about to give in the cause now on trial shall be the truth, 1 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 2 THE WITNESS: 3 I do, sir. REBECCA KATHLEEN RUSH 4 appeared as a witness in this matter, after having been first 5 6 duly sworn, testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FRIES: 8 Q. Could you state your name, and spell your last name 9 for the Record? 10 11 Α. Rebecca Kathleen Rush, R-U-S-H. Q. 12 And are you employed right now, Miss Rush? 13 I'm presently part-time employed at the City-County Α. Health Department. 14 15 Q. What do you do for the City-County Health Department? 16 A. I'm a lab scientist, analyzing water.

Q. And prior to your working with the Water Department, City-County Health Department, were you employed at another agency?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I was employed with the Tulsa Police Department in the forensic laboratory.
- Ο. In what capacity were you employed with TPD in the forensic laboratory?
- I was assigned to the surology department of the laboratory. I was assigned to analyze blood, body fluids, and

DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA — OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

- 1
- hair, in reference to offenses that occurred within the City of Tulsa.
- 3

- Q. If you could, please, Miss Rush, could you give the Court and Jury a brief description of your educational background and experiences in this regard?
- 5
- 6 A. I have a bachelor of science degree in forensic
- 7
- science, and a minor in chemistry from California State
- 8
- University, in Sacramento. Upon my completion of that degree,
- J
- I was employed by the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation
- 10
- in Oklahoma City for a year and a half, and assigned to the
- 11
- surology area; at which time, I received approximately six
- 12
- employment, I was then employed by the City of Tulsa until

months of training under qualified experts. After that

14

13

- ⁴ | April 16, 1989.
- 15
- Q. And what happened at that time? Why did you leave the Police Department?
- 16 17
- A. I felt it was time to spend some more time with my
- 18
- Q. You just recently had a baby?
- 20

19

A. Yes.

Department?

children.

- 21
- Q. So that's why you're working part-time with the Health
- 22
- A. Correct.
- 24

- Q. Have you previously testified in these matters before,
- 25
- here in District Court?

A. Yes, I have.

- 2 Q. And have you previously been certified as an expert witness?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. While you were employed with the Tulsa Police

 Department, Miss Rush, did you have occasion to come into a

 case regarding and Arvin McGee?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Can you tell the Court and Jury just exactly how your involvement with that case began?
 - A. Upon receipt of a sexual assault evidence kit, on October 30th, 1987, I determined what was called a rape screen, which is testing particular samples that were obtained from the victim, and preserving others for further testing.
 - Q. Do you have a report reflecting that information, Miss Rush?
- 17 | A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Do you mind if we take that, and we'll use that one as evidence, or do you have another one?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - (STATE'S EXHIBIT NO. 13, marked for identification)
 - Q. All right. This document has been marked for identification purposes as State's Exhibit No. 13. Is that the preliminary screening you were talking about?
 - A. That is correct.

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Can you tell the Court and Jury just exactly what that Q. is, what this preliminary screening does?

- Initially, the sexual assault kits are checked out of the property room, and it is then -- information is obtained from the sexual assault investigator, whether a screening needs to be done or not, on the case. If we receive that it does need to be done, we will type the blood. We will determine the blood type of the victim in the ABO system. We will also determine if the victim is a secreter. And then, we will view these particular slides that were obtained from the victim, in the examination, to determine if there's any presence of spermatozoa. And along with that, we'll test the swabs that were obtained from the victim to determine if there's any seminal fluid present. Those particular tests are performed, and then the samples are either frozen and preserved for further testing upon samples that may be obtained from a suspect or a defendant.
- 0. If you could, Miss Rush, you went through some things there that I don't know if everybody understands, the ABO system, spermatozoa, seminal fluids. Would you explain just exactly what each of those are and their relation in this case?
- It is -- A blood sample is obtained from the victim, at the time of the examination in the hospital. The purpose for this is to determine what her blood type is.

