# EECS 4313 Assignment 3 Data Flow Testing, Slice-Based Testing and Mutation Testing

Student Name — Student Number — EECS Account
Edward Vaisman — 212849857 — eddyv
Robin Bandzar — 212200531 — cse23028
Kirusanth Thiruchelvam — 212918298 — kirusant
Sadman Sakib Hasan — 212497509 — cse23152

April 7, 2018

# Contents

1	BOI	RG Cal	endar	4
	1.1	Data 1	Flow Testing	4
		1.1.1	Chosen Method	4
		1.1.2	Variable set	5
		1.1.3	Program Segmentation	5
		1.1.4	Program graph	6
		1.1.5	Data Flow Analysis	8
		1.1.6	Coverage Metrics	8
	1.2	Slice	Testing	10
		1.2.1	Chosen Method for Testing	10
		1.2.2	Backward Slicing	11
	1.3	Mutat	tion Testing	17
		1.3.1	minuteString Function	17
		1.3.2	isAfter Function	18
		1.3.3	sendMsg Function	21
_				٥-
2		tStore	· 1 D · 1	25
	2.1		rio 1: Returning User	26
		2.1.1	Overview	26
		2.1.2	Load Test Properties	28
		2.1.3	Executing Load Test	28
	2.2	2.1.4	Analysing Load Test	28
	2.2		rio 2: New User	31
		2.2.1	Overview	31
		2.2.2	Load Test Properties	34
		2.2.3	Executing Load Test	34
		2.2.4	Analysing Load Test	34
L	ist (	of Ta	bles	
	1	List of	f variables for the minuteString method	5
	2		am Segmentation for the minuteString method	5 6
	4	1 10g1	an beginemation for the innutestring method	U
L	ist (	of Fig	gures	
				_
	1	Contr	ol Flow Graph for the minuteString method	7

2	Code for the minuteString method	17
3	Mutations for the minuteString method	18
4	Code for the isAfter method	18
5	Mutations for the isAfter method	19
6	Code for the sendMsg method	21
7	Mutations for the sendMsg method	22
8	Updated code results for the sendMsg method	23
9	Updated mutations for the sendMsg method	23
10	Recording Controller for Returning User Scenario	27
11	View Results Tree for Returning User Scenario	28
12	Apache Access Log Snapshot for Returning User Scenario	29
13	Windows Performance Monitor Snapshot for Returning User Scenario .	30
14	JConsole Snapshot for Returning User Scenario	30
15	Recording Controller for New User Scenario	32
16	CSV Credential File for New User Scenario	33
17	HTTP Post Request for New User Scenario	33
18	View Results Tree for New User Scenario	34
19	Apache Access Log Snapshot for New User Scenario	35
20	Windows Performance Monitor Snapshot for New User Scenario	36
21	JConsole Snapshot for New User Scenario	36

# 1 BORG Calendar

# 1.1 Data Flow Testing

### 1.1.1 Chosen Method

- Class: net.sf.borg.common.DateUtil.java
- Method: minuteString(int mins)
- Method Description: This method generate a human reable string for a particular number of minutes. It returns the string in terms of hours or minutes or both hours and minutes.
  - mins The first argument is of type integer.

Following is the code snippet of the *minuteString* method:

```
public static String minuteString(int mins) {
  int hours = mins / 60;
  int minsPast = mins % 60;
  String minutesString;
  String hoursString;
  if (hours > 1) {
    hoursString = hours + " " +
       Resource.getResourceString("Hours");
  } else if (hours > 0) {
    hoursString = hours + " " +
       Resource.getResourceString("Hour");
  } else {
    hoursString = "";
  if (minsPast > 1) {
    minutesString = minsPast + " " +
       Resource.getResourceString("Minutes");
  } else if (minsPast > 0) {
    minutesString = minsPast + " " +
       Resource.getResourceString("Minute");
  } else if (hours >= 1) {
```

```
minutesString = "";
} else {
    minutesString = minsPast + " " +
        Resource.getResourceString("Minutes");
}

// space between hours and minutes
    if (!hoursString.equals("") && !minutesString.equals(""))
        minutesString = " " + minutesString;

return hoursString + minutesString;
}
```

