| ٠., | |
|------------------|--|
| 1 | |
| 2 | TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT |
| 3 | PARISH OF ST. TAMMANY |
| 4 | STATE OF LOUISIANA |
| 5 | |
| 6 | STATE OF LOUISIANA NO. 128,634 |
| . 7 | VERSUS JURY TRIAL |
| 8 | DENNIS P. BROWN |
| 9 | * |
| 10 | VOLUME II |
| 11 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS taken before the Honorable John W. Greene, Judge |
| 1.2 | Presiding, Division "D", Twenty-Second Judicial District Court, Parish of St. Tammany, State |
| 13 | of Louisiana, and a Jury, on Thursday, September 12, 1985, in Covington, Louisiana. |
| 1 4 | 12, 1303, 11 00 11 30 11 30 11 30 11 |
| 15 | APPEARANCES: |
| 16 | JACK E. HOFFSTADT, ESO. JOSEPH B. TOSTERUD, ESQ. |
| 17 | (Assistant District Attorneys) |
| 1.8 | THOMAS E. FOLEY, ESO. |
| 19 | MS. ELLEN EV ANS (Attorneys for Defendant) |
| 20 | |
| ~21 _. | |
| 22 | REPORTED BY: |
| 23 | Edgar M. Assmann, R.P.R., C.S.P. Deputy Official Court Reporter |
| 24 | |
| 2 5 | |
| 26 | 000000 |
| 27 | 000002 |

JASON FONTENOT - JERRY MILLER

- 1 Q. Do you recall when you did this test,
 2 the date and time?
 - A. The date?
 - Q. Yes.

3

5

б

7

8

13

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- A. It was 10-31-84, and it was done in the morning, about ten o'clock in the morning.
 - BY MS. EVANS: No further questions.
- 9 BY THE COURT: Redirect?
- BY MR. TOSTERUD: No. I'd ask that he be excused from his subpoena.
- BY THE COURT: You may step down.
 - You're excused from your subpoena.
- BY MR. TOSTERUD: Call Jerry Miller.
- 15 (JERRY MILLER, AFTER HAVING BEEN FIRST

 16 DULY SWORN UNDER OATH, DID TESTIFY AS FOLLOWS:)
- 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TOSTERUD:
- 18 Q. Would you state your name and occupation for the record, sir.
 - A. Jerry Miller. I'm a forensic scientist for the Louisiana State Police Crime Lab.
 - C. And for how long a period of time have you been employed by the Crime Lab, sir?
- 26 A. Almost eight and a half years.

 27 BY MR. TOSTERUD: Your Honor, at this

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9.

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

1 8

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

time I would attempt to qualify Mr.

Miller as an expert in serology.

EXAMINATION BY MR. TOSTERUD:

- Q. What is your formal educational background, sir?
- A. I have a BS Degree in zoology from
 Southeastern Louisiana University.

 I attended Southeastern Louisiana
 for a course in zoology. I
 attended a course in Quantico,
 Virginia, for hair and fibers
 identification. I give lectures at
 LSU in the field of serology. I
 also worked in Assumption General
 Hospital in their blood bank as a
 lab technician. And I belong to
 the Louisiana Association of
 Forensic Scientists.
 - D. Have you received any on-the-job training at the Louisiana State Crime Lab in this field sir?
- A. Yes, six months on-the-job training.
- Q. Do you read the current publications in this field?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - C. Do you have any other duties at the Crime Lab?

| 1 | Α. | Presently I'm working in the narcotics |
|-----|-----|--|
| 2 | | section. |
| 3 | Q. | Have you ever been qualified before as |
| 4 | | an expert in the field of serology |
| 5 | | sir? |
| 6 | Α. | Yes, I have, many times. |
| 7 | Q. | In what jurisdictions? |
| 8 | Α. | I've testified here, St. Tammany, |
| 9 | | Tangipahoa, East Feliciana, West |
| 10 | | Feliciana, East Baton Rouge, West |
| 11 | | Baton Rouge, and many others. |
| 12 | 0. | Have you ever been refused |
| 13 | | qualifications, sir? |
| 14 | A . | No, I haven't. |
| 15 | | By MR. TOSTERUD: I'd tender, Your |
| 16 | | Honor. |
| 17 | | CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. EVANS: |
| 18 | Ω. | One quick question. Mr. Miller, are |
| 19. | | you a full-time faculty member of |
| 20 | | any universities? |
| 21 | Α. | No. I just give lectures about once |
| 2,2 | | every six months. |
| 2 3 | | BY THE COURT: Is that it? |
| 24 | | BY MR. TOSTERUD: I'd submit the |
| 2 5 | | matter. |
| 26 | | BY THE COURT: Yes. The Court will |
| | · 1 | |

