MR. FAMIGHETTI: Yes.

(Thereupon the jury enters the courtroom.)
THE COURT: Call your next witness, Mr.

Klein.

MR. KLEIN: Thank you, your Honor. The People call Detective Charles Fraas.

DETECTIVE CHARLES A. FRAAS,

a witness on behalf of the People, Shield 446,

Scientific Investigation Bureau, Nassau County

Police Department, having been first duly sworn,

testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KLEIN:

- Q Detective Fraas, how long have you been a member of the Nassau County Police Department?
 - A Approximately 16 and a half years.
- Q And out of those 16 and a half years, how long have you been assigned to the Scientific Investigation

 Bureau?
 - A Almost 18 years.
- Q Tould you describe what your present duties are with the Scientific Investigation Bureau?
- A Yes, ric. My involvement with the lab involves the examination and analysis of physical evidence for

-

Q And how long have your duties included the analysis of hair?

biological materials such as blood, semen, other body

A A little over ten years.

fluids and hair.

- Q Can you describe the process by which you - the process you use to analyze hair?
- A Yes, sir. It's a microscopic examination.

 The hair is examined under a stereo scope, a low power microscope and then under a high power microscope and if it's a comparision it would involve a comparison microscope, where we have two samples mounted separately on two separate microscopes which are bridged together by mirror where both samples could be viewed simumltaneously in the same field.
- Q Can you tell us what your training is in the field of hair analysis?
- A Yes, sir. I have a few months of training under my immediate supervisors on basic hair examination, a week of training at the FBI Academy in microspopy in human hair, University of Commecticut, forensic microscopy, attendance of numerous workshops sponsored by the American Academy of Forensic Science, Northeastern Association of Forensic Science, New York Microscopic Society and

24

25

various other institutions in the metropolitan area. 2 Have you had occasion to testify previously 3 within your area of expertise? Yes, sir. 5 And is that in criminal cases? 6 Yes, sir. 7 Now, can you describe for us detective, what 8 if any separate components there are in a human hair? 9 A human hair has three basic anatomical regions. 10 The outermost portion is called the cuticle. The central 11 portion is called the medulla. The material between the 12 medulla and outermost portion is called the cortex. 13 What portion would the root come into? 14 The root is a separate entity unto itself. 15 It produces those three areas. 16 Are those the areas that you examined with reference 17 to a hair comparison? 18 Yes, sir. Let me show you what has been marked for identifical 19 tion as Paople's Exhibit 20. (Handed.) Do you recognize 20 21 that item? 22

Yes, sir.

What do you recognize that to be?

Khown scalp hairs removed from

MR. ROBINSON: Objection, as to the characterization, Judge. He can't make that characterization. He doesn't know where that came from.

It's a conclusion.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. ROBINSON: Please note my exception.

A Scalp hair samples removed from



Q Did there come a time when you received that item?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q When was it that you received it?

A When I received the evidence from Officer Birdsall December 11th, 1984, four o'clock in the afternoon.

- Q Where were you when you received that?
- A At Scientific Investigation Bureau.
- Q Can you describe the condition that that item was in when you received it?
- A It was enclosed. White envelope with name and Medical Examiner's number on it. Inside that envelope were four other envelopes that were taken from her scalp hair.
- Q And inside those four other envelopes, what was inside them?
 - A Scalp hair samples.

Fraas-People-direct

Ş	What	did	You
them?			

A They were retained in S.I.B. and used in comparison purposes.

do with them after you received

- Q Now, on March the 26th of 1985, did you along with Officer Birdsall have occasion to examine a van?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Where was the van when you examined it?
- A In the Emergency Equipment Building at headquarters, in Mineola.
- Q Let me show you People's Exhibit 22 and People's Exhibit 30 for identification. (Handed.) Do you recognize those photographs or what is shown in the photographs?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q What do you recognize those to be?
- A Photographs of the van that was examined on this December 11th date.
- Q call your attention first to 22 for identification, is that the exturior of the van as it was when you viewed it?
 - N Yes, sic.
 - And what about 30, what does that show?
- A lit's an interior shot of the same van taken from the rear.

;

17 18

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 17

--

Q Is that the way it looked while you were examining it with Officer Birdsall?

A Yes, sir.

THE COURT: May I ask a question? What is the date you examined those?

THE WITNESS: March 26th, 1985.

Q Now, can you describe how you and Officer Birdsall examined the van?

A Yes, sir. We visually examined the van. We grided the interior into roughly eight sections and searched each grided area one at a time. Using a tweezer or hands to pick up obvious hairs or something of that nature and then vacuuming that same area before we would move on to the next.

Q What was done with whatever you removed from the area of the van?

A Each grided area, the items removed were placed into an envelope.

What was done with the envelope?

A The envelope was put in a collection box outside the van.

- Q Did you make any markings on the envelopes?
- A yes, lis.
- Q What markings did you make?

- 20

A Markings on the envelope were such as right rear area, left rear area, describing the area that was examined.

- Q Let me show you what has been marked for identification as People's Exhibit 32. Do you recognize that exhibit?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q What do you recognize this to be?
- A This is an envelope containing material that was removed from the right front seat floor area of the van.
 - Q Did you make any markings on that envelope?
- A Yes, sir. I marked it with the S.I.B. number or my case number my initials and my question sample number eight. Q-8. That's my notation.
- Now Detective Fraas, did you have occasion to examine that hair that you had in envelope Q-8 with the hair of that had been contained in envelope K-1?
 - A Yes, sir.
- p Did you do that with the microscope as you previously described?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Where was it that you performed this analysis?
 - A In the Scientific Investigation Bureau.

2 Do you have an opinion based upon your training and experience as to - - let me withdraw that. Had you formed an opinion based on your training and experience with respect to the comparison of the hairs from Q-8 and the hairs from K-1?

A Yes, sir.

q What is that opinion?

A My opinion was that the question sample eight was microscopically alike in physical characteristics with the known sample removed from

A Yes, sir, I can. We are referring to both samples. 2-8 and the known sample removed from physically. Caucasian scalp hairs. Color brown. Lenth, in the range of four to five, two line inches. Both question sample and known sample have cut tips. The diameter is approximately 30 microns of the question sample which fits within the cauge of the known sample, which ranges from 56 to 96 microns. The appearance of the outicle, there was some variation in thickness of the individual hairs of both samples. The inner margin of the outicle was not consistently defined in all hairs. The cuticle appears to be clear.

.9

The scales are somewhat serrated on both samples. A little bit more so in areas that exhibit some artificial treatment, both the question sample and known sample exhibit - - I can only characterize it as residue of some artificial treatment. I don't know whether the hair was submitted to a perm or some sort of artificial treatment.

MR. ROBINSON: Objection

MR. FAMIGHETTI: Objection.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

Other than the conclusion that the hair was subjected to artificial treatment, the other will be stricken.

- Q Where in the hair did you find artificial treatment?
- A The distal portion of the hair. The hair closest to the far end, away from the root area.
 - Q Any other characteristics that you found similar?
- A Yes, sir. The medulla appeared fragmented.

 Sometimes it appeared opaque and sometimes translucent.

 The pigment granules appear to have medium density, somewhat corse with an oblong to long shape. The cortex cells were obscured. The overall appearance was somewhat straight with a little bit of waviness to it.
 - O That's the hair itself?
 - A Yes, sir.

Q Did you find anything in your comparison that was inconsistent between the known hair of and the question samples from the van?

A The question samples reveal characteristics that are within the range of a known sample.

- Q So there is nothing inconsistent?
- A No, sir.

MR. ROBINSON: Objection, to the last statement. judge.

THE COURT: No, I will permit it.

Q How many hairs from the envelope Q-8 did you find to be microscopically alike with the hair of

A Two.

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I would offer those four exhibits into evidence at this time. The two envelopes and the two photographs.

MR. ROBINSON: May I see them? (Handed.)

I have no objection to the photographs.

I have objection to the Q-8 envelope at this point as to insufficient foundation. I would ask for an opportunity to cross-examine rather than simply voir dire on that issue before making a further objection.

Fraas-People-direct THE COURT: You can voir or you can crossexamine. If you waive that, I'm going to admit it into evidence. MR. ROBINSON: Then, I will voir dire, Judge. I may get lengthy, that's why I ask for an opportunity to cross-examine. If you wish to do it now I will do it now. THE COURT: I don't wish to do anything. If you have an objection or do you want to voir dire? MR. ROBINSON: I will voir dire.

VOIR DIRE

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. ROBINSON:

- People's 35; the envelope, when did you first receive that?
 - The day of the examination of the van.
 - What date was that? .
 - A March 26th, 1985.
- I don't have any notation on the envelope indicating trat Sate; is that correct?
 - That's correct. A.
 - Where did you put this envelope?
 - When? Ž,
 - When you received it?

1	Voir dire
2	A It was in the lab.
3	Q Where?
4	A In the examining room?
5	Q Where in the examining room?
	A I don't recall the exact location.
6	Q You put it down on top of a lab table?
7	A I just testified I don't know exactly where
8	it was placed in the examining room.
9 .	Q I just asked another question. Please answer
10	that, if you can?
11	A What was the question?
12	Q Did you put it down on top of a lab table?
13	A And I just testified I don't remember exactly
14	where in the lab.
15	Q I'm trying to jog the memory. Please bear with
16	me. Did you put it in a drawer, if you recall?
17	A No.
18	Q You didn't put it in an evidence locker, right?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q So, you really don't know where the envelope
21	was pursuant to your testimony from that time until when
22	was the next time you saw it?

A I don't recall, sir.

24

Q How many scientists work in that lab?

25

A I would say somewhere in the area of 15 to 20.

1	Voir dire
2	Q And there are three shifts per day working?
3	A No, sir.
4	Q How many shifts working?
5	A Two.
6	Q Eight to four and four to 12?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q There is access by other people to that lab;
9	is that right?
10	A I don't know what you mean by other people.
11	Q Well, other police officers bringing in evidence
12	and picking up reports and things of that nature?
13	A No. Anyone that is admitted to the labe is
14	admitted by S.I.B. personnel.
15	Q In other words, they're buzzed in, right?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q They have to open one of those door latch things,
18	the little electric door latches?
19	A No, they just pull the door.
20	Q In order to get out they don't have to be buzzed
21	out, they can open the door from the inside and walk out?
22	A Yes, sic.
23	Q Anybody who is working in the lab would have
24	access to this envelope?

That's correct.

MR. ROBINSON: I object to the introduction of this because of insufficient custody of it.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. I am admitting it into evidence. What weight you give to it will be up to you.

(Thereupon plastic envelope and contents marked People's Exhibit 32 in evidence.)

MR. FAMIGHETTI: Judge, just for the record, I have no objection.

(Thereupon plastic envelope and contents marked People's Exhibit 20 in evidence.)

(Thereupon photographs marked People's Exhibits 22 and 30 in evidence.)

MR. KLEIN: Could you just show Detective Fraas 32? (Handed.)

FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KLEIN:

- Q Detective, is your writing on the outside of that evelope?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q When did you put the writing on the outside of that envelope?
 - A At the time of examination of the van.
 - 2 And dues that indicate the area of the van the

Frass-People-direct contents of the envelope came from? 2 Yes, sic. Α 3 MR. KLEIN: I have no further questions, 4 your Honor. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. ROBINSON: 7 Detective Fraas, you said that you had been 8 doing hair samples for how long now? 9 Ten years. 10 And you initially got your training where, sir? 11 FBI Academy. Α 12 One week? Q 13 Yes, sir. A 14 What did you do before that? What kind of training? Q 15 In what regard, sir? Α 16 Regarding hair sampling? Q 17 Some basic training under my immediate supervisors. A 18 Those people were also police officers? Q 19 Yes, sir. 20 Now, basic information, detective, with regard 21 to half, there are three stages of hair development; is 22 that correct? 23 That's correct. 24 One is the growing stage or called an anagen

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, sir.

type of hair?

- Q That comprises about how much of the total surface area of the head?
 - I would say about 95 percent.
- In that stage, is it fair to say that the root is not terribly well formed? it's more like a mushy substance that's kind of growing out until it becomes carotonized?
 - A Yes, sir, that's a somewhat correct statement.
- Q In that formation, if the hair were to be plucked, part of the dermis or the surrounding cellular material, skin material would travel with that hair; is that correct?
 - A That happens on occasion, yes, sir.
- Q Well, there are two other types of hair; is that correct, the telogen and the catagen hairs?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q In telogen is a dead hair or a nongrowing hair?
 - A completed hair, yes, sir.
- Q That's the last stage of hair growth before the hair falls out or is pulled out?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q That's got a very well defined root to it, right?
 - A That's correct.
 - 2 If that hair were to be pulled out, not much

cellular substance would come out with it; is that correct?

A Generally, that is correct, yes, sir.

And as you pull out a growing hair - - withdrawn. With the growing hair, the area that forms - - withdrawn.

MR. ROBINSON: Excuse me, Judge. Perhaps this will help us. Could I have these marked, Judge?

(Thereupon two diagrams of hair marked Defendant's

Exhibits HH and II for identification.)

- Q Would you take a look at HH, the anagen? Is that a fair and accurate depiction of the scientific diagram of a growing hair?
 - A No, not at all.
 - Q How would you say that's different?
- A Well, for one, you have pointed to the cuticle at some area in the hair that I don't know if I would identify that as a cuticle right at that point.
 - O What else?
 - A You have something notated as inner root sheath.
 - Q That's not accurate either?
 - A I'm not so sure. This is a drawing.
- Q You have had training in this area; is that right, FBT Acafemy or seminars?