### 1.1.2 Variable set

Following are the list of variables used in the *minuteString* method along with their types:

Variable Name	Data Type	Is Primitive?
mins	int	Yes
hours	int	Yes
minsPast	int	Yes
minutesString	String	No
hoursString	String	No

Table 1: List of variables for the minuteString method

**Note**: The last two variables, *minutesString* and *hoursString*, are **NOT** primitive types, and will **NOT** be used to perform the data flow analysis as specified by the instructor.

### 1.1.3 Program Segmentation

The following table 2 contains the *minuteString* method broken down into segments:

public static string minuteString(int mins) {	A		
int hours = $\min / 60$ ;			
int minsPast = mins % 60;	В		
String minutesString;			
String hoursString;			
if (hours $> 1$ ) {	D		
hoursString = hours + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Hours");	Е		
$else if (hours > 0) {$	F		
hoursString = hours + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Hour");	G		
} else { hoursString = ""; }	Н		
if (minsPast $> 1$ ) {	Ι		
minutesString = minsPast + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Minutes");	J		
$ext{less if (minsPast} > 0) {}$	K		
minutesString = minsPast + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Minute");	L		
$ellet$ else if (hours $>= 1$ ) {	M		
minutesString = "";	N		
} else {			
minutesString = minsPast + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Minutes");	О		
}			
if (!hoursString.equals("") && !minutesString.equals(""))	Р		
minutesString = " " + minutesString;	Q		
return hoursString + minutesString;	R		

Table 2: Program Segmentation for the minuteString method

# 1.1.4 Program graph

The following diagram 1 represents the control flow graph for the *minuteString* method:




Figure 1: Control Flow Graph for the minuteString method

### 1.1.5 Data Flow Analysis

- 1. du-paths for mins
  - All-Defs: AB
  - All-C-Uses/Some-P-Uses: AB
  - All-P-Uses/Some-C-Uses: AB
  - All-Uses: AB
- 2. du-paths for hours
  - All-Defs: BCD
  - All-C-Uses/Some-P-Uses: BCDE, BCDFG
  - All-P-Uses/Some-C-Uses: BCD, BCDF, BCDEIKM, BCDFGIKM, BCDFHIKM
  - All-Uses: BCD, BCDE, BCDF, BCDEIKM, BCDFG, BCDFGIKM, BCDFHIKM
- 3. du-paths for minsPast
  - All-Defs: BCDEI
  - All-C-Uses/Some-P-Uses: BCDEIJ, BCDFGIJ, BCDFHIJ, BCDEIKL, BCDFGIKL, BCDFHIKL, BCDEIKMO, BCDFGIKMO, BCDFHIKMO
  - All-P-Uses/Some-C-Uses: BCDEI, BCDFGI, BCDFHI, BCDEIK, BCD-FGIK, BCDFHIK
  - All-Uses: BCDEI, BCDEIJ, BCDFGIJ, BCDFHIJ, BCDEIKL, BCDFGIKL, BCDFHIKL, BCDEIKMO, BCDFGIKMO, BCDFHIKMO, BCDFGI, BCDFHI, BCDEIK, BCDFGIK, BCDFHIK

### 1.1.6 Coverage Metrics

Following are the existing test cases from Assignment-2 and their coverage metrics using the data flow analysis for the *minuteString* method:

- 1. Test Case 1:
  - Input: mins = 60
  - Path: ABCDEGIKMNPR
  - Coverage: 100\*(12/18) = 66.67%
- 2. Test Case 2:
  - Input: mins = 61

