Cross - Pratcher - Milan Direct - Belling - Krajewski

1 or eyebrow was taken from off the patient? 2 I don't recall. A. And the pubic hair combings, did you examine 3 these or was that just -- how was that performed? 4 I gave the -- the patient a comb and I told her 5 A. to comb through her pubic hair and whatever stuck 6 to the comb, you put in a little envelope. 7 I have no further 8 Okay, thank you. MR. PRATCHER: 9 questions. Nothing further either, your Honor. 10 MR. BELLING: Thank you, Miss. 11 THE COURT: Michael Krajewski, please. 12 MR. BELLING: (WITNESS SWORN BY THE CLERK.) 13 KRAJEWSKI, 74 Franklin 14 R. MICHAEL Street, Buffalo, New York, after being duly called and 15 sworn as a witness on behalf of the People, testified 16 17 as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BELLING: 18 Mr. Krajewski, how are you employed, sir? 19 I'm currently employed by Erie County as a forensic 20

- A I'm currently employed by Erie County as a forensic chemist in the Central Police Services Laboratory.
- Q How long have you been so employed?
- 23 A Approximately two years.

21

Mr. Krajewski, in regard to the area of fiber identification, do you do that particular sort of comparison and analysis for the Central Police

Services Laboratory?

A. Yes, I do.

Q Okay. And prior to being employed with the Central Police Services Laboratory, where and how were you employed?

A. I was employed by Erie County as a toxicologist at the Erie County Medical Center, and for eight years.

Q And what background and/or training do you have inthe fields of chemistry and/or forensic chemistry or toxicology?

I've a four year degree from Canisius College,
I majored in chemistry, and I graduated with the
class in 1972. I've attended numerous seminars,
including a seminar given by the Drug Enforcement
Agency in Washington, D.C. I'm currently a member
of the Northeastern Association of Forensic
Sciences. I'm active in the American Chemical
Society and the American Academy of Forensic
Sciences.

- And have you been doing that since you came aboard over there two years ago?
 - A Yes, I have.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

- Do you have any specialized training in that particular area or has this been a part of any of your ongoing training?
- A. I don't have any specialized training, but, I have read some literature extensively, and on the job training.
- Now, is one of your duties at the lab to analyze so-called rape kits when they come in from the hospitals?
- 13 A Yes, sir, it is.
 - Q I'd like to show you an Exhibit which has been marked here on the outside of the box People's Exhibit 11 for identification, and ask if you can identify this particular box?
- 18 | A Ah -- yes, sir, I can.
- 19 Q And what is it?
- 20 A It would be what is known as a rape evidence
 21 collection kit.
- Okay. And in regards to this particular box, are you able -- able to tell where it came from and

- if it is one that you been involved in the testing of?

 Ah -- yes, sir, I can.

 Okay. What hospital did it come from?
 - A. Well, it's labeled that it came from E.C.M.C., and that's short for the Erie County Medical Center. And my name is on it in two different places.
- 9 0 Okay. And your name, as a matter of fact, is on
 10 a -- a seal which was originally across the closure
 11 of the box, isn't it?
- 12 A Yes, sir, it is.

6

7

- 13 Q And have you assigned a lab number with this item
 14 upon its receipt at the lab?
- 15 A Oh, yes, sir.
- 16 A What's the lab number on this particular --
- 17 A. Lab #33921.
- Now, pursuant to your analysis of lab #38921, did
 you have occasion to perform any analysis on what's
 been marked People's Exhibit 12, which is something
 inside Exhibit 11?
- 22 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 23 Q First of all, can you identify Exhibit 12 as being

1 the same item that you tested, and then tell us 2 what it is? 3 It's the same item I tested, because I dabeled 4 it with the lab number and inside that cardboard 5 container taken from the victim of the rape. 6 By the way, who is the victim associated with 7 your lab #38921? 8 A I would have to refer to my notes. 9 That's fine, you can refer to them. 10 The name of the victim is Leslie Werner. 11 And in regard to those particular slides, Exhibit 12 12, and, again, referring to your notes if you 13 need to, can you advise this jury what you found 14 -- what you did and what you found? 15 Well, I checked the slides for the presence of 16 spermatozoa, and there were two slides present, 17 one from the cervix, one from the vagina. And on 18 both slides there was sperm present. 19 Okay. I'd like to now show you Exhibit 13 marked 20 for identification, and ask if you can identify 21 that as something that you processed from this 22 same particular case?

