GREGORY WAYNE WALLIS

1	MR. ALVAREZ: No objection.
2	THE COURT: You may be released.
3	(The witness was finally excused.)
4	THE COURT: Have you been sworn in?
5	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
6	(The following witness was duly sworn by
7	the Court.)
8	THE COURT: You may lower your hand, have a
9	seat on the stand.
10	(The witness was instructed as to the
11	rule of evidence by the Court.)
12	THE COURT: You may proceed.
13	MR. ALVAREZ: Thank you, sir.
14	TIMOTHY C. FALLON,
15	called as a witness in behalf of the State, having been
16	first duly sworn by the Court, was examined and
17	testified on his oath, as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. ALVAREZ:
20	Q. Sir, would you please tell us your name and
21	spell your last name for the court reporter, please.
22	A. Timothy C. Fallon, F-a-l-l-o-n.
23	Q. And, sir, how are you employed?
24	A. I am a trace evidence analyst with the
25	Southwestern Institute of Forensic Sciences in Dallas.

- 1 And how long have you been with forensics? Q. For almost ten years. 2 Α. 3 Okay. And the job that you hold, sir, could Q. you tell us a little bit about it and what is it that 4 5 you do? 6 As a trace evidence analyst, I analyze 7 evidence such as hairs, fibers, glass, paint, chemical 8 residues for their value in civil and criminal 9 investigations. 10 Q. Okay. And what type of educational 11 background do you have for the position you hold at 12 forensics? 13 I have a Bachelor of Science degree from Texas A&M University in microbiology and I've received 14 15 specialized training in hairs, fibers, and explosive 16 residues from the FBI Academy in Quantico, Virginia. 17 Sir, did you have an opportunity to Q٠ investigate and run tests in a case involving the 18 19 complainant Marilyn Morris? 20 A. Yes, sir, I did.
 - Q. And where were those tests run?

21

- A. There were several different tests run. The initial test was run on or about the date of September 24 28th, 1988.
 - Q. Okay. And on that date, sir, what type of

- 1 tests were you involved in and what were the results?
 - A. The initial examination were the pubic hair combings were examined and two bed sheets were also examined, the results being that there were no hairs found in the pubic hair combings and that hairs removed from the bed sheets had been stored.
 - Q. Okay. And the pubic hairs that you describe, whose pubic hairs were those?
 - A. There were no hairs found in the combings.
 - Q. Okay. Did you run another series of tests following the September 28th evaluation?
 - A. Yes, sir, I did.

- Q. Okay. And what type of tests were run on that day?
- A. Examinations on or about the date of December 21st, 1988, were the hairs removed from the bed sheets were compared to hair standards received from a Marilyn Morris and a Ron R. Sturgeon.
- Q. Okay. What were the results of those tests that were run?
- A. The results were that one hair from one of the bed sheets was different in appearance from the head hair standards of Marilyn Morris and Ron Sturgeon and the other head and pubic hairs removed from the bed sheets were the same in all physical and observable

- characteristics as the head and pubic hair of Marilyn
 Morris, and that therefore the hairs from the head and
 pubic hairs, excluding the one foreign hair were -
 could have originated from Marilyn Morris.

 Q. Okay. So the bottom line on your December

 21st exam was that the hairs found in the bed sheets
 - A. They're consistent with having come from Marilyn Morris, yes, except for the one hair.

could be traced back to Marilyn Morris?

- Q. All right. Now, you didn't stop there, did you, Mr. Fallon?
 - A. No, sir.

- Q. Okay. What tests were run after that?
- A. There were a head hair standard from a Greg Wallis and an additional head hair standard from Marilyn Morris. The head hair standard from Greg Wallis received December 29th, 1988, and the additional head hair standard from Marilyn Morris was received January 6th, 1989.
- Q. Okay. And what was done with those standards?
- A. The one hair found on the bed sheet that was different from the first head hair standard of Marilyn Morris was compared to the head hair standard of Greg Wallis and was found to be different from -- different

in characteristics of Greg Wallis and therefore did not come from Greg Wallis.

The same hair was then reexamined and compared to a selected group of hairs obtained from Marilyn Morris and the results were found that Ms. Morris had had some type of chemical alteration of her hair at some period in between the alleged offense and at the time at which the first hair standard was received.

