Table 1: The Knowledge Area, Algorithmic Foundations mapped against sections covered in selected OERs

			Khan Academy Computer Science Theory	MIT OCW Introduction to algorithms	MIT OCW Theory of Computation	MIT OCW Introduction To Algorithms (SMA 5503)	Harvard Introduction to Computer Science (edX)	HackerEarth data structures/ Algorithms/ Basic Programming	Standford Algorithms Specialisation (via Coursera)	OpenDSA Data structures and algorithms module collection
AL- Foundational	CS Core	Abstract Data Type and operations	X	X			X	X		X
		Arrays		X		X	X	X		X
		Records/Structs/ Tuples and Objects					X			X
		Linked lists		X		X	X	X		X
		Stacks					X	X		X
		Queues and deques		X			X	X		X
		Hash tables/maps	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
		Graphs (e.g., [un]directed, [a]cyclic, [un]connected, and [un]weighted)	Х	X				Х	Х	X
		Trees	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
		Sets	X	X			X			X
		Search algorithms	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
		Sorting algorithms (e.g., stable, unstable)	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
		Graph algorithms	X	X	_	X	X	X	X	X
	KA Core	Sorting algorithms	X	X	_	X	X	X	X	X
		Graph algorithms	X	X	_	X	X	X	X	X
		Matching	X	X						

AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X										
Parallel algorithms X			SHA-256)							
Consensus algorithms (e.g., Blockchain) Quantum Computing algorithms Fast-Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm Differential evolution algorithm AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X			Parallel			X				
AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X	1									
Blockchain Quantum Computing algorithms Fast-Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm Differential evolution algorithm AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X			Consensus							
Quantum computing algorithms Fast-Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm Differential evolution algorithm AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X			algorithms (e.g.,							
Computing algorithms										
AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X										
Fast-Fourier			algorithms							
Transform (FFT) algorithm			Fast-Fourier							X
CFT) algorithm Differential Evolution AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X										
AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X			(FFT) algorithm							
AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X										X
AL-Strategies CS Core Paradigms X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X										
Handling X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	17.0	GG G	algorithm							
exponential growth (e.g.,	AL-Strategies	CS Core	_	X			X			
growth (e.g.,			Handling		X	X		X	X	X
grown (e.g.,			exponential							
			heuristic A*,							
branch-and-			hranch-and-							
bound,										
backtracking)										
Iteration vs X X X X X X			Iteration vs		X	X	X	X	X	
recursion (e.g.,										
factorial, tree										
search)		T. A. C.	search)						77	
KA Core Paradigms X									X	
None Quantum		None								
computing Y	A.T.	CC C			37	37		37	37	37
AL- CS Core Complexity X X X X X X Complexity Analysis		CS Core	Complexity		X	X		X	X	X
Complexity Analysis Framework	Complexity		Framework							
Asymptotic X X X X X			Asymptotic		X	X			X	X
complexity			complexity		71	1			11	
analysis			analysis							
(average and			(average and							
worst-case			worst-case							
bounds)										
KA Core Little-o, Little- X X		KA Core	Little-o, Little-	X		X				
Omega, and			Omega, and							
Little Theta notations										
Formal recursive X X X X X X	1				Y	Y		Y		Y
analysis A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A					A.	^1		Λ		Λ

