

Contents

1	Color-coding technique: k-PATH	2
2	Random Separation: SUBGRAPH ISOMORPHISM	4
3	Derandomization	5

We consider a parameterized version of the LONGEST PATH problem. The problem k -PATH is, given a graph G and an integer k , to find a simple path on k vertices, if one exists.

k -PATH

Instance: a graph $G = (V, E)$, a positive integer k .

Question: Does G have a path on k vertices?

This problem is NP-complete. We give a randomized FPT-algorithm for k -PATH. The underlying idea is to transform (in a randomized way) the given graph into a graph in which detecting a k -path becomes a simpler task. It is known that on a directed acyclic graph (DAG), finding a longest (directed) path can be solved in time $O(|E|)$ using dynamic programming. So, we shall transform G into a DAG \vec{G} so that a (directed) k -path in \vec{G} corresponds to a k -path in G . A k -path in G does not necessarily yield a k -path in \vec{G} . The hope is that if we perform the transformation sufficiently many times, but not too many times to stay within the running time bound of FPT-algorithm, we will hit on \vec{G} which contains a k -path corresponding to a k -path in G .

Let $\pi : V(G) \rightarrow [n]$ be a random permutation of $V(G)$. A DAG \vec{G}_π can be defined from π : it has $V(G)$ as the vertex set, and

(u, v) is an arc of \vec{G}_π if and only if $\pi(u) < \pi(v)$.

Notice that if G contains a k -path P , and π happens to order the vertices of P in an orderly manner (two possible ways), then P can be detected by a longest path algorithm on \vec{G}_π . If G does not contain a k -path, then no permutation π will allow \vec{G}_π to contain a k -path.

The probability that a random permutation π turns a k -path into a directed k -path in \vec{G} is $\frac{2}{k!}$. Therefore, the expected number of random permutations to hit a successful \vec{G} is $\frac{k!}{2}$. For each random permutation π , we test¹ whether \vec{G}_π contains a k -path in time $O(|E|)$. Therefore, the expected running time of to detect k -path in G , if G contains one, is $O(k! \cdot |E|)$.

1 Color-coding technique: k -PATH

We can improve the running time of k -PATH from $O^*(k!)$ to $2^{O(k)}$ time using color coding introduced by Alon, Yuster and Zwick. Color coding is a technique to transform a problem of detecting an object in a graph into a problem of colored object in a colored graphs, which is hopefully an easier task. In color coding for the problem k -PATH, we randomly color the vertices of G with k colors and the hope is that in the colored graph, a k -path becomes *colorful*. We say that a path in a colored graph is *colorful* if all vertices have distinct colors.

One pass of our color coding algorithm consists of two steps:

- A. Color the vertices of G with $\{1, \dots, k\}$ uniformly at random. Let $c : V(G) \rightarrow [k]$ be the coloring.
- B. Find a colorful k -path in G , if one exists. Otherwise, report that none was found.

¹The problem LONGEST PATH is in P on acyclic digraphs. First, we obtain a topological order of the vertex set of \vec{G}_π , then solve compute the length of a longest path to each vertex via dynamic programming over this ordering.

Step A. The probability that step A. make a k -path P colorful is

$$\frac{\text{\# of colorings in which } P \text{ becomes colorful}}{\text{\# of all possible colorings}} = \frac{k!}{k^k} \approx \frac{1}{e^k}$$

So, the expected number of runs of A. before a k -path P becomes colorful is e^k . Notice that any colorful k -path is also a k -path in G . Below, we provide an algorithm for B. running in time $O(2^k \cdot |E|)$.

