## IN THE MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

STATE OF	MISSOURI,	)		
	Plaintiff-Respondent,	)		
		)	Appeal	Number
VS.	•	)		
		)	72675	
ANTONIO	BEAVER.	)		
		)		
	Defendant-Appellant.	)		•

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MISSOURI ST. LOUIS CITY. 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT Honorable John J. Riley. Judge

STATE OF MISSOURI.	)
	)
Plaintiff,	)
	) Cause Number
vs.	`)
	) 961-2972
ANTONIO BEAVER,	)
•	)
Defendant.	)

### RECORD ON APPEAL TRÁNSCRIPT

TRIAL

COPY

VOLUME 2 OF 3

For Plaintiff-Respondent:

For Defendant-Appellant:

Jane Darst Assistant Circuit Attorney 1320 Market Street Room 330 Municipal Courts Building St. Louis, MO 63103 Sharon Turlington Assistant Public Defender 1320 Market Street Room 62 Municipal Courts Building St. Louis. MO 63103

CAROL HOPPE, CCR #427, CSR, CVR
Official Court Reporter
22nd Judicial Circuit
St. Louis City, Missouri

### INDEX

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 23, 1997	
VOLUME 1	
TRIAL:	
Voir Dire Examination by State	V1- 9
Voir Dire Examination by Defendant	V1-152
THURSDAY, APRIL 24, 1997	
VOLUME 2	
Jury Sworn, Instructions 300.06, 302.01, and 302.02 Read	V2- 6
State's Opening Statement	V2- 6
Defendant Opening Statement	V2- 11
STATE'S EVIDENCE	
Christine Jansen	
Direct Examination	V2- 34 V2- 40
Bobbie Miller	
Direct Examination	V2- 43 V2- 49 V2- 51
Detective Dennis Skaggs	
Direct Examination	V2- 52 V2- 56 V2- 67
CAROL HOPPE, CCR #427, CSR, CVR Official Court Reporter 22nd Judicial Circuit St. Louis City, Missouri	V22

Page

# INDEX (Continued)

Recross-Examination							V2- 71 V2- 72
Further Redirect Examination Further Recross-Examination						н н	V2- 74
State Rests		т н	к	11	re	и н	V2- 75
Defendant's Motion for Judgment c the Close of the State's Case	) f F "	icat	it.	tal "	. ai	e 16	V2- 76
DEFENSE'S EVIDENCE							
Officer Louis Hill							
Direct Examination	n		н	*	и :	. *	V2- 77
Cross-Examination							
Redirect Examination	н	rt 14	e	#	* :		V2- 82
Janet Majors							
Direct Examination	и	15 P	r	н	* 1		V2- 83
Cross-Examination							V2- 88
Redirect Examination	и	n 10	**	н	в ,	. 11	V2-, 91
Defense Rests	"	н я	н	,,	н :	. м	V2- 92
Defendant's Motion for Judgment c	if A	cqu	it	tal	. at	,	
	3*		:•				V2- 93
Priors Proven		15 17	н	*	* .		V2- 94
Instruction Conference	**	n n	tr	:4	n 7	n	V2-100
Instructions 3 through 8 Read to	the	. Ju	ıry	13	и :	i it	V2-107
State's Opening Argument	17	ד א	24	н	н ч	11	V2-107
Defendant's Closing Argument		и ч	**	e*		. *	V2-117
State's Closing Argument			**	*	1E 51	11	V2-127
Denuties Sworn	14	-: 11	,,	**		н	V2-133

CAROL HOPPE, CCR #427, CSR, CVR
Official Court Reporter
22nd Judicial Circuit
St. Louis City, Missouri

# INDEX (Continued)

### FRIDAY, APRIL 25, 1997

Jury polle	d	47 99 44	11 tr	tr.		* '		ĸ	н		34	*	V2-1	41
Reporter's	Certifi	cate	e B	ч		TK :	н н	н	и	*	и	*	V2-1	142
		FRIDA	Υ, δ	JUNE	6,	19	97							
			VOI	_UME	3									
Sentencing	26 19 16	24 14 15	n "	ж 1		и н	, ,	*			*	и	V3-	6
Reporter's	Certifi	cate	н н	к 1	· 11	** *	. 14	к	я	+*	×	**	V3	35

