, would run someone to encounter the position I previously have I understand defense's position from the beginning in this case that there was a rape. All this man has testified to so far is evidence that there was a rape. That's not contested as I understand it. The question here in front of us is whether this man did it and it's an identity question. I don't think that it points in the direction of this (HE ASSUMES FOR THE JURY) man did it at all. The jury knows, I think, it's going to be uncontested that there was a rape. The evidence that they're going to have to decide is if there is sufficient identification here to connect this man to it. It doesn't (JUdgES COMMENT) \$ seem to me and if I were sitting as a trier, the mere fact that there was a rape done on this woman wouldn't point to this man at all if it's an identity question. I think that is what the State is saying. I'm going to sustain the objection to it.

Q (by Mr. Curran) You didn't take the samples, your-self. Someone else took them and conveyed them to the laboratory?

A Yes.

Q You examined the cloth also that was cut out of the seat or not?

A Yes, I did.

Q And there are tests that you ran on them to deter-

1	mine whether or not the spermatozoa was there; is that right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And what dates did you conduct these tests?
4	A May I look at my notes?
5	Q Sure.
6	A February the 3rd, 1984.
7	MR. CURRAN: I have nothing further.
8	MR. MOSS: No further questions. May the wit-
9	ness be excused?
10	THE COURT: You may step down and be excused.
11	MR. MOSS: I'd ask for the admission of State's
12	Exhibits One through Twenty-three and request permission to
13	pass State's Exhibits One through Eighteen to the juzy.
14	MR. CURRAN: Judge, I'd like to look at them
15	again. I think I'll have an objection to maybe one of them.
16	The rest will be all right. May we approach the bench?
17	THE COURT: You may.
18	(Counsel approached the bench and the following proceed-
19	ings were had:)
20	MR. CURRAN: Judge, I'll object to the admis-
21	sion and the passing to the jury of State's Exhibit Sixteen,
22	which is commonly referred to as a mug shot of Mr. Johnson
23	on the front side of the picture. Underneath it there is a
24	mark that has a number on it. It also has a date of 11-19-83
25	which notes he was arrested on something else. That is evi-