

Environment/Reflection maps

Environment/reflection maps

Load image(s) that represent the environment

For each pixel showing a reflective object:

- Compute object's normal

- Compute reflection direction

- Compute index(s) into environment map

- Use texel to color pixel

An environment reflection needs position and direction

- Position from renderer

- Direction from normal and view direction: $R = 2(N \cdot V)N - V$

Simplify to use just direction

- That's a reasonable approximation if object is small, simple, and distant from other objects.

Polar Spherical texture environment map

Texture: One full texture with polar distortion

Map: Polar map inverse:

$$(u, v) = \left(\frac{\tan^{-1}(y/x)}{2\pi}, \frac{\cos^{-1} z}{\pi} \right)$$

Pro:

- Simple

- Was first

Con:

- Distortion is very bad.

- Linear interpolation of texture coords fails when:

 - cross boundary

 - contain poles

Cubic texture environment map

Texture: 6 square texture maps for axis aligned directions.

Map: 2 stage:

Stage 2: Cube to surface inverse: follow reflection direction

- Reflection direction:

- Project from center of cube to cube surface. by scaling R to

$$\frac{(r_x, r_y, r_z)}{\max(|r_x|, |r_y|, |r_z|)}$$

Stage 1: Texture to cube inverse: Just six planar texture maps

- Choose which of six from Stage 2 results

Pro:

- Little distortion

- No pole/boundary interpolation problems

- Can be generated programatically

- Are view independent

Con:

- Needs six images

Spherical texture environment map

Texture: One full texture using center circle portion only

Map: For $R=(r_x, r_y, r_z)$

N is half-way between $E=(0,0,-1)$ and R

$$(n_x, n_y, n_z) = \frac{(r_x, r_y, r_z - 1)}{\sqrt{r_x^2 + r_y^2 + (r_z - 1)^2}}$$

so a good choice of coordinates is

$$(u', v') = (n_x, n_y) = \frac{(r_x, r_y)}{\sqrt{r_x^2 + r_y^2 + (r_z - 1)^2}}$$

which maps all (unit) directions into a unit circle, and

$$(u, v) = \left(\frac{u'}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{v'}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

which maps $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$

Pro:

Can be generated with a camera

or programatically followed by distortion calc

No pole/boundary interpolation problems

Needs one image.

Con:

Is view dependent

Linear interpolation is only an approximation.

Both Pro/Con

Low resolution on sphere edges.

Short cut:

Since scanline produces N, don't compute R then N then (u,v),

instead just $(u', v') = (n_x, n_y)$

OpenGL note

In class, the eye coordinate system looks along the +z axis, in OpenGL, the eye looks along the -z axis, so the above equation has $(r_z + 1)^2$ instead of $(r_z - 1)^2$ in the OpenGL manuals.

Paraboloid Mapping

Like sphere, but using two hemispheres to retain resolution for view independence.

$$(u', v') = \frac{(r_x, r_y)}{r_z \pm 1}$$

Features:

Needs two image.

Pro:

No pole/boundary interpolation problems

Is view independent.

Con:

Generate only by distorting calculation

Tennisball map

Two maps of sphere, each with its bad areas (poles and seams) covered by the others nice areas (equator, non-seam). For a vector

$$R = (a, b, c)$$

$$C_1: (\theta, \varphi) = (\text{asin}(b), \text{atan2}(a, -c))$$

$$C_2: (\theta, \varphi) = (\text{asin}(a), \text{atan2}(b, -c))$$

For a given vector $R = (a, b, c)$, compute which component via

$$\text{Component}(R) = \begin{cases} C_1: & c \geq 0 \text{ and } |b| \leq \sqrt{2}/2 \\ C_1: & c \leq 0 \text{ and } |a| \geq \sqrt{2}/2 \\ C_2: & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

to get the parameter ranges

$$-\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$-\frac{3\pi}{4} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{3\pi}{4}$$

For rendering into the map:

$$C_1: (\theta, \varphi, r) = (\text{asin}(b), \text{atan2}(a, -c), |R|)$$

$$C_2: (\theta, \varphi, r) = (\text{asin}(a), \text{atan2}(b, -c), |R|)$$