Step B: Detecting a colorful k -path. Now we present an algorithm for detecting a colorful k -path given a vertex partition V_1, \dots, V_k of $V(G)$, where each V_i are the vertices colored in i . We aim to set the values of indicator variables $P[C, u]$ for every color subset $C \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ and for every vertex $u \in V(G)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} P[C, u] &= 1 \text{ if there is a colorful path exactly consisting of colors in } C \text{ and ending in } u. \\ P[C, u] &= 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

At each i -th iteration over $i = 1, \dots, k$, for all $u \in V(G)$ we set the value of $P[C, u]$ for $C \subseteq [k]$ with $|C| = i$ using dynamic programming. At $i = 1$, $P[C, u] = 1$ if and only if $C = \{c(u)\}$. At $i + 1$ -th iteration, for each $u \in V(G)$ and $C \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ of size $i + 1$, we compute $P[C, u]$ as:

- $P[C, u] := 1$ if $c(u) \in C$ and there is $v \in N(u)$ such that $P[C \setminus c(u), v] = 1$.
- $P[C, u] := 0$ otherwise.

This recurrence computes $P[C, u]$ correctly indeed: if there is a colorful $i + 1$ -path Q using colors in C and ending at u , then for a neighbor v which is a neighbor of u in Q , $Q - u$ is a colorful i -path using colors in $C \setminus \{c(u)\}$. Conversely, if for some neighbor v of u there is a colorful i -path using colors in $C \setminus \{c(u)\}$, such a path can be extended to a colorful $i + 1$ -path by adding u . The new path uses colors in C and ends at u . As the base case when $i = 1$ trivially holds, the correctness of the above recurrence follows.

After finishing k -th iteration, there is a vertex u such that $P[\{1, \dots, k\}, u] = 1$ if and only if there is a colorful k -path. This dynamic programming algorithm runs in time

$$O\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} \cdot |E|\right) = O(2^k \cdot |E|).$$

Lemma 1. *One can detect a colorful k -path in time $O(2^k \cdot |E|)$, if one exists.*

The following lemma summarizes the above analysis of Step A. and B.

Lemma 2. *One can detect a simple k -path in $O((2e)^k \cdot |E|)$ expected running time, if one exists.*

Lemma 3. *One can detect a simple k -path with probability at least e^{-1} in time $O((2e)^k \cdot |E|)$, if one exists.*

Proof: The probability that a coloring fails to turn a k -path P colorful is at most $1 - e^{-k}$. Therefore, the probability that all e^k colorings (each, independent at random) reports no colorful k -path is at most

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{e^k}\right)^{e^k} \approx e^{-1}.$$

Together with Lemma 1, the running time follows. □

2 Random Separation: SUBGRAPH ISOMORPHISM

Another useful technique for designing a randomized algorithm is a *random separation* technique. Like color-coding, it is useful to design an algorithm to detect a small-sized substructure in a graph.

We exemplify this technique with the problem SUBGRAPH ISOMORPHISM: given an input graph G and a pattern graph H on k vertices, the task is to find a copy of H in G or correctly decide that G does not have H as a subgraph. We take the parameter $k + d$, where d is the maximum degree of G and present a randomized algorithm that runs in time $2^{dk+O(k \log k)} \cdot n^{O(1)}$ which detect H as a subgraph in G with high probability, if exists one².

The intuition behind is to color the edges of G in blue or red so that the edges of H are ‘isolate’ in this coloring, and thus this isolated copy of H is easy to detect. Fix a subgraph \tilde{H} of G which is isomorphic to H . A coloring $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$ is *successful* if the next two conditions are satisfied:

1. all edges of \tilde{H} is colored blue, and
2. all edges of $E(G) \setminus E(\tilde{H})$ incident with a vertex of $V(\tilde{H})$ is colored blue.

On how many edges does a successful coloring requests a specific color? All edges incident with a vertex of \tilde{H} are requested to be either blue or red, depending on whether it belongs to $E(\tilde{H})$ or not. As there are at most $d \cdot V(\tilde{H}) = dk$ such edges, a random coloring c is successful with probability at least 2^{-dk} .

Now assume that the current coloring c is successful. Consider the subgraph G' of G consisting of the blue edges. Let us call a connected component in G' a blue component, and let \mathcal{B} be the set of all blue components. Now we have narrow down which part of G we need to match H . To begin with, any blue component having more than k vertices has no chance of being \tilde{H} (under a successful coloring).

