	·
1	MR. MCCORD: I think my examination
2	will be rather brief, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: How much do you anticipate?
4	MR. MCCORD: I don't expect there will
ž,	be an extended cross-examination.
6	THE COURT: Well, there's two witnesses
7	from the Crime Lab that are over here we'd like
8	to get through with this afternoon.
9	Does any body need to make a telephone
10	call this afternoon? I don't expect we'll
11	probably go longer than maybe 25 more minutes.
12	MR. RICHTER: I don't think we'll go
1.3	past 5:30 this afternoon, Your Bonor.
14	THE COURT: Would anybody need to make
15	a tolephone call?
16	Apparently not.
17	All right. Call your next witness.
18	TR. RICHTER: At this time I call Janet
19	Gettings to the stand.
20	+ JANET K. GETTINGS,
2.1	having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as
22	follows:
23	+ DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. RICHTER:

Please state your name and occupation.

25

Q.

1	A. Janet K. Gettings, microsnalyst.
2	Q. And where do you work, please, ma'am?
3	A. I work for the Georgia State Crime Laboratory.
Å	O. What is your educational background?
	A. I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in forensic
б	science received from the University of Central Florida,
7	with a minor in chemistry.
8	Q. How long have you been employed at the State
9	Crime Laboratory of Georgia?
10	A. I've been there just over a year now.
A.L	O. When did you receive your degree from college?
12	A. December of 1984.
700	Q. Where did you work prior to the Crime Laborator;
14	here?
15	A. Various miscellansous jobs in Plorida.
16	Q. Anything related to your current field?
3.7	A. No. sir.
2.8	Q. What specific area do you work in at the Crime
19	Laboratory?
20	A. I work in the criminalistic section, the Trace
Ar .	Evidence Section. Within that we work with hairs and
22	fibers and shoe prints and such.
23	Q. Is part of your job to look at hairs and compare
24	them?

ď\$*

Yes, it is.

1 Now much on-the-job type experience have you had 0. 1 since you've been at the Crime Lab? 3 About 90 percent of my time has been spent 4 Ġ comparing hairs. Ä Do you do that every day? 0. Ô Yes, I do. A. 'n Did you have training in that in school? Q., á A. Yes, I did. 14 MR. RICHTER: Your Honor, I ask that 10 this witness be qualified as an expert. 11 THE COURTS Do you want to voir dire 3.3 her? 13 HR. MCCORD: No. Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Proceed. 14 (BY MR. RICHTER) I would ask you, first of all, 3 K () . 16 to take a look at State's Exhibit 14 and I'd ask you, 17 without opening the bag, what are the items that are 18 contained in that particular bag? 19 These are the slides containing the hairs that I 20 mounted on to them that I removed from the clothing and 21 the known hairs that were submitted. 28 In connection with this case? 0. 23 In connection with this case. Ď., wor first of ally could you tell us whether or 16

not you examined the victim's clothing wor any skind of

heirs?

ŝ

€

E

Ž2

L. Yes Train.

- Q. Did you find anything there?
- A. There was no hair on the panty hose. Off of the jacket I found two negroid hairs that were consistent with the victim's own hair and one negroid hair which was too small for a comparison, and off of the dress I found seven negroid hairs, which was consistent with the victim's own hair and six negroid hairs which were too small for comparison, along with the victim of the description of t
- Q. When you say "match" or "consistent," those kinds of words about hairs, first of all, is hair comparison like Lingerprint comparison?
 - A. No, it is not.
- Q. How far are you able to go when you say that some place of hair is consistent with or like another place of hair? What are you saying? Are you saying a hundred percent? Can you even put it into numbers?
- A. No, I don't put it into numbers. It's not a hundred percent. Each person has a range of variation within their hair and there is enough difference between people that we can distinguish between people, but the possibilities exist that somebody's -- somebody may have a hair that falls within the range of another persons that

we cannot say that it is -- that it is this person's hair

2 for sure.