.

A Tes, sic.

Q And you have seen such diagrams such as this before, have you not?

A I have seen things close to this, yes, sir.

I have never seen this one particularly, no.

MR. ROBINSON: May I have this marked also,

Forensic Science Handbook by Richard Safferstein?

(Thereupon book marked Defendant's Exhibit

JJ for identification.)

- Q Could you take a look at that, please? (Handed.)
- A I'm looking, counselor.
- Q Do you see a distinction between the diagram and the book and the diagram that has been offered to you?
- A I'm having a difficult time following the lines here.
- Q Well, do you take exception to them? I will give you a magic marker. You can remark them.
- A As I said, I'm looking. It seems to be fairly close.
- Q Would you agree that that's a fair and accurate depiction of a grown hair than, root area of growing hair?
 - The book or the cardboard?
 - Q The daidboard?

A It seems to be close to what's here.

Q Do you accept that that's a fair and accurate depiction of what you understand a growing hair to look like, the root of a growing hair?

A This is a schematic. This isn't the way every hair appears. This is an overall generalized view. You don't see all this structure every time you look at a hair under the microscope?

That's right. Every hair is really different, isn't that correct?

A There are no two hairs alike, that's correct in all respects.

Q In fact, there are no two hairs alike on the same person's head; isn't that correct?

In all respects, that's a correct statement, yes.

- Q In fact, you can't really say that two hairs are identical ever, isn't that correct?
 - A That's a correct statement.
- Q So, when you say the hairs from Q-8 and K-1 are alike, that's about as close a description as you can get because of the inaccuracy of this entire area of science; isn't that correct?
 - I don't agree with that whole statement, no,

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 .

23

24

25

sic.

2 You do agree though that you are not particularly happy with hair comparisons, aren't you?

- A I wish it was a bit more exact, yes, sir.
- Q We all wish it was a bit more exact, because then we could prove things a lot more clearly. This is conclusive evidence that you have here, right?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

You can't tell us beyond a reasonable doubt in your mind that the hairs that you found in Q-8 and K-1, the hairs in the van and Theresa Fusco are identical?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

_

Q Is that correct?

THE COURT: Sustained, as to form.

- Q You can't tell us that Q-8 and K-l are identical, can you?
 - That's a correct statement, sir.
- Q Now, about how many hairs fall out of the head on a normal day, you know, in an average number of people?
- \hbar Don't hold me to a number, counselor. I have read in the area of 50 to 100.
 - That's under normal directatances, right?
 - A Yes, sic.

Frass-People-cross

Q Those are the telogen hairs?

A The premature hairs, right.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ ROBINSON: I would like to offer that first diagram.

MR. KLEIN: I don't think a proper foundation based on his testimony has been laid.

MR. ROBINSON: I think it is. He indicated it was a fair description of a schematic of a growing hair.

THE COURT: Well, subject to what the jury
has heard that this was characterized by the
detective as fairly accurate for whatever probative
value it has, I will admit it.

(Thereupon diagram marked Defendant's Exhibit HH in evidence.)

- Q Would you hold it up, Detective Fraas? Would you indicate the area that you call the root on this diagram?
 - A The area below the surface of the skin.
 - Q That entire area?
 - A Yas.
- Q The surface of the skin is the very top line that goes out in horizontal fashion?
 - A Yes, sir. (Indicating.)
 - Q That tends, to go down to the center base then?

THUALL CO., BALCHITT

6

7

8

9

10

39 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes. It's a very general term, root. Some people refer to the growing area as the root. Some people define the whole area underneath the skin, root. It's not really a definitive term.

- Q Could you now look at the other exhibit, please?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Would those diagrams of the catagen phases of the hair growth also be fairly accurate as you indicated the other one looked?
 - A Can I look at the textbook?
 - Q Sure, by all means. (Handed.)
 - A Thank you. (Pause.) Yes, sir.
- Q Is that fairly accurate also as to what you know about the telogen, catagen stage?

A This flashcard reflects very closely what is in the textbook.

- Q Is that what your understanding is from your experience, although it is more of a schematic as to what the hair looks like when it is in those phases?
 - A Yes, sic.

MR. ROBINSON: I would offer it on the same basis.

MR. KLEIN: No objection.

(Thereupon diagram marked Defendant's Exhibit

•

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

II in evidence.)

MR. ROBINSON: Would you hold it up for Detective Fraas?

- Q Will you point to the catagen stage and indicate the area under the skin that indicates the bulb that starts to form when the hair starts to go into the --
 - A You are talking about the club?
 - Q Yes.
 - A That portion that's shaded in.
- Q You call it a club. Is that what you know it to be also?
 - A Bulb, club, I've heard them use both terms.
- Q In fact, there is no real specific designations in this whole area of science, is there? There is nothing uniform throughout the entire field of endeavor?
 - A No, it's not an accurate statement.
 - O It's not an accurate science, is it?
 - A Accurate in terms of what?
 - p Absolute?
- A There are some absolute in hair examination, yes, there are.
- Q One of those absolutes, by the way is that you can do a PGN study on the cellular material that comes but with an anagen hair root; is that correct?

25

A I'm sorry, counselor, I was distracted.

Q One of the things that is absolute, you can do a PGM test to determine through enzymes and chemistry for blood type from which that particular hair came from, can you not?

A When you say absolute, you mean in all cases, every time I look at a hair, I can determine that test?

- Q No, you can't do that, can you?
- A No, sir.
- But, if there was cellular particles that were pulled with that hair, you could take those cellular particles and do a PGM test, right?

A There would have to be some certain presumptions that would precurse that examination.

- Q You mean time and things of that nature?
- A Yes. The amount of material, now old is the hair, things of that nature.
 - Q But, it can be done in certain circumstances?
 - A Yes.
- In general, there are a lot of inaccuracies in this field of endeavor; isn't that true?
- A I'm having a hard time feeling comfortable with the same terminology that you are using.
 - Q All right. We will use different terminology.

. -

In fact, those different scientists in this field use different terminology for the same things they see under the microscopes?

A Yes, sir. There isn't a uniformity of terminology throughout the field, correct.

- Q In fact, there was recently a committee formed, a national hair committee formed, National Forensic Hair Committee formed?
 - A Yes, there is.
- Q And the purpose of that was to get some uniformity in the field?

THE COURT: Is that uniformly on the terminolog.

THE WITNESS: In terminology. Basic techniques
on how to do hair examinations, in how to describe
the hairs uniformly from lab to lab.

- Now, in the anagen phase, that growing phase again, as the hair comes out of that very bottom of the base unit, it goes toward the surface of the skin, it undergoes sort of a nardening processing?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - O That is called carctonization?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Almost like a sprout of grass coming out of the earth in the spring?

•	123
2	A Yes. The hair is only alive in that growing
3	3féá.
4	Q Could you show that area to us in the anagen
5	stage?
6	A Yes, sir. Down in this area here.
7	Q Now that area is the very base of the hair root;
8	is that right?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q That's a mushy area?
11	A It's a soft tissue area, yes, sir.
12	As it goes up towards the surface of the skin
13	it starts to harden up?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q That's when you see the cuticle and the scales
16	forming the outer diameter of the hair; is that right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now, in that area, is that area more prone toward:
19	chemical interaction than once it has left the surface
20	of the skin?
21	A Are you asking me if the viable portion of the
22	hair, the living tissue is more viable than the environments
23	forces, than the part living above the skin?
24	Q Yes.

A That would be a correct statement.

Frass-People-cross

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q How about the area between the base of the root and the skin surface?
 - A Talking of this area here?
 - Q About midway between?
 - A I'm not so sure about that.
- Q That's where the medulla starts to form; is that correct?
- A Yes. There are medullar cells that form the medulla in that area.
- Q In fact, can you point to the medulla for the jury, please?
- A You have it marked right here. (Indicating.)

 It shoul have two "Ls," by the way.
 - Q Somebody made a mistake?
 - A That's what I said before.
 - Q Was that the basis of your reservation?
 - A That was one thing I objected to.
 - Q The center of the hair at the very top?
 - A Up hare?
 - Ç Yes.
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q That shows a complete medulla; is that correct?

 Is that what a complete medulla would look like?
 - A It shows the medulla area, yes, sir.

- Q Medulla in fact is a void in the hair?
- A In some instances it is and isn't. If it is a void as depicted in the board, there is. In microscopy, light passes through an evacuated medulla. It appears dark.
 - Q Like looking up from under water, correct?
 - A I don't know if I would make that analogy.
- Q It's not really black, it's opaque; is that right?
- A It will appear opaque if it's evacuated. If it's empty.
- Q If it's filled, it becomes translucent again and you can see through it again?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Just as you can see through the rest of hair particles, dorrect?
 - A I don't know if I follow the whole statement.
- Q The cortex fills the rest of the hair diameter, right?
 - A /es.
- Q Like the led in a pencil, is where the medulla would be?
 - A That's an analogy that people draw.
 - Q That would be right?

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A It simplifies it, yes.

2 The wood of the pencil would be like the cortax of the hair, right?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, you indicated that the range of the diameter of these hairs in the question sample, the question sample was Q-8; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q But only two hairs out of four hairs that were in that particular envelope, right?

A Yes, sir.

Q Came up as a match as far as you are concerned?

A Were microscopicly alike.

Q Now, you said that they had a diameter of apporoximately 60 microns; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q That fell within the range of the known samples which was between 55 and 96 microns?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, that is very - - it's only within four miorons at the lower end, right?

A fact's a correct statement.

Q Now, the 56 to 96 micron range that you took, on how many hairs did you base that on?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A A number of hairs.

Q You only took 20 hairs and mounted them in microscope on slides; is that true?

A Yes, sir.

There were approximately 200 hairs in the whole sample?

A I don't know how many there were. There were substantially more than 20.

Q Would it be fair to say that there were about 50 in each of those small quadrants, the small envelopes?

A Counsel, I didn't count them, but there was substantially more than 20.

Q In fact, you didn't keep any record of now many hairs were kept in that envelope in the four envelopes?

A That's correct.

Q In fact, nobody took a count on those hairs, is that right?

A Yes, sir.

Q In flet, all we have is simply a lump of hair here in each one of these, right?

A What we have is a scalp hair sample. Lump of hair is your terminology.

Q Just a grouping of hair, right?

A Tes, sir.

Frass-People-cross

2	
3	
4	
5	

6

7

8

9

10

Q And when you took those 20 scalp hairs, did you take five from each of these smaller envelopes?

A I took a number of hairs from each envelope, yes, sir.

- Q But, you are not sure how many?
- A No, sir.
- Q So, it could have been more than five from each one?
- A I randomly selected hairs from each envelope, sir.
- Q And you didn't keep any count of the hairs that you took out either, did you, of those envelopes?
- A The hairs that I removed from the envelope, I mounted on the slide, sir.
 - Q Every one of them?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q When did you do that?
 - A Subsequent to receiving the hair.
- Q Hell, was it the same day? You got them on the 11th of December, 1984?
 - A If I can check my report?
 - Q Sure.

A I don't recall whether on December 11th I mounted hairs. It was either that day or very soon after.

11

14 15

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. So, while you had that envelope filled with all the hairs, they weren't in that closed plastic outer container, were they?

A No, sir.

Q In fact, they were just sitting in that open envelope, the larger open envelope in the lab someplace, right?

A They were in that envelope together with other evidence from the case.

- Q You are not quite sure where that was?
- A It's in the examining room
- Q You do not know beyond that where they were?
- A On the examining table in the examining room.
- Q Now, when you finally took these hairs out how do you go about mounting them on a slide?

A I remove the hair either with my fingers or with forceps, place them on a microscope slide and cover them with perm mount and cover them with a cover slip.

- Q And they are dried in the air, right?
- A Once the cover slip goes over it, the perm mount will harden sometime in the future, yes, sir.
- Q Didn't you first measure those hairs for length before putting them in the slide?
 - A Some of them, I did, yes, sir.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	•
12	2
13	,
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 5	

25

- In fact, the slides are maybe only two and a half inches wide by a half inch, three-quarters of an inch wide?
 - That's pretty close.
- In order to put the hair on it you have to spaghetti it or figure eight on it?
 - Yes.
 - have you gone and incorporated that? Q
 - Yes, with a piece of string or thread.
 - Have you put them on a ruler and measured them? Q
- I don't recall how I measured them exactly, sir.
- Do you have your worksheets when you were taking down your information down about the hairs?
 - Yes, sir.
- May I see that, please? (Handed.) Do you know when you made this worksheet out?
 - No, sir.
- You do know you hade it contemporaneous with your examination?
- No, I hade it while I was examining the hair or sometime sight after. I don't have the date on it.
- When did you hold that examination? When you do a hair examination in comparison, it's very subjustive,

__3

is it not? In other words, it's the subjective workings of your mind that comes up with the final determination that you come up with here today; isn't that true?

A I don't know. That statement makes it sound very haphazard.

- Q Of course, you can't feed all this information into a computer in this fashion. Have you done that?
 - A No, that's something being toyed with.
- Q Being toyed with, but not accomplished at this point; is that right?
 - A That's an accurate statement.
- Because of all the variations and varieties and characteristics within the gamut of hair?
 - A No, that's not really the essential problem.
- Q Well, part of the problem is that there is a lack of ability of a human investigator of a scientist's action to describe the various characteristics that they are saying under the microscope, isn't that part of the problem?
- A Not quite. If you would just let me qualify that a little bit.
 - Certainly.
- A There are some problems with the nature of this examination from individual to individual. I might describe

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

someone's jacket as a gray and someone might say it's dark gray.

