

Peer Review of Linus Anderson la222tc

Written by Sebastian Svensson ss222tb

As a developer would the model help you and why/why not?

I think that the model could help a developer to an extent, but to me the model seems incomplete and lacks a few things, see weaknesses.

Do you think a domain expert (for example the Secretary) would understand the model why/why not?

I think that the domain expert would understand the model.

What are the strong points of the model, what do you think is really good and why?

The model is easy to understand and doesn't confuse the person reading it.

What are the weaknesses of the model, what do you think should be changed and why?

Fisrt of all, i don't understand why the model is drawn on two papers, especialy since members are supposed to be able to interact with the calender. The model looks incomplete and doesn't complete all the requirments. This model doesn't show the secretary reserving a mooring. The model aslo doesn't show the secretary being able to handle the calender events and doesn't show the members be able to view the calender. Some of the associations lack a name.

The things I think need changing are the following.

1. Put the models together on the same paper.
2. Add the required associations between member and calender, secretary and calender, secretary and berth.[1, p149]
3. Add apropiate names to all the associations.[1, p149]
4. Add Secretary.
5. Making secretary and member a subclasses of person since they have different roles but shares many basic things such as potentially, name and passwords. [1, p504]

Do you think the model has passed the grade 2 (passing grade) criteria?

No I don't think that this model has passed. I think it requires some small changes as mentioned previously.

Refrences

1. Larman C., Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062