• Path: ABCDFGIKLPQR

• Coverage: 100\*(14/18) = 77.78%

### 3. Test Case 3:

• Input: mins = 75

• Path: ABCDFGIJPQR

• Coverage: 100\*(15/18) = 83.33%

### 4. Test Case 4:

• Input: mins = 180

• Path: ABCDEIKMNPR

• Coverage: 100\*(16/18) = 88.88%

### 5. Test Case 5:

• Input: mins = 121

• Path: ABCDEIKLPQR

• Coverage: 100\*(16/18) = 88.88%

### 6. Test Case 6:

• Input: mins = 145

• Path: ABCDEIJPQR

• Coverage: 100\*(16/18) = 88.88%

### 7. Test Case 7:

• Input: mins = 0

• Path: ABCDFHIOPR

• Coverage: 100\*(18/18) = 100.0%

Rationale: The testing technique used for testing this method is  $Equivalence\ Class\ Testing$ . It is a suitable technique for this method since the argument is an integer which is an independent variable and the input range can be partitioned assuring disjointness and non-redundancy between each partition set. We have chosen the partition integer range based on usages of minute, minutes, hour, and hours. In order to partition the integer argument into hours and minutes, we divide the minutes by 60 to get the range of hours and the remainder (minutes % 60) to get the range of the minutes. By covering all the cases, where the given input returns a concatenation of hours and minutes string, we were able to reach a 100% coverage for this method.

# 1.2 Mutation Testing

Mutation tests were run using the previous unit test suite that we created for assignment 2. The program used to run the Mutation tests was *Eclipse* with the *Pitclipse* plugin. The three methods that we tested are listed with their results in the following subsections.

### 1.2.1 minuteString Function

```
public static String minuteString(int mins) {
100
101
102 1
                     int hours = mins / 60;
                      int minsPast = mins % 60;
103 1
104
105
                     String minutesString;
106
                     String hoursString;
107
108 2
                     if (hours > 1) {
109
                              hoursString = hours + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Hours");
110 2
                     } else if (hours > 0) {
111
                              hoursString = hours + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Hour");
112
                     } else {
113
                              hoursString = "";
114
115
116 2
                     if (minsPast > 1) {
117
                             minutesString = minsPast + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Minutes");
118 2
                     } else if (minsPast > 0) {
119
                             minutesString = minsPast + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Minute");
120 2
                     } else if (hours >= 1) {
121
                             minutesString = "";
                     } else {
122
123
                              minutesString = minsPast + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Minutes");
124
                     }
125
126
                     // space between hours and minutes
127 <u>2</u>
                     if (!hoursString.equals("") && !minutesString.equals(""))
128
                             minutesString = " " + minutesString;
129
130 1
                      return hoursString + minutesString;
131
             }
```

Figure 2: Code for the minuteString method

```
102 1. Replaced integer division with multiplication → KILLED

103 1. Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED

1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED

2. negated conditional → KILLED

1. changed conditional → KILLED

1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED

2. negated conditional boundary → KILLED

1. changed conditional → KILLED

1. changed conditional → KILLED

2. negated conditional → KILLED

1. changed conditional → KILLED

2. negated conditional → KILLED

1. changed conditional → KILLED

2. negated conditional → KILLED

1. mutated return of Object value for net/sf/borg/common/DateUtil::minuteString to ( if (x != null) null else throw new RuntimeException ) → KILLED
```

Figure 3: Mutations for the minuteString method

As one can see in Figures 2 and 3 that the previous tests effectively kill all the mutants so no further changes are needed.

### 1.2.2 is After Function

```
public static boolean isAfter(Date d1, Date d2) {
40
41
42
                      GregorianCalendar tcal = new GregorianCalendar();
43 1
                      tcal.setTime(d1);
44 1
                      tcal.set(Calendar.HOUR_OF_DAY, 0);
45 <u>1</u>
                      tcal.set(Calendar.MINUTE, 0);
46 1
                      tcal.set(Calendar.SECOND, 0);
47
                      GregorianCalendar dcal = new GregorianCalendar();
48 1
                      dcal.setTime(d2);
49
                      dcal.set(Calendar.HOUR_OF_DAY, 0);
   1
50
                      dcal.set(Calendar.MINUTE, 10);
51 <u>1</u>
                      dcal.set(Calendar.SECOND, 0);
52
53 <u>1</u>
                      if (tcal.getTime().after(dcal.getTime())) {
                              return true;
55
                      return false;
```

