

EECS 4313 Assignment 3

Data Flow Testing, Slice-Based Testing and Mutation Testing

Student Name — Student Number — EECS Account

Edward Vaisman — 212849857 — eddyv

Robin Bandzar — 212200531 — cse23028

Kirusanth Thiruchelvam — 212918298 — kirusant

Sadman Sakib Hasan — 212497509 — cse23152

March 23, 2018

Contents

1	BORG Calendar	3
1.1	Mutation Testing	3
1.1.1	minuteString	3
1.1.2	isAfter	4
1.1.3	sendMsg	5
2	JPetStore	6

1 BORG Calendar

1.1 Mutation Testing

Mutation tests were run using the previous unit test suite that we created for assignment 2. The program used to run the Mutation tests was *Eclipse* with the *Pitclipse* plugin. The three methods that we tested are listed with their results in the following subsections.

1.1.1 minuteString

```
100     public static String minuteString(int mins) {
101
102 1   int hours = mins / 60;
103 1   int minsPast = mins % 60;
104
105     String minutesString;
106     String hoursString;
107
108 2   if (hours > 1) {
109         hoursString = hours + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Hours");
110 2   } else if (hours > 0) {
111         hoursString = hours + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Hour");
112     } else {
113         hoursString = "";
114     }
115
116 2   if (minsPast > 1) {
117         minutesString = minsPast + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Minutes");
118 2   } else if (minsPast > 0) {
119         minutesString = minsPast + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Minute");
120 2   } else if (hours >= 1) {
121         minutesString = "";
122     } else {
123         minutesString = minsPast + " " + Resource.getResourceString("Minutes");
124     }
125
126     // space between hours and minutes
127 2   if (!hoursString.equals("") && !minutesString.equals(""))
128         minutesString = " " + minutesString;
129
130 1   return hoursString + minutesString;
131 }
```

Figure 1: Code for the minuteString method

102	1. Replaced integer division with multiplication → KILLED
103	1. Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED
108	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED 2. negated conditional → KILLED
110	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED 2. negated conditional → KILLED
116	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED 2. negated conditional → KILLED
118	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED 2. negated conditional → KILLED
120	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED 2. negated conditional → KILLED
127	1. negated conditional → KILLED 2. negated conditional → KILLED
130	1. mutated return of Object value for net/sf/borg/common/DateUtil::minuteString to (if (x != null) null else throw new RuntimeException) → KILLED

Figure 2: Mutations for the minuteString method

As one can see in Figures 1 and 2 that the previous tests effectively kill all the mutants so no further changes are needed.

1.1.2 isAfter

```

40     public static boolean isAfter(Date d1, Date d2) {
41
42         GregorianCalendar tcal = new GregorianCalendar();
43         tcal.setTime(d1);
44         tcal.set(Calendar.HOUR_OF_DAY, 0);
45         tcal.set(Calendar.MINUTE, 0);
46         tcal.set(Calendar.SECOND, 0);
47         GregorianCalendar dcal = new GregorianCalendar();
48         dcal.setTime(d2);
49         dcal.set(Calendar.HOUR_OF_DAY, 0);
50         dcal.set(Calendar.MINUTE, 10);
51         dcal.set(Calendar.SECOND, 0);
52
53         if (tcal.getTime().after(dcal.getTime())) {
54             return true;
55         }
56
57         return false;

```

Figure 3: Code for the isAfter method

```

43 1. removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::setTime → KILLED
44 1. removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED
45 1. removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED
46 1. removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED
48 1. removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::setTime → KILLED
49 1. removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED
50 1. removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED
51 1. removed call to java/util/GregorianCalendar::set → SURVIVED
53 1. negated conditional → KILLED
54 1. replaced return of integer sized value with (x == 0 ? 1 : 0) → KILLED
57 1. replaced return of integer sized value with (x == 0 ? 1 : 0) → KILLED

```

Figure 4: Mutations for the isAfter method on OSX

The two Figures above show that the previous tests have mutants which survived.

1.1.3 sendMsg

```

33     public static String sendMsg(String host, int port, String msg) throws IOException {
34         Socket s = null;
35         String line = null;
36         try {
37             s = new Socket(host, port);
38             BufferedReader sin = new BufferedReader(new InputStreamReader(s
39                 .getInputStream()));
40             PrintStream sout = new PrintStream(s.getOutputStream());
41 1      sout.println(msg);
42             line = sin.readLine();
43             // Check if connection is closed (i.e. for EOF)
44 1      if (line == null) {
45                 log.info("Connection closed by server.");
46             }
47         } catch (IOException e) {
48 1      if (s != null)
49 1      s.close();
50         throw e;
51     }
52     // Always be sure to close the socket
53     finally {
54         try {
55 2      if (s != null)
56 2      s.close();
57         } catch (IOException e2) {
58             // empty
59         }
60     }
61
62 1      return line;
63     }

```

Figure 5: Code for the sendMsg method

41	1. removed call to java/io/PrintStream::println → TIMED_OUT
44	1. negated conditional → SURVIVED
48	1. negated conditional → KILLED
49	1. removed call to java/net/Socket::close → NO_COVERAGE
55	1. negated conditional → SURVIVED 2. negated conditional → KILLED
56	1. removed call to java/net/Socket::close → TIMED_OUT 2. removed call to java/net/Socket::close → TIMED_OUT
62	1. mutated return of Object value for net/sf/borg/common/SocketClient::sendMsg to (if (x != null) null else throw new RuntimeException) → KILLED

Figure 6: Mutations for the sendMsg method on OSX

The results show that not all mutants have been killed.

- The data flow analysis you performed and the calculation of the coverage metrics. You must show which test cases are responsible for which dc-paths.
- A description of the test cases you added to improve coverage. If your coverage was already high, discuss how your testing was able to achieve this.
- The slices that you identified and the percentage of slices that your testing covers. You must show which test cases are responsible for which slices.
- A description of the test cases you added to improve slice coverage. If your coverage was already high, discuss how your testing was able to achieve this.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of your test cases using mutation testing. Discuss and address any issues if you have found in your written report.
- Attaching bug reports if bugs are discovered using your testing methods. You should use the same bug report format as in Assignment 1. Do not file these bug reports to the projects bug report system.
- An appendix with the specification of the methods you are testing

2 JPetStore

- The test scenarios that you have created;
- The request rates and the duration of the load tests;
- The analysis of your load tests and the description of any problems that you have found (if there are any).