1		THE COURT: Okay.
2 Cidobara		(Whereupon, the sidebar conference
Sidebar concluded 3	was	concluded.)
4	Whereupon	,
5	LYNN	S C A M A H O R N, was called as a witness
6		by the State, and after first being duly
7		sworn, was examined and testified upon
8		her oath as follows:
9		DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. MAI	RNOCHA:
11	Q	Would you state your name for the Record,
12	please?	
13	A	My name is Lynn Scamahorn, and my last
14	name is sp	pelled S-c-a-m-a-h-o-r-n.
15	Q	Are you employed?
16	А	Yes, sir.
17	Q	Where are you employed?
18	А	At the Indiana State Police Laboratory.
19	Q	In what capacity?
20	A	I'm a serologist.
21	Q	And can you tell the jury something about
22	your educa	ational background or training in that
23	area?	
24	A	I have a Bachelor's of Science in bio-
Scamahorn/25	chemistry	from Purdue University. In addition, I

Τ	lave in-house training from the indiana State Po-
2	lice. Which means I went through every test and had
3	unknowns before I even got a case in real evidence.
4	In addition, I've had other training in
5	hair, besides blood, saliva and related body fluids.
6	Hair examinations was an additional training.
7	This training lasted about approximately
8	a year.
9	Q Are you currently approved through the
10	Police Laboratory to do DNA comparisons?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q And which laboratory do you work out of?
13	A The Indiana State Police Laboratory that
14	is located in Lowell, Indiana.
15	Q Now with respect to hair examination, is
16	it possible to compare and unknown hair sample to
17	known sample?
18	A Yes, that is possible.
19	Q How do you do that?
20	A What I do as a hair examiner, is we get a
21	certain amount of hairs from a person, and this is
22	called a hair standard.
23	What I look for as a hair examiner, is
24	the color, the length, if it's cut. And then I look
rn/25	further beyond the color and length, and even micro-

scopic, things to the eye, or things you can see. Such as, you know, I can tell that my hair is not curly by looking at it. In addition we take hairs and mount them on glass slides and we look under a microscope. we look for microscopic things to compare the known hair with the unknown hairs. Microscopic things such as the scale

Microscopic things such as the scale pattern. There is something called the medulla which runs down the middle of the hair.

You can kind of think of a hair as a pencil, that's a good analogy. If you take a pencil and cut it in half, the lead down the middle looks like the medulla in the hair; the wood, looks like the cortex, and that's just the structural part of the hair; the scales, which is the outside of the hair, is like the paint on a pencil.

Q Now when you compare hairs, is it possible for you to make an exact match between an unknown and known?

A We don't do exact matches, we simply say that this unknown hair is similar to the known hair standard.

Which means everything found in the known hair standard, is also found in this unknown.

Scamahorn/25 Direct

1	Q So you will say it's similar on hand or
2	could be dissimilar on the other hand?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q And that's as precise as you get?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q Could you for instance on your own head
7	compare a hair that came off of it and some known
8	things in your hair, and reach conclusions similar
9	and dissimilar?
10	A Yes, that is possible. Because every
11	hair on a person's head is not exactly the same.
12	Q Do people shed hair from their body as a
13	normal bodily function?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Head hair as well?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Now were you asked by the South Bend
18	Police Department in 1996 to make some analysis and
19	comparisons to both bodily fluids and fibers and
20	hairs that were submitted to you with respect to a
21	, a
22	and also Richard Alexander?
23	A That's correct, yes.
24	Q And with respect to fluids, what did you
./25	first look for when you got those kits?
	·

1 The first thing that I would look for of 2 course is a visual examination. I would want to 3 look at -- there are many things contained in a rape 4 kit, and the purpose of the rape kit is to find 5 something foreign to the victim, to the person that the kit is taken from. 6 7 The first thing would be, there are things called swabs, and if it's a swab of the 8 9 vaginal area, I would look for an obvious stain. So 10 the first thing is a visual examination. 11 Secondly, I would do a series of chemical 12 and immunological test to identify a stain. because something looks like seminal material or 13 blood or saliva, I can't say that. As a scientist, 14 15 I would run tests to identify it. 16 Now with respect to the case, 17 did you analyze the findings in that, the rape kit, to determine whether or not semen was present? 18 Yes, I did. 19 Α 20 Q And in your analysis did you find semen 21 to be present in the items in her kit? 22 Α May I look at my notes? 23 0 Sure. 24 Α (witness examining notes) The case where Scamahorn/25 her kit?