Figure 4: Code for the isAfter method

```
    removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::setTime → KILLED

43

    removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED

44

    removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED

45
46

    removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED

48

    removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::setTime → KILLED

    removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED

49

    removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED

<u>50</u>

    removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED

51

    negated conditional → KILLED

<u>53</u>
    1. replaced return of integer sized value with (x == 0 ? 1 : 0) → KILLED
<u>54</u>
     1. replaced return of integer sized value with (x == 0 ? 1 : 0) → KILLED
```

Figure 5: Mutations for the isAfter method

Looking at the result of the mutation test result from Figure 5, it is inevitable that the method *isAfter* will still pass tests even after removing the lines 44-46 and 49-51. This means those lines (line 44-46 and line 49-51) can never have any affect on the expected output of the method.

This can be proved by adding a simple test case which creates two date objects and set their minute, hour and second to some value. No matter what value for minute, hour and second is passed with the Date object, the function will always create a Gregorian Calendar instance with values of 0 for the first date object. Similarly, it will create another Gregorian Calendar instance with values 0 for hours and seconds and 10 for minutes for the second date object.

### Example test case:

```
@Test
public void testIsAfterBug() {
    // Both happens at the same day, but at different hours
    // but the implementation ignores the hours, minutes
    // and seconds
    Date d1 = new Date( 2018 , 8 , 24 , 8 , 30 , 24 );
    Date d2 = new Date( 2018 , 8 , 24 , 9 , 30 , 24 );

    // This works correctly. The assert returns true
    assertFalse(DateUtil.isAfter(d1, d2));
    // This does not work correctly. The assert returns false,
    // when it should be true
    assertTrue(DateUtil.isAfter(d2, d1));
}
```

### **Bug Report:**

- Bug Report Title: The *isAfter* function ignores hours, minutes and seconds fields from the passed in date object.
- Reported by: Sadman Sakib Hasan
- Date reported: Saturday, March 24, 2018
- Program (or component) name: BORG Calendar version 1.8.3
- Configuration(s):

System Info

- Operating System: Windows 10 Pro 64-bit (10.0, Build 16299)
- Language: English (Regional Setting: English)
- System Manufacturer: Hewlett-Packard
- System Model: HP 15 TouchSmart Notebook PC
- BIOS: F.10
- Processor: AMD A6-5200 APU with Radeon(TM) HD Graphics (4 CPUs),
   2.0GHz
- Memory: 6144MB RAMJava Version: 1.8.0\_151-b12
- Report type: Coding Error
- Reproducibility: 100%
- Severity: Medium
- **Problem summary**: The *isAfter* method automatically sets the HOUR\_OF\_DAY, MINUTE, and SECOND values of the newly created Gregorian Calendar object from the passed in dates d1 and d2 to a constant value.
- Problem description: The isAfter method in net.sf.borg.common.DateUtil does not take into account the hours, minutes, and seconds of the given date objects when comparing the two dates. A newly Gregorian Calendar object is created for both argument, d1 and d2, and the hours, minutes and seconds are set to 0 for d1's calendar instance whereas the hours and seconds are set to 0 and minute set to 10 for d2's calendar instance. This causes an issue when there are comparison between two dates that are in the same day, and hours, minutes and seconds differ as shown in the example test case 1.3.2.