particular blood type that we use as grouping is known as the 1 ABO blood group system, where approximately forty percent of 2 the population are A, forty-five percent of the population are 3 O, nine percent of the population are B, and six percent are 4 Then we will test for the -- with the blood sample to AB. 5 determine if the person is a secreter. A secreter is somebody 6 that you're able to find their blood type in other body 7 fluids, other than blood. It's an antigen that's found in 8 other fluids, such as saliva, seminal fluid, vaginal fluid, 9 perspiration. This determination, sometimes, can be 10 determined from the blood, to determine if this particular 11 person secretes. Approximately, eighty percent of the United 12States population secrete their blood type in body fluids; 13 whereas, the remaining twenty, it's undetected. 14

Q. Let me ask you, Miss Rush, because somebody is a secreter, or a non-secreter, what does that mean? That doesn't mean they're going to -- limbs are going to fall off if they're a secreter, or anything like that. Just exactly what medical significance does that have?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. It will -- the significance in the forensic science laboratory and investigation is the fact that you can determine a person's blood type from their body fluids.
- Q. Other than that, it really has no medical significance? It's just something that eight out of ten of us do, and the other two out of ten just don't do? It has no

1 other medical significance? 2 I don't know if I could testify if it has any other Α. medical significance. I do know that it is hereditary. 4 never changes. But as far as the medical significance, that 5 would be beyond my expertise. 6 Ο. All right. You performed these tests on 7 8 Yes. Α. 9 And what were the results of your testing? 0. 10 It was determined that is blood type AB, 11 and that, from her blood, it was determined it was -- it could 12 not be determined if she was a secreter or not, so that was 13 inconclusive. 14 Q. Did you test any other substances found from 15 16 A saliva sample was tested from 17 obtained from the sexual assault kit, and it was determined 18 that she's a non-secreter, which means that she -- we cannot detect any of her antigens in her body fluids. 20 Okay. So for your initial screening, let's just focus 21 on that now, was anything else done to determine whether or 22 not was a secreter or not?

25 initial screening?

That was all.

23

24

Α.

No.

Were there any other tests run regarding just the

- A. It was determined, from the slides that were obtained from the cervical-mucosa area, the external genitilia, and the rectal area of the victim, that spermatozoa was present.

 Spermatozoa is the male reproductive cell found in seminal fluid.
 - Q. Is that what is normally referred to as male sperm?
- 7 | A. That's correct.
 - Q. So that was found in
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Where exactly was that found?
- 11 A. In the cervical-mucosa, external genitilia, and the rectal area of the victim.
 - Q. All right. Were there any other tests run, in the initial screening?
 - Q. It was determined from the swabs, the swab of those particular areas of the body of the victim, that it was determined that acid phophatase was present in the vaginal washing, as well as present in the external genitilia and the rectal swab. Acid phophatase is an enzyme which is indicative of seminal fluid.
 - Q. And how -- Where is that found, seminal fluid and acid phosphatase? Can that be found in somebody's blood? Can it be found in any -- Where can that be found?
 - A. The particular test that is performed at the Tulsa

 Police Lab is ninty-nine percent specific for prostatic acid

- phosphatase, meaning that this particular acid phosphatase,
 this particular enzyme found, is found in the prostate gland
 of the male.
 - Q. And how does it get from the prostate gland out into the open?
 - A. Seminal fluid is stored in the prostate gland; where the sperm is found in the testicles. At the time of excitement and ejaculation, the two will mix, and then that particular fluid will ejaculate.
 - Q. So, basically --
 - A. Will be secreted from the male.
 - Q. Okay. So the only time you'd find acid phosphatase, based upon your test, and ninety-nine percent of the time, is through some type of male ejaculation?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. It can't be found in women, naturally?
- 17 A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q. Any other tests that you ran during the initial screening, Miss Rush?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you have any further contact with this case?
- 22 | A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And can you tell the Court and Jury just exactly what that was?
 - A. On August 8th, 1988, I obtained the kit, retrieved the