Isn't that subjectiveness? Isn't that the very nature of what - -

> MR. KLEIN: Judge, can he finish his answer.

> > THE COURT: Are you finished?

MR. ROBINSON: I was going to let him continue. But, I didn't think this was meant to go as a discertation. I thought it was cross-examination.

THE COURT: Are you finished?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Judge. Thank you.

Isn't that the very nature of subjectivity that, there are different definitions of that guys gray jacket? Two people see them differently?

Yes. Two people may see it differently but only one person is doing the examination. So the fact that you may describe the hair a little bit differently than as may not be very important. If we would come up . with the same conclusion you may describe the hair as not being light brown or brown or maybe not lively pigmented. The nature of the hair comparison is though that you have both samples side by side in the same viewing field and the real nugget, nugget of information that

Q And that's something that we must, in listening to the person who has done the examination, accept or not based upon their opinion; is that right?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q In other words, it's your opinion that we have to rely upon here; is that right?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q Isn't your expert opinion upon which you base the fact or the statement that these two hair samples are microscopically alike?

A Based on my observations.

- Q That wasn't my question. Isn't it your expert opinion? He will be able to give you an opportunity to explain why.
- p I'm not interested in that, counselor. I'm
 listening to your question.
- your expert opinion that you have based your conclusion that these two hair samples are mitroscopically alike?
 - A That's a correct statement, yes, sir.

15 16

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Prass-People-cross

Q And you base that upon a number of characteristics, in fact, an entire array of characteristics, right?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you told us that those characteristics can vary within the same scalp of hair, right?

A I testified that there is a range of characteristics exhibited in the known, yes, sir.

Q In fact, you might come up with a different determination even looking at the two hairs within the sample, coming from the same scalp?

A In other words, you are asking me could two hairs differ from one another in the same scalp?

Q That's right.

A yes, sir.

Q And it's true also that you can actually find a hair from another person that could be microscopically alike to this particular hair or any particular hair that you might find; isn't that true?

A That's a possibility, yes, sir.

Q Now, how many hair samples did you look at that day or throughout the entire term of your examination and comparison?

A You are talking about in relation to this case?

Q Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Quite a few. Numbers. A

Now, you looked at 20 slides from the known sample, right?

- Yes, sic. Α
- That was Theresa Fusco's hair?
- Yes, sir.
- And you looked at a number of other slides; is that correct? How many?
 - In relation to Q-8:
 - No, in relation to all of the samples?

I looked at maybe ten or 12 folders containing hairs involved with this case and each folder would contain 20 slides and some of the slides may contain more than one hair so it would be hundreds of hairs.

- These slides come from -
- It's taped up, counselor.

MR. ROBINSON: May I open it?

THE COURT: Scapel or scissor will open

: 5.

I note that this has been opened in the past; is that slight?

Yes, sir.

And each time that you open this, do you date it and sign it?

PENGAD CO., BAYONNE, N.J. 07002 - FORM

. 1 No, sir. 2 And have you been the only one to open this 3 item? No, sir. 5 If you will? (Pause.) 6 MR. ROBINSON: If you will pardon me for 7 just a moment, Judge? (Pause.) 8 Now, you indicated that the hair sampled in 9 the range of length were between four and five inches; 10 is that right? 11 That's an approximation, counselor. 12 And you indicated that the range in the known 13 samples was approximately five to nine inches; is that 14 correct? 15 I believe I said four to nine inches, sir. 16 That's what I have in my notes here. 17 Didn't you indicate on direct examination - -18 withdrawa. 19 So in other words, you just made an approximati 20 of those lengths? 21 Yes, sir 22 I would ask you to view K-20 and tell me if 23 that's four lashes?

That may be a bit less.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 40₂₂

23

_

24

25

Now, when you tell us that these hairs are alike, you also indicated that they all had out tips; is that correct?

A Are we talking the question samples or the known samples?

- O The know?
- A That's correct.
- Q The question samples?
- A The question samples also had cut tips.
- Q The cut tip means the end of the hair has a cleanly denoted break to it?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q It's not in any way frayed or damaged; is that correct?
 - A No, sir.
 - THE COURT: Is it no, it's not correct?

 THE WITNESS: As I understood it, counsel

 if the hair could be abraided and frayed and

 I said it still could be cut. It could be

 abraided and frayed and still have out ends.
- Q In fact, that would be in what fashion with a razor cut?
- A No, it happens with a scissor cut. It doesn't cleanly cut. It will pull one end more than the other.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did You notice any damage done to the shaft of the hales that you found in Q-8, the ones that were questioned?

- A What do you mean by damaged, sir?
- Q Did you find any denting, sharp pinching or any debris on an particular hairs that you found in the van?
 - A Denting?
 - Q Any damage? Do you know what that means?
- A I'm trying to understand. You have to define what damage is.
 - Q Have you ever seen a damaged hair?
- A Have I seen hair that's been damaged? I have seen hair that has been singed. I have seen hair that has been crushed. Yes, I have seen that.
- Q Did you notice any damage, however you want to define it?
 - a Physical daraye?
 - Q Physical damage in Q-8 samples?
- A I den't have if you would call it physical.

 But, on the tip end I noticed that one of the hairs was split. Still out but aplit. If you want to classify that as damaged -
 - 9 You added certs in debris to the envelope after

you wasuumed?

- t added debrie.
- Q Didn't you take your vacuum to the front passenger seat area?
- A Yes, there was debris including the hairs included in the envelope.
- Q In fact, you had added that to the envelope after you had already placed those hairs in the envelope, righ?
- A I believe it was all done at the same time, counselor.
- Q Was it all done at the same time. Could you have picked up those hairs in the vacuum cleaner then?
 - A I'm not - I don't follow your question.
- Q You mean it was happening simultaneously along with the hair being plucked?
- A The area of examination in the grid, as I explained earlier, some hairs may have been plucked and then at that particular phint some may have been vacuumed. I cannot tell you whather those question samples were plucked or vacuumed.
- Q In fact, you had changed the filter on the vacuum: is that right?
 - Ton sach aces.

	ς	2	But	you	reall	A go	not	know	what	might	have	hung
نزد	in	the	tubs.	a of	the	vacuu	ım or	eny	placa	e else:	?	

- A That's a good point. No, sic, I wouldn't.
- Q When did it happen that you finally rendered a decision or opinion that these hairs are microscopically alike?
- A I don't recall, sir. I don't have any date recorded on that.
- O Do you have a recollection - you must have contacted somebody at the Homicide Squad as a result of that determination, didn't you?
 - A I most surely did, yes, sir.
- Q Well, wouldn't you have called the District Attorney's Office at that point?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Do you recall having a conversation with anybody regarding this opinion?
- A I recall quite vaguely having a conversation with Detective Volge. But, I'm quits dertain when.
- Q Now, when you reviewed these slides, did you have anybody else working with you h
 - A When I reviewed the slide when?
- Q When you were midroscopically comparing the atides

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A You mean back a year and a nulf/agon

Q In and about when it happened? I haven't heard when it happened, so - -

A It happened some day subsequent to me receiving the evidence.

Q We know it wasn't that recently. We know it was about a year and a half ago or thereabouts?

A Yes, sir.

Q Oc do we? Did it happen in 1984, 1985?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you have any other scientists working with you at that time?

A No, sir.

Q So, you were working alone on this part of it?

A Yes, sir.

Q How many days did you spend going over the various comparisons of the hairs?

A On this case, days and days, interspersed working on my other cases.

2 - When Gla jou Sirst - - withdrawn.

Distinct ever go back to the original envelope that had the known samples in it to mount the additional slides?

A Me, I soult recall doing that, sir.

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When you would be mounting these slides, did you look at them immediately in front of the microscope for scientific curiosity?

- Which sample are we talking about?
- The known sample. Did you start looking at them? Did you make a cursory inspection of them?
- Yes, I may have put them under a stereoscope, which is not quite as powerful as a microscope.
- Had you ever taken a look at the two of the known samples together for a comparison?
 - Side by side?
 - Yes.
 - I don't recall doing that, no, sir.
- Do you mean in this particular case or as a matter of routine?
 - In this case?
 - I don't recall.
- Now, you indicated that there was an overall clear appearance to the outible. What do you mean by that?

Can you show us in that anagen diagram?

That wouldn't help. The cuticle could appear to be clear, transparent. It dould appear somewhat cloudy. It sould even have a somewhat yellowish appearance to

it also.

_

Now, once a hair is taken out the scalp, out of the root area, and deposited in the elements, isn't it a fact that that hair will stay in basically the same condition for a long period of time, as a general rule?

- A No, I would be uncomfortable agreeing with that.
- Q Isn't it a fact that they found hairs from mummies in Egypt under the right circumstances?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Isn't it a fact that a hair, a normal undiseased hair, if you look at it, the diameter of it, if you look across it, will appear somewhat clear looking through it; is that right?
- A If I was to take a cross section of the hair and look at it through a microscope, it would appear clear.
- Q Well, it would appear clear. It would have a color to it but you could basically look through it because it's translucent?
- A Pasts of it would look translucent but other office in the statex are going to be opaque or dark or statewhere is between.
- Q Now, in the nair samples that you reviewed, the knowns, Aid you notice any opacity, opaque area below the skin line?

A Yes, Sic, I have.

- Q And that wasn't just the medulla; is that correct?

 That wasn't just that little fragmented medulla area,

 right?
 - A I'm not quite sure what it is, counselor.
- Q Isn't it a fact that within the last month or so you have come to be informed that there is a consistency about the known samples that there is an opaque banding over the banding just below the skin line in the those hairs.

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q Have you through your own viewing -- withdrawn.

 Have you done a new or another review of these

 20 slides, these known slides, recently?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - O When was that?
- A I believe this reviewing process was initiated approximately three and a half weeks ago.
- Q Was that as a result of a conversation you had with a Professor DeForest?
 - A That's correct.
 - O And who is Professor DeForest?
 - A Dr. Pete DeForest, he's an instructor at John

Jay College of Criminal Justice.

Q Is he also a member of that National Committee on hair?

- A Yes, sir, he is, sir.
- Q And you have reviewed his handbook on forensic hair; is that right, hair examination?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Well, as a result of an examination done by him in your presence, was that the reason that you went back and reviewed these slides again?
- A Well, I reviewed the slides again but actually what really took place was some information gathering in the time period since then.
- Q That information gathering goes to an issue as to whether or not there is an opaque banding in the area just below the skin line; is that correct?
 - A That's part of the discussion, yes, sir.
- Q Now, that discussion relates to how that banding occurs; is that correct or why it occurs?
 - A Why it occurs?
- Is it in fact that condition that occurs after death and how long afterwards and this is an area that you were unaware of before having this conversation with Professor

3

4

· 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Deforest; is that ourract?

A Yes, sir.

What we are referring to here is that isn't it a fact that there has been the belief that as a result of decomposition of the body, a certain eating way of the hair occurs just below the skin line or chemical change?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: I would like that read back.

(Question read back.)

- Q You were with Professor DeForest three weeks ago?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q He was reviewing the known samples in your presence?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Then he reviewed the question samples; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir, it is.
- 2 Upon reviewing the first question sample, he stopped short on you, didn't he?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q Did Professor DeForest indicate to you that the damples, if the question samples came from a decomposed bod, - -

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q Did you, as a result of a conversation with Professor DeForest, examine these question samples to known sample slides?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q In fact, as a result of those examinations, did you later contact Professor DeForest in order to find another source to verify whether or not the theory that these - whether or not the theory had been set forth or put forth could be verified for you?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q Did you ever call another forensic scientist with regard to these types of occurrences where this opacity occurs just before the skin line?
 - A Yes, sir, I did.
 - Q Who was that?
- A I called Dr. - I'm not sure of his first name.

 But, his last name is Ceta, of the International Research

 in Japan, Tokyo, and spoke to and visited with Nick Petraco,

 detective, in criminalistics in New York City police lab.
- Q Nick Petraco is also a student or doctoral student, not of Professor DeForest?

2	A No. siz.
3	Q He has been?
4	A A doctoral student, not to my knowledge.
5	Q A student?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Now, did you come to note in question samples
8	and in known samples, whether or not there was an opaque
9	banding just below the skin line in the anagen growing
10	face hairs
11	A Did I come to note when?
12	Q In the last three weeks?
13	A Yes, sir, I have.
14	Q And you have seen that banding there?
15	$_{ m A}$ I have seen the banding in the question samples
16	and the known samples, yes, sir.
17	Q You did not make any note of that in your initial
18	notes; is that correct?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q In fact, you didn't even take any notice of
21	those things?
22	I noticed it, but I really didn't know what
23	it meant.
24	Q and it means is that the chemical deterioration
25	of the budy, after death actually intrudes on that portion

2

3

4

it?

Frass-People-cross

1257 of the hair and causes an opaque condition to occur, doesn't I'm not so sure that that's an accurate represen-

THE COURT: You are making statements.

It has been becaught to my attention that a minimal amout of research has been some and this degradation of the root area and this landing as for refer to above the root arew has been noted by some people and associated with

death.

•

Q Any affects after death?

