

**Assignment 7**  
**Modern Applied Statistics**  
**Shaheed Shihan**

- Using basic statistical properties of the variance, as well as single variable calculus, derive (5.6). In other words, prove that  $\alpha$  given by (5.6) does indeed minimize  $\text{Var}(\alpha X + (1 - \alpha)Y)$ .

$$\text{Var}(X + Y) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + 2\text{Cov}(X, Y)$$

$$\text{Var}(aX) = a^2\text{Var}(X)$$

$$\text{Cov}(aX, bY) = ab\text{Cov}(X, Y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(aX + (1 - a)Y) &= \text{Var}(aX) + \text{Var}((1 - a)Y) + 2\text{Cov}(aX, (1 - a)Y) \\ &= a^2\text{Var}(X) + (1 - a)^2\text{Var}(Y) + 2a(1 - a)\text{Cov}(X, Y) \\ f(a) &= \sigma_X^2 a^2 + \sigma_Y^2 (1 - a)^2 + 2\sigma_{XY}(-a^2 + a)\end{aligned}$$

Taking the first derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{da}f(a) &= 0 \\ 2\sigma_X^2 a + 2\sigma_Y^2 (1 - a)(-1) + 2\sigma_{XY}(-2a + 1) &= 0 \\ \sigma_X^2 a + \sigma_Y^2 (1 - a) + \sigma_{XY}(-2a + 1) &= 0 \\ a(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2 - 2\sigma_{XY}) + \sigma_{XY} - \sigma_Y^2 &= 0 \\ \frac{\sigma_Y^2 - \sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2 - 2\sigma_{XY}}\end{aligned}$$

6.

a.

Call:

```
glm(formula = default ~ balance + income, family = "binomial",
    data = D)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.4725	-0.1444	-0.0574	-0.0211	3.7245

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	-1.154e+01	4.348e-01	-26.545	< 2e-16 ***
balance	5.647e-03	2.274e-04	24.836	< 2e-16 ***
income	2.081e-05	4.985e-06	4.174	2.99e-05 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 2920.6 on 9999 degrees of freedom  
Residual deviance: 1579.0 on 9997 degrees of freedom  
AIC: 1585

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 8

c.

#### ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP

Call:  
boot(data = D, statistic = boot\_fn, R = 1000)

Bootstrap Statistics :

	original	bias	std. error
t1*	-1.154047e+01	-1.929224e-02	4.260635e-01
t2*	2.080898e-05	-4.043053e-09	4.824970e-06
t3*	5.647103e-03	1.261121e-05	2.212360e-04

d. The standard error for both the bootstrapping and standard glm seem to similar to one another.

9.

a.

```
> mu <- mean(medv)
> mu
[1] 22.53281
```

b.

```
> se <- sd(medv)/sqrt(dim(Boston)[1])
> se
[1] 0.4088611
```

c.

#### ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP

```
Call:
boot(data = medv, statistic = boot_f2, R = 1000)
```

Bootstrap Statistics :

original	bias	std. error
t1* 22.53281	0.00801581	0.408103

The error rate computed by both methods are very similar.

d.

#### One Sample t-test

```
data: medv
t = 55.111, df = 505, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 21.72953 23.33608
sample estimates:
mean of x
 22.53281
```

```
> Confidence_interval <- c(22.53 - 2 * 0.4119, 22.53 + 2 * 0.4119)
> Confidence_interval
[1] 21.7062 23.3538
```

Once again, both confidence intervals are very similar.

e.

```
> med <- median(medv)
> med
[1] 21.2
```

f.

#### ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP

```
Call:
boot(data = medv, statistic = boot_f3, R = 1000)
```

Bootstrap Statistics :

	original	bias	std. error
t1*	21.2	-0.0264	0.3731921

The estimated median value using bootstrapping is 21.2 which is the value we have from e. Also, the standard error in computing this value is small as compared to the true median value.

g.

```
> perc
10%
12.75
```

h.

```
ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP

Call:
boot(data = medv, statistic = boot_f4, R = 1000)

Bootstrap Statistics :
      original      bias      std. error
t1*      12.75      0.00355      0.4978984
```

The values obtained in both g and h are the same for the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile. The standard error is also relatively small.