The microscopic characteristics when I look at a hair are consistent enough within that range that I can say in my -- my terms this could have had a common origin as the known hair from this person.

- Q. Now, I'd ask you to take a look at State's Exhibit Number 15 and just look into the bag. You recognize what those items are?
- A. This is the clothing that was received in this case.
 - Q. Are those the items that you examined?
 - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. You just were talking about now?
- A. Yes, they are.

Z

B

3 4

- O. Is it possible when, for example, as black people commonly do, some black people will use things to process their bair to change the way that the bair appears, to straighten it or to change the color. Can that have an effect on whether or not you're able to make any kind of comparison?
- A. It can have an effect. If some of that heir that was treated is not included in the known sample, if the known sample, say, the heir is streaked and the known hair sample is taken from an area where the streaking is not

Janet Gettings

4	included, that could have an offect.
2	Q. Was there anything unusual about the questioned
3	hair that didn't match either the victim or the defendant
4	in this case?
ë	A. The questioned hair that did not match either
6	appeared to be possibly chamically treated or colored, and
7	the reason I reached the conclusion that it did not match
8	the victim's. There were no colored hairs in the victim's
ş	known.
0 £	Q. Would it be safe to say then that that bairs
11	most probably did not come from a black person who were
12	his or her hair in a normal afro style untreated?
(13)	A. That's correct.
14	Q. And, of course, when you received the clothes you
3 ±;	have no way of knowing who could have come in contact with
16	the clothes to deposit the hair, is there?
17	A. That's correct.
18	MR. RICETER: That's all I have of this
10	withess.
56	THE COURT: Your withess.
22	+ CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	EY NR. MCCORD:
23	Q. When you say that you can never be a hundred
24	percent sure about bair comparison, are you talking are
25	you referring to an opinion as to their common origin?

1	A. I'm I'm saying that you cannot be a hundred
2	percent sure like you can with a fingerprint. You can't
3	say that this hair came from this person.
· 4	Q. But you could say with virtual certainty, can yo
5	not, that this hair did not come from this person?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. And it's your expert opinion that one of those
E	hairs that you found on the victim's clothing did not com-
S	from either of the victim or the suspect?
3.0	A. That is correct.
11	Q. Was that a head hair or a pubic hair?
(12)	A. It was a head hair.
13	Q. And from what article of clothing was it taken?
14	A. It came off of the dress.
15	Q. Do you remember where on the dress it was?
16	A. No, I don't.
27	Q. You didn't record that?
18	A. No, I did not.
19	HR. MCCORD: Okay. That's all I have.
20	MR. RICHTER: One other matter.
21	+ REBIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. RICHTER:

If, for example, a woman such as the victim even

used some kind of hair straightening process, would that

kind of process possibly even make it impossible for you

23

24

1	to tell one of her own hairs from a known bair?
** <u>*</u>	A. A straightening process would not.
3	Q. Would not?
Å.	A. Would not.
9	Q. So this would have been some kind of paroxided
6	hair?
	A. Coloring.
8	Q. Coloring.
Đ	MR. RICHTER: Okay. That's all I have
10	of this witness.
11	MR. MCCORD: No further questions.
12	THE COURT: You may go down.
13	THE WITNESS: May I be excused?
16	THE COURT: May this witness be
15	excuse d?
16	MR. RICHTER: You, Your Honor.
1.7	THE COUNT: Do you have any objection?
13	ER. MCCORD: No objection.
19	THE COURT: All right. Call your next
20	vitnese.
21	MR. RICHTER: I call Connie Fickens to
En La	the stand.
23	+ COMMIE PICKEMS,
24	having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as
25	follows:

1	* DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. RICHTER:
	q. Please state your name and occupation.
Ą	A. My name is Connie Pickens and I'm employed as a
Ş	forensic serologist at the Georgie Bureau of
6	Investigations Division of Forensic Sciences.
7	G. Ma'am, would you please describe your educational
8	background as it relates to your employment?
9	A. I have a Bachelors of Eclence Degree in biology
3.0	from Savannah State College. I'm also a registered
7. 7.	medical technologist. I received that training at the
12	Medical Center in Columbus, Georgia.
13	I have attended numerous scientific workshops and
14	seminars related to the field of forenelo serology.
25	Q. How long have you worked at the State Crime
16	Laboratory?
<u> </u>	A. I've been with the Crime Laboratory for seven
18	Aester
19	Q. As part of your work at the Crime Lab, do you
30	frequently have requests for you to examine rape kits or
21	slides and samples from Grady Hospital, for example, to
22	determine whether or not spermatozos or seminal fluid are
23	present in a sample?
24	A. Yos, sir, I do.

Now often do you do that?

25

Q.

Ī THE COURT: Just one moment, please. Do you want to cross-examine her? 1 MR. RICHTER: I'm sorry, Your Bonor. 3 HR. MCCORD: No. I don't have any voir £ 5 dire questions. MR. RICHTER: Okay. Por the record Ŕ 7 then are you stipulating she's an expert? ò THE COURT: Well he doesn't have any 9 questions, so you can proceed. 15 MR. RICHTER: Okay. All right, mir. 11 (BY MR. RICHTER) How commonly do you do that 0. 12 sort of analysis? 13 At the Crime Lab we receive approximately 25 rape A. 1 5 hits per month. Do you also have requests for you to type Items 15 0. 16 by blood type? 17 Yes, sir, we do. A. Nov, in this case have you exemined the known 18 Q_* blood of the victim and also of the defendant? 19 20 Yes, I did. Ar What type of blood does the victim have? 21 The blood sample that was identified to me as 22 23 belonging to Me. Duncan, my examination revealed the presence of International Blood Group A. It also revealed 24

that the donor of that blood sample was a secretor.

3 () . We'll get to that, what a secretor is in just a 3 What did the blood sample of the defendant's minute. 3 blood reveal in terms of an International Blood type? 弄 Ä. The blood identified as Mr. Harrison's I found to Ę, be International Blood Group O, and it also revealed the 4, donor to be a secretor. When you say someone is a secretor, what exactly 7 does that mean? 1 9 Approximately 00 parcent of the population fall Ã. 10 into a classification that we call secretors. That means 11 these people will secrete their blood type substance in 12 body fluids other than blood. We're able to find their 13 body fluids in salive, seminal fluid, end in vaginal 14 secretions. In other words, if I was a person who secrets, 12 you could conceivably find out what type of blood I have 16 17 just from sweat, or seminal fluid, or saliva? 18 Yes, sir, that's correct. 19 And in this case is it your testimony that both Q. 20 of the individuals involved are secretors? 21 Yes, mir, that's right. Ä In some cases is it possible for one to be able 22 23 to tell from seminal fluid that is recovered from a rape victim then the blood type of the alleged assaillant? 24

In some cases, yes, we are able to determine what

25

the blood type of a semen donor by examining vaginal swabs

that were perhaps taken from the victim's body.

- Q. Now, first of all, in this case did you examine some slides or smears that were taken by a doctor at Grady from the vitim in this case, a Ms. Duncan, just to determine whether or not there were any spermatoroa present?
 - A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether there were any?
- X A. Yes. My microscopic examination of those ameans revealed the presence of spermatozoa, or sperm cells.
- O. Now, efter you received the samples of the blood of both Ms. Duncan and of the defendant, did you attempt to determine from the seminal fluid samples that had already been submitted to you whether or not it was possible to tell any kind of information about the blood types contained therein?
- A. My examination of the evabs that were a part of the rape evidence kits revealed the presence of sufficient semen on the swabs. I then proceeded to determine the blood type of the material on the swab.
- Q. Okay. I'd like you to just identify just by the envelope what's been marked an State's exhibits 13. Are you familiar with what that is?