- A I don't know. I'm trying to finish my answer.
- Q I'm socry.
- There is very little information in the process of what is taking place. There appears to be some bacterial action taking place on the bottom root portion. That is a viable area that can be attached by bacteria or other agents. The banding is somewhat above that root area in an area of hair that may be a little bit more firmer, a little bit more solid, a little bit more carotonized. That same banding condition I had noticed above the hair shaft in some diseased shaft. So, I'm not so sure that one process is directly related to the other.
- Q In fact though, you have noticed this condition in bodies that you have reviewed or hair that comes from bodies that have been exposed to the elements for a pariod, have you not?
 - A That's not a true statement.
- Q Dr. DeForest indicated that to you in your presence, did he not?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In fact, detective, one of the principle aspects of identification of matches that is heralded throughout all the forensic handbooks is the experience of the viewer of the two question samples, the known and the question samples?

THE COURT: The hair examined?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes.

Isn't that one of the most important prospects of the entire procedure?

Again, simply not just a yes or no. Forgive me, counselor.

Sure.

Experience is very important. Training is very important. The number of hours one physically sits down and does this technique is very important.

> MR. ROBINSON: Excuse me. Just a moment, Judge? I just want to find one particular point. I couldn't mark the book. (Pause.)

- Are you familiar with Richard Safferstein on forensia sciance?
 - Tes, sic.
- You utilized that book in your everyday or regular forensia studies?
 - Tes, sic.

Q You have read the book, of	course	οf	bcok,	the	cead	have	You	Q
------------------------------	--------	----	-------	-----	------	------	-----	---

- A Yes, I have.
- Q Will you agree with his position that the experience and ability of the hair examined is perhaps the most important aspect of hair identification?
 - A I think I did agree with him.
- Q Okay. That wasn't how I heard it. Now, when you reviewed the hair you made notes, right? Those are the yellow page notes that you mentioned?
 - A Yes.
- Q You made those notes either sometime during or after the examination you did, right?
 - A Yes, sirs.
- I would direct your attention to page two of those notes - withdrawn.
- I will direct your attention to page one. You indicated the race was both Caucasian in K-1 and Q-8?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Throughout the page one, you used the terminology K-1 and Q-8?
 - ? That's correct.
- Q On page two, you now change it where it says pigment. Q-1 and K-8?
 - Dr. Deforest pointed that but to me. I transposed

-	^	_	~
		<u>۱</u>	F

1	Prass-People-cross , 1261
2	the numbers and latters.
3	Q You did that in 10, 11 and 13?
4	A Yes, sic.
5	Q Those were simply an error?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Did you make any other errors in this procedure?
8	MR. KLEIN: Objection.
9	THE COURT: I will permit it. You mean
10	on that yellow sheet?
11	MR. ROBINSON: No, on his comparison.
12	A I don't believe so, no, sic.
13	Q I direct your attention to the police report
14	dated it doesn't have a date on it. Three page report
15	Do you have that?
16	A Yes, sir, I have a copy of it.
17	Q I direct your attention to subdivision two where
18	it says received from Police Officer Birdsall on March
19	26th, 1985?
20	A Mes, sir.
	and the board of Gifferest

22

samples; is that eight and you noted them Q-1 inrough Q-21?

23

24

Yes, s∫r.

25

On the cottom you indicated samples of Q1-21

25

Prass-People-cross 1 were resoved from the defendant's van, right? 2 Yes, sir. 7. 3 And then I direct your attention to number four 4 where it says received from Police Officer Birdsall on 5 October 1st, 1985? 6 Page two? 7 Right. 8 yes, sir. 9 You had hair samples Q-24 through Q-28; is that 10 correct? 11 Yes, sir, it is. 12 Then, you have bottom, samples of Q-14 through 13 Q-28 removed from defendant's truck? 14 That's a typo. It should have been Q-24. That's 15 a typo. It should have been picked up when I signed the 16 But, regrettably, I didn't. 17 do you have any other errors that you made in 18 this comparison? 19 MR. KLEIN: Again, Judge, I object to that. 20 THE COURT: Sustained. 21 Detective Press, did you make a - - withdrawn. 22 23

You identified approximately ten or 12 different characteristics from these two different hair samples; is that right?

...

O That was cellular material?

A Ten or 12 different categories. There may be a few characteristics in different categories.

- Q It's based upon your mental comparison of the known samples as against the question samples that you finally derived or determined an opinion; is that right?
 - A My opinion led to a conclusion, yes, sir.
- Q And that conclusion is what you have offered here today; is that right?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q In fact, when you made these yellow pages of notes, those were made after the fact, weren't they because in fact you were just writing out those numbers later on?
- A I may have had them on a different piece of paper and decided to put them on something legible, counselor.

 My notes aren't always the neatest.
 - Q Sort of like a doctor in training?
 - A I should be so lucky.
- 2 One other thing, where it says roots on those pages, it says folioclar tags attached. What does that mean?
- A It means that there was some material that adhered to the root.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Tes, sic.

Q You never did any PGM testing of those cells?

A That's correct.

Q That might have led you to a more accurate determination, wouldn't it?

A I don't think so, counselor.

Q Well, you would have been able to tell a blood grouping type at that point if you would have been able to make a successful PGM test, would you not?

A Yes, sir. In my opinion, I don't think I could have.

- Q You never tried?
- A That's correct.
- Q In fact, you relied upon the analysis that you did which is nothing more than a visual analysis; is that correct?
- That I didn't so enything more?
- Q listen, I would have loved you to have done every test. I would like to make a hundred percent sure. I have a client here to protect -

MR. KLEIN: Objection, Judge, to his comments.

THE COURT: Yes. Sustained. Ask questions

Yr. Robinson.

Q As far as you are concerned, you, never had any experience with these opaque bands before in the past?

A I really don't have any knowledge of just what that means, no, sir.

Q The opaque bands that I was talking to you before about was just below the skin line. Can I have you point out the section on the anagen diagram again?

- A Yes, sir. (Indicating.)
- Q Can you just point out to the jury the area that we are talking about?
- A The banding effect that counsel is talking about would roughly be in this area. (Indicating.)
- Q Indicating approximately between the bottom of the root and the surface?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q That's an area that's just been recently carotonized and yet not fully outside the skin yet; is that right?
 - A I suspect that's true.
- Q Hair grows approximately three millimeters a day, right?
 - A half an inch a month, however that works out.
- So, as it moves up and out of the root and beyond the skin, it becomes harder; is that fair?
 - A Yes, sic.

Frags-People-cross 1 That's the carotonization that we are speaking 2 about? 3 Α Yes. By the way, hair doesn't grow once a person 5 dies; is that correct? 6 To $\pi\gamma$ knowledge, once you are dead, your growth 7 process stops. 8 All the myths that corpses with three yards 9 of hair is all - -10 That's what it is, a myth. 11 In fact, the only hair that you noted out of the 20 hairs that had this banding on it were anagen phased 13 hairs? 14 That I'm not sure of, counsel, no. 15 Well, the anagen hairs didn't show acute banding, 16 did they? 17 As I recall, when I reviewed those slides again 18 from the known sample K-1, there was a wide range of this 19 condition in many different hairs. There growth phases, 20 I really don't revall, counselor. 21 You didn't make any particular notes on the 22 individuals particular hair? 23 No, sir. 24 O Ty the way, when you took a link at all those

25

Frass-People-cross

samples that you took from the van, how many were there all told, 20?

- A Samples?
- Q Samples.
- A I don't know what you mean by samples. There were more than 20 hairs.
 - Q Twenty envelopes?
- A Twenty-one samples include the blankets and the hairs from the carpert, yes, sir. Twenty-one samplings.
- Q And in those samplings, there were what, four, five, ten hairs in each one perhaps?
 - A Or more.
- Q So, you looked at perhaps a hundred hairs or more?
 - A Or more, yes, sir.
 - Q Did you find any hairs from John Restive?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Among all those hairs in the van that were found you found no hairs from John Restivo?
 - A That's correct.
- Q You have a hair bample from John Restivo; is that right?
 - A Yes, I diu.
 - 2 When was that taken, 65 you recall?

.

17

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

If I can refer to my report?

- Sare.
- I don't believe it's included in this report.
- It was sometime in 1985?

MR. KLEIN: I have a copy of it if he wants to refer to it?

> THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. We were present at the same time, you and I.

- Yes, sir. I have a copy of the report here.
- When was that? 0
- April 18th, 1986 at 2:30 in the afternoon. A
- By the way, did you find any hairs from John Kogut in that van?
- John Kogut? I can't answer that question because I never received, to my knowledge, any samples from John Kogut.
 - You never received any hair sample from him? ٥
 - I don't believe so, counselor. No.
 - How about Dannis Halstead? Q
- I Jun't believe I received any from either, sis.
- 2 Well, len't one of the principle aspects of your hold to justion to obtain as many samples from People

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who could have been in that particular van so that you could avoid any potential coincidental situation?

MR. KLEIN: Objection?

THE COURT: I will sustain an objection as to form.

- Q As a scientist isn't one of your principle jobs to obtain hair samples from everybody who could have been subject to leaving a particular hair in an area?
 - A Yes, sic.
- Q And you are familiar, were you not with the general scenario that was being presented in this case, were you not?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q You didn't request any hairs from Dennis Halstead or John Kogut?
 - A That's not a correct statement?
- Q Did you ever ask anybody to get you those hair samples?
 - n Tes, I Sid.
 - o Shuf
 - A Debestive Volga.
- Q In fact, do you know whether - in fact, do you know that when you came to get the hair samples from John Resting it was pursuant to court order which was

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sample, right?

A Yes, sir.

agreed upon, stipulated, that he would agree to give that

- Q No court order was ever obtained for John Kogut and Dennis Halstead, right?
 - A I couldn't testify to that.
- Q But you never saw any such samples from either of those parties, right?
 - A That's correct, sir.
- Q By the way, did you also review hair samples from that scene, from those blankets that were found at the scene where the body was found?

MR. KLEIN: I object.

THE COURT: Sustaind.

- Q Did you review - I'm sorry. Did you receive on December 11th, 1984 hair samples which had been removed from a blue blanket in which you termed Q-5a?
 - A Yes, sic.
- 2 And were you informed that that blue blanket tame from the area where Theresa Pusco's body had been found?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Do you have any notation as to where Q-5a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

came front

A No, sir.

Q Did you ever come to know where Q-5a came from?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. ROBINSON: If he knows, Judge?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q Did you ever travel to Lynbrook and obtain these samples, this blue blanket, obtain samples from it?

A No, sir.

Q Let me ask you this: How about the beige blanket that was given to you, hair samples that were given to you on 12/11/84?

A What do you mean? How about it?

Q Withdrawn.

Did you find any of John Restivo's hairs on the blue blanket that was given to you, the samples that were given to you on 12/11/84?

A No. sic.

2 Did you find any of John Restilo's hair on the beige blanket?

A No, sir.

Q of the other two blankets that were subsequently given to your

A NE	ha/e	3	beige	blanket,	a	blue,	blanket
------	------	---	-------	----------	---	-------	---------

- 2 You indicated earlier that there were four blankets, all told?
- p I thought I indicated that. I don't recall
 four.
- Q A yellow blanket and a beige blanket were forwarded to you on 12/11/84 by Wayne Birdsall, do you recall that?
- A I'm a little confused. I have two items that were forwarded to me on that date and time by Officer Eirdsall. But, I have them described as a blue blanket and beige blanket on item one, page one of my report.

 Are we talking about the same thing?
- Q I show you that. (Handed.) Did you ever receive samples from Wayne Birdsall, beige and yellow blanket?
- A We are talking about the same evidence. It's the same date and time. It's two blankets. There seems to be some confusion over the color. He has them described as yellow and beige and I have it as beige and blue.
 - 2 So, that would be another error?

MR. KLEIN: It's not an error. I object to that

MR. ROBINSON: I object to it.

MR. KLEIN: Objection to it. It's not

his paperwork.

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

THE COURT: Sustained.

Would that be another indication of the type of subjective determinations made by people as to the coloration and things?

> Objection. MR. KLEIN:

> THE COURT: Sustained.

- You are not sure of where that set of slides, that little folder of slides was kept or the hair - -I'm sorry, not the slides, you are not sure where the envelope, this opened envelope with the four smaller envelopes was kept before or after you made this comparison?
 - Yes, it was kept in a box on the examining table.
 - It wasn't kept in a locked locker; is that right?
 - That's correct.
- And it wasn't kept in a locked drawer; is that Q right?
 - That's correct.
- And it wasn't logged in and logged out and resealed each time it was logged out; is that right?
 - That's occreet.
- and it was scallable to 15 other people working in that lab at all times the entire time it was in that box; is that correct?
 - That's correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

n That's pursect.

Q And nubidy else logged in or out of that envelope every time they looked in it?

MR. KLEIN: Objection, Judge. There is no testimony or evidence that anybody looked in it.

THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question.

- Q Is that right?
- ${\tt A}$ I have no knowledge that anyone tampered with the evidence.
- You do not personally have that knowledge because you were personally there all that time; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- On In fact, during the period of time that you looked at the evidence when you first got the envelope in the time you first mounted those slides up, there was an entire other shift working that day other than when you were working?
 - A That's correct.
- 2 How many people work during the day on that other shift?
 - A It varies. It could be two. It could be five.
- ig and humicide detectives come and go as long as they are luzzed in with information?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Prass-Péople-cross MR. KIFTH: I object to the question. THE COURT: Sustained. As long as you buzz a police officer in through that door, there is no log kept of who comes into that area; is that correct? That's a correct statement, yes, sir. When Detective Waltman came in on December 6th, nobody kept any notation of his entry into that area; is that right? MR. KLEIN: Objection, Judge. THE COURT: Sustained.