The second hair standard was received, after which the length of time sufficient that hair had grown out of the original state and the results were that the one questioned hair removed from the bed sheet that was found to be different originally from Marilyn Morris was indeed the same characteristics as the natural state of Marilyn Morris's head hairs.

- Q. So the bottom, Mr. Fallon, is that there was one standard when you first observed, it was your belief that it belonged to neither Mr. Sturgeon, Mr. Wallis, or Marilyn Morris?
 - A. That's correct.

1.3

- Q. And then after further investigation, you realized that Marilyn Morris had bleached her hair, basically?
 - A. Essentially, yes, sir.

Q. Okay. And that standard, the hair that we just discussed was the hair of Marilyn Morris before

she bleached it?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. So, after all the investigation and test analysis that you ran, Mr. Fallon, could you summarize the evidence received and what conclusions did you make?
- A. My summary of all the reports written would be that of the pubic hair combings taken from Marilyn Morris, there were no hairs found in the combings.

Of the bed sheets that were submitted by Irving Police Department, September 22nd, 1988, the head and pubic hairs removed from these two bed sheets are consistent in all physical and observable characteristics to the hair standards, both pubic and head hair, of Marilyn Morris, and are different in appearance from Ron Sturgeon and Greg Wallis and therefore did not originate from Ron Sturgeon or Greg Wallis.

- Q. Okay. And that standard, the hair that you're talking about that was different, in effect came back to that -- came back to being that of Marilyn Morris?
 - A. That is correct.

1	MR. ALVAREZ: Mr. Fallon, thank you for
2	coming down, sir. That's all I've got. This
3	gentleman may have some questions for you.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. MORROW:
6	Q. Mr. Fallon, my name is Mike Morrow, I
7	represent the defendant. I have some questions for
8	you.
9	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 5 was marked
LO	for identification.)
L1 .	MR. MORROW: Thank you.
L 2	Q. (By Mr. Morrow) Mr. Fallon, I'm going to
1.3	hand you what has been marked as Defense Exhibit Number
L 4	5 and ask you if that's a lab report from the
1.5	Southwestern Institute of Forensic Sciences.
1.6	A. Yes, it appears to be.
L 7	Q. Okay. Does it involve the assault case of
L 8	Marilyn Morris?
L 9	A. It so states on here.
30	Q. Okay, thank you.
21	MR. MORROW: We'd offer into evidence Defense
22	Exhibit Number 5.
3 3.	MR. ALVAREZ: Judge, this is not the witness
4	to get this piece of evidence in, but we won't
25	object to it.

1 THE COURT: Defense 5 is admitted. 2 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 5 was received 3 in evidence, and is attached hereto.) 4 Q. (By Mr. Morrow) Mr. Fallon, for 5 identification purposes, would you please tell the jury 6 what Defense Exhibit Number 5 is. 7 Defendant's Exhibit Number 5 is a report from 8 Southwestern Institute of Forensic Sciences dated 9 October the 6th as pertaining to Irving Police 10 Department case number 88 14 26. 11 Okay. Would you skip down to the results and 12 read those to the jury. 13 The results state that acid phosphatase 14 suggestive of seminal fluid was not detected on items 15 1, 3, 8, or 9. Spermatozoa were not detected on items 16 1, 2, or 4. 17 Q. Thank you. Mr. Fallon, it appears from your testimony, 18 19 and I didn't understand it fully, that you made two or 20 more comparisons of hair samples with the hair found in 21 the bed sheets. Is that correct? 22 A. That is correct. 23 And that on each occasion you were aware of Q. 24 the name of the lady that made the complaint about the sexual assault? 25