Al-Models Al-Models CS Core Formal languages, grammars and Chomsky Hierarchy Relations among formal automata, I alignages, and grammars and Chomsky Hierarchy Relations among formal automata, I alignages, and grammars and Chomsky Hierarchy Relations among formal automata, I alignages, and grammars and Chomsky Hierarchy Relations among formal automata, I alignages, and grammars			T	ı	1		T.	1	T	
Turing Machine-based models of complexity			Amortized			X				X
December			analysis							
Al-Models			Turing Machine-							
AL-Models			based models of							
Formal languages, grammars and Chomsky Hierarchy Relations among formal automata, languages, and grammars Decidability, (un)ecomputabilit y, and halting The Church- Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core KA Core Variety of the Church or the Chu			complexity							
languages, grammars and Chomsky Hierarchy Relations among formal automata, languages, and grammars Decidability, ((un)computabilit y, and hulting The Church-Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping X Lemma proofs Decidability M Reducibility and reductions Time X Reducibility and Trime X	AL-Models	CS Core	Formal automata		X					
languages, grammars and Chomsky Hierarchy Relations among formal automata, languages, and grammars Decidability, ((un)computabilit (y, and halting) The Church-Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping X Lemma proofs Decidability M Reducibility and reductions Time X Complexity based on Turing Machine Space complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation Rone Quantum			F1		v					
grammars and Chomsky Hierarchy Helarions among formal automata, languages, and grammars Decidability, (un)computabilit y, and halting The Church X Turing thesis Algorithmic complexity automata automata automata Pumping X Lemma proofs Decidability X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X			FOIIII		Λ					
Chomsky Hierarchy Relations among formal automata, languages, and grammars Decidability, (un)computabilit y, and halting The Church- Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core Eterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space Complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Thocrem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			languages,							
Hierarchy Relations among formal automata, languages, and grammars Decidability, (un)computabilit y, and halting The Church-Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness			graninars and							
Relations among formal automata, languages, and grammars Decidability, (un)-computabilit y, and halding The Church- Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core Example of the control of the cont			Chomsky							
formal automata, languages, and grammars Decidability, (un)computabilit y, and halting The Church Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space X Space X Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None None Quantum			D-1-ti		v					
languages, and grammars Decidability, (un)computabilit y, and halting The Church- Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core KA Core Correctness KA Core Deterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space complexity (e.g., P-pance, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None None None					A					
grammars Decidability, (un)computabilit y, and halding The Church- Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum										
Decidability, (un)computabilit y, and halting The Church- Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability Reducibility and reductions Time X X X Time X X Space X X X X Space Complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None None Ountum None			languages, and							
(un)computabilit y, and halting The Church- Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core KA Core RA Core RA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time Complexity based on Turing Machine Space Complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None None			graininars Decidabilitae		v					
y, and halting The Church- Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core RA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability Reducibility and reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space Space Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			Decidability,		A					
The Church- Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time			(un)computation							
Turing thesis Algorithmic correctness KA Core RA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time			y, and naming		v					
Algorithmic correctness					Λ					
KA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability Reducibility and reductions Time			A 1 i-1 i -							
KA Core Deterministic and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X X X Reducibility and reductions Time X X X Complexity based on Turing Machine Space X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X										
and nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None None Quantum		TA C			37					
nondeterministic automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum		KA Core			X					
automata Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time Complexity based on Turing Machine Space Complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum										
Pumping Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space Space Space Savitch's Theorem Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum										
Lemma proofs Decidability X Reducibility and reductions Time					37					
Decidability			Pumping		X					
Reducibility and reductions Time			Desidebilites		v					v
reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space Complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			Decidability		A					A
reductions Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space Complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			Reducibility and		X					X
Time complexity based on Turing Machine Space Complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			reductions							
complexity based on Turing Machine Space Space XX X X Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum					X					X
based on Turing Machine Space Space XX X X Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum										
Machine Space Space Complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			based on Turing							
Space X X X complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			Machine							
complexity (e.g., Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum					X		X			X
Pspace, Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			complexity (e.g.							
Savitch's Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			Pspace.							
Theorem) Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum			Savitch's							
Equivalent models of algorithmic computation None Quantum										
models of algorithmic computation None Quantum										
algorithmic computation None Quantum										
computation None Quantum										
None Quantum			computation							
computation		None	Ouantum							
			computation							

		Column vector representations of qubits Matrix representations of quantum operations								
		Simple quantum gates (e.g., XNOT, CNOT)	X							
AL-SEP	CS Core	Social, ethical, and secure algorithms								
		Algorithmic fairness								
		Anonymity (e.g., Differential Privacy)								
		Accountability/T ransparency								
		Responsible algorithms								
		Economic and other impacts of inefficient algorithms								
		Sustainability								
	KA Core	Context aware computing								
Matches		58	15	20	11	18	18	17	14	27