Did anyone keep a log of him coming into the lab that day?

We don't keep a log of people coming and going as a matter of regular police business.

Did Detective Volpe come up and visit at all while you were going through the slides at hand?

I'm sure I saw Detective Volpe sometime but I'm sure I don't have any specific recollection.

The time period that the original hairs were taken from the deceased was December 6th, 1984; is that right?

It was sometime, Movember, December, late '84. I don't remember the exact late.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The time that you got - - that you received the question samples was March 26th, 1985, some four months later, right?

- A Yes, sir. Four and a half months, I guess.
- Q And you received other samples that you compared with those samples in the meantime and none of them matched?
 - A The items that are included in my report.
 - Q But, you had done other comparisons?
 - A You mean on other cases?
- Q From this case. You compared all the hair samples that you obtained from the blankets and stuff like that?
 - A Yes.
- Now, did you receive hair samples from John Restivo on March 5th, 1985?
- A John Restivo's hair samples, as I testified before, were received in your presence in April of 1986.
- g Did Detective Volpe ever give you any hair samples from John Restivo prior to that time?
- A I percainly don't recall that, counselor. I don't have it in my report.
 - g You would have logged it in?
 - A Tes, sir.
 - 2 You Light everlock that?
 - A No, siz.

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROBINSON: I have no further questions.

MR. FAMIGHETTI: May I just ask a few questions?

THE COURT: Yes.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FAMIGHETTI:

- O Good afternoon, detective.
- A Good afternoon.
- Q As I understand your testimony, you asked someone to get you to acquire a sample of John Restivo's hair and a court order was acquired and that sample was provided; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Then you asked someone to get a sample of Dennis Halstead's hair and nothing happened?
 - A That's correct.
- Q So you never compared Dennis Halstead's hair with any of the questioned hairs that you acquired from the van; is that a fair statement?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - Q hairs from different partiens of the human body exhibit different characteristics; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sic.
 - Q in other words, a scalp hair is different than a public hair, true?

2	A Yes, sic.
3	Q It's readily determinable?
4	A In most instances, yes.
5	Q Now, how many hairs would you approximate were
6	acquired from this van on March 26th, 1985?
7	A A hundred or more.
8	Q Now, this case involved an alleged sexual assault,
9	right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Of those hundred or more hairs, Detective Fraas,
12	were any of them pubic hairs?
13	A I don't recall.
14	Q Did you examine each and every one of them?
15	A Yes.
16	Q You don't remember whether or not any of them
17	were pubic hairs?
18	A No, I really don't recall, counselor.
19	2 Did you have a known sample of pubic hair from
20	ರಗಿನ ಬೆಕ್ಕಾಕರನ್ನ
21	A No. sir, T didn't.
22	During the course of a forensic investigation
23	of a van such as the one that has been testified about
24	In this case and in terms of this type of crime or sex
25	oring was alleged to have occurred, is it an alleged procedure
1	

Prass-People-Uscas

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to lock for seven stains in this thick - - in a van such as this?

- Yes, sir.
- Was that done?

It wasn't done by me, sic. I only have hair association for this case. I can't testify for Officer Birdsall's actions.

- It's done with a black light technique?
- A UV light can be used for that type examination, yes, sir.
- That light is used in connection with different materials. If it hits or is shined upon an area that has a semen stain, it shows up?
- Yes. It helps detect a stained area from a background.
- So, to your knowledge, there were no pubic hairs recovered from that van; is that correct?
- No. What I am saying is I don't recall whether there were public hairs or not.
- Well, there weren't any that you utilized in connection with this examination; is that right?
 - That's correct.
- And there weren't any recovered from the deceased at the autopay; is that correct?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

g Yes, sic.

Q And there wasn't any semen recovered, any instances or any indication of semen noted on the forensic examination which took place March 26th, 1985; is that correct?

A You are asking me to testify to Officer Birdsall's findings.

- Q You were there that day?
- A I was there, but I really don't recall.
- Q Did you see a black light used that day?
- A I don't recall, counselor.

MR. FAMIGHETTI: Nothing further.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KLEIN:

- Q Detective Fraas, were you able to conduct the PGM study on the two questioned hairs from Q-A?
 - A No, sir.
 - 2 Why is that?
- A Cone of the question hairs had minimal to no tissue adhering to it. The other one had some, but not a whole lot.
- g and you testified that those folders were opened before today; is that correct?
 - Yes, sir.
 - 2 And you also mentioned a person named Professor

Deforest: Mara they open in the presence of Professor Deforest?

- A Yes.
- O And he examined those items?
- A Yes.
- Q And finally, you mentioned or Mr. Robinson mentioned the characteristic of the banding, the opaque area on the lower portion of the hair and you indicated that subsequent to your conversation with Professor DeForest, that you had seen that; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Did you look for that on the known hairs of Theresa Fusco?
 - A Subsequent to this?
 - O Yes.
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And did you see it on the - all the known hairs that you looked at of Theresa Fusco?
 - not all the known hairs, no.
- Q Have you examined hairs that were removed from people's hoods prior to death with respect to the presence of those opaque banding?
- A During the course of my work I have examined hundreds and hundreds of hairs, yes.

Dubsequent to talking to Professor DeForest?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tes.

3	\sim	\sim	-
	_	\sim	~

Frass-People-redirect

_

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 .

22

23

24

25

A Yes, I have.

0 How soon - -

A Hairs removed, how soon after death have you noted that banding?

A Most recently, less than 12 hours and approximately eight or nine hours after death.

MR. KLEIN: Thank you, your Honor.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBINSON:

Q Now you say that you have never seen this occur before death in the hair that you viewed except above root areas; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q So this cpaque quality can occur in a hair before death?

A Premortem, sir.

Q But after death, you have never seen it in that particular area; is that correct? Before this particular study that you have done recently, is that right?

A Yes, sir.

Now, as a result of this whole investigation now that you have done in the last three weeks, you now say that you have seen it within eight to nine hours of death?

2		A	Yes, sir.
3		Q	Let me ask y
4	this	area	before this?
5		А	Before this?
6		Q	In fact, you
7	that	corre	ect?
8		A	That's corre
9		Q	There is not
10		A	I tried to f
11		Q	By the way,
12		A	No.
13		Q	Can you desc.
14	area?	•	
15		A	Yes, someone
16	case	study	, sends it i
17	group	of	editors and in
18		Q	And untilized
19		A	It's there
20		Ş	You have nev
21		A	That's corre
22		2	And you say
23	ငတားဥဒ	risor	.s?
24		À	Hundreds.

Q	Let	мe	ask	you	have	Хэй	done	any	research	i

- Before this? No, sir, not at all.
- In fact, you can't find any studies on it; is that correct?
 - That's correct.

- There is nothing published on it?
- I tried to find something, counselor. No.
- By the way, you have never published, have you? Q
- No.
- Can you describe what publishing is in the scientifirea?
- Yes, someone performs a study or performs a ase study, sends it into a journal. It's edited by a roup of editors and if it's accepted, it's published.
 - And untilized by scientists?
 - It's there for our edification.
 - You have never written any books, right?
 - That's correct. λ
- And you say you have done how many hair reviews, comparisons?
 - Hundreds.
 - You keep your own collection of slides?

	Frass-People-recross 1285
	A Mo, sir. Everything is invoiced to the Property
	Bureau.
	Q So you don't keep any kind of sample collection
	to review and refer back to?
,	A I wish I could. But, everything has to be invoiced
$, \parallel$	to property.
3 3	Q Now, when was it that you saw this occur, this
9	eight to nine hour span occur, example of having within
0	eight to nine hours?
1	A Most recently in a homicide investigation where
2	a 16 year old boy killed in Long Beach approximately a
3	week ago. There is some speculation between the time
4	of death between 1:30 and 5:30. He was autopsied I'm told
15	about 1:30 to two o'clock.
16	Q You are told?
17	A By the Medical Examiner's Office, yes, sir.
18	In another instance
19	Q So that could mean
20	A I haven't finished my answer.
21	2 Can we take that piece apart go ahead and
22	finish.
23	A In the second instance, in conjunction with
'	n tantium Patrage in the police lab, hit and run victim

was varified and autopaled approximately eight or nine

24

later and again that condition was evident in those samples and in the Central Park homicide where a girl was allegedly stangled, I'm sorry, by Mr. Chambers, we have the same condition and that time frame is 24 to 30 hours.

- Have you done any follow-up surveys or studies with controlled groups of any sort?
 - No, sir. I'd love to.
- Have you found that - have you done any studies with regard to the type of exposure that might be required to have this occur?
 - No, sir. Α

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- One thing we do know here is that this banding, this opaque banding below the skin line does not happen when the hair has been plucked out while the body was alive; is that right?
- I could only answer that question if we did a premortem and postmortem from a live person and taken That would be one of the basic from a dead person. things you would do to research this problem out.
- Then, what you said about this eight to nine and less than 12 hours is just a few smatteriings or samplings that you have come up with; is that right?
- Those are a few cases that we have just reinvestigated most recently.

In order to explain away a situation where a hair from a decomposed body, a dead body is supposed to be found in my client's van, right?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

To try to give an explanation as to how the hair allegedly was found in that van, came from a dead body?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Well, you are offering an explanation here, are you not, that this could not have happened or you told us any less than eight to nine hours - -

> MR. KLEIN: Objection, Judge. He didn't say that.

> > THE COURT: Sustained.

You have given us an example, have you not, that the one sample was eight to nine hours after death; is that eight?

Yes, sir.

But, you have already told us that that eight to nine hours could have been as much as 13 hours because there was a four hour gap in that time limit, admittedly, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A In the one case.

Q In the first case that you mentioned?

A In the first case it could have been as much as 12 and less or as small as eight. In the second scenario from Detective Petraco's case, I believe we are locked into eight or nine hours. In the third scenario, it's 20 to 30 hours. Somewhere in that range.

- Q Now, you looked at the various hairs in the known sample and in the unknown sample; is that right?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q The known sample came from Theresa Fuscc's body which had been exposed to the elements for approximately three and a half weeks; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q The known samples supposed to have come from my client's van looked almost identical in that banding area, did they not?
 - A Question samples?
 - Q The question samples.
 - A Identical in what respect.
- The banding. The banding looked almost the same as the majority of the known samples; is that correct?
 - A Are you talking about the degree of banding?
 - Q The degree of banding.

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

The known sample had a wide degree. Some had little to none and some had banding in the same amount that the question sample did.

Isn't it a fact that the range of banding in the known samples was fair to heavy banding at least in the anagen, pending - -

I'm trying to recall. It might be an accurate statement.

- Do you have notes -
- No, sir.
- - of a recent review that you have done in the last few weeks?
 - No, sir. Δ
 - The question samples that you viewed -্
 - yes, sir.
- - they had a similar type of banding, did they not?
 - Similar to what?
- The/ weren't just a very minute amount of opaque quality, they were pretty much consistent with the known samples, the range of known samples?
 - They fit in the range, /as.
- The two hairs in the anagen samples were two growing hairs?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A That I recall. I remember one	was.
---------------------------------	------

- Q They didn't have a club on the bottom of them like the telogen hairs, right?
- A Che of the hairs is, I believe fragmented in the root area. One is a complete anagen hair, yes, sir.
- Q Let's take an anagen hair. That anagen hair showed this quality to it, this opaque banding?
 - A Yes, sir, it did.
- Q And consistent range as the known sample hairs showed it?
- A It showed this degradation fit within the range of degradation that fit within the known sample.
- Q That was the same type of range that you spoke of from which you made your determination that the hairs were microscopically alike; is that right?
 - A Yes, sir.

MR. ROBINSON: No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, detective.

(Thereupon the witness was excused.)

THE COURT: Any further vitnesses, Mr.

Klein?

MR. KLEIN: No, your Honor. That's the People's case.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going

1 my client is not being given a fair trial. 2 THE COURT: Denied. 3 (Thereupon the jury enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: At this time, ladies and gentlemen, 5 both of the defendants have rested their case. 6 I am permitting the District Attorney to call 7 a rebuttal witness. Mr. Klein. 8 MR. KLEIN: People call Detective Nicholas 9 Petraco. 10 PETRACO, NICHOLAS DETECTIVE 11 a witness called in rebuttal for the People, 12 Shield number 1677, assigned to the New York 13 City Police Crime Lab, having been first duly 14 sworn, testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. KLEIN: 17 Detective Petraco, how long have you been a 76 18 member of the New York City Police Department? 19 For 18 years. Α 20 How long have you been assigned to the Crime 21 Laboratory? 22 13 years. Fof 23 And presently what are your duties with the 24 Crime Laboratory? 25

The examination of various types of trace evidence. For instance hair and fiber evidence, different types of partical matter, which is minimal, etc.

- How long have you been doing that examination with respect to hair?
 - For ten years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Can you describe for the jury what your training and experience is in that area?

I have degree in chemistry from John Jay College I have a masters degree in forensic of Criminal Justice. science from John Jay College of Criminal Justice. I have taken numerous courses in the examination of physical evidence and use of microscope. I have been a member of the New York Microscopical Society. I am a fellow. I have taken numerous courses and taught numerous courses there. I'm also a fellow, American Academy of Forensic Scientists. I am currently a member on the Committee on Forensic Hair Commission established by the FBI, researched the topic and published numerous papers on various types of physical evidence. Also contributed to several books.