3

Å E

5

Õ

Ø

7

B

(3)

5

La

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

ĮÞ

S. C.

4

22

23

24

Z types, A and O, being involved in this case? ئا". ت My examination of the semen on the symbs would be ALL consistent with persons who are A and O and we also cannot 4 VEEP. . . VOL H. Page 92, LIVES 6-8 Č, eliminate a non-secretor semen donor. S Are you saying it's possible that some prople 0. Ġ whose saliva or saminal fluid would not tell us their 7 blood type a person like that could be involved in this DABE? SEE ... VOL II. Page 78, LINES 10-11 S. That's correct. A REASONABLE DOUBT Ô 10 Q. Is there any way of estimating -- does this 11 eliminate any people, any percentage of society as 12 possible suspects? 13 The only group of the society that could be A. 14 definitely eliminated would be type B secretors and type 15 AB secretors. 16 0. Is there any way of quantifying that or saying a percentage of people then that that eliminates? 17 MISLEAD 18 That would eliminate approximately 22 percent --INITERITIONAL MISLEAD JURY. 19 I think that's right, about 22 percent of the population. 20 0. Does that leave you in a position then with your findings that we can neither eliminate either way as far 21 22 as -- as far as this particular defendant? 23 A. Yes, sir. Considering that Mr. Harrison is a type O secretor, he would fall within that group of the 24 population who could not be eliminated as a semen conor. 25

Did you examine the clothing of the victim to 3 Q., Ž datermine whether or not there was any blood on her alothing? 3 Yes, sir, I did. 虱 Ą., Š Did you find anything there? ٥. 6 On my examination of a black and red dress A. 7 that was aubmitted to the lab I found the presence of Ť, buman blood on the front of the dress. ्र Q. . Okay. Were you able to determine a blood type of that blood? 10 11 No. sir. I was not. 4 202 Z Z And if I gave you the following hypothetical O. 13 facts about the storage condition of those garments and 1.4 then I'll ask you a question about how that might affect 1 your inability to find a specific type. Supposing garments were in contact with blood but then allowed to be 10 17 out in a driving rain and become totally saturated and wet 18 and muddy and in contact with the elements unattended or 3 3 preserved for a few minutes, a half an hour, some period 20 of time, could that affect your ability to find a blood e i type? 22 A. Yes, sir. My ability to determine a specific blood type or reliable results is very much dependant apon 23 the conditions that the material had been subjected to. 24

ME. RICHTER:

Your witness.

- CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 2 BY MR. MCCORD: 3 Would the passage of time also affect the ability Q٠ to determine blood type? Æ It's possible that it could. 5 And when did you perform the tests to determine 6 0 7 the blood type on the garments? My examinations for the presence of blood on the 8 F. 6 £ 3 dress actually began on March the 12th of 1967, this year. 1.0 Ω. All right. Was any examination of that requested i i at the time the garments were initially submitted to you? 12 No, sir. No one requested that I examine the A, 13 clothing for blood at that time.

 - And when were the -- when was the dress initially 0. submitted to the Crime Lab?
 - The dress initially came into the Crime Lab on £3.4 November the 18th, 1986.
 - And so the reason you didn't do any blood typing between then and this week is that nobody asked you to?
 - That's correct. F3 o

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

47.47

23

24

- Was there any other sign of blood on any of the 0. clothing besides that spot on the front that you mentioned?
- Because of the time factor I limited my examination to the dress, there were some obvious red

- brown stains on the dress and I limited my examination in 3 the attempt to type those particular stains. I did a 3 visual examination of the jacket. That's also a part of 3 the evidence, and there were no obvious red brown stain ä 5 areas on the jacket. £3 Did you -- did you have the panty hose and the ٦, panties also? I believe the panty hose are a part of the É evidence. I did not examine those. ¥. 10 0. You didn't even visually examine those? No. sir. 11 Fi. 12 Did you visually examine the panties? Ω. I don't believe we have panties. My evidence 13 ā. sheet lists panty hose, jacket, and dress. 14 15
 - O. Okay. Now, getting to the types of blood and salive submitted to you. Do I understand correctly that based on the tests you've done the person whose seminal fluid was found in the victim could have been a type O secretor or any type non-secretor?
 - A. Yes, sir, it could have been a type of weak O secretor or a non-secretor, or we cannot climinate the fact that it could also have been an A secretor because I found a small amount of A antigen present also.
 - Q. Now, you say a weak type O socretor?
 - A. You.