Ah -- yes, sir, it has my lab number and initials

23

on it.

- 1 Q And what did you do with that particular portion
 2 of the Exhibit, and what did you find out about it?
 - A. Well, this particular Exhibit are particles of dirt from the perineal area of the victim. And in this particular instance, I mounted them on a slide and just preserved them in case we would need them in the future.
 - Did you have occasion to take a look at them at all in terms of what they were?
 - A. Well, I -- I identified them as including vegetable matter and ground soil.
- 12 vegetable matter would be leaves or -- or things
 13 like that?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And ground soil is ground soil, dirt.
- 16 A Dirt.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

22

- Thank you. Okay, Exhibit \$11, can you tell us
 what that is, and if it's something you tested in
 regard to this case?
 - A. Well, again, I have my lab number on it, 38921, and my initials. And this was a possible eyelash that was taken from the body of the victim. I believe this was -- when I received it, it was in

the envelope, so, this was collected at Frie

County Medical Center. I don't know who -- who

collected it.

- Q Okay. And did you have occasion to mount that on a slide?
- A Yes, sir, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

- Okay. And did you have occasion to look at it under a microscope?
- A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Now, Mr. Krajewski, first of all, in regard to

 Exhibit #14, did you have occasion at a later date

 to receive a sample of an eyelash that was taken

 from the defendant, Vincent Jenkins?
- 14 A Yes, sir, I did.
 - Okay. And did you compare that sample eyelash with the possible eyelash in Exhibit 14?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
 - And were you able to establish either that it was or it wasn't from the same person?
- 20 A. Ah -- I have to refer to some of the notes here.
- 21 0. Certainly.
- 22 A. In this particular instance, I could not make a positive comparison between the two.

person?

- Now, what does that mean in -- in laymen's terms, cannot make a positive comparison?
- tinctively different. In order for a comparison to be made, two strands of hair would have to be exactly identical, and in this particular case, it varied in the diameter, which is measured under a microscope. The hair taken off the victim had been cut, the end had been cut, the hair taken from Mr. Jenkins had a tapered end, which meant that it was not cut. And the hair taken from Mr. Jenkins had a different medulla, which is the center part of the hair. And, in general, I cannot possibly say that the two hairs were similar.

 Okay. Mow, from your analysis, could you say that the two hairs could not have come from the same
- A. No, I couldn't. It's not unusual to have different hairs come from the same person.
- Okay, let's move on, if we may, to Exhibit #15.

 I'll ask you if you can identify that as part of the same rape kit and something that you processed?

 Again, it has my lab number, 38921, with my initials

1 on it. And it contains pubic hair samples from 2 the victim. 3 Did you have occasion to mount those samples from 4 the victim on a slide? 5 A. Yes, sir, I did. 6 And what did you observe about the samples col-7 lected from the victim? 8 Α. They were two different types of -- of hair 9 present from the victim, one hair was a blond 10 hair or light brown, and the other hair that was 11 present from the victim was a black hair. 12 Mow, did you have occasion at a later date to 13 examine a sample of pubic hair collected from Mr. 14 Vincent Jenkins? 15 Yes, sir, I did. 16 MR. BELLING: Could I have this marked with the next 17 Exhibit number, please? (Whereupon, SLIDE, PUBIC HAIR was then received and marked as People's Exhibit #17 for Identification.) BY MR. BELLING:

21

18

19

20

22

23

Now, Mr. Krajewski, can you take a look at People's 17, and identify for us what that envelope is?

1 Well, this is an envelope that contains pubic A. 2 hair, and that was taken from Mr. Jenkins. 3 Okay. And when did you receive that envelope, Q. 4 Exhibit 17, for testing? 5 Let me look at some more notes that I have. A. 6 date that I received those were March 1st, 1983. 7 Okay. And did you have occasion, Mr. Krajewski, O. 8 pursuant to your duties at the laboratory to com-9 para the known sample from Vincent Jenkins. 10 Exhibit 17, with the evidence sample collected, 11 Exhibit 15? 12 Ah -- yes, sir, I did that comparison on the 4th 13 of March. 14 Okay. And what, if anything, did you find from Q. 15 that comparison? 16 Again. I couldn't make any distinct comparisons 17 between the pubic hair, the black pubic hair that 18 was taken off the victim, and the black pubic hair 19 from Mr. Jenkins. 20 Now, did you also have occasion pursuant to your 21 analysis to compare various known strands from 22 within Exhibit 17 with each other?