CAROL HOPPE, CCR #427, CSR, CVR
Official Court Reporter
22nd Judicial Circuit
St. Louis City, Missouri

#### INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description	Identified	Received in Evidence
STATE'S			
1.	Diagram	V2-22	V2-75
2	Composite	V2-24, 48	V2-75
3A	Car Photo	V2-25	V2-75
38	Car Photo	V2-25	V2-75
<b>3</b> 0	Car Photo	V2-25	V2-75
3D	Car Photo	V2-25	V2-75
3E	Car Photo	V2-25	V2-75
44	Lineup Photo	V2-32, 56	V2-75
48	Lineup Photo	V2-32, 56	V2-75
4C	Lineup Photo	V2-32, 56	V2-75
40	Lineup Photo	V2-32, 56	V275
5	MDC Reports	V2-98	V2-98

CAROL HOPPE, CCR #427, CSR, CVR
Official Court Reporter
22nd Judicial Circuit
St. Louis City, Missouri

1.	several prints.
2	α All right. So if a person is driving the car
3	and they touch the glass, that's a good surface for you
4	to get a print; right?
5	A Oh, yes, glass, chrome, anything like that.
6	MS. TURLINGTON: I have nothing further.
7	MS. DARST: Nothing further.
8	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
9	MS. TURLINGTON: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Officer, thank you sir, you
1. 1.	may be excused.
1.2	(Witness left the witness stand.)
13	THE COURT: Call your next witness,
14	please.
1.5	MS. TURLINGTON: Your Honor, the Defense
16	calls Janet Majors.
17	JANET MAJORS,
18	having been first duly sworn by the Deputy Clerk,
19	testified on behalf of the Defendant as follows:
50	THE COURT: Please inquire.
21	MS. TURLINGTON: Thank you. Your Honor.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. TURLINGTON:
24	Q Could you state your name, please?
25	A Janet Majors.

1.	Q And Ms. Majors, how are you currently
2	employed?
3	A I am employed with the St. Louis Police
4	Department as a latent print examiner.
5	ρ Okay. Could you just explain what a latent
6	print examiner is?
7	A I examine and compare and identify latent
8	fingerprints from crimes of the scene. And a latent
9	fingerprint is made up of the moistures and perspirations
10	of the fingers that is secreted from the pores and also
1.1	from oils from the skin where you would like touch your
1.2	face or your hair and it would pick up the oils from the
13	skin and be coated on the fingers.
1.4	Q Ms. Majors, could you tell me what kind of
15	education that you have in order to be called a latent
16	print examiner?
1.7	A Yes, ma'am. I have over 34 years' experience
1.8	in fingerprints. I had —— I worked as a trainee as a
19	fingerprint technician for one year and an additional 23
20	years as a fingerprint technician. That is comparing
21	rolled ink fingerprints. I had one year on-the-job
22	training as a latent print examiner and have worked for
23	an additional eight and a half years as a latent print
24	examiner. I've also been trained by the FBI in both
25	basic and advanced latent fingerprint work. And I've

1.	also been trained in palm print identification.
2	g Ms. Majors, how many prints do you think you've
3	examined over the years?
4	A Hundreds and hundreds of thousands. A very
5	conservative estimate would be 50,000 prints that I have
6	identífied.
7	g Ms. Majors, is there any kind of licensing tha
- 8	you have to have to be a latent print examiner?
9	A No. ma'am. We are certified by the court
10	whenever we testify, and I have testified in both
1.3.	juvenile, federal, and state court.
12	Q And when you testified, were you certified as
13	an expert?
14	A Yes. ma'am, I was.
15	Q In the field of fingerprint examination?
16	A Fingerprint examination, comparison, and
17	identification.
18	Q Okay.
19	MS. TURLINGTON: Judge, at this time I
<b>5</b> 0	would ask that the Court recognize Ms. Majors as an
21	expert in the field of fingerprint examination and
22	comparison.
23	MS. DARST: No objection.
24	THE COURT: That will be done. The Court
25	will so find that she is an expert in that field.