- kit again from the Police Department Property Room under Victor 2253, and tested those particular items, as well as body samples that were obtained from the Defendant, and compared those with those samples that were tested in the screening.
- Q. Miss Rush, I'm going to show you what's been previously marked and identified as State's Exhibit No. 12, and can you tell the Court and Jury what that is, please?
- A. This is the sexual assault investigation kit that is provided to the hospitals to retrieve body samples after sexual assault. This particular one is one from the patient of Cyndi Gilbert. I can identify this by my signature on the box.
- Q. And when you obtained that from the property room, it was properly sealed and in proper condition?
- A. Yes, it was.

- Q. And when you went to retrieve it a second time, what test did you run on it then, Miss Rush?
- A. I tested the particular samples, such as the swab and the vaginal fluid, for the presence of antigens that might be present. As I talked about before, secreters are determined to see if there were any antigens which correspond to a particular blood group that might be consistent with either the victim's or the suspect's.
 - Q. Were you able to find any of that consistency, Miss

Rush?

- A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Can you tell the Court and Jury just what you found?
- A. It was determined that the Defendant, Arvin McGee, is blood group B. From his particular blood sample, a secreter status was inconclusive. Therefore, I tested his saliva. It was determined from his saliva that he secretes antigen B, and and antigen H, which is consistent with a secreter, a person whose blood type is B and is a secreter. It was determined earlier that the victim was blood group AB. From her saliva sample, no antigens were detected, which indicates she is a non-secreter, and therefore any antigens that may be determined from the body samples would not be consistent with her, but that of the doner of the seminal fluid.
- Q. So she couldn't, if you found some secreting fluids or fluid that had something that enabled you determine whether they were a secreter or not, they could not have come from
- A. That is correct.
 - Q. They had to have come from the doner?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Continue, if you would, please, Miss Rush.
 - A. Upon determining the particular blood types and secreter status of the victim and the Defendant, the external genitilia swab and the rectal swab were tested for any

antigens that were present, and it was determined that antigen
B and antigen H were detected in the external genitilia and
rectal swab, which is consistent with the victim's blood type
and her secreter status.

- Q. Anything else that you tested, Miss Rush?
- A. There were some hairs that were found in the pubic hair combing, which is retrieved from the victim at the time of the examination. Those hairs were determined to be consistent with the victim's. They were not foreign to her.
- Q. No other hairs were found?
- A. That is correct.

- Q. If you could, Miss Rush, could you tell us, from examining the rape kit that you compared, where are all the places that acid phosphatase was found?
- A. In the external genitilia and the rectal area.
- Q. Was there also some found in the vaginal washings from the victim?
- A. Yes, in the vaginal washing.
- Q. Can you tell us all the places that spermatozoa was found in the victim.
- A. Spermatozoa was found in the vaginal washing, in the external genitilia, and the rectal smear, and the cervical-mucosa smear.
- Q. The test that you performed, regarding this case, Miss Rush, were they, as far as the Tulsa Police Department and

DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA — OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

their lab were concerned, state of the art tests at that time, the most refined tests that the Tulsa Police Department could

conduct?

A. At that time, any additional testing that may have been conducted would have not been possible, due to the time between the time that the body samples were obtained from the Defendant and the time that the samples were retrieved from the victim.

Q. So, at that time, you were conducting the best tests you could?

A. That's correct.

Q. We've heard a lot about some genetic testing and things like that, where, you know, DNA markers and things like that, where, based upon somebody's blood, you can determine beyond any doubt that that person's blood was there. Are you familiar with these tests?

