#9 Logistic Regression: First-Year GPA

Troy Edwards

2023-03-26

a)

$$H_0: \mu_0 = \mu_1$$

$$H_a: \mu_0 \neq \mu_1$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

Test: 2-sample difference in means t-test

Random: assume data was collected using simple random sample

Normal: $n_0 = 194 \ge 30$, $n_1 = 25 \not\ge 30$, CLT fails, proceeding with caution

Independent: reasonable to assume that $n \leq 0.1N$

```
t.test(GPA ~ FirstGen, data = gpa)
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: GPA by FirstGen
## t = 2.4474, df = 31.406, p-value = 0.02017
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group 0 and group 1 is not e
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.03820979 0.41912630
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 0 mean in group 1
## 3.122268 2.893600
```

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_0 - \bar{x}_1 - (\mu_0 - \mu_1)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_0^2}{n_0} + \frac{s_1^2}{n_1}}}$$

Since our p-value (0.02017) is less than α (0.05), we reject our null hypothesis that the true mean GPA for first-generation college students is equal to that of non-first-generation college students. Therefore, we have enough evidence to conclude that the true mean GPAs for these two groups are not equal.

b)

```
regres01 <- lm(GPA ~ FirstGen, data = gpa)
summary(regres01)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = GPA ~ FirstGen, data = gpa)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                      Median
                                   3Q
                 1Q
                                           Max
## -1.19227 -0.31293 0.04773 0.37773 1.02773
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 3.12227
                          0.03308 94.377
                                            <2e-16 ***
## FirstGen1
             -0.22867
                                            0.0204 *
                          0.09792 - 2.335
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.4608 on 217 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.02452, Adjusted R-squared: 0.02002
## F-statistic: 5.454 on 1 and 217 DF, p-value: 0.02044
```

TODO

c)

```
regres02 <- glm(FirstGen ~ GPA, data = gpa, family = binomial("logit"))</pre>
summary(regres02)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = FirstGen ~ GPA, family = binomial("logit"), data = gpa)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                             Max
##
                                    3Q
## -0.8161 -0.5295 -0.4374 -0.3637
                                         2.2863
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)
                 1.0751
                             1.3527
                                      0.795
                                                0.427
## GPA
                -1.0381
                             0.4567 - 2.273
                                                0.023 *
```

```
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
## Null deviance: 155.54 on 218 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 150.28 on 217 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 154.28
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```

TODO

d)

Part 1

$$P(FirstGen|GPA = 4.0) = \frac{e^{1.0751 - 1.0381(4.0)}}{1 + e^{1.0751 - 1.0381(4.0)}} = 0.04405638$$

Part 2

$$ln\left(\frac{0.5}{1-0.5}\right) = 1.0751 - 1.0381(GPA)$$

$$1.0381(GPA) = 1.0751 - ln\left(\frac{0.5}{1-0.5}\right)$$

$$GPA = \frac{1.0751 - ln\left(\frac{0.5}{1-0.5}\right)}{1.0381}$$

$$GPA = \frac{1.0751 - 0}{1.0381}$$

$$GPA = 1.035642$$

Part 3

$$ln(2) = 1.0751 - 1.0381(GPA)$$
$$1.0381(GPA) = 1.0751 - ln(2)$$
$$GPA = \frac{1.0751 - ln(2)}{1.0381}$$
$$GPA = 0.3679345$$

e)

Model		$R^2(adj)$	S_e	F	p
$\widehat{GPA} = 1.17985 + 0.55501(HSGPA)$		0.196	0.4174	54.15	3.783×10^{-12}
$\widehat{GPA} = 1.160826$	+	0.2235	0.4102	21.91	2.018×10^{-12}
0.569504(HSGPA) - 0.284592(FirstGen)	+				
0.003677(HSGPA)(FirstGen)					
$\widehat{GPA} = 2.0684133 + 0.0016986(SATV)$		0.08842	0.4444	22.15	4.499×10^{-6}
$\widehat{GPA} = 0.2459 + 0.7593(HSGPA)$	+	0.2684	0.3981	27.65	2.647×10^{-15}
1.3010(White) - 0.2923(HSGPA)(White)					
$\widehat{GPA} = 0.99579 + 0.54004(HSGPA)$	+	0.2613	0.4001	39.56	2.303×10^{-15}
0.29842(White)					

Note: I did test more models with more predictors but they were too big to put in the table.