V2~85

1	MS. TURLINGTON: I'm sorry?
2	THE COURT: I'm sorry. The Court will so
3	find that she is an expert in the identification.
4	examination, and comparison of latent fingerprints.
5	MS. TURLINGTON: Thank you, Judge.
6	g Ms. Majors, did you have occasion in August of
7	1996 to examine some fingerprints that came from a car
B	that was owned by a Ms. Christine Jansen?
9	Α Yes, ma'am, I did.
10	Q And did you compare those fingerprints that
1, 1,	were taken from that car to the fingerprints of Mr.
12	Antonio Beaver?
1.3	A Yes, ma'am. I did.
1.4	Q What was the result of your comparison?
1.5	A They were not identified as Antonio Beaver's.
16	Q In addition, do you have any you have a
1.7	computer system that can help you identify fingerprints:
18	correct?
19	Α Yes. ma'am.
20	Q What is the name of that computer system?
<b>21</b>	A It's a — any fingerprint computer is called an
22	AFIS computer. It stands for Automated Fingerprint
23	Identification System. And basically what you do is you
24	enter a latent fingerprint, the computer scans the print
25	like a Xerox machine, and then puts the image of the

1.	fingerprint on the screen. You tell the computer the
2	different minutia or points that we use for comparison,
3	and then ask the fingerprint machine the computer to
4	search: and then the computer kicks back a candidate
5	list. The computer does not make an identification. The
6	latent examiner themselves make the identification. And
7	they kick back the candidate list of — with the same
8	possible minutia. and we view each print to see if it's a
9	possible identification.
10	Q Did the computer in this case or you, based on
1. 3	what the computer came up with, ever make a match in this
12	case?
1.3	Α No. ma'am. We only had one latent — I'm
1.4	sorry, two latent fingerprints that were identifiable.
15	The rest were palm prints. And I was not able to
16	identify any of the fingerprints, and the palm prints are
17	not in the computer. The palm prints are not able to be
18	put into an AFIS computer.
1,9	g So the bottom line is, we know that those
SO .	fingerprints are not Antonio Beaver's but we don't know
23	whose they are.
22	A Yes, ma'am, that's true.
23	Q Now, Ms. Majors, some surfaces are very good
24	for detting a fingerprint off of: correct?
200	A Vec ma <sup>2</sup> am

1	Q What kind of surfaces would those be?
2	A Anything kind of painted, varnished, metal, a
.3	painted or very hard and smooth metal, painted or
4	varnished wood. You need a very smooth moisture-bearing
.5	surface. Any rough surface, any kind of material would
6	not be suitable for latent fingerprints.
7	Q Okay. So glass is an excellent surface.
8	A Glass would be an excellent surface.
()	Q Okay. Such as mirrors or windows.
10	A Yes.
1.1	a All right.
1.2	MS. TURLINGTON: I have no further
1.3	questions of this witness.
14	THE COURT: Cross-examination?
1.5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. DARST:
1.7	Q Ms. Majors, I understand you said that only two
18	of the lifts were identifiable.
19	A No. ma'am. Two of the lifts were fingerprints.
50	The other — I had two prints that were of no value. The
21	rest of the lifts were palm prints.
55	Ω And were any of the palm prints compared to the
23	defendant?
24	A Yes. ma'am, thev were.
25	Q And they were not his?