Ah -- yes, sir, I did.

20

21

22

23

C.

1 And what were the results of that comparison 2 analysis? 3 I had -- I could not find two strands of hair 4 that compared that were taken from Mr. Jenkins. 5 I observed about ten of the strands. 6 Okay. So, within Exhibit 17, the known Vincent Q. 7 Jenkins sample, you couldn't match any of them? 8 A. No, sir, I couldn't. 9 With each other. 10 With each other. They varied in -- in their 11 diameters. Mostly, they had a very irregular 12 diameter to them and all of them were black, and 13 they had not been cut or anything of that nature. 14 I couldn't call a match between any of them. 15 Now, one other thing, Mr. Krajewski, can you Q. 16 identify Exhibit 16 for us, please, tell us what 17 that envelope contains? 18 Exhibit 16 contains some swabs. And were those swabs part of the same rape kit from Leslie Werner that you tested from the lab? Yes, sir, they do, they're labeled with my lab number, 38921, and my initials.

And what did you test those swabs for?

A I tested the swabs for the presence of acid phosphatase.

0 What is acid phosphatase?

A cid phosphatase is an enzyme that occurs in a very high quantity in seminal fluid. I should point out, it's present in all body fluids, but, not to the extent it would be present in seminal

8 #1216.

- And based upon your training and education and analysis of Exhibit 16 there, would you have a -- an opinion as to whether or not there was seminal fluid present which those swabs were connected to?
- A Yes, sir, there's two swabs here, one from the cervix and one from the vagina, and both swabs contained acid phosphatase.
- 6 Consistent -- in an amount consistent with seminal fluid?

A. Yes, sir.

MR. BELLING:

Ohay, your Honor, I have no further direct examination. I would at this point in time offer into evidence Exhibit 11 and its contents, which are numbered 12 through 17. And there are other

2

II

3

4

5

6

7

g

^

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

contents, which I'd remove if it is admitted.

MR. PRATCHER: I have no objection, Judge.

All right, 12 through 17 in evidence.

Do you want to put them back in the box?

That's 11, Mr. Belling?

MR. BELLING: Yes.

THE COURT: You have no objection to the box?

MR. PRATCHER: No.

THE COURT:

MR. BELLING: Okay, your Honor. If I may, just so

the record is clear, the other items in

ll originally are a tube of blood and a

nail scraper, comb, things like that.

(Wherepon, People's Exhibits #11 through

17 were then received and marked in

Evidence.)

THE COURT: Cross examine.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PRATCHER:

- Now, sir, you said that you were unable to make a comparison between the ten individual strands of Mr. Jenkins, is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir, positive comparison, they were all the same.

Okay. Did you have the same problem with the eyebrow or eyelash of Mr. Jenkins?

- A The eyebrow and eyelash -- well, I only had one eyebrow here, I had three eyelash hairs, and the three eyelash hairs were similar.
- 6 0 They were similar?
 - A Yes, sir.

3

4

5

7

8

9

12

13

- So, you were able to make a comparison that there vere three similar eve -- eyebrow or eyelash hairs?
- 10 A The eyelash hairs were similar. The eyebrow hair
 11 was different from the eyelash hairs.
 - O Okay. Did the eyebrow hairs match that possible eyelash or eyebrow found on the complainant, Mrs. Werner?
- 15 A No, sir, there was no comparison between those two
- 16 0 No match.
- 17 A. No match.
- 18 Q The pubic hair which was found on Mrs. Werner,
 19 you compared that with the pubic hairs of Mrs.
 20 Werner, is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 And there was no match?
- 23 A No match.

- Okay. So, it wasn't her's, right?
 No, it wasn't her's.
 - 0 So, evidently, it belonged to her assailant?
 - A It could belong to her assailant, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Could you tell whether or not that hair belonged to a black person or --
 - A. A person, no, it could belong to a black person.
 - Okay. What were the differences between Mr.

