LAB #3 – OpenMP – Persistent And Disposable

Timing comparison for OpenMP and PThreads – for AFFECT RATE = .03 and EPSILON = .03 on testgrid_400_12206

The actual number of threads created were always equal to the number of threads requested.

DISPOSABLE

Number of threads requested	PThreads(seconds)	OpenMP(seconds)
2	296	390
4	313	250
8	299	251
16	430	356
32	732	441

As can be seen above, OpenMP threading perform better than PThreads.

PERSISTENT

Number of threads requested	PThreads(seconds)	OpenMP(seconds)
2	277	260
4	198	160
8	254	188
16	489	247
32	705	302

Similarly here as well, OpenMP threading performs better than PThreads.

Summary-

1. Which threading mechanism, pthreads or OpenMP, provided the best results in your case?

Ans . The OpenMP program parallelized using block distribution and seemed to outperform the corresponding PThreads implementation both the disposable and the persistent.

2. Which threading mechanism, pthreads or OpenMP, was the easiest to implement?

Ans. Definitely, OpenMP was easier to implement. The workload distribution was taken care of implicitly. There was no hassle of creating and destroying threads. Whereas there was a tricky part in OpenMP Persistent model. Even though the OpenMP Single pragma has an implicit barrier, there was a barrier required at the end of the pragma OpenMP for in the pragma OpenMP parallel region. The absence of the barrier was causing threads to get stuck and not being able to exit from the program.

3. Which threading mechanism, pthreads or OpenMP, would you be most likely to select for a similar application?

Ans. Definite choice would be OpenMP. Not only because it is simpler to implement but also because it is faster than PThreads. OpenMP helps us to focus on the actual problem rather than thread management.

4. Under what circumstances would you speculate that the other mechanism, pthreads or OpenMP, would be preferable?

Ans. With OpenMP, we do not have much control over threads. PThreads give us that flexibility. Thus in situations where we require more fine grained control over execution, we will go for PThreads.

5. Were there any surprises you encountered in this exercise?

Ans. With Persistent OpenMP, an explicit barrier was required.

Also, even though we increase the number of threads, the time taken for convergence doesnot increase dramatically (as was observed in PThreads) even though the program has been parallelized. OpenMP persistent model consistently performed better than the OpenMP disposable but the timing result difference was not dramatic even with 32 threads. Observing from the pervious PThreaded application, this was surprising.

SCREEN SHOTS FOR PERSISTENT OpenMP THREADS FOR AFFECT RATE=.1 AND EPSILON=.1 FOR 2/4/8/16/32 THREADS

time /persistent 1 1 2 . /elegg/sss=5444/tsstswid 400 40000	time /paraietant 1 1 1 1 1/01000/00-05/1414/4-04-0-1-1 400 100000
time ./persistent .1 .1 2 < /class/cse5441/testgrid_400_12206	time ./persistent .1 .1 4 < /class/cse5441/testgrid_400_12206
dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 2 and actual number of threads = 2 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 86380000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 43 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 43626545.000000	dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 4 and actual number of threads = 4 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 109570000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 28 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 28512938.000000
real 0m43.704s user 1m26.319s sys 0m0.123	real 0m28.579s user 1m49.437s sys 0m0.195s
time ./persistent .1 .1 8 < /class/cse5441/testgrid_400_12206	time ./persistent .1 .1 16 < /class/cse5441/testgrid_400_12206
dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 8 and actual number of threads = 8 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 187460000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 78 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 78032529.000000	dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 16 and actual number of threads = 16 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 92560000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 46 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 46012154.000000
real 1m18.100s user 2m59.906s sys 0m7.615s	real 0m46.036s user 1m25.117s sys 0m7.462s
time ./persistent .1 .1 32 < /class/cse5441/testgrid_400_12206	
dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 32 and actual number of threads = 32 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 104650000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 51 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 51753367.000000 real 0m51.776s user 1m30.060s sys 0m14.618s	
7, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	

SCREEN SHOTS FOR DISPOSABLE OpenMP THREADS FOR AFFECT RATE=.1 AND EPSILON=.1 FOR 2/4/8/16/32 THREADS

time ./disposable .1 .1 2 <td>time ./disposable .1 .1 4 </td>	time ./disposable .1 .1 4
dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 2 and actual number of threads = 2 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 119360000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 60 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 59907396.000000	dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 4 and actual number of threads = 4 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 149900000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 39 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 38338422.000000
user 1m59.320s sys 0m0.112s	user 2m29.841s sys 0m0.130s
3,4	
time ./disposable .1 .1 8 <td>time ./disposable .1 .1 16 </td>	time ./disposable .1 .1 16
dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 8 and actual number of threads = 8 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 212520000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 86 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 85334827.000000	dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 16 and actual number of threads = 16 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 239840000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 115 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 115026904.000000
real 1m25.399s	real 1m55.093s
user 3m24.796s sys 0m7.794s	user 3m44.830s sys 0m15.075s
sys 0m7.794s	sys 0m15.075s
time ./disposable .1 .1 32 <td></td>	
dissipation converged in 75270 iterations, with max DSV = 0.0866713 and min DSV = 0.0780044 affect rate = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, number of threads desired = 32 and actual number of threads = 32 elapsed convergence loop time (clock): 260780000 elapsed convergence loop time (time): 126 elapsed convergence loop time (chrono): 125886076.000000	
real 2m5.954s user 3m56.031s sys 0m24.823s	