accept Mr. Miller as an expert in

the field of serology. 1 FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TOSTERUD: 2 Would you explain to the jury the 3 Q. nature of the work you do, namely 4 blood analysis and blood typings? 5 I'm basically a serologist, which is I 6 7 do the examination of bloodstains 8 and other body fluids such as seminal fluid and saliva and also 9 the stains they leave on clothing 10: 11 and other items. Did you have occasion to examine and 1 2 type the blood of the defendant in 13 this matter? 14 Yes, I did. 15 Α. What type blood did you learn that the 16 0. blood of the defendant, Dennis 17 18 Brown, was? 19 Dennis Brown was a Type-O and a secretor. 2.0 Would you explain to the jury what a 21 Q. 22 secretor is and how you came about 23 making that determination? 24 Yes. A secretor is a person who will 25 leave his A-E-O block type, such as 26 Type-O, in salive, semen, and other 27 body fluids. A ronsecretor will

1.5

2.5

not leave their blood typings in their body fluid. About eighty percent of the population are secretors.

- Q. In connection with this case, I'm going to show you what's previously been marked for identification as State's Exhibit-1. It appears to be a pair of lady's underwear and a feminine napkin.
- A. Yes. I examined this. It has our case number and my initials.
- Q. And, Mr. Miller, what type of test did you do on those items?
- A. On these I checked for the presence of seminal fluid or blood. And on the napkin I found seminal fluid and bloodstains, also spermatozoa.

 Spermatozoa are the male reproduction cells which are in seminal fluid which can only come from a male. I also did typing on these seminal fluid stains, which are mixed with blood, for example, and it came out with Type-O.
- O. Would you explain to the jury a little bit about how you were able to gra

a blood type from this seminal 1 fluid or spermatozoa? 2 First of all, I had to determine that 3 seminal stains were present. 4 this I do what is called an acid 5 phosphatase test. That is a 7 protein present in high concentrations of seminal fluids. .8 Positive test for this is an 9 indication that seminal fluid is 10 present. My next test is to do a 11 microscopic test and check for 12 spermatozoa cells, and both tests 13 were positive. Then I do an 14 absorption inhibition test. This 1.5 is a test where antibodies are 16 added to the stains to check to see 17 what the A-B-O types of the stains 18 are. And I detected the presence 1.9 of "H" antigen, and that indicates 20 Type-0. And this is the only 21 antigen which I detected, which 22 indicates that it came from either 23 a Type-O secretor or a nonsecretor. 24 Now, let me show you what has been 25 previously marked for 26 identification purposes as S-2 27

Ask if you would look at 1 globo. 2 this envelope and look at the items contained therein and tell me if 3 you did any analysis on those? 4 Okay, this would be blood from the rape 5 Α. victim. These are slides that were 6 taken by the doctor. On these 7 slides I did find the spermatozoa. 8 9 And this would be the pubic hair sample from the victim. 10 I believe there's one more item there. 11 Q. And the gause would be the saliva 12 Α. sample from the victim. It has my 13 14 case number and initials on it, 1.5 also. 16 Did you have occasion to analyze that 17 saliva sample? Yes, I did. 18 Α. 19 And what were your findings, sir? From the blood and saliva samples, I 2.0 A 21 determined that the victim also was 2.2 a Type-O secretor. This is the 23 saliva sample here. 2.4 Mere you able to make through your 2.5 analyses any determination if the 26 defendant in this case was a 27 secretor?

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

2.6

27

Taking into account the blood type of 1. the victim and also the type of the 2 stains that I found, I determined 3 that the person that left the 4 seminal stains could either be a 5 nonsecretor or a Type-O secretor. 6 A nonsecretor because there was 7 blood on the napkin itself which could have come from the victim, 9 and in a case of intercourse like 1.0 this, some of the blood type could 11 be coming from secretions from the 12 victim herself since she is a 13 secretor and she is also a Type-O. 1 4 So the suspect could have either 15 been a nonsecretor or a Type-O 16 secretor, also. 17 Did you receive any information or any 18 0.