A. Yes, I am.

Q. What kind of tests are they, Miss Rush?

A. This, I'm sure, is known as DNA typing of a particular blood or body samples.

Q. At the time these tests were conducted, did you have the capabilities to conduct such tests?

A. No, I did not. And, at that time, also, the Federal Bureau of Investigation Laboratory was, at that time, only under research for DNA testing in forensic cases. So,

- 1 2
- 3
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- therefore, there was no other lab that it could be sent to for this particular case.
- So, at this time, when these -- when you conducted these tests, they were the most advanced forenscic tests that could be conducted?
- In the Tulsa Police Department, as well as our resources that we have.
- Q. We've gone through all that now, Miss Rush. I guess, what everybody wants to hear is the bottom line. And you've talked about different percentages, people being B blood, secreters, and non-secreters. What exactly did your test results come up with, regarding the Defendant and the comparisons that were made with
- My particular test does not identify the Defendant as the assailant. This particular test -- No test can do that, other than DNA. It only shows that the assailant of the victim was a person of blood type B, was a person within the eighty percent population, a person that was within the six percent population, United States' population, and that it is consistent with Arvin McGee's body samples.
- So your testing is not an identifying test, but more an elimination test?
- That is correct. You can eliminate a person from these tests, but you can't identify them.
 - Q. You can't identify them. So, and correct me if I'm

- wrong, if you would, please, Miss Rush, Arvin McGee is a secreter?

 A. That is correct.
 - Q. And they compile eighty percent of the general population?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And, right off the bat, you could eliminate twenty percent of the population, but you still couldn't eliminate Arvin McGee?
 - A. Correct.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And within that eighty percent, his blood type and secreter status said that he was ninteen percent of the population?
- A. Well, six percent of the United States' population.

 If you want to break it down into racial characteristics, it would be nineteen percent of the black population.
- Q. Okay. So it would be nineteen. And obviously the Defendant here in this case is black, so it would be nineteen percent of the black population?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And Arvin McGee falls within that range?
- 22 A. Yes.
 - Q. And he can't be eliminated?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. So, I guess, basically, what you're saying is you can

- eliminate everybody except nineteen percent of that eighty 1 percent? 2 That is correct. 3 Q. And yet, you can't eliminate Arvin McGee? A. No, I cannot. 5 I have no further questions. 6 MR. FRIES: THE COURT: Cross-examination. 7 8 MS. JACKSON: Just briefly, Judge. 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. JACKSON: 10 11 Miss Rush, I think that the substance of the Q. testimony that you're giving is that, certainly, Arvin McGee 12 13 falls into a category? 14 Α. That is correct. 15 But by your testimony, you do not mean to say that 16 Arvin McGee is the only person in the world who would have had 17 the antigens that matched those you found in the victim? 18 Α. No. 19 Q. That's correct? 20 No, I'm not trying to say that. 21 Q. Okay. And you're telling me that the hair samples 22 that you studied, you could not link any hair samples from
 - A. Correct.

Arvin McGee to the victim?

23

24

25

Q. And the only way that you were able to tell that Arvin

DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA — OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

Thank you. You may be excused.

THE COURT:

I think the Jury indicated they didn't understand the stipulations that we had a minute ago; is that correct? All right. I'll ask the District Attorney to state the stipulations again. You can consider this as though this person was here, and that's what they testified to. It's just done for expediency, and there is no dispute about it.

STIPULATIONS

MR. FRIES: That the samples that Miss Rush obtained and compared to the found samples inside were taken from Arvin McGee, and they were taken by a qualified doctor, and stored properly, and put into the police property room properly. The samples, the unknowns, that were found inside were compared to Arvin McGee's samples.

And the other stipulation was that Bob Yerton, who is an expert in the field of fingerprinting, compared the fingerprints that were found by Officer Uhles and Morrison, and they were found to be negative with that of the Defendant Arvin McGee.

THE COURT: Does everybody understand that? All right. Very well. Thank you.

You may call your next witness.

MR. FRIES: We call Judy Crane to the stand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Judy Crane, please. Place your left hand on the Bible, and raise your right hand. Do you solemnly