### • Steps to Reproduce:

- Create two date objects, d1 and d2, with the day set to the same value and hours, minutes and seconds set to different values.
- Compare the two dates using isAfter function.
- The isAfter function will always return false regardless of the fact if d1 is ahead on hours/minutes/seconds or vice versa.
- New or old bug: New

### 1.2.3 sendMsg Function

```
33
             public static String sendMsg(String host, int port, String msg) throws IOException {
34
                      Socket s = null;
                      String line = null;
35
36
37
                               s = new Socket(host, port);
38
                               BufferedReader sin = new BufferedReader(new InputStreamReader(s
39
                                                .getInputStream()));
40
                               PrintStream sout = new PrintStream(s.getOutputStream());
                               sout.println(msg);
41 1
42
                               line = sin.readLine();
43
                               // Check if connection is closed (i.e. for EOF)
                               if (line == null) {
44 <u>1</u>
45
                                       log.info("Connection closed by server.");
46
                               }
47
                      } catch (IOException e) {
48 <u>1</u>
                               if (s != null)
49 <u>1</u>
                                        s.close();
50
                               throw e;
51
52
                      // Always be sure to close the socket
53
                      finally {
54
                               try {
55 <mark>2</mark>
                                        if (s != null)
56 <u>2</u>
                                                s.close();
                              } catch (IOException e2) {
57
58
                                       // empty
59
60
61
62 <u>1</u>
                      return line;
63
```

Figure 6: Code for the sendMsg method

```
41  1. removed call to java/io/PrintStream::println → TIMED_OUT
44  1. negated conditional → SURVIVED
48  1. negated conditional → TIMED_OUT
49  1. removed call to java/net/Socket::close → NO_COVERAGE
55  1. negated conditional → SURVIVED
56  2. negated conditional → KILLED
56  2. removed call to java/net/Socket::close → SURVIVED
56  2. removed call to java/net/Socket::close → TIMED_OUT
62  1. mutated return of Object value for net/sf/borg/common/SocketClient::sendMsg to ( if (x != null) null else throw new RuntimeException ) → KILLED
```

Figure 7: Mutations for the sendMsg method

The results show that not all mutants have been killed. From Figure 6 we can see that our mutation testing results can be possibly improved if more tests on the server and socket state are created.

### After Additional Test Cases

```
33
             public static String sendMsg(String host, int port, String msg) throws IOException {
34
                      Socket s = null;
35
                      String line = null;
36
                      try {
37
                               s = new Socket(host, port);
38
                               BufferedReader sin = new BufferedReader(new InputStreamReader(s
39
                                                .getInputStream()));
40
                               PrintStream sout = new PrintStream(s.getOutputStream());
41 <u>1</u>
                               sout.println(msg);
42
                               line = sin.readLine();
43
                               // Check if connection is closed (i.e. for EOF)
44 <u>1</u>
                               if (line == null) {
45
                                       log.info("Connection closed by server.");
46
                               }
47
                      } catch (IOException e) {
48 <u>1</u>
                               if (s != null)
49 <u>1</u>
                                        s.close();
50
                               throw e;
51
52
                      // Always be sure to close the socket
53
                      finally {
54
                               try {
55 <u>2</u>
                                       if (s != null)
56 <u>2</u>
                                                s.close();
57
                               } catch (IOException e2) {
58
                                        // empty
59
                               }
60
61
62 <u>1</u>
                      return line;
63
             }
```

Figure 8: Updated code results for the sendMsg method

```
Mutations

1 1. removed call to java/io/PrintStream::println + TIMED_OUT

1 1. negated conditional + KILLED

2 1. negated conditional + KILLED

3 1. removed call to java/net/Socket::close + NO_COVERAGE

5 1. negated conditional + TIMED_OUT

2. negated conditional + KILLED

5 1. removed call to java/net/Socket::close + SURVIVED

5 2. removed call to java/net/Socket::close + TIMED_OUT

6 2. negated conditional + KILLED

6 3. removed call to java/net/Socket::close + TIMED_OUT

6 4. mutated return of Object value for net/sf/borg/common/SocketClient::sendMsg to ( if (x != null) null else throw new RuntimeException ) + KILLED
```

Figure 9: Updated mutations for the sendMsg method

The test case shown below was added and improved results to what is shown in Figures 8 and 9. This test case kills the mutant at lines 44, 48 without increasing line coverage. Thus, showing how test cases built for coverage alone are not sufficient.