Let H_1, \dots, H_p be the connected components of H (possibly $p = 1$). We make a bipartite graph W in which one part of the vertex bipartition is $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_p\}$ and the other part is \mathcal{B} , the set of all blue components. The bipartite graph W has an edge between $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ if H is isomorphic to B . As the isomorphism between H and B (on at most k vertices each) can be tested in time $k! \cdot k^{O(1)} = 2^{O(k \log k)}$, the construction of W can be done in time $2^{O(k \log k)} \cdot n$. It remains to observe that if \tilde{H} exists and the current color is successful for \tilde{H} , this copy must be a disjoint union of p blue components each of which is isomorphic to H_1, \dots, H_p respectively. We can decide whether such p blue components exist by examining whether a maximum matching on W exists saturating all vertices in \mathcal{H} . The latter problem is polynomial-time solvable.

To summarize, if G contains a copy of H (say \tilde{H}), then with probability at least 2^{-dk} a random coloring is successful for \tilde{H} . Given a successful coloring, \tilde{H} can be correctly retrieved from G in time $2^{O(k \log k)} \cdot n^{O(1)}$. Let us call this procedure \mathcal{A} . The probability³ that no copy of H is detected after 2^{dk} repetitions of \mathcal{A} while G contains a copy of H is at most

$$(1 - 2^{-dk})^{2^{dk}} = (1 - 2^{-dk})^{(-2^{dk}) \cdot (-1)} \approx e^{-1}$$

²Mind that if you parameterize by k only, then we cannot expect to have an fpt-algorithm: when H is a clique on k vertices, it is known that deciding if G contains H as a subgraph or not is known to be W[1]-hard (you will learn this notion in the next class) parameterized by k . This means that under a widely-accepted complexity assumption that $W[1] \neq FPT$, SUBGRAPH ISOMORPHISM is unlikely to be fixed-parameter tractable with respect to k only.

³We use the fact the natural log base e equals $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (1 + x)^{\frac{1}{x}}$.

that is, with constant probability a copy of H is detected after 2^{dk} runs of \mathcal{A} . This constant success probability can be boosted to an arbitrarily high constant probability by repetitions.

3 Derandomization

The randomization technique of color-coding and random separation can be derandomized. For derandomizing color-coding, we use a family of functions called an (n, k) -perfect hash family. A family \mathcal{F} of functions $f : [n] \rightarrow [k]$ is an (n, k) -perfect hash family if for every subset $S \subseteq [n]$ of size k , there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that f assigns pairwise distinct values to the elements of S . Note that if S is the fixed object that we want to find, then such f will make S colorful. A repetition of random colorings in color-coding technique can be replaced by an (n, k) -perfect hash family with almost negligible computational overhead, due to the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Naor, Schulman, Srinivasan 1995). *For every $n, k \geq 1$, an (n, k) -perfect hash family of size $e^{k+o(k)} \cdot \log n$ can be constructed in time $e^{k+o(k)} \cdot n \log n$.*

For random separation, we use a different method. An (n, k) -universal set \mathcal{U} is a family of subsets of $[n]$ such that for any $S \subseteq [n]$ of size k , all possible subsets of S appear in the projection of \mathcal{U} on S , that is, $\{S \cap A : A \in \mathcal{U}\} = 2^S$. In our application to SUBGRAPH ISOMORPHISM on graphs with maximum degree at most d , S will correspond to the set of edges incident with a vertex of $V(\tilde{H})$, whose size is at most 2^{dk} , and with a successful coloring we are looking for a partition of these edges into edges in \tilde{H} and the rest. Now repeated random colorings can be replaced by trying the colorings in \mathcal{U} , interpreting a set $A \in \mathcal{U}$ as blue edges. This strategy works with little overhead because of the following theorem

Theorem 2 (Naor, Schulman, Srinivasan 1995). *For every $n, k \geq 1$, an (n, k) -universal set of size $2^{k+o(k)} \cdot \log n$ can be constructed in time $2^{k+o(k)} \cdot n \log n$.*