# Analysis of the Various Factors Influencing the Success of FRC Teams

## Troy Edwards

## 2023 - 06 - 16

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Literature Review	1
3	Method  3.1 Analysis of individual variables 3.1.1 Drivetrain 3.1.2 School budget 3.1.3 Team age  3.2 Predictive models 3.3 Data collection and sources	2 2 2 3 3 3 3
	Findings Analysis	4
6	Conclusion	4
7	Bibliography	4

#### Abstract

TODO

# 1 Introduction

FIRST Robotics Competition is a robotics competition in which teams of high schoolers build robots to compete in a game that is different every year.. FIRST is the organization that runs these competitions, and the acronym FIRST stands for "For the Inspiration and Recognition of Science and Technology." Each year, FIRST reveals a new game in which alliances of 3 industrial-size robots compete against each other on a field to complete various

tasks. Teams have limited time after "Kickoff" (the game reveal) to design, build, wire, and program their robot to perform the tasks required for the game. For example, in this year.'s game, CHARGED UP, robots must travel across the field to the Substation Area to pick up one game piece (a cube or a cone) at a time and then travel back to their alliance's Grid and deposit the pieces onto Nodes.

There are many factors that could have an effect on a team's success. These include general things about the team, such as the team's age, its budget, and its size, and also things about their robot in a specific season, such as drivetrain type and scoring capability. The goal of this research is to determine which of these factors have the greatest effect on a team's success.

## 2 Literature Review

There is not much work that has been done on this topic. The most relevant paper that I could find was "An analysis of the Success of FRC Robotics Teams" by Max Tepermeister. Tepermeister found that team age had a small amount of correlation with success, while team budget and team size had no correlation with success. However, Tepermeister used OPR (offensive power rating) rather than win rate to measure a team's success, which means that his results could be different from mine.

## 3 Method

# 3.1 Analysis of individual variables

I plan to analyze whether several variables have a significant influence on a team's success. Only qualification matches will be analyzed because the alliances are random and the data only covers scouting matches. TODO: ADD MORE HERE (HOW IS SUCCESS MEASURED?)

#### 3.1.1 Drivetrain

A robot's drivetrain can have a huge influence on how successful it is. FRC games are typically quite fast-paced, so a better drivetrain can make a huge difference. For most games, the playing field is quite flat, with few obstacles to overcome. This is true of both RAPID REACT and CHARGED UP. In games with few obstacles, teams will typically use some sort of "normal" drivetrain (i.e. one without huge wheels or anything like that). The three main types of drivetrain typically found in FRC are:

- 1. Differential
- 2. Mecanum
- 3. Swerve

A differential drive has normal wheels on the left and right sides of the robot. A Mecanum drive uses Mecanum wheels, which are special wheels with diagonal rollers mounted around

the circumference. A robot with a mecanum drivetrain can drive forwards and backwards, similar to a differential drivetrain, but it can also drive sideways by driving specific wheels in specific directions. A swerve drive is another type of drivetrain that can drive in any direction, but unlike the Mecanum drivetrain, the swerve drive achieves this by using two motors for each wheel: one to drive the wheel, and another to steer the wheel. This allows each wheel to be steered independently of the others, which allows for complex maneuvers.

These can be grouped into two categories, namely holonomic (Mecanum and swerve) and non-holonomic (differential). The difference between these two categories is that while a non-holonomic drivetrain constrains a robot to only move forwards and backwards such that it must turn to change its direction of motion, a holonomic drivetrain is free of these constraints.

A differential drive is usually the cheapest, since it requires no special parts. The next cheapest of these three is the Mecanum drive because you only need the 4 wheels and a motor for each. However, one major drawback of a Mecanum drivetrain is that the wheels are more prone to slipping. This means that a robot with a Mecanum drivetrain has a lower maximum acceleration before the wheels start to slip. It also means that in FRC, a robot with a Mecanum drivetrain is very easy to play defense against because a robot with a differential drive or a swerve drive can easily shove it it around. Finally, the most expensive, but also the most effective is the swerve drive. The swerve drive combines the lack of slip (and by extension, pushing power) of a differential drivetrain with the flexibility of a holonomic drivetrain. The main drawback of swerve drive is that it can at times be prohibitively expensive, with a single swerve module typically costing upwards of \$300 not even including the motors. This is because they are very complex, with lots of gears and custom machined parts.

To analyze the effect of drive train on a team's success, I will perform a two sample difference of means t-test to compare the win rate of teams with holonomic drive trains to teams without holonomic drivetrains.

#### 3.1.2 School budget

A school's budget can have a huge influence on a team's budget, which can in turn influence the team's success by allowing them to use more complex tools, purchase higher-end parts, and go to more events.

To analyze the effect of budget on a robot's success, I will create a model using school expenditures per pupil to predict win rate and perform a t-test for slope to see if the relationship is significant.

#### 3.1.3 Team age

An older team will likely have more advanced techniques and manufacturing processes at its disposal, while a younger team will typically not have these things. Team number is a good proxy to team age because the team numbers are assigned sequentially.

To analyze the effect of a team's age on its success, I will create a model using team age to predict win rate and perform a t-test for slope to see if the relationship is significant.

#### 3.2 Predictive models

After analyzing individual variables, I will create a multiple linear regression model using a combination of the above variables to predict a team's overall win rate

### 3.3 Data collection and sources

My data comes from three main sources:

- 1. Evan Kuykendall's 2023 scouting data from Glacier Peak, Auburn, and the Pacific Northwest District Championship
- 2. Jake Benjamin's 2022 scouting data from Auburn and the Pacific Northwest District Championship
- 3. The Blue Alliance

The scouting datasets contain information about each robot in every match that was scouted. An example of something that would be included in the data for 2022 would be number of pieces scored in the UPPER HUB while an example of something that would be included in the data for 2023 would be number of pieces scored in HYBRID NODES. The Blue Alliance only has more general data, such as match results (win/loss). However, it also contains information about who was on what alliance for a specific match. This will be useful for gathering data about alliance members to use in the logistic regression model. I also have data from the Department of Education for the inference test with school expenditures.

- 4 Findings
- 5 Analysis
- 6 Conclusion
- 7 Bibliography