· 1	Q. When we're talking about the hair samples
2	that you looked at that you obtained from Mr. Wallis or
3	that you used that came from Mr. Wallis and the hair
4	samples that you used that came from Ms. Morris, are we
5	talking about a complete hair with a follicle with a
6	root on the bottom?
7 .	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. Is that what you need for your best
9	analysis, that root attached to the hair?
10	A. Optimally that's what I would prefer.
11	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 6 was marked
12	for identification.)
13	Q. (By Mr. Morrow) Mr. Fallon, I'm going to
14	hand you State's Exhibit Number 14 and ask you to look
15	at that. Would you describe what's in that photograph?
16	A. An individual, a male individual.
17	Q. Okay.
18	A. With a male individual without a shirt on
19	with a tattoo on his left shoulder.
20	Q. What is the tattoo on his left shoulder of?
21	A. It appears to be a image of a woman.
22	Q. And is there a tattoo on his right bicep?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. What does that tattoo appear to be of?
25	MR. ALVAREZ: Judge, I'm going to object to

any questions of this witness with respect to this picture. This man ran analyses on hair samples. He has no other expertise in or knowledge of this case whatsoever, and I'm going to object to him showing this exhibit and asking him to testify from it.

THE COURT: What exhibit is that, is that one in evidence?

MR. MORROW: Yes, Your Honor, it is.

THE COURT: Approach the bench, Counsel.

(An off-the-record discussion was had at the bench.)

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the last objection, but I will remind counsel that the picture is in evidence, it's the best evidence of what it is, and I don't think you really need to have the jury told what's in a picture that's in evidence.

- Q. (By Mr. Morrow) Mr. Fallon, I'll hand you State's Exhibit Number 13 and ask you what's contained -- what's that a photograph of.
- A. It's a photograph of a tattoo with the image of a woman.
- Q. Okay. Would you describe the two people in these photographs as having -- let me back step a

little bit. 1 2 How often do you analyze hair samples at the Institute of Forensic Sciences in Dallas? 3 4 Α. On a daily basis. Is your Institute of Forensic Sciences out 5 Q. 6 there by Parkland Hospital and out there by the 7 Southwestern Medical School? 8 Ά. Yes, sir, it is. 9 Are you under the auspices of either one of 10 them? 11 No, sir, we are not. A. 12 Q. Okay. Do you work closely with either one of 13 them? 14 We work -- on occasion we do work with them, A. 15 yes. 16 You analyze hair samples on a daily base 17 basis? 5.0 18 Yes, sir. 19 Have you made a study of the examination of 20 hair studies and how they pertain to -- how they occur 21 in the natural -- as a natural phenomena in the human 22 population? 23 I'm not sure I understand the question. Well, does your knowledge go further than 24 25 just being able to examine two hairs? Are you familiar with the background and history of hair analysis and
the chemistry involved and the accumulation and loss of
hair in individuals?

- A. Yes, I have some knowledge of these areas.
- Q. Okay. You've had a chance to see State's Exhibit -- the ones I just showed you?
 - A. Yes, sir.

б

В

Q. Would you describe the individual in that photograph as having a unusual or large amount of hair?

MR. ALVAREZ: Judge, I can see where he's going. We had a sidebar on this, and I'm going to object, make the same objection as the one made previously. Some knowledge does not qualify this man to testify to that point. We object to it.

MR. MORROW: Your Honor, if I might reply, the prosecution has presented Mr. Fallon as an expert on trace evidence, including hair. And I don't think he's allowed to present his expert and then to object to me cross-examining him on the same subject.

THE COURT: I think the subject you're about to inquire in is not exactly the subject he's testified on. I will sustain the objection at this time unless you can have further proof as to his expertise in hair loss.

(By Mr. Morrow) How long have you been 1 Q. 2 examining hair on an individual, daily basis? 3 For approximately ten years. Α. Does your background include medical or 5 technical or biological studies or readings on the subject matter involved, hair? 6 7 Yes, sir, it does. Ά. 8 How often have you been used as an expert 9 witness by the District Attorney's Office or by other 10 lawyers since you've been with the Institute of 11 Forensic Sciences? 12 Numerous times. Α. 13 Q. At least several a month? 14 At least once a month for the last ten years. 15 Has this testimony usually involved some \mathbf{Q} . 16 aspect of hair loss, hair retrieval or hair analysis? 17 Yes, sir, it does. Legally speaking, you consider yourself an 18 19 expert on this subject? 20 I would consider myself an expert on forensic 21 hair comparisons and some satellite subjects such as 22 general aspects of biochemistry of hair and the medical 23 dermatology aspects of hair. 24 I am not a medical doctor, so therefore I