The remaining bugs all relate to the *close* function of the Socket object instantiated in the sendMsg method. When Pitclipse mutates the close function and removes it, this action causes a timeout. Which is why the sendMsg method is defensively programmed to ensure that the socket connection is closed after the message is sent. Due to the amount of defensive programming and case of timeouts, it is not possible to achieve 100% only using mutation testing for the method sendMsg.

Listing 1: Additional test case for sendMsg

```
@Test
public void checkServerAlive() {
   String msg = null;
   SocketServer ss;
   String response;
    try {
       ss = new SocketServer(2922, this);
       response = SocketClient.sendMsg("localhost", 2922, msg);
       assertTrue(ss.isAlive());
   } catch (IOException e) {
       // TODO Auto-generated catch block
       e.printStackTrace();
   }
}
```

# 2 JPetStore

After exploring the JPetStore system, we came up with some realistic non-trivial test scenarios that can be carried out for load testing using JMeter. The following subsections cover each scenarios, description on how it was load tested and the result analysis of the load test.

Following are the system specifications for which the load test was conducted under:

- Operating System: Windows 10 Pro 64-bit (10.0, Build 16299)
- Language: English (Regional Setting: English)
- System Manufacturer: Hewlett-Packard
- System Model: HP 15 TouchSmart Notebook PC
- **BIOS**: F.10
- **Processor**: AMD A6-5200 APU with Radeon(TM) HD Graphics (4 CPUs), 2.0GHz
- Memory: 6144MB RAM
- **Java Version**: 1.8.0<sub>-</sub>151-b12
- Apache Tomcat Version: 7.0.85
- **JMeter Version**: 2.11 r1554548

# 2.1 Scenario 1: Returning User

### 2.1.1 Overview

The first test scenario is for an existing user in the system. The testing scenario will consist of a returning user logging in, selecting one of each of the 5 possible items sold in JPetStore, adding the items to the cart, performing a checkout of the cart and finally logging out. The following describes an exact breakdown of the steps the load test will carry out:

- Access the JPetStore Homepage (http://localhost:8080/jpetstore/).
- Click Enter the Store.
- Click on the Sign in button.
- Enter sign-in credentials and click Login By default, we will be using j2ee user for this scenario.
- ullet Go to the Fish section, select a fish item, add it to the cart and return to the main menu.
- Go to the *Dogs* section, select a dog item, add it to the cart and return to the main menu.
- Go to the *Reptiles* section, select a reptile item, add it to the cart and return to the main menu.
- Go to the *Cats* section, select a cat item, add it to the cart and return to the main menu.
- Go to the *Birds* section, select a bird item, add it to the cart.
- Proceed to checkout and follow the steps until the order has been placed.
- Return back to the main menu and sign out.

The following images depicts the Recording Controller for the test case scenario and the pages our load test will navigate through per each iteration:




Figure 10: Recording Controller for Returning User Scenario

### 2.1.2 Load Test Properties

Following are the load test properties applied for testing this scenario:

- Number of Thread (users): 5
- Ramp-up Period (in seconds): 10
- Loop Count: 30
- Thread Delay (in milliseconds): 1000

# 2.1.3 Executing Load Test

After setting up the load test plan using JMeter, we executed the test. The test run was for approximately 18 minutes completing all 30 iterations. The following diagrams show the result tree of the test run.




Figure 11: View Results Tree for Returning User Scenario

## 2.1.4 Analysing Load Test

The following statistics were gathered from the Apache access logs. The access log file was parsed to get the start of the load test and the end of the load test and the total time of the load test execution.

Within that timeframe, the total number of requests was found by simply executing:  $wc - l \ ruser\_log.txt$ . The total number of GET and POST requests were found by executing grep - c - w "GET"  $ruser\_log.txt$  and grep - c - w "POST"  $ruser\_log.txt$  respectively.