Direct

1	Q Yes.
2	A No, there was no seminal material detect-
3	ed.
4	Q And if there were not an ejaculation in
5	that assault, would you expect there to be seminal
6	material?
7	A No. No ejaculation means there wouldn't
8	be any seminal material.
9	Q So at that point and time, at least as it
10	applies to serology, there was nothing further to
11	compare with respect to Richard Alexander's kit; is
12	that correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Now with respect to the Heidi Holdridge
15	case, did your examination of the rape kit that was
16	sent to you, did that reveal the presence of any-
17	thing?
18	A (witness reading notes) No seminal
19	material was detected.
20	Q Again, if there were no ejaculation,
21	would you expect to there to be semen?
22	A If there were no ejaculation, I wouldn't
23	expect it.
24	Q With respect to the
25	case, did you examine the items which were sent to

1	you in that for the presence of semen?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And did you find semen to exist in that
4	case?
5	A Yes, seminal material was detected in
6	this case.
7	Q And did you send that down to another
8	laboratory at some point to make a further compari-
9	son and DNA analysis between the seminal material
10	found in the Case and the DNA from
11	Richard Alexander?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Who actually in the Indiana State Police
14	Laboratory performed those tests?
15	A The further DNA testing was performed by
16	Carl Sobieralski.
17	Q And do you know the results of that test?
18	A Yes, I have a copy of his report.
19	Q And what was that?
20	A Okay, I have a copy of that. I can read
21	it for you, would you like to read it?
22	Q Well, do you know whether or not there
23	was a match or not?
24	A No, there was no none of the DNA was
25	consistent with Richard Alexander.

1	Q Now with respect to the
2	case, did you do any further examination?
3	A I did do a hair examination.
4	Q And what was the result of your hair
5	examination?
6	A The results were that hairs that were
7	found were sufficient to , herself,
8	and one hair was found to be it possessed similar-
9	ities and differences.
10	Q So some of the hairs were similar to
11	hers at least one of them had similarities and
12	differences?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Now with respect to the case,
15	were there items of hairs that you identified being
16	sufficient size or quality to make comparison in
17	that case?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Now with respect to how many hairs did
20	you actually compare in that case?
21	A How many hairs?
22	Q Yeah, unknowns?
23	A Unknowns?
24	Q (indicates affirmative)
Scamahorn/25 Direct	A I don't know that I have a specific

1	count, but I can tell you which items I did.
2	Q That's fine.
3	A Okay. I had hair on carpet sweepings,
4	which is our Item Two. I had hair on our Item
5	Three, which was identified as a bottle that con-
6	tained it was marked as pubic hair.
7	In addition, I had a plastic vile con-
8	taining several hairs in a vacuum sweeping.
9	Q Now with respect to the first hair that
10	you just testified to, did you compare that what
11	type of hair was that?
12	A Do you mean head hair or pubic hair?
13	Q Yes.
14	A I didn't specifically identify it as a
15	head or pubic hair.
·16	Q And what was your reason for that?
17	A Because of the length of it, and because
18	it possessed Negroid characteristics. And a lot of
19	times a Negroid hair is a certain length, similar to
20	the length of a pubic hair, because they are very
21	dark and it's difficult to see differences, the
22	darker the hair is. So I can't necessarily say it's
23	one or the other.
24	And so what I would do is just compare it
/25	to the standards that are given to me and say if it

1.	matches or not.
2	Q So you weren't able to determine whether
3	it was a head or pubic hair; is that correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q When you compared that hair to both the
6	head and pubic hair of Richard Alexander, what did
7	you find?
8	A I found one hair and this is Item Two
9	again, that one hair was dissimilar to both the head
10	and pubic hair standards from Richard Lee Alexander,
11	and another hair possessed similarities and differ-
12	ences, to the pubic hair standards from Richard Lee
13	Alexander.
14	Q But because of the similarities and
15	differences, you are not willing to call that a
16	match; is that correct?
17	A Right, I didn't want to draw a conclu-
18	sion, because there were differences.
19	Q Now with respect to the other hair in the
20	vile, did you again compare that to the known head
21	and pubic hair standards from Richard Alexander?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And what was the result of that compari-
24	son?
Scamahorn/25 Direct	A The result was that there was one hair

	1	that possessed similarities and differences to the
	2	pubic hair standards from Richard Lee Alexander.
	3	Again, because of the differences, I
	4	didn't want to call a conclusion as to the origin.
	5	MR. MARNOCHA: Pass the witness.
	6	THE COURT: Mr. Korpal?
	7	MR. KORPAL: Thank you, Judge.
	8	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>
	9	BY MR. KORPAL:
	10	Q In your analysis back to your analysis
	11	of Gwen Jensen, regarding Item number Two?
	12	A Okay.
	13	Q The carpet sweepings.
	14	You're saying in Item-a that the hairs do
	15	not match Richard Alexander's?
	16	A That's correct.
Scamahorn	17	Q But they were Negroid hairs?
Cross	18	A That's correct, they had Negroid charac-
	19	teristics.
	20	MR. KORPAL: I don't have anything else,
	21	Judge.
	22	MR. MARNOCHA: Nothing further, Your
	23	Honor.
	24	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am, and you can
	25	stand down.
	I	