1	1 A They were not identified.	
2	2 Q And were any of the palm prints o	r the
3	3 fingerprints compared to the victim, the ow	ner of the
4	4 car?	
5	5 A No. ma'am. I did not have access	to the
6	6 victim's fingerprints.	
7	7	
8	8 A No family.	
9	9 Q Or the people that towed her car?	
1.0	O A I did not have access to anyone e	lse's prints.
1, 1,	A Or any of the investigating offic	ers?
12	2 A I have no investigating officers.	
1.3	3	eason to
1.4	4 compare these prints to those people?	
15	5 A If I had access to their fingerpr	ints. I would
1.6	.6 normally compare their prints trying to eli	minate or
17	7 identifying any latent fingerprints that I	have.
1.8	g Q But since it is Ms. Jansen's car.	her
19	9 fingerprints being on it is of no value.	
20	PO A Well, it would be an identification	on but no
21	value as far as a suspect.	
22	og The same thing with her family and	d the
23	investigating officers, everything like that	t?
24	A That is true.	
25	o Okay. And is it unusual for no p	rints to be

V2~89

.1	recovered even though a person has touched an item?
2	A No. it is not unusual at all.
3	Q Could a person touch a suitable surface, glass
4	any of those things, and still not leave a print?
.55	A Yes, ma'am. You can touch an object in such a
6	way as not to leave a print. The object has to be a ver
7	smooth surface. Some people do not sweat. I personally
8	have very dry hands, and it would be hard for me to leave
9	an identifiable latent fingerprint. You can touch an
1.0	object — some people have very oily skin and their
1 1	fingers could touch an object and slip. And that would
12	render the latent fingerprint as of no value.
1.3	You can touch an object. lift up your hand.
14	turn around and pick it up again, and what you're doing
15	is putting a latent print over the top of another latent
1,6	print which would render all of those latents of no
1.7	value. You would not be able to identify any of them.
18	Q Are there many weather or atmospheric
3,9	conditions, do those impact the ability of a print to be
20	recovered?
21	A Of course. Anytime if you've got a rainy
22	condition and the object is wet, it's going to be very
2.3	hard- vou're going to have to dry it out to see. It's
24	going to be almost impossible to get a latent fingerprint

off of an object that's wet. Anything that sets out in

2 °

A5~80

1	the hot or in an extremely heated room would dry out the
2	perspiration, the moisture in the latent fingerprint and
3	it's going to be very hard to get an identifiable latent
4	tingerprint.
5	ρ And all of these conditions are why individuals
6	who touch a suitable surface and still no print be
7	recovered.
8	A That is one of the reasons, yes, ma'am.
9	Q Well. I mean all of those reasons.
1.0	A Yes.
1 1	Q Weather, obliteration, moisture, lack of oils
1,2	in the hands —
13	A Right, yes. ma'am.
14	MS. DARST: I have nothing further.
1.5	MS. TURLINGTON: Just a couple of
16	questions, Your Honor:
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. TURLINGTON:
19	Q You said it is not unusual for a person not to
20	leave fingerprints. On the other hand, it is not unusual
21	tor a person to leave a fingerprint when they touch a
22	suitable surface; correct?
23	A It could work both ways. Yes, ma'am.
24	Q And, Ms. Majors, I believe vou said heat could

25 affect fingerprints.

V2~91

.1.	A I'm sorry? Heat?
2	Q Heat — how hot — a hot environment can affect
.3	the fingerprints.
4	A Oh, yes, ma'am. It could evaporate or if it's
5	hot your hand's not going to touch that object very long.
6	And the heat would have a tendency to evaporate the
7	moisture in the fingerprint.
8	a All right.
9	MS. TURLINGTON: I have nothing further.
10	MS. DARST: Nothing further.
11.	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
1.2	MS. TURLINGTON: Yes. Your Honor.
1.3	MS. DARST: Yes. Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may be
15	excused.
16	(Witness left the witness stand.)
1.7	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
18	please.
1,9	MS. TURLINGTON: Your Honor, at this time
20	the Defense will rest.
21	THE COURT: Well, we're going to go to
22	lunch early today. And the lawyers and I have some
23	is there anything further that we
24	MS. TURLINGTON: Yes.
25	THE COURT: We'll be right with you.