Table 2: Evaluating OERs using criteria from modified rubric from The University of Texas at Austin

	Khan Academy Computer Science Theory	MIT OCW Introduction to Algorithms	MIT OCW Theory of Computation	MIT OCW Introduction To Algorithms (SMA 5503)	Harvard Introduction to Computer Science (edX)	HackerEarth data structures/ Algorithms/ Basic Programming	Standford Algorithms Specialisation (via Coursera)	OpenDSA Data structures and algorithms module collection
Criteria								
Created	2014	2020	2020	2005	2018	2012	2020	2011
Usage requirements	Need to make an account/sign up	Accessible without signing up	Accessible without signing up	Accessible without signing up	Need to create an edX account and enrol for this course	Need to sign up for the full experience, but can access tutorials without doing so	Need to create a Cousera account and enrol for this course	Accessible
			Breadt	h, perspectives and a	ccuracy			
The information in the OER is correct	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
There is appropriate coverage of material in a clear, logical manner	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
There is accurate and recent expertise in the relevant subject matter	Accurate: Yes Recent; No updates in the last two years	Yes, accompanyin g textbook last updated in 2022	Yes	Accurate: Yes Recent: No, lectured in 2005	Yes	Accurate: Yes Recent: no clear updates except for leaderboard updates	Yes	Yes
There is thorough exploration of course content	Yes, for 14/58 topics	Yes, for 18/58 topics	Yes, for 11/58 topics	Yes, for 18/58 topics	Yes, for 14/58 topics	Yes, for 18/58 topics	Yes, for 14/58 topics	Yes, for 27/58 topics

			1		1			,
The OER provides	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
theoretical perspectives								
for the topic(s)								
There are no spelling	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
errors								
The OER aligns with	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
course student learning								
outcomes and								
objectives								
There is an	Yes,	Yes, MIT	Yes, MIT lecturer	Yes, MIT	Yes, Harvard	Yes, the founders	Yes, the lecturer	Virginia Tech
authoritative author	Dartmouth	educators	Prof Michael	professors Prof	professor Prof	are Sachin Gupta	is Columbia	lecturer, Cliff
involved with the OER	College	Prof Erik	Sipser	Charles Leiserson	David Malan	(credentials at	University	Shaffer is the
	professors,	Demaine, Dr		and Prof Erik		Microsoft and	professor, Prof	project director
	Tom Cormen	Jason Ku and		Demaine		Google) and	Tim Roughgarden	
	and Devin	Prof Justin				Vivek Prakash		
	Balkcom	Solomon						
Recommended by		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	
other users								
				Production Quality				
The content in the	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
OER is clear and								
understandable								
The interface and	Yes	Somewhat	Yes	Somewhat: the	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
design are easy to				lecture notes are				
navigate				below the lecture				
				videos and not				
				clearly sectioned				
The OER is designed to	Yes; discussion	Yes, practice	Yes, practice	Yes, practice	Yes, practice	Somewhat:	Yes; there is	Yes, interactive
promote learning	forums,	problems and	problems and	problems and	problems, tests,	interactive	interactive	learning,
•	activities and	problem-	exam questions	tests	interactive	practice problems	learning	visualisers, audio
	lessons	solving video	•		learning	and visualisers		resources
	included	sessions				but no audio and		
						video resources		
The sound quality is	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Yes
high for audio								
resources								
The video and audio	Yes	Yes	Yes	Average: the	Yes	n/a	Yes	n/a
quality are high				videos are a little				
				dated				
				Accessibility				
Transcript provided	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Yes
for audio resources								
Closed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	n/a	Yes	n/a
captions/subtitles								
provided for video								
resources								