would not have the expertise of a medical doctor in the

area of medicine, but in the -- as a perfunctory 1 2 information as dealing with forensic hair comparisons, 3 yes, I would consider myself an expert. Well, Mr. Fallon, even lay people are aware 0. 5 that hair falls out all over the body and comes in on a 6 regular, daily ---MR. ALVAREZ: I'm going to object to his 7 testifying, Judge, what lay people should or 8 should not know as far as hair loss. 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 11 (By Mr. Morrow) Mr. Fallon, are there any 0. 12 areas of the human body where hair is not found? 13 I believe there's no hair found on the palms 14 or the bottom of the feet, and to the best; of my 15 knowledge, those are the only areas that hair is not 16 found. Is body hair -- and by that I include all 17 forms of it -- is it a permanent nature or is it 18 19 cyclical and continually regenerating itself? 20 Α. It is cyclical and continually regenerates 21 itself. Does that mean that on a person of average 22 Q. hairiness that hairs fall out from all parts of his 23 body every day? 24 MR. ALVAREZ: Objection, Judge. This witness 25

hasn't been qualified as an expert in hair loss, and we're going to object to any questions, any further questions in that area.

THE COURT: Overruled. He may answer the

THE COURT: Overruled. He may answer the question.

- A. Yes, hair, all forms of hair fall out and are cyclical in nature: head hair, pubic hair, and body hairs such as limb hair and chest hair, leg hair, et cetera.
- Q. Would it help you to view an individual's clothes to determine at what rate hair falls out and are replaced?
- A. In the area of how -- the frequency of body hair loss, I would not know. I do know studies in which head hair is lost at a rate of approximately a hundred hairs per day. Now, pubic hair and body hair, I know of no studies.
- Q. Does often your analysis that you do on a daily basis involve pubic hair?
- A. It's both pubic and head hair, mainly head hair.
- Q. Showing you again State's Exhibit 13 and 14 and asking you to look at the individual in those photographs, and asking you to assume some facts, and one of these facts being that the individual in that

photograph was unclothed in a condominium apartment over a period of approximately four hours and that during that four hours he engaged in five different acts of sexual intercourse and that during that four hours he was unclothed and spent the majority of that time in a bed in the bedroom of that condominium engaged in sexual acts.

Taking all those facts into consideration, Mr. Fallon, would you expect to find some evidence of the person who I'm describing, his hair in that bed?

A. In the area of body hairs, I will first address body hairs, in the area of bed hair, body hairs are not suitable for forensic hair comparisons; therefore they are not analyzed. So the presence, if the body hairs are present from that individual, they would not be -- they would not be analyzed simply because there is not enough distinguishing features between one individual's body hair to another individual's body hair, and in the field body hairs are not sufficient for use in helping identify an individual.

In the area of pubic hairs, the institute has had a five-year long study on the frequency of pubic hairs found, foreign pubic hairs found in pubic hair combings of alleged sexual assaults. In the five-year

study we have found that in less than two percent of

all the alleged sexual assault pubic hair combings are

there ever found foreign hairs.

Based on that, I would not expect,
necessarily expect to find foreign hairs in or on a
complainant alleging a sexual assault from the
assailant.

- Q. Are you about to move to head hairs? You covered body hair and pubic hair. Were you going to cover head hair?
- A. Head hairs, there are not -- we do not have any frequency data on the presence or absence of head hair, but it has been my experience over the last ten years that frequently we do not have foreign hairs found in sexual assaults.
 - Q. Thank you, Mr. Fallon.

Now, the hair that you were analyzing and trying to compare to Gregory Wallis was his head hair, wasn't it?

A. That's correct.

7 ·

- Q. And that's the hair that you've testified falls out a hundred hairs a day?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I'm going to hand you a three-page report marked Defendant's Exhibit Number 6 and ask you to

1 identify it. 2 Yes, sir. These are copies of three of the 3 reports that I have issued from the laboratory, 4 excluding one correction report that I don't know if 5 you have a copy of. Would you like to see the 6 correction? 7 Q. Yes. (Pause) 8 (By Mr. Morrow) Well, let me ask you about 9 10 the correction. Is the correction just -- is it just 11 concerning the police department involved in the case numbers identifying it? 12 13 That is correct. It was initially Α. 14 inadvertently sent to the Dallas Police Department and 15 it should have been sent to the Irving Police 16 Department. 17 But your correction doesn't change any of the results or analyses that you did? 18 No, sir, it does not. 19 20 Okay. It just sends it to the Irving Police Q. 21 Department instead of to the Dallas Police Department? 22 That's correct. A. 23 Okay. Keeping in mind, Mr. Fallon, that the Q. 24 date of the offense was reported as January the 6th of 25 1988, would you look at Defense Exhibit Number 6 and

