

## **Peer Review of Filip Rydberg, Mathias Sundin, Kim Nilsson**

*Written by Sebastian Svensson ss222tb*

### **As a developer would the diagrams help you and why/why not?**

I think these diagrams would help me as a developer since they are very well done and easy to understand.

### **What are the strong points of the design/implementation, what do you think is really good and why?**

The Code is well made, and easy to understand, it follows the model-view-controller pattern[209p]. The classes have a good Low coupling [299p] and a good high Cohesion [314p]

### **What are the weaknesses of the design/implementation, what do you think should be changed and why?**

The only weaknes I can think of is that you could enter empty names on the member, unlike the personal number.

### **Do you think the design/implementation has passed the grade 2 criteria?**

The code compiles and is well made, the program works and the diagram are easy to understand and all conditions are fufiled. The diagrams also represents the code well. I would say it has passed.

#### **Refrences**

Larman C., Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062