Alt tags/long descriptions are provided for graphics	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
The OER is accessible in multiple modes	Yes	Yes: reading online and downloading	Yes, reading online and downloading	Yes	Yes, available in several different modes and platforms and media types	No, only available for viewing online	Yes	No, only available for online viewing
				Student Engagemen	t			
The OER promotes active learning/class participation/ collaboration	Yes, with discussion forums	No	No	No	Yes, on several different platforms	No; there are leaderboards but there are no discussion forums	Yes, there are discussion groups	Yes, interactive learning is encouraged
There is opportunity for students to test their learning	Yes, in the form of 'Challenges'	Yes: quizzes, practice problems etc	Yes, practice problems and exam quizzes	Yes, practice problems and quizzes	Yes, practice questions and interactive learning	Yes, it is interactive, and the code gets checked immediately	Yes, with practice and exam questions	Yes, with interactive code compilers
The OER includes a mix of instructional approaches	Yes: no audio resources, needs more video resources	Yes, but no audio resources and no interactive learning	Yes, but no audio resources	Yes, but no audio resources	Yes, but no audio resources	Yes, but there are no audio and video resources	Yes, but no audio resources	Yes, but no video resources
The OER includes multiple modalities to support student learning	Yes: graphs, images, videos	Yes: graphs, images, videos	Yes	Yes: graphs, images, videos	Yes, graphs, images, videos	Somewhat: interactive code compilers and visualisers	Yes	Yes
The OER includes additional faculty resources	Yes, after every unit	Yes, after every unit	Yes, with an accompanying textbook	Yes, in some sections	Yes	No	Yes, there is accompanying reading	Yes, there is accompanying reading
The OER includes effective and engaging student assessments	Yes	Somewhat: present but not engaging	No	Somewhat but it isn't engaging	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
			C	Cultural Responsiven	ess			
The OER provides for self-reflection and self-assessment	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
			Li	icensing and adaptat	ion			
Does the license allow for modification or adaptation?	No	Yes, under the Creative Common License	Yes, under the Creative Commons License	Yes, under the Creative Commons License	Yes, under the Creative Commons license	Unspecified	Yes, for non- commercial purposes	Yes
Is the OER easily modifiable?	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes, there is support available for this	Yes, nut no support available for this

Table 3: Summary of evaluating OERs according to the questions posed in 'Approaches to curating OER'

	Easily find-able	Clearly described	Clearly licensed (permissive license)	Trustworthy and valuable source	Easily modifiable	Self- contained	Free of copyrighted material	Recommended by other users	Imperfect but applicable to your use case
Resource									
Khan's Academy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No		Yes, 67% applicable
MIT Introduction to Algorithms	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes, approximately 50% applicable
MIT introduction to algorithms 2005	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes, about 65% applicable
MIT Theory of Computation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No		Yes, about 50% applicable
Harvard Introduction to	Somewhat	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes, 60%

computer science HackerEarth	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		Yes
Stanford Algorithms Specialisation	Somewhat	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
OpenDSA Data Structures and Algorithms	yes	Yes	No	Somewhat	No	Yes	Yes		Yes

Table 4: Comparison of the advantages and disadvantages of content curation vs content creation

	Curation	Creation	Generation (AI)
Advantages	Time efficiency compared to creating original content Diverse perspectives Cost Effectiveness Up-to-date information Flexible learning paths Access to varied resources and instructional formats/media	Time efficiency with creating very specific content as needed, knowing the needs of students Quality controlled sources Increased educator-learner engagement	Time efficiency compared to creating original content without assistance

 Limited personalisation for educator-learner engagement Potential loss of originality and engagement, Quality control challenges Overwhelming resource volume Dependence on external content Possible reduction of opportunities for innovation 	Limited perspectives Possibly tedious and outdated content Creating superfluous content Time taken to create original content Possible reduction of opportunities for innovation Rigid learning paths Limited resources	 Quality control challenges Possible ethical concerns Time taken to ensure the accuracy and cohesiveness of generated content Rigid learning paths Lack of diversity in resources Limited resources
--	---	---