17

3. 6

10

20

23

22

23

1 Q. What is the difference between a weak secretor 2 and another type of secretor?

ŵ

5

ę,

9

13

14

15

16

18

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

X 7

A. We make our determination of the person's secretor status first by examining the blood. Then we try to confirm those tests by an examination of the saliva sample donated by the same donor. In this particular case my examination of the saliva samples from Ms. Duncan by 1.757 proved to be A secretor without question. However, my examination of the saliva sample donated by Mr. Harrison also revealed a small amount of entigen, so I would classify him not with a lot of antigen as being secreted by this person.

- Q. Well, then there are two types of sacretors, strong and weak?
- A. Well, they can be graded as such, but it would still fall under the general classification as A secretor.
- Q. But any type O secretor, any type non-secretor could have been the person whose bodily fluid was found?
 - A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. And if you take those two groups together, you say they together comprised, what, 78 percent of the population?
- A. Well, actually if you take the type O secretors and non-secretors, that's about 56 percent.
 - Q. Type O, both zearctors and non-secretors is 56

l percent?

Ž.

.

A.

1

E.

7

Į.

9

10

11

7 2

13

14

15

16

17

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. No. Type O secretors and the total non-secretors would equal about 56 percent.
 - Q. All right. Well, then how did you come up with the figure of 22 percent that could be eliminated?

 NOT UNITALTEDITIONS 17.
 - A. Okay. By math may have been off. I was trying to subtract 66. Totally we can include 68 percent of the population. When you consider that the donor could have been A secretor, which is approximately 32 percent of the population. O secretor, which is approximately 36 percent of the population, and any non-secretor, which is a total of 20 percent, those added together gives us 68 percent, so I may have been wrong subtracting 68 from a 100 to give me whatever.
 - Q. Okey. All right. But, in any event, a very large proportion of the population could not be eliminated?
 - A. That's correct.
 - O. So it would be fair to say that these results while they don't eliminate the defendant do not say much about or really are meaningless as far as implicating him specifically?
 - A. Well, the only interpretation is that he falls within that 88 percent, which is a large number.

MR. MCCORD: Okay. Thank you.

	·
1	WR. RICHTER: I don't have any further
2	questions.
3	THE COURT: May this witness be
Ŗ.	excused?
\$	HR. RICHTER: Yes, Your Monor??
б	MR. MCCORD: Yes.
7	THE COURT: You may be excused.
8	Does that complete the case for the
9	State?
10	MR. RICHTER: Your Honor, I would like
11	to retender at this time all of the State's
12	exhibits. I would need to consult with the
23	court reporter briefly.
14	Your Honor, I'll tender State's Exhibit
15	2. That's the photo lineup. Three. That's
16	THE COURT: Walt a minute. Let's take
17	them one at a time.
18	Do you have any objection now to the
19	photo lineup?
20	MR. MCCORD: Yes, Your Honor. I object
21	to that on the ground that it's incomplete based
22	on the testimony of Eva McGuire. She testified
23	that a number of other photographs were
A A	dianlaved to her which are not included.

THE COURT: Well, I'll admit these for

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF GEÓRGIA, COUNTY OF DEKALB:

This is to certify that the foregoing

77 pages represent a complete, true, and accurate transcript of the proceedings had in the captioned case at the time and place therein stated.

This certification is expressly withdrawn and denied upon the disassembly or photocopying of the foregoing transcript, or any part thereof, including exhibits, unless said disassembly or photocopying is done by the undersigned official court reporter and original signature and seal is attached thereto.

This 31^d day of <u>March</u>, 1987.

Jenny D. Wickham

JENNY D. WICKHAM, CSR RFR (B-622)