 Jenkins' pubic hair and that one particular hair
 you found on Mrs. Werner or which was found on

 Mrs. Werner?
 - Well, in particular, the pubic hair found on Mrs.

 Werner had a pretty consistent diameter, it had a very prominent medulla, and it had a split end, the end was split into about four different branches. The pubic hair from Mr. Jenkins did not have any split ends. And, as I previously mentioned, the diameter of his hair was somewhat irregular, it wasn't a dentle taper, it would go narrow and get wide and then it would get narrow again.
 - And were all ten particular hairs from Mr. Jenkin consistent with that?
 - A. All I can say is that they had an irregular diameter.

1 0. Ckay. 2 And between the -- the hairs that I looked at, I 3 couldn't get a -- a positive match. 4 Out of all of the hairs you looked at on Mr. 5 Jenkins, did any of them have split ends or 6 branches as the particular hair that was found on 7 Mrs. Werner? 8 A. No, sir, they didn't. 9 0 They didn't? 10 They did not. 11 They didn't have that characteristic? 12 A No, sir. 13 Okay. Well, pretty much then, what the bottom 14 line of what you're saying is in your report 15 which you submitted in regards to your examination 16 could you read that report, sir, supplemental 17 report? 18 Yes, sir. Ah -- the report reads, "The pubic --19 MR. BELLING: Excuse me, your Honor. The witness has 20 been questioned and cross examined, I don't see any reason to read the report at this point in time. THE COURT:

OFFICIAL SUPREME COURT REPORTER

two sentences?

What have you got, one sentence there,

21

22

1 MR. PRATCHER: Three sentences. 2 THE COURT: Go ahead, read it. 3 THE WITNESS: The report reads, "The pubic hair from 4 the defendant showed marked differences 5 between individual strands. The black 6 pubic hair found on the victim did not 7 match the pubic hair taken from the 8 The black eyelash hair taken defendant. 9 off the victim did not match the defen-10 dant's eyelash hair or eyebrow hair. 11 There is no head hair from the defendant 12 for a comparison." 13 MR. PRATCHER: All right. I have no further questions, 14 your Honor, 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BELLING: 16 Mr. Krajewski, there was also no moustache or 17 beard hair submitted for comparison, was there? 18 1 No, sir, there was not. 19 Now, Mr. Krajavski, isn't it true that a person's 20 hair changes from day to day, whether they're out 21 in the sun, whether they swim in a swimming bool, 22 various factors can affect the hair over time?

Yes, sir, chemical air pollution, the sun, can all

23

A.

have an effect on a person's hair.

What about a change in diet, if a person for several months eats different things than he was eathing several months before?

- I'm not particularly sure, but, I do know that certain heavy metal shows up in hair if a person were to ingest arson -- arsenic. One way to find out if a person has been poisoned is to examine the hair for arsenic. So, in that aspect, the diet would effect the hair.
- Now, one other thing, during your -- your training and your study of the literature in this area, have you come across any studies that deal with hair comparison and the ability to compare hairs and to give numbers, statistics?
- A Yes, sir, I have:
- And what -- what's the statistical possibility of making a hair comparison match up?
- to look at 4,500 strands of hair from the head in order to get a match with any one particular hair.

 And, from the pubic hair, one may have to look at as much as 800 hairs, and it can be from the same

person. That gives an idea of how much a hair can vary just within one single person.

3 4

Okay. Sc, in other words, to match one hair from my head with another hair from my head, you have to maybe look at 4500 of them, is that correct?

6

5

It's a good possibility that you would have to have that many hair samples.

7 8

9

10

Okay. And doesn't say anything about one piece Q. of hair that I may have left somewhere else, comparing that with something, that's even a binger field, isn't it?

11

Yes, it is.

13

12

MR. EELLING: Okay. I have nothing further of this witness, your Honor.

14 15

MR. PRATCHER: Neither do I, your Honor.

16

THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir. You're excused.

17

18 MR. BELLING: One more witness, your Honor. Frank Rozwood.

19

20

(WITNESS SWORN BY THE CLERK.)

21

FRANK ROZWOOD, 714 Ridge Road, Lackawahna New York, after being duly called and sworn as a witness on behalf of the People, testified as follows:

22