- items that gave you an opportunity
 to make an opinion relative to the
 defendant, Dennis Brown, if he was
 a secretor or not?
- A. Yes. I received blood and saliva from nim, also.
- q. Was he recretor also in your opinion?
- A. Yes, he was a Type-O secretor.
 - Q. Now, what did you do with these items,

25

26

27

sir, after you completed your test? 1 I sealed them up. The red evidence 2 Α. tape there is the tape that I 3 sealed it with and turned it into my evidence technician who locked 5 it in her vault until it was picked up by Roy Spinks. 7 Now, you were not able to make any --8 no hair similar to that of the 9. defendant was found in the victim's 10 There was no foreign hair found on the 11 Α. panties or the napkin. 12 13 BY MR. TOSTERUD: Thank you. I tender. FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. EVANS: 14 Mr. Miller, you've been doing this kind 15. 0. of work a long time, and I gather 16 17. these tests are pretty sophisticated. But there's no way 1.8 to prove the fact scientifically 1.9 through these tests that a rape was 20 21 committed or not committed, is 22 there, sir? 23 can only prove that intercourse 24

- occurred or there was an ejaculation.
- And you can test for the presence of Q. sperm in the vagina, is that

| 1 | | correct? |
|------|-----|--|
| 2 | Α. | That's correct. |
| 3 | Q. | There's no test to determine the time |
| 4 | | at which the stains that you took |
| 5 | | your tests from were deposited on |
| 6 | | that clothing, isn't that correct? |
| 7 | Α. | That's correct. |
| 8 | Ω• | And the victim in this case, Ms. |
| 9 | | Talley, was having her period at |
| 10 | | the time this rape was supposed to |
| 11 | | have occurred, isn't that correct? |
| 12 | Α. | It's my understanding it was the first |
| 13 | | day of her menstrual cycle. |
| 14 | Q. | Now, you're an expert in serology; so |
| 15 | | if you could, please explain for |
| 16 | | the jury we had a little |
| 1.7 | | testimony from another witness on |
| 18 | | this. If you could, explain within |
| 19 | | the general population |
| 2 0 | | approximately what percentage of |
| 21 | | people have Type-O blood. |
| 22 | Α. | According to our charts, approximately |
| 23 | | forty-five percent are Type-O. |
| 2.4 | Ω• | Okay. And approximately how many are |
| 2,5 | | Ty pe - A? |
| 26 | A . | Type-A is about forty percent, |
| A 77 | 4. | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. And within that Type-A category, |
|-----|---|
| 2 | approximately what percentage of |
| 3 | people are secretors? |
| 4 | A. About twenty I mean, excuse me, |
| 5 | about eighty percent are secretors. |
| 6 | Q. And how about Type-B blood? |
| 7 | A. In my charts, it's about ten percent. |
| 8 | Q. Ckay. Mr. Miller, I have a chart here. |
| 9 | I'm going to ask you if that would |
| 1.0 | be helpful to explain further to |
| 11 | the jury about the breakdown |
| 12 | BY MR. TOSTERUD: May I see this? |
| 13 | BY MS. EV ANS: Yes, certainly. |
| 1 4 | EXAMINATION BY MS. EVANS: |
| 15 | Q. I'll show you a diagram which shows |
| 16 | blood typing that I've previously |
| 17 | shown you, and ask you if that |
| 18 | would be helpful to you in |
| 19 | explaining to the jury this blood |
| 20 | typing and the secretor-nonsecretor |
| 21 | factors? |
| 22 | A. Yes, it complies with my figures. |
| 23 | Q. Ckay. Now, Mr. Miller, you would |
| 24 | agree, then, that approximately |
| 2 5 | forty-five percent of the |
| 26 | population at large has Type-O |
| 2.7 | blood. Is that correct? |

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And of --

2.5

- A. I was going to say, my figures vary slightly with the secretor in that it has eighty-five percent there.

 But that's just a slight variation.
- O. And approximately --
- A. AB is about five percent.
- Q. Five percent of the population?
- A. Ten percent of the population is Type-B and forty percent is Type-A.
 - Q. Isn't it also true that even a greater percentage of black people have Type-O blood?
- A. The charts that I've used do not categorize between --
 - Q. Well, in your experience?
 - A. We have done some percentages on our own, and "O" was slightly higher.