1	tell the jury the dates that is on each of those
2	reports.
3	A. The initial report was September the 28th,
4	1988. The next report is December the 21st, 1988, and
5	the last one is January the 9th, 1989.
6	Q. Okay. Offer Defense Exhibit Number 6.
7	MR. ALVAREZ: No objection.
8	THE COURT: Defense 6 is admitted.
9	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 6 was received
10	in evidence and is attached hereto.)
11	Q. (By Mr. Morrow) Mr. Fallon, is the net
12	result of your examination conclusive not
1.3	inconclusive, I'm asking, but is the result of your
14	analysis conclusive that none of the hairs that you
15	looked at belong to Gregory Wallis?
16	A. That's correct.
17	MR. MORROW: Pass the witness.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. ALVAREZ:
20	Q. Let me clear something up, if I can,
21	Mr. Fallon. You're not a professional state witness,
22	by any means, are you, sir?
23	A. No, sir, I'm not.
24	Q. You don't testify specifically for the State
25	of Texas, you testify for a number of different people,

whoever calls you down here? 1 2 Yes, sir. A. 3 All right. Defense lawyer, a public Q. 4 defender, defense attorney, anyone who wants to come 5 down here and testify, they can pick up the phone and б call you and you will do that? That's correct. 7 A. You said the bottom line was that none of the hairs found in the bed belonged to Mr. Wallis. Is that 9 10 correct? 11 That is correct. Α. Bottom line, sir, is the only hair found and 12 13 analyzed was that of Marilyn Morris; is that correct? 14 Yes, sir. A. 15 You didn't find any hairs belonging to Ron 16 Sturgeon, her boyfriend --17 That's correct. 18 -- or Mr. Wallis? Q. 19 That is correct. The turn around on the unidentifiable piece 20 Q. 21 of hair came back full circle to Marilyn Morris and 22 that was because she had her hair died? Yes, sir. 23 A. 24 Q. That doesn't exclude the man to my far right

as being the perpetrator of this assault --

MR. MORROW: Objection, Your Honor, that's 1 outside the scope of his expertise. THE COURT: Sustained. 3 MR. ALVAREZ: Thank you very much, sir. have nothing further. 5 6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. MORROW: 8 Two questions, Mr. Fallon. Q. 9 Isn't it true that you were called in this 10 case to testify as a witness for the State, Dallas 11 County, the prosecution? Yes, sir, I was. 12 13 And isn't it true that you have discussed Q. 14 your testimony with several prosecutors involved in 15 this case? 16 The only person that I recall speaking to was 17 Mr. Alvarez. I do not have a recollection of discussing it with anyone else. That doesn't mean that 18 19 I did not discuss it with anyone else. 20 Is this the first time that you and I have Q. 21 discussed this case? 22 Α. Yes, sir. Okay. Is it true that the great majority of 23 the time, and it may be as high as 70, 80, or 90 24 25 percent of the time, you are called as a witness for

1	the State?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. And finally, my last question. Is it again
4	your conclusion that and a conclusion that none of
5	the hairs found were the hairs of Gregory Wallis?
6	A. That is correct.
7	Q. And the reason you reached that conclusion is
8	because you had hair samples of his obtained by court
9	order to compare them to?
10	A. That is correct.
11	MR. MORROW: Pass the witness.
12	MR. ALVAREZ: That's all we've got, sir.
13	Thank you.
14	THE COURT: You may step down.
15	Call your next witness.
16	MR. ALVAREZ: Your Honor, ladies and
17	gentlemen of the jury, at this time the State
18	rests its case in chief.
19	May this witness be released?
20	THE COURT: Any objection.
21	MR. MORROW: No objection.
22	THE COURT: You're excused.
23	Would the lawyers approach the bench, please.
24	(An off-the-record discussion was had at
25	the bench.)