- Do you know a Dr. Peter DeForest?
- Yes, I do. Α
- Is he a colleague of yours at the John Jay College? 0
- Yes, he is. Α

1 Did you study under him when you took your graduating Q 2 degree? 3 Yes, I did. 4 Now, did there come a time, Detective Petraco, 5 in October of 1986 when you examined hair in this case 6 at the Nassau County headquarters? 7 Yes, there did. 8 And did you have occasion to examine the known 9 hair of 10 Yes, I did. 11 And what other hair did you examine along with 12 that? 13 Some question hairs removed from a van. Α 14 MR. ROBINSON: Objection. He doesn't 15 know where they came from. 16 MR. KLEIN: Dr. DeForest testified extensively 17 18 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 19 Was that a Q-8 sample? 20 Yes, it was marked "Q-8." 21 Are you familiar with the terminology of banding Q 22 with respect to hair? 23 Yes, I am. 24 What is that? 25

3/87

-11

A Well, various forms of banding. One form of
interest in this case, it just appears to be an air band
near the root and in this case the question hair and also
known hairs. Usually associated with postmortem situations.
Q You noticed that in some of the hairs you examined
in this case?
A Yes, I did.
 Q Did you notice it in all of the known hairs
of ?
A No, I didn't.
Q Were there antigen hairs that you looked at
that did not have that banding affect?
A Yes, there were.
Q After you did that observation in police headquarters
what if anything else did you do with respect to that
issue?
A Well, after examining the hairs at headquarters
in Nassau County, I was asked to
MR. ROBINSON: Objection.
Q Just tell us what you did.
THE COURT: I will permit it.
A I was asked to see if this banding could be
caused by a span of time as far as a person having been

dead for a week or two weeks or a couple hours. So, I

1

2

5

Petraco-People-Rebuttal-Direct 2217 went back to my lab and I looked at a few samples that we had at our lab in which I could document the actual time of death of the person and when the hair was removed from the individual and that's what I did.

Can you describe those cases that you examined? One case I just happened to have on my desk at the time which I was able to document the person was strangled and the hair was removed.

> MR. ROBINSON: Objection, as to how he knows what.

> MR. KLEIN: This is the same testimony that Dr. DeForest gave.

THE COURT: I will permit it. Overruled.

The hair was removed from the individual about 30 hours after the time of death. I examined that hair and saw that there was this air banding near the root that we associate with postmortem situations. Another sample of hair that my co-worker had on his desk in which the individual was deceased, hair had been removed from her head. The hair was removed about between ten and 12 hours after the time of her death and autopsy. I examined that hair and it also had this root banding in which we associate with postmortem situations. the Nassau County Police Lab have this finding and they

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Petraco-People-Rebuttal-Direct

proceeded to look at one hair sample that they had in which they could document that the hair was - -

MR. ROBINSON: Objection.

THE COURT: Yes. Don't tell us what they did.

What did you do with respect to an additional hair sample?

I was brought an additional hair sample and given a hair from that sample to look for the banding and indeed, it was banded and in each case I photographed the banding from these three question - - excuse me, these three known samples and also I photographed one of the Q-8 hairs and also one of the hairs that was documented as having come from

On this third case that you looked at from Nassau County, what was the estimated time of death there before the hair was removed?

MR. ROBINSON: Objection.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. May I hear the question read back?

(Question read back.)

MR. ROBINSON: It's hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KLEIN: This is the same testimony

	1
1	Petraco-People-Rebuttal-Direct 2219
2	Dr. DeForest gave concerning the hair he examined.
3	MR. ROBINSON: No, it's not.
4	MR. KLEIN: He did not know he was telling
	us what
5	MR. ROBINSON: That's an improper question,
6	Judge. He is then relying on something that
7	he has no idea of.
8	
9	MR. KLEIN: He is rebuttal to Dr. DeForest's
10	testimony.
11	THE COURT: On that basis, I will permit
12	it.
13	MR. ROBINSON: Exception.
	Q What was the estimated time of the third case
14	before the hair was removed?
15	A Between eight and 12 hours.
16	Q And you say you photographed these hairs?
17	
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q What else did you do with them, the photographs?
20	A I made a display out of these photographs.
21	q And the photographs that you took, were those
22	fairly and accurately depicting what you had observed
	in those hairs under a microscope?
23	A Yes, I do.
24	MR. KLEIN: I ask that this be marked for
25	

Petraco-People-Rebuttal-Direct 1 identification, your Honor. 2 (Thereupon display marked People's Exhibit 3 41 for identification.) Q Detective, is that the exhibit that you were 5 making reference to? 6 Yes, it is. 7 Can you describe for us what the various photographs 8 are? 9 There is one photograph that is marked eight 10 to 12 hours in which the photograph of the hair removed 11 from a deceased between eight and 12 hours after their 12 death. 13 Is that the Nassau County case that you were 14 referring to? 15 Α Yes, it is. 16 THE COURT: You base that eight to 12 hours, 17 detective, based on what you were told by the 18 Nassau County Police? 19 Yes. Α 20 MR. ROBINSON: I again object. 21 THE COURT: I'm going to permit it. 22 jury understands that the detective doesn't 23 know this of his own personal knowledge, but 24 that he was told that this hair was removed 25

Petraco-People-Rebuttal-Direct 2221 from the body from eight to 12 hours. ahead.

Α The one on the middle, on the top was marked 10 to 12 hours. Hair was removed from a person who was deceased between the time of death and time of autopsy was between 10 and 12 hours and again, I observed the banding and photographed it. The next one on the right is on the top right, is a hair that I have marked 30 hours and it's hair from an individual who was deceased and between the time of death and autopsy was approximately 30 hours. Again, I was observing the banding, and photographed Two bottom hairs, one is marked Q-8. This is the hair that was told to me was removed from the van in which I observed banding and again I photographed that. one marked "KN-1, which is a known hair from Theresa Fusco, This hair was being given to me as being removed from her and I observed the banding and I also photographed the hair.

- Did you put any arrows on them?
- I put a letter B where the banding is and also an arrow to indicate where the banding is.

MR. KLEIN: I offer that in evidence, your Honor.

MR. ROBINSON: May I have a voir dire?

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

VOIR DIRE 2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. ROBINSON:

- Detective Petraco, you received a slide, already mounted slide, from Nassau County?
 - Yes, I did, sir. Α
- And you were told by whom that this came from a body eight to 12 hours old?
 - Detective Fraas.
 - You had no knowledge of that whatsoever, right?
 - No personal knowledge, no, sir.
- And the one that was 10 to 12 hours, you had no personal knowledge of that either, do you?
 - No, I didn't remove the hair from the individual.
- And the 30 hour one, have you got personal knowledge of that one?
 - No, sir.
- You also have no knowledge of the K or the Q samples that you photographed here, right?
 - No, sir.
- In fact, the Q sample doesn't incorporate a full picture of the banding. In fact, it cuts off part of the banding, does it not?
- It's as much as I could get in? It is most of the banding.

1	
2	Q You show most of the bottom lower portion of
3	the root, you cut the banding in half?
4	A That's as much as I could get in the banding.
5	The B is in the approximately the middle area of the banding
6	Q
7	The banding continues off the screen, doesn't it?
8	A Maybe slightly, but not
9	MR. ROBINSON: I would object, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Overruled.
11	(Thereupon display marked People's Exhibit
12	41 in evidence.)
13	MR. KLEIN: May I show that to the jury,
14	Judge?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. KLEIN:
18	Q You testified the B refers to the banding area?
19	A Approximately the middle.
20	THE COURT: This exhibit, ladies and gentleme
21	is in evidence along with all the other exhibits.
22	You may have them in the jury room if you ask
23	for them.
24	(Thereupon jury views People's Exhibit
25	41 in evidence.)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. KLEIN: Thank you, detective, I have

no further questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBINSON:

- Detective Petraco, you work for the Police Department is that right?
 - Yes, I do.
- That's the New York City Police Department, right?
 - Yes, sir. Α
 - How much are you being paid for today's services?
- Just my normal fee from the Detective Division and New York City Police Lab.
- How much do you receive for that, yearly for 0 that?
 - Approximately \$40,000. a year.
- And the entire time you were working on all these samples and taking these photographs, you were working on the Police Department's time?
 - Yes. With their permission.
- That was at the request of Mr. Klein; is that correct?
- Originally and with the Nassau County Police Department.

Q

25

Yes.

	Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross 2225
1	Q And that was Detective Fraas also requested
2	· ·
3	that?
4	A He was involved, yes, sir.
5	Q In fact, Detective Fraas first contacted you
	a month ago about the whole situation?
6	A Approximately a month.
7	Q He informed you that Dr. DeForest had given
8	your name as somebody to check on this phenomenon because
9	
10	he knew nothing about it when he contacted you initially;
11	is that right?
12	A Yes, I believe so.
13	Q In fact, you have read no papers on this issue?
	A I have seen papers.
14	Q You know about a paper that was issued in Canada?
15	A I have heard about one but not this phenomenon.
16	
17	I just heard that it contained some information about
18	root degradation.
19	Q In fact, you have heard that there are five
20	stages of this degradation of the hair; is that right?
	A No, I haven't.
21	Q Have you heard about the fact that it first
22	starts with florescence? Are you familiar with Florescence?
23	A Of hair roots?
24	,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

1		Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross 222
2	A	I haven't observed it myself, no, sir.
3	Q	You haven't observed florsence of hair roots
4	yourself?	
	A	No, I have not.
5	Q	Have you observed vacuoles under the skin, under
6	the scalp	level forming initially before you see this
7	banding?	
8	A	No, I have not, sir.
9	Q	You know what vacuoles are, of course, right?
10		
11	A	yes.
12	Q	They are small, little portions also that are
13	just smal	l sort of bubbles, right?
14	A	Air spaces or bubbles.
15	Q	Air spaces. That's what those bandings are,
	air space	s?
16	A	I believe that's what they are.
17	Q	Have you cut the hair and done a cross-section
18	on them?	
19	A	No.
20	Q	Have you made any comparison analysis on Q-8
21		
22		nd known samples?
23	A .	Yes, I did.
24	Q .	Did you do a full comparison on it?
25	A	Enough to convince me that were similar

25

1	Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross 2227
2	microscopically.
3	Q As a matter of fact, that's about as much as
4	you can do with hair, say that they are similar?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Because hair is an inexact science at best,
7	right?
8	A No, it's fairly exacting. It's just that hair
9	itself varies greatly.
0	Q And that's true of the known samples that came
1	from Theresa Fusco's head also, they varied greatly, did
2	they not?
3	A They varied in physical characteristics as most
4	samples from a given source vary.
5	Q There were 20 samples in slides that were mounted
6	up in the corpse of Theresa Fusco?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q Did you review all 20 of them?
9	A Yes, I did.
20	Q Did you know that there are different types
21	of hairs? There are antigens which are growing hairs
22	and catagen hairs which have stopped growing?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And you didn't see any of these phenomenon in

the catagen hairs or telogen hairs?

1 I believe I did see some banding in some catagen Α . 2 hairs. 3 Which ones? In - - I wasn't certain whether it was or not. 5 I can say I even probably didn't see it. I'm not certain 6 it was banding. It may have been a beginning stage of 7 it if there is a stage of it. 8 Which hair is that? Q I believe K-l. 10 How about K-11? 11 It was a telogen hair, I have in my notes. 12 You don't show any banding there? Q 13 No. 14 In fact, you say the color was clean or clear, 15 right? 16 It looks clean, right. 17 In fact, didn't you find growing hairs in the Q 18 antigen hair that didn't have this banding effect? 19 Yes, I did. 20 In fact, you found a cross-section of effects 21 in those 20 slides; is that right? 22 That is what we normally do in a hair comparison, Α 23 you look at a range of the hair that is known in the sample 24

Tht's because you compare it by the range, it's

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	[]

22

23

24

25

 \parallel

a	rang	e of	characteristics	that	you	base	your	determination
or	ı, is	that	right?					

A Yes.

Q In this case, you not only found some of those growing hairs as antigen hairs that didn't show the banding effect at all?

A Yes

Q You also found some that had the effect of being tremendously advanced, right?

A No, it had the effect - - I don't know if the effect is advanced effect or if there are degrees of this particular condition.

- O You don't know that?
- A I don't know that.
- Q Well, didn't you see various stages of that effect even in your samples right here?

MR. KLEIN: I object to the form.

THE COURT: I will permit it.

A I saw various degrees of it. But, I don't know that they are in fact degrees of it. It might be something that happens to a given hair. Some of it doesn't happen. The condition doesn't happen to some hairs.

It happens to some hairs to a certain degree. It hasn't been researched to my knowledge. It hasn't been reported

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the literature to my knowledge. We really don't know if there is or is not a degree to this condition.

You have been doing this for approximately eight to 10 years.

Ten years.

Your teacher at school, at John Jay, when you took your masters was Dr. DeForest?

Α Yes, sir.

You know for a fact that Dr. DeForest has indicated that this is a progressive effect?

He doesn't know for certain. He hasn't researched it to my knowledge. We have often discussed researching the phenomenon just to see what actually causes it. believe it is caused by postmortem conditions. But, we don't know that for certain though.

Are you familiar with the Canadian study where it says that it is in fact progressive and that there are five stages of progression?