 Of course we didn't divide it into black and white there either. But our chart is similar to this.
 - o. In your experience as an expert in serology, isn't it correct to say that more black people have Type-O blood than white people as a general proposition? Isn't that

2 7

| 1 | correct? |
|-----|--|
| 2 | A. I really can't say, because I've never |
| 3 | divided it into black and white. |
| 4 | Q. Do you have an opinion? |
| 5 | A. I would say it's possible. I don't |
| 6 | think it would vary that much |
| 7 | between the forty-five percent. |
| 8 | O. Do you think more people in the black |
| 9 | community have |
| 10 | A. I know there are more black people that |
| 11 | have Type-B than white. But the |
| 1,2 | "O", I really can't say. |
| 1.3 | Q. Ckay. Now, in Type-A blood, what |
| 14 | percentage have the secretor factor |
| 15 | and what percentage have the |
| 16 | nonsecretor factor? |
| 17 | A. The secretor in Type-A-B-O is |
| 18 | independent of each other. It's |
| 19 | eighty percent of the population |
| 20 | are secretors and twenty percent |
| 21 | are nonsecretors independent of the |
| 22 | A-B-O factor. |
| 2 3 | O. But it's constant, is it not, that |
| 2 4 | eighty percent of the population |
| 2.5 | are secretors? |
| 2.6 | A. That's correct. |
| 2 7 | Q. So this depiction here of fifteen |

1.0

2.5

percent nonsecretors within the Type-A category and this depiction of five percent -- eighty-five percent, excuse me, in the "B" category are secretors, and the same would hold true in the AB category for all AB types?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Eighty-five percent are -- excuse me.

 Fifteen percent are nonsecretors

 and eighty-five percent are

 secretors?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, what happens when you mix -- isn't it true that the secretor factor when mixed with Type-O blood -- well, let's take another one.

 Type-AB secretor when mixed with another type blood that had a nonsecretor -- from a nonsecretor doing this secretor factor test which I assume was done in this case, you would end up with a result -- you would end up with a positive secretor factor, isn't that correct?
- A. Right. It's going to be coming from

| 1 | one of the persons if one of them |
|--------|---|
| 2 | is a secretor. |
| 3 - | Q. And that's because the secretor factor |
| 4 | is somewhat dominant, isn't that |
| 5 | correct? |
| 6 | A. That's correct. |
| 7 . | Q. So that to get a positive secretor |
| 8 | factor, as you did when you |
| . 9 | performed these tests on certain |
| L 0, , | stains, you could have been dealing |
| 11 | with stains from an individual who |
| 12 | had Type-A nonsecretor factor, |
| 1 3 | isn't that correct? |
| 14 | A. Okay, if the person since the victim |
| 15 | herself was a Type-O secretor, I |
| 16 | could have also been dealing with a |
| 17 | nonsecretor with similar stairs. |
| 18 | So this nonsecretor could have been |
| 1.9 | any one of the four A-B-O types if |
| 2 0 | he would have been a nonsecretor. |
| 21 | Q. And there's a way to calculate |
| 2 2 | percentagewise, is there not |
| 23 | A. That's correct. |
| 24 | o with relative certainty as to the |
| 2 5 | probability of this person's blood |
| 26 | type? You can say that if |
| 2 7 | forty-five percent of the |

23 -

population at large has Type-O
blood, and of that type eighty-five
percent are secretors --

- A. That's correct.
- Q. -- so those are the possible individuals who would make up for a positive secretor factor when mixed with an "O" secretor?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You're going to multiply eighty-five with forty-five and wind up with 38.5 percent?
- A. That's correct, 38.5 percent.
- Q. To get at the possible "A" nonsecretor types who would also give you this positive secretor reading when mixed with an individual's blood, Type-O secretor, you would take --
- A. Forty percent times fifteen percent.
- Q. Right. And you would end up --
 - A. And with the "B" you would take ten times the fifteen.
- Q. Okay.
 - A. And AB would be five times fifteen, and you would add those together.
 - O. So to arrive at a possible pool of individuals who could have blood

types consistent with the type that 1 you concluded the person whose 2 stains were on that clothing, you 3 would add 38.5 percent as the possibles within the "O" category, 5 is that correct? 6 That's correct. 7 A. 6.6 percent of the "A" category? 8 0. Right. 9 A. 1.5 of the "B" category? 10 Q. Yes. 11 Α. And .75 percent of the AB category, 1.2 0. that correct? 13 Yes, I'd agree with that. 14 A And the total is 46.5 percent? Would 15 Q. you like to add that? 16 Well it seems about right, yes. 17 A . 18 So out of the total population of · Q. individuals, your results are 19 consistent with an individual who 20 could have a blood type the same as 21 46.5 percent of the total 22 2.3 population, ish't that correct? I would agree with that roughly. Like 24 I say my percentages vary only 2.5 slightly with these, so I would 25 agree with that roughly. 27