- Test Duration: Approximately 18 minutes
- Total Number of Requests: 4714
- Request Rate: 4.36 requests/second
- Number of GET Requests: 4399 (93% of all requests)
- Number of POST Requests: 315 (7% of all requests)
- Success HTTP Codes: 200 (Success) and 302 (Found)
- Failure HTTP Codes: None

Following is a snapshot of the Apache access log:



Figure 12: Apache Access Log Snapshot for Returning User Scenario

Following is a snapshot of the Windows Performance Monitor and Java Monitor Console during the load test execution:




Figure 13: Windows Performance Monitor Snapshot for Returning User Scenario




Figure 14: JConsole Snapshot for Returning User Scenario

**Conclusion**: The load test scenario for returning user made about 4714 requests and the test ran for approximately 18 minutes. Despite some natural high spikes on the performance monitor and java monitor, the load test was carried out successfully without any crashes or unexpected behaviour in the application.

### 2.2 Scenario 2: New User

### 2.2.1 Overview

The second test scenario is for a new user in the system. The testing scenario will consist of registering a new user, selecting one of each of the 5 possible items sold in JPetStore, adding the items to the cart, performing a checkout of the cart and finally logging out. The following describes an exact breakdown of the steps the load test will carry out:

- Access the JPetStore Homepage (http://localhost:8080/jpetstore/).
- Click Enter the Store.
- Click on the Sign in button.
- Click Register now.
- Enter the sign-up credentials and click  $Create\ Account$ . The username and password for signing up would be loaded from a CSV file, whereas the other fields will be supplied the value of abc.
- Go to the *Fish* section, select a fish item, add it to the cart and return to the main menu.
- Go to the *Dogs* section, select a dog item, add it to the cart and return to the main menu.
- Go to the *Reptiles* section, select a reptile item, add it to the cart and return to the main menu.
- Go to the *Cats* section, select a cat item, add it to the cart and return to the main menu.
- Go to the *Birds* section, select a bird item, add it to the cart.
- Proceed to checkout and follow the steps until the order has been placed.
- Return back to the main menu and sign out.

The following images depicts the Recording Controller for the test case scenario and the pages our load test will navigate through per each iteration:




Figure 15: Recording Controller for New User Scenario

The following images depicts the snapshot of the CSV file containing usernames and password for registering new users. On the POST request for registering a new user we load the credentials and use them through variable names such as  $\{username\}$  and  $\{password\}$ .




Figure 16: CSV Credential File for New User Scenario




Figure 17: HTTP Post Request for New User Scenario

### 2.2.2 Load Test Properties

Following are the load test properties applied for testing this scenario:

- Number of Thread (users): 5
- Ramp-up Period (in seconds): 5
- Loop Count: 30
- Thread Delay (in milliseconds): 1000

### 2.2.3 Executing Load Test

After setting up the load test plan using JMeter, we executed the test. The test run was for approximately 15 minutes completing all 30 iterations. The following diagrams show the result tree of the test run.




Figure 18: View Results Tree for New User Scenario

# 2.2.4 Analysing Load Test

The following statistics were gathered from the Apache access logs. The access log file was parsed to get the start of the load test and the end of the load test and the total time of the load test execution.

Within that timeframe, the total number of requests was found by simply executing: wc-l  $nuser\_log.txt$ . The total number of GET and POST requests were found by executing grep-c-w "GET"  $nuser\_log.txt$  and grep-c-w "POST"  $nuser\_log.txt$  respectively.

- Test Duration: Approximately 15 minutes
- Total Number of Requests: 7500
- Request Rate: 8.33 requests/second
- Number of GET Requests: 7000 (93% of all requests)
- Number of POST Requests: 500 (7% of all requests)
- Success HTTP Codes: 200 (Success) and 302 (Found)
- Failure HTTP Codes: None

Following is a snapshot of the Apache access log:



Figure 19: Apache Access Log Snapshot for New User Scenario

Following is a snapshot of the Windows Performance Monitor and Java Monitor Console during the load test execution:




Figure 20: Windows Performance Monitor Snapshot for New User Scenario




Figure 21: JConsole Snapshot for New User Scenario

**Conclusion**: The load test scenario for new user made about 7500 requests and the test ran for approximately 15 minutes. Despite some natural high spikes on the performance monitor and java monitor, the load test was carried out successfully without any crashes or unexpected behaviour in the application.