Dr. DeForest mentioned it to me last night. He didn't tell me about it. He said there is an article out. He said he would get me a copy. It was not exactly published. It's a prepublished article that he must have received from someone in Canada. He didn't tell me the details of the article. He did mention florescence but

I	don't	know	what	that	means.
			3	i + kn	ow what

- Q You don't know what that means?
- A I know what florescence means. But, I don't know what it means with respect to postmortem observations.
- Q Didn't Dr. DeForest tell you that florescence is the very first stage before you would see these vacuoles?
 - a No.
- Q Didn't he tell you that there was a second stage, elongated vacuole area pockets would start to form. They would start to form together into a second and third stage. Did he tell you that?
 - A No.
- Q Did he tell you after the formation of those small air pockets that they would then group together until you get this banding effect?
 - A He did not.
- Q Did he tell you that they would then progress to the point where in some point in time where the banding effect would go all the way across the hair and the hair would break off?
 - A He did not.
- Q Did you ever see an effect happen where the hair would break off after this banding effect had occurred for a substantial period of time?

A I have seen hairs from skeletal situations where there is no root end. Exactly what causes that, I don't know.

Q Now, isn't it a fact that certain hairs may be more susceptible to this type of intrusion in this banding or this banding fashion?

- A I believe so.
- Q Do you know what I mean by intrusion?
- A I believe so. But I haven't done any study and I don't know of any study that states that as a fact. I haven't done any study myself on that phenomenon.
- Q You are indicating you are an expert in hair; is that correct?
 - A Yes, I am.
- Q Now, given your experience, you maintain a collection of hair samples?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q How do you get those hair samples?
- A From various cases which I work on. If the case is not needed as evidence, I will take out a few standards and put them in my file. If they show a particular condition or particular color more for whatever reason I want to see a particular morphology, whether it's a color, root shape or something, I will keep one or two

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20

21

22

23

24

25

hairs.

How many do you keep right now? 0

Over the course of time, I have collected a couple of hundred different hairs.

- Isn't it a fact that you are not legally allowed to do that, detective?
 - It's part of my file at work.
- Detective Fraas indicated he couldn't keep any of those. They were all evidence and had to go back in the file.

MR. KLEIN: Objection, Judge. Different Police Department.

THE COURT: I will permit him to answer.

- You can do that?
- I will keep these. They are available for evidence. They are all marked with case numbers on them. In fact, if I ever have to go back to that file to use that as evidence, I do have that hair and I can document that as the fact that it is hair from the case. I don't know that it's illegal.
- You never cross referenced it with the file so that they know where to look for it?
 - It's in my notes.
 - You don't indicate that though, you have never

3.

cross-referenced with this file, the files of those cases, have you?

- A I put it in my notes.
- O Those notes?
- A I put those notes in the file which indicates where that hair is.
- Q Even still, with 200 slides, that's still not sufficient for you, is it? You still have to gather more, right?
- A I would like to gather as much as I can. But, there are certain conditions as to gathering these types of material.
- Q Did you look at both of the Q-8 samples, by the way?
 - A Yes, I did.
- You looked at all the known samples; is that right?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q Now, can you place a scale of one to ten on the amount of decomposition - do you know what I mean by a scale of one to ten with regard to the amount of decomposition?
 - MR. KLEIN: Objection to the form of the question. There is no question that that's

1	decomposition.
2	THE COURT: Well, I will permit the question
3	
4	A Can I put a scale of one to ten on it?
	Q Yes.
5	A Degree of banding?
6	Q Let me ask you this: You found hairs that didn't
7	show this banding effect at all; is that correct?
8	
9	A Yes. Q Would that be correct in calling that a zero?
10	
11	A Including a scale of one to ten, that would
	be zero.
12	Q And the hair was broken off?
13	A If I knew that was due to banding?
14	Q Yes.
15	I don't give hairs numbers
16	
17	of scales. In fact, I never do.
18	Q Try it. If you had samples of the Q-8 samples,
	where would you place them on the scale?
19	MR. KLEIN: Objection.
20	A I wouldn't have any idea where to place them
21	on a number scale.
22	The second was cut off in the banding?
23	
24	A It's not cut off in the center. I showed as
25	much as I could in that photograph. There might have
	II.

25

1	
2	been a little bit of banding cuff on the top. The B is
3	placed in approximately the center of the banding.
4	Q Isn't that continuing off the photograph?
5	A Yes, slightly.
6	Q In fact, isn't that the important aspect of
7	the whole photograph?
8	A I wanted to show that it was in fact the root
9	condition, the antigen root and it did have banding.
10	Q That's a lot more advanced, the Q-8 depicted
11	in this photograph is more advanced in the KN-1 that you
12	have next to it?
13	MR. KLEIN: Objection.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	Q Given your degree of scientific ability, is
7	not longer, the banding in the Q-8 sample than the one
17	in the K sample?
18	A Not to any degree that I could say it's more
19	advanced or anything like that.
20	MR. ROBINSON: May I have a ruler please?
21	(Handed.)
22	Q Before you start to see an actual banding there,
23	isn't it a fact that you will see the small, little vacuole
24	starting?
	T have seen some hair with wasveles on theme

	A		I don't	know	that	that	in	fact	causes	the	banding
or	it's a	a g	precurso	or of	bandi	ing.		·			

- O You don't know that?
- A I don't know that.
- Q But that's what you believe, isn't it?

 MR. KLEIN: Objection to what he believes,

 Judge.

THE COURT: Well, with any degree of scientific certainty, sir, can you say that?

THE WITNESS: That's just what I think, sir. That's all I can answer. I haven't done any research.

THE COURT: This is only your opinion?

THE WITNESS: My own observations, my own opinion.

THE COURT: Is that opinion based on your experience, training and research as an expert?

THE WITNESS: Of course, yes.

THE COURT: I will permit it.

A Yes, I believe that that will eventually form into a band. Whether that band forms in a few minutes, few hours, few days, well, I don't know. That's why we went back and looked at some hairs that we can do some degree of document as to the time of day and the hair

3/87

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was removed. That's what research is all about. Documenting the phenomenon, not I think something happened two years ago and I want to look at it now.

Q I couldn't agree with you more now. Let me ask you this: Is it not correct that the temperature in which the corpse would be in would have an affect upon decomposition of the corpse?

- A On decomposition, I think that's true.
- Q What is the normal temperature that corpses are held in the morgue?
 - A I don't know. I believe around 40.
 - Q Like a refrigerator?
 - A Just above freezing.
 - Q About a frigerator's temperature?
 - A I would say around a refrigerator.
- Q Were you aware that the temperature was approximately
 40 degrees throughout the period of time that this particular
 corpse was supposed to have been exposed?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q I ask you to take a look at this local climatology data, about three columns from the left. Will you look at the 10th of November on towards the end of the month?

A November, '84. Yes.

1 MR. ROBINSON: May I approach? 2 THE COURT: He has it. 3 I think I have it. 4 Do you know the first two days are averaging Q 5 in the Sixties and thereafter it's all in the fourties 6 and some in the thirties, right? 7 For November? 8 Yes. 9 It says average, the 10th of the month. 10 No, the 11th? 11 The 11th, it says 61, 50, 41, 47, 48, 51, 51, 12 43, 45, 38, 33, so forth. 13 Thank you. Now, you have had experience viewing Q 14 corpses in your job; is that right? 15 Occasionally, yes. 16 And exposure to sunlight also places a factor 17 in the type of deterioration that you may see in hair 18 or the corpse itself, is that right? 19 Well, it's exposure in general has an affect 20 on corpses for decomposition in effect. 21 And if it is in direct sunlight, it would have 22 an even greater impact on the decomposition? 23 I believe so. 24 And if it was not in sunlight, it would have

PENGAD CO., BAYONNE, N.J. 07002 - FORM

25

22

23

24

25

	Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross						
less	of	an impact, is that fair to say?					
	A	Depending on the temperature, I believe so.					
	Q	Given these temperatures we have just shown					
you?		•					
	λ	As compared to what their affect would have					

compared to what their affect would have on decomposition?

Yes.

60, 50, 40, I would assume that some sort of decomposition would take place and it might be slowed up if it got a little colder one day and got hotter the next day, it might progress. I don't claim to be an expert in decomposition or the examination of postmortem effects. I don't claim to be that.

Aren't part of postmortem affects the postmortem effect of hair as you testified to today?

in the case of hair and case of, as to how decomposition takes place, I don't claim to be an expert I don't claim to have a great deal of knowledge. in that. All I can tell you is what I observed in hair in postmortem observations and that is what I have told you.

Q Getting back to this exhibit that you put in, now isn't it fair to say that in your known sample the banding is approximately four inches and the Q-8 sample is approximately five or more?

2	A To be a little more exacting, the scale on
3	the display
4	Q I am asking about the scale on that ruler on
5	inches based on those photographs based on the magnification?
6	A These are a real scale that you can measure
7	things on a microscopic level, that's why I put it in.
8	Q Can't you also use a normal household ruler
9	to take a measurement on those photographs that are there?
10	A Not for accuracy.
11	Q I direct you to take the ruler
12	MR. KLEIN: He doesn't have to direct him.
13	He is here to answer questions.
14	THE COURT: Your scale would be a more
15	accurate measurement?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Aren't you looking for an approx-
18	' imation?
19	MR. ROBINSON: I'm looking for a measurement
20	on a regular ruler on the length of those two
21	samples in as depicted on these photographs.
22	I don't care if it's one millimeter or two millimet
23	Can you do that?
24	A Yes. The Q-8 one is approximately four and
4	most of the banding is included in the picture. Most

 Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross

of the dark area you see above that is not included as pigment. The hair was fairly dark hair.

Q That's what your telling us?

A Yes. It's approximately four inches. The banding in the known, which was found somewhat after is approximately four inches.

Q Detective Petraco, that's not accurate, is it?
You are trying to mislead the jury. Don't do that.

THE COURT: You have gotten an answer from him.

A You asked me for an answer. That's the way

I measure it. I measure it from this portion of the band
to this portion of the band and that's four inches.

Q Take this red pencil and mark the area that you are measuring from. Show us exactly the four inches you are measuring on both photographs?

A (Indicating.)

MR. ROBINSON: May I see it? (Handed.)

Q Now, you have cut off the four inches on Q-8 before the photograph stops, is that correct?

A That's where the photograph ends. There is some pigment, very dark pigmented hair and also there is a little bit of banding above that photograph.

In fact, there are vacuoles above the area that

,	Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross 224
2	you cut that photograph off on, aren't there?
3	A A few vacuoles, yes. Some banding.
4	Q There is a continuation of the banding beyond
5	where you cut that photograph off, aren't there?
6	A Slightly.
7	Q Slightly. You have slanted your testimony here,
8	detective, haven't you?
9	A No, I have not.
10	Q In order to obtain a result that you like; isn't
11	that correct?
12	A No, sir.
13	Q You told us that you were asked by this District
14	Attorney to look for something for how long this was going
15	to be two weeks, four weeks, or two hours?
16	A I was asked to try to put a time to the banding.
17	Q In fact, you came up with these three samples
18	of hairs that you just happened to have on your desk,
19	is that right?
20	A That is the absolute truth. Yes. The two hairs
21	
22	Q Truth?
23	A Truth. The two hairs just happened to be on
24	the desk.
25	Q What about this one?

24

25

1	Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross 224
2	A That wasn't there.
3	Q That was the shortest one, the eight to 12 hours
4	that wasn't on your desk?
5	A No, it wasn't.
6	Q In fact, isn't that catogen hairs?
7	A No, they are antigen hairs.
8	Q In fact, this was such an important issue to
9	you that you spent a lot of time going over this?
10	A Every case is important to me.
11	Q That's not what I asked you. This case is more
12	important?
13	A No, not more than any other case I worked on
14	in the last 13 years.
15	Q You said you were on special assignment on this
16	particular case, weren't you?
17	A No. I was asked to look at it as I am often
18	asked to look at different exhibits on different locations
19	I sometimes work in federal cases. Most of my work is
20	in city cases.
21	Q You haven't worked for defense cases?
22	A I have worked on defenses cases and testified
23	for defense cases.
	Q When?

I have been called by defense attorneys to

•	
2	testify in cases right out of my own office.
3	Q When?
4	A You want to get a list, I will get a list.
5	Q When was the last time?
6	A Was I can't document when it was. But, I
7	have indeed done that.
8	Q Let me ask you this: This ten to 12 hour one
9	that you have here, I notice there is a darkening that
10	continues along the medulla, is that the medulla?
11	A I believe that is the medulla.
12	Q That isn't the end of the root, is it?
13	A That is the end of the root.
14	Q You do not know for a fact when that hair started
15	to decompose, do you?
16	A No. It could be an hour and a half.
17	Q It could be 25 hours after?
18	A Well, I have it from ten to 12, so it couldn't
19	be. The hair was removed from ten to 12 hours.
20	Q How do you know that?
21	A I was told that.
22	Q By whom?
23	A In that case, when I called up and got the autops
	report.
24	Q You called up, got an autopsy report. When
25	

Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross

was the body killed? 2 The person I can give you a date and time. 3 I would like data. That's the middle hair. 4 The person was struck by a vehicle at three a.m. on 10/19/8\$. 5 Who told you that? 6 This was what we received from the DA's office 7 who gave us the autopsy information. My partner received 8 it. 9 New York City DA's office? 10 My partner received the information and 11 gave it to me. It was his case. The autopsy was approximatel 12 two o'clock in the afternoon on 10/19/86. That's what 13 we were told. 14 You don't know whether this particular hair 15 was more susceptible or less susceptible to this banding, 16 do you? 17 No, I do not. 18 You do not know on the 30 hour one, whether 19 it was more susceptible to banding or not banding. 20 All I know, it was 30 hours old. 21 Did you look at the hairs from 30 hours, were 22 they all banded? 23 Some I looked at had banding and even some of 24 them didn't have banding as in the case of Theresa Fusco. 25

187

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

But one thing you do know is the hairs from Q were exposed for three and a half weeks?