1.8

- 1 Q. And you tested stains on clothing, is 2 that correct?
 - A. On the napkin, sanitary napkin.
 - Q. You didn't perform any tests on the slides themselves that were prepared for this secretor-nonsecretor factor, did you?
 - A. Not as far as blood typings on the slide. Usually they don't have enough stain on the slides, and usually we have to dye these stains to see the spermatozoa, so that would mess up the test.
 - O. You didn't perform a test on anything that came out of the vaginal vault of this victim, did you? No test --
 - A. There was nothing sent to me to test other than the slides and the sanitary napkin.
 - O. Okay. Now, had there been a swab

 furnished to you or some other

 item, perhaps a tampon, you could

 have tested that item, could you

 not have, for this secretor
 nonsecretor factor?
 - A. If a vaginal slide would have been sent, I would have tested it, yes.

1

2

3

4.

5

б

7

8

9

10

11.

12.

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

- Q. And had you tested it and found a positive secretor factor, that individual could have been of a blood typing system with 46.5 percent of the population, isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. That individual whose sperm was found in this woman's vagina?
 - A. The stain would be consistent with that, yes.
 - g. But as it is, what your tests conclude, since you performed tests on stains that were found on clothing, what your tests conclude is that the individual who put the stains on that clothing, the man, his blood typing is consistent with that of 46.5 percent of the population, isn't that correct?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. And that's all that your tests would establish, isn't that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - O. Okay. Now, you've testified that is.

 Talley from what you could tell by the evidence that was furnished to

| 1 | | you obviously was having her |
|-----|-----|---|
| 2 | | period, was she not? The evidence |
| 3 | | would indicate that? |
| 4 | Α. | Since there was a napkin there and it |
| 5 | | was bloody and I had a note on the |
| . 6 | | submittal form that I had that the |
| 7 | | victim was on the first day of her |
| 8 | | menstrual cycle. |
| 9 | Q • | And you took samples from the napkin |
| 10 | | and the underwear, dicn't you? |
| 11 | A. | From the napkin, yes. |
| 12 | Q. | Isn't it true that if a woman is having |
| 13 | | her period, that can have some |
| 14 | | bearing on this secretor- |
| 15 | | nonsecretor factor so as to blur |
| 16 | | your results? |
| 17 | Α. | It's a possibility. I might add, it's |
| 18 | | a possibility if the bloodstain is |
| 19 | | heavy enough, it will blur out the |
| 20 | | seminal fluid. However, I feel |
| 21 | | that the seminal fluid was strong |
| 22 | | enough to pick up a type since I |
| 23 | | aid detect it. |
| 2 4 | | BY MS. EVAMS: I'll tender the witness. |
| 2 5 | | BY THE COURT: Redirect? |
| 26 | | BY MR. TOSTERUD: We just have one |
| 27 | | question, Your Honor. |

| 1 | | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TOSTERUD: |
|-----|-----|--|
| 2 | Q • | From the information presented to you |
| 3 | | in your analyses, were you able to |
| 4 | | determine whether or not Dennis |
| 5 | | Brown was a member of that 46.5 |
| 6 | | percent of the population referred |
| 7 | | to there? |
| 8 | Α. | Yes. I determined that he was a Type-O |
| 9 | | secretor, and the possibility is |
| 10 | | that a Type-O secretor could have |
| 11 | | left the stain. |
| 1 2 | €. | So he's included in the group |
| 13 | A. | He's included in the group of the |
| 14 | | people that could have left the |
| 15 | | stain. |
| 16 | | BY MR. TOSTERUD: Your Honor, I have no |
| 17 | | further questions. He can be |
| 18 | | released. |
| 19 | | BY THE COURT: Okay, / can step down |
| 20 | | and you're released. |
| 21 | | BY MS. EVANS: Your Honor, I would like |
| 2 2 | | to offer the diagram. I would |
| 23. | | offer it as Defense Exhibit-1. |
| 2 4 | | BY MR. TOSTERUD: No objection, Your |
| 2 5 | | Honor. |
| 26 | | BY THE COURT: All right. Did you |
| 27 | | offer it in evidence? |