That's what I was told.

And the ring of banding was consistent with Q the Q-8 sample; is that right?

All I know is that there were some hairs that hair standard and there had banding in were some hairs that didn't have banding. In fact, they looked similar. They looked similar in all the cases The banding looks similar. That's why I photographed each and every one. If you want to say to a degree, I don't know if there is - - does exist a degree in this particular phenomenon. They do look consistent. exact size may vary slightly. To my eye, I don't know if that is important or not important. I don't know. That's why we need more research in this area. For me to come to the stand and say I know for a fact what this caused, but I cannot say that. Whether there is a degree to some situation or not, I don't know whether there is or isn't. There might very well be. Again, that's why people are trying to research this. I have not researched this only for this limited study.

That was only for the purpose of coming onto this stand today and rebutting what Dr. DeForest already

told Charles Fraas when he first saw those hairs; isn't that right?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q That was your intent from the very outset of the investigation?
 - A It was not.
 - O Please allow me - to rebut Dr. DeForest?
- A It was not. In fact, I was asked by Dr. DeForest to originally get involved in the case because he thought I would agree with him because I received a phone call from him before I received a phone call from Mr. Fraas.
- Q One of the reasons you do not agree with him at this point because it's Dr. DeForest -
- A No, I do not. He's a very good friend of mine. I don't agree with him. If I found that it did take a week for this to occur, I would testify on the stand to that fact. That's what I do, I report objectively, not inobjectively.
 - I don't want a dissertation at this time.

 THE COURT: No sense in colloquy. Just answer questions.
 - Q That's why you cut off this Q-8 here?
 - A I did not intentionally cut off anything.
 - Q You did intelligently take the photograph --

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross

- A I tried to get the whole root into the photograph.

 I had a limited size photograph to utilize.
 - O The root wasn't important, the banding was?
- A Yes, the root was important as well as the banding because I wanted to show the root end and the banding does exist in each case and that's what I did.
- Q There is no question in anyone's mind because the root is there?
 - A Now there isn't because the root is there.
 - Q You show the root in K-1?
 - A Yes, I was able to fit it in.
- Q But the root is shown in Q-8, but you didn't show the rest of the banding sample?
- A Most of the sample is there. The B is in the middle of the banding.
- Now, are you familiar with the characteristic of debris on hair?
 - Debris, you mean like dirt fibers?
 - Q Damage to the hair?
- A There are various types of damage to the hair as far as dust, hair from a living person.
 - Q Actual damage to hair, broken hair?
 - A Yes, I am.
 - Q You have seen that, right?

1	Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross 2250
2	Q And is that consistent with being exposed in
3	a working environment?
4	A We are talking about dust on the floor?
5	Q No, not dust, damage?
6	A We are talking about hair from the floor?
7	Q Yes.
8	A Yes. Hair in any location, if it's shed and
9	there were many years or many days or many weeks, most
10	of the time, it takes several months to several years
11	to happen, but it does eventually break down and get ground
12	down and start to splinter and to fray and also has debris
13	on it and dust from the location in which it is.
14	Q Would five and a half months be sufficient time
15	to obtain that type of damage that you are speaking of?
16	MR. KLEIN: Objection.
17	Q If you know?
18	THE COURT: I will permit it.
19	A It depends on how much traffic there is in the
20	particular location.
21	Q And if you find
22	MR. ROBINSON: Judge, I'm going to have
23	to approach at this point, if I may?
24	THE COURT: Step down.

(Thereupon a discussion ensued at the bench

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

not within hearing of court reporter or jury.) (Thereupon a discussion ensued at the bench not within hearing of jury.)

THE COURT: Mr. Robinson?

MR. ROBINSON: I would like to have a continuance at this time in order to bring the slides that were shown and that are in evidence to the stand. The issue was raised now, is the issue of damaged hair. It was not indicated that damage could be damage in a van after a few days, a few months, a few years, depending on the traffic. If these hairs, Q-8 sample I want to ask him further questions in that area. I feel I should have the opportunity to show him the slides. I was caught by surprise I was not aware that Detective Petraco today. was coming in today. We finished direct yesterday afternoon of Halstead. I anticipated being done by 12:30. Halstead's cross was done by 11:30. I don't have my research with me. I don't have my notes on hair sample stuff with I'm trying to do the best I can because I indicated to you before he put this witness on that I was going to have a problem in going

too long. I may be bringing my own surrebuttal witnesses in anyway and I would ask the opportunity to present this witness with these slides to ask him questions about it. I still haven't touched on the issue of the slides of this particular photograph yet. That's one of the things I also intend to do is show these particular slides to this man.

THE COURT: Mr. Klein?

MR. KLEIN: The man has come in on rebuttal on one particular issue and banding, where Dr. DeForest testified. That's what we are getting into, totally extraneous discussions now. Beyond the scope of direct examination. I object to it.

THE COURT: Mr. Famighetti?

MR. FAMIGHETTI: I have no part in this discussion.

THE COURT: Application for continuance denied.

MR. ROBINSON: Denied?

THE COURT: Denied.

MR. ROBINSON: I want to show him the issue of Q-8 slides.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross 2253
THE COURT: I'm denying it.
MR. ROBINSON: You are stopping my cross-
examination of this man?
THE COURT: You may continue right now.
(The following ensued in open court.)
Q Now, if hair were in a van for five, five and
a half months, would that normally in your experience,
be sufficient time to have damage occur to it?
A It's possible, yes.
And if you find other hair in the area of the
Q-8 sample that you photographed here in this display
that had damage to it, would you then withdrawn.
Did you look at all four samples, Q-8 samples?
A I looked at a lot of questioned hairs.
Q Did you make any notes on it?
A Just that I was just interested in looking at
it, particularly banding, because that's what I was asked
to look at. I have just vague notes that I think I have

Ju it, particul to look at. something in my notes about it. I just have down that "Q's from the debris looked formal for dust. That means they had been breaking and they looked aged from being in dust.

Did you see in the Q-8 samples not the two that were supposed to have been a match, but the other two?

A Yes. They looked like they were being consistent in a dust sample.

Q Did you see them broken?

A I believe there were some fractures. I didn't make exacting notes on each one of the question hairs.

The only one I made exacting notes were the two Q-8 with the banding.

Q Did you see any broken, fractured hairs in the two Q-8 samples that you saw with the banding?

A I saw some break, crushing on the tip, could be a split end or crushed slightly.

Q You didn't see the main body of the hair; is that right?

A Just to the tip.

Q And that's not unusual even for a hair that is on the head?

A No, that is not.

Q On a live person?

A Yes.

Q In fact, the two Q-8s really don't show anything consistent, any characteristics consistent with lying in a van for any length of time, did it?

A They do not show the characteristics of being

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

dust.

Q Or damaged, right?

MR. KLEIN: He didn't finish his answer.

A They just - -

THE COURT: Have you finished your answer?

A They simply don't show the characteristic of dust which would be - - they have debris on them and they also have this splitting and cracking from mechanical damage, things like this.

- Q They don't have that?
- A No, not to any given degree.
- Q The other two did though, right?

A Yes. Most of the questioned hairs in the sample did have that type of situation.

Q Let me ask you this: When the hair leaves the body of a deceased individual, the banding will not continue to increase; is that right?

- A I don't believe so, but I don't know for certain.
- Q Well, when you say you don't believe so, what do you base it on?

A Just my own observation. I really don't usually

- to my knowledge, the decomposition or banding or things

like that, that we associate with postmortem, do not continue

when they leave the body. I don't know for a fact that

Petraco-People-Rebuttal-cross 1 it's a true statement. It's what I believe from my own 2 opinions, from my own knowledge. 3 You don't have any knowledge whatsoever from 4 this eight to 12 hour hair, is that right? 5 Only what I was told, sir. 6 Let me ask you this: We note the samples that 7 are known, the K samples came from the head of Theresa 8 Fusco? 9 That's what I was told. 10 Those hairs have been exposed for approximately 11 three and a half weeks, is that what you were told? 12 That's what I was told. 13 Those don't have any damage to them, do they? 14 They don't have any fractures to them. 15 No, they do not. 16 They don't have any dust to them as if they 17 were laying in the van, right? 18 They don't look like what you see in dust samples 19 In fact, they looked consistent with the two 20 Q-8 samples that were a match? Yes, sir. 22 They also look consistent with the banding effect Q 23 is that right? 24 Yes. There was banding in the two Q-8s and

, 24

also in the know. No question about that.

Q And the characteristic, the range of characteristics in this banding that were found in the two Q-8 samples that were matched with was consistent with the range that was found in the known samples found from Theresa Fusco's head?

A Yes.

Q So, regardless of whether or not these three items have banding in them in less than three days, you can't really draw any real conclusion, can you? You just say the affect does happen in those particular hairs?

A All I can say is I saw banding in hairs that were removed from people.

- Q And those are the times that you already testified to?
 - A That's what I'm saying.
 - Q You can't draw -
- A That's all I'm saying. That's my conclusion. What it's caused by, I don't know.
- $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ And you can also conclude that the range of characteristics of the banding in the known samples, the 20 slides that came from Theresa Fusco's head are consistent with the range of banding found in the two Q-8 samples, right?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

A	Ves.	the	banding	does	exist.
A	TEO!	CHE	Danaing	acco	C11 + D C 1

- Q The range is about the same?
- A I don't know that there is a range. All I'm saying is the banding does exist. There is banding existing in the known samples. To a varying degree. The banding was in each known sample I looked at. Some had more and some had less. Same with Theresa Fusco. And the two Q-8s looked the same as the same banding as in each situation.
- Q The two Q-8 banding, they weren't exactly alike?

 One was --
 - A One was slightly more than the other.

Q

The one shown in this particular photograph was the one with more banding, right?

- A I believe it has the least banding.
- Q Then the one that you didn't show had an even longer area?
- A No. It just had a wider area, not longer. Slightly wider.
 - Q Does this cover the entire hair?
 - A Slightly wider.
 - Q Isn't this almost to the outer area -
- A It depends on the thickness of hair too. The hair varies in thickness. The shaft thickness of the

25

as hairs go?

hairs. 2 The known hair goes right out to the edge of 3 the - - what do they call that, the scales? Α The cuticle area. 5 Doesn't the same thing happen in this? Q 6 It happens in most of them. But, the hair was 7 I believe slightly thicker. So, the banding was slightly 8 wider. 9 And isn't it your opinion that this banding 10 occurs because there is an intrusion into the hair, somehow 11 chemically, that actually eats the interior of the hair 12 and an airway or vacuole occurs? 13 I don't know. 14 Is that what occurred? 15 I think it's air. I don't know what the mechanism 16 is. I haven't the slightest idea. There could be several 17 factors that have to be researched. I don't know. 18 In fact, this whole area has to be researched? Q yes, sir. 20 But, that doesn't stop you from rendering opinions 21 about it? 22 Only as to what I have seen in my own work. 23 Had you ever seen a mixup in the lab as far

NNE: N.1. 07002 1 FORM FED.25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Have you ever seen a lab technician mix up known 0 and question samples?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Did you ever see all samples being mounted in slides at the same time?

> MR. KLEIN: Objection.

> THE COURT: Sustained.

If I were to show you slides of the Q-8 samples 0 that don't have damage and show you slides of Q-8 samples that do have damage, would you be able to render an opinion that they are different types of hairs?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Would you be able to render an opinion as to Q whether or not the Q-8 samples that were matched really did not lie in that van for any period of time?

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Based upon other hairs that had been taken from the precise area as the two Q-8 samples that were a match that had damage and these didn't have damage - -

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

MR. KLEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Did you understand the question?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

If I were to show you slides to show damage Q such as fractures and other types of debris on the hairs that came from the Q-8 area could you then determine how long those hairs had been there?

- No, I could not.
- Could you by looking at other Q-8 samples that didn't have fractures and didn't have debris on them, could you at least render an opinion that they had not been there as long?
 - No I could not.
- Now, the body itself, did you see that the body itself was not that decomposed?
- I saw some photographs. The body didn't look that decomposed to me.
- You had some experience in that area to some degree?
 - Yes.
- In fact, that decomposition would be a function of those factors that we spoke of before, temperature, light exposure to air, things of that nature?

1 I believe so. Α 2 This body was in remarkably good shape for being 3 exposed for three and a half weeks? 4 From the photographs, I would say yes, sir. 5 Isn't it just as likely that the banding effect 6 that you refer to coming within 30 hours or less could 7 have been retarted by the very decomposition that did 8 not occur in this body? 9 I don't know that it occurs because of decomposition. 10 It's just banding. I don't know what causes it. 11 If it were caused by decomposition, if you could 12 show eventually that decomposition is a function of this 13 postmortem characteristic, would that retardation of the 14 decomposition play a role? 15 MR. KLEIN: Objection. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 In your opinion? Q 18 MR. KLEIN: Speculative. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 MR. ROBINSON: I have no further questions. 21 MR. KLEIN: None. THE COURT: Thank you. 23 (Thereupon the witness was excused.) 24 MR. ROBINSON: I would like to make a record 25

3/87