

Lecture 2: MIPS Processor Example

Prof. Ioannis Savidis

Outline

- Design Partitioning
- MIPS Processor Example
  - Architecture
  - Microarchitecture
  - Logic Design
  - Circuit Design
  - Physical Design
- Fabrication, Packaging, Testing

Activity 2

- Sketch a stick diagram for a 4-input NOR gate

Coping with Complexity

- How to design System-on-Chip?
  - Many millions (even billions!) of transistors
  - Tens to hundreds of engineers
- Structured Design
- Design Partitioning

Structured Design

- **Hierarchy**: Divide and Conquer
  - Recursively system into modules
- **Regularity**
  - Reuse modules wherever possible
  - Ex: Standard cell library
- **Modularity**: well-formed interfaces
  - Allows modules to be treated as black boxes
- **Locality**
  - Physical and temporal

Design Partitioning

- **Architecture**: User's perspective, what does it do?
  - Instruction set, registers
  - MIPS, x86, Alpha, PIC, ARM, …
- **Microarchitecture**
  - Single cycle, multcycle, pipelined, superscalar?
- **Logic**: how are functional blocks constructed
  - Ripple carry, carry lookahead, carry select adders
- **Circuit**: how are transistors used
  - Complementary CMOS, pass transistors, domino
- **Physical**: chip layout
  - Datapaths, memories, random logic

Gajski Y-Chart



MIPS Architecture

- Example: subset of MIPS processor architecture
  - Drawn from Patterson & Hennessy
- MIPS is a 32-bit architecture with 32 registers
  - Consider 8-bit subset using 8-bit datapath
  - Only implement 8 registers ($0 - $7)
  - $0 hardwired to 00000000
  - 8-bit program counter

Instruction Set

Table 1.7 MIPS instruction set (subset supported)

Instruction	Function		Encoding	op	funct
`add $1, $2, $3`	addition:	$1 ← $2 + $3	R	000000	100000
`sub $1, $2, $3`	subtraction:	$1 ← $2 – $3	R	000000	100010
`and $1, $2, $3`	bitwise and:	$1 ← $2 and $3	R	000000	100100
`or $1, $2, $3`	bitwise or:	$1 ← $2 or $3	R	000000	100101
`slt $1, $2, $3`	set less than:	$1 ← 1 if $2 < $3 $1 ← 0 otherwise	R	000000	101010
`addi $1, $2,`	add immediate:	$1← $2 + imm	I	001000	n/a
`beq $1, $2, imm`	branch if equal:	PC ← PC + imm[a]	I	000100	n/a
`j destination`	jump:	PC_destination[a]	J	000010	n/a
`lb $1, imm($2)`	load byte:	$1 ← mem[$2 + imm]	I	100000	n/a
`sb $1, imm($2)`	store byte:	mem[$2 + imm] ← $1	I	110000	n/a

Instruction Encoding

- 32-bit instruction encoding
  - Requires four cycles to fetch on 8-bit datapath



Fibonacci (C)

$f_0 = 1; f_{-1} = -1$

$f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$

f = 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, …

```
int fib(void)
{
  int n = 8;           /* compute nth Fibonacci number */
  int f1 = 1, f2 = -1; /* last two Fibonacci numbers */

  while (n != 0) {     /* count down to n = 0 */
    f1 = f1 + f2;
    f2 = f1 - f2;
    n = n - 1;
  }
  return f1;
}
```


Fibonacci (Assembly)

- 1st statement: n = 8
- How do we translate this to assembly?

```
# fib.asm
# Register usage: $3: n $4: f1 $5: f2
# return value written to address 255
fib:  addi $3, $0, 8      # initialize n=8
      addi $4, $0, 1      # initialize f1 = 1
      addi $5, $0, -1     # initialize f2 = -1
loop: beq $3, $0, end     # Done with loop if n = 0
      add $4, $4, $5      # f1 = f1 + f2
      sub $5, $4, $5      # f2 = f1 - f2
      addi $3, $3, -1     # n = n - 1
      j loop              # repeat until done
end: sb $4, 255($0)       # store result in address 255
```

Fibonacci (Binary)

- 1st statement: addi $3, $0, 8
- How do we translate this to machine language?
  - Hint: use instruction encodings below



Fibonacci (Binary)

- Machine language program

Instruction	Binary Encoding	Hexadecimal Encoding
addi $3, $0, 8	001000 00000 00011 0000000000001000	20030008
addi $4, $0, 1	001000 00000 00100 0000000000000001	20040001
addi $5, $0, -1	001000 00000 00101 1111111111111111	2005ffff
beq $3, $0, end	000100 00011 00000 0000000000000101	10600005
add $4, $4, $5	000000 00100 00101 00100 00000 100000	00852020
sub $5, $4, $5	000000 00100 00101 00101 00000 100010	00852822
addi $3, $3, -1	001000 00011 00011 1111111111111111	2063ffff
j loop	000010 00000000000000000000000011	08000003
sb $4, 255($0)	110000 00000 00100 0000000011111111	a00400ff

MIPS Microarchitecture

- Multicycle μarchitecture ([Paterson04], [Harris07])



Multicycle Controller



Logic Design

- Start at top level
  - Hierarchically decompose MIPS into units
- Top-level interface



Block Diagram



Hierarchical Design



HDLs

- Hardware Description Languages
  - Widely used in logic design
  - Verilog and VHDL
- Describe hardware using code
  - Document logic functions
  - Simulate logic before building
  - Synthesize code into gates and layout
    - Requires a library of standard cells

Verilog Example

```
module fulladder(input  a, b, c,
                 output s, cout);

  sum        s1(a, b, c, s);
  carry      c1(a, b, c, cout);
endmodule

module carry(input  a, b, c,
             output cout)

  assign cout = (a&b) | (a&c) | (b&c);
endmodule
```



Circuit Design

- How should logic be implemented?
  - NANDs and NORs vs. ANDs and ORs?
  - Fan-in and fan-out?
  - How wide should transistors be?
- These choices affect speed, area, power
- Logic synthesis makes these choices for you
  - Good enough for many applications
  - Hand-crafted circuits are still better

Example: Carry Logic

- `assign cout = (a&b) | (a&c) | (b&c);`



Transistors? Gate Delays?

Gate-level Netlist

```
module carry(input  a, b, c,
             output cout)

    wire   x, y, z;

    and g1(x, a, b);
    and g2(y, a, c);
    and g3(z, b, c);
    or  g4(cout, x, y, z);
endmodule
```



Transistor-Level Netlist

```
module carry(input  a, b, c,
             output cout)

      wire     i1, i2, i3, i4, cn;

      tranif1 n1(i1, 0, a);
      tranif1 n2(i1, 0, b);
      tranif1 n3(cn, i1, c);
      tranif1 n4(i2, 0, b);
      tranif1 n5(cn, i2, a);
      tranif0 p1(i3, 1, a);
      tranif0 p2(i3, 1, b);
      tranif0 p3(cn, i3, c);
      tranif0 p4(i4, 1, b);
      tranif0 p5(cn, i4, a);
      tranif1 n6(cout, 0, cn);
      tranif0 p6(cout, 1, cn);
endmodule
```



SPICE Netlist

```
.SUBCKT CARRY A B C COUT VDD GND
MN1 I1 A GND GND NMOS W=1U L=0.18U AD=0.3P AS=0.5P
MN2 I1 B GND GND NMOS W=1U L=0.18U AD=0.3P AS=0.5P
MN3 CN C I1 GND NMOS W=1U L=0.18U AD=0.5P AS=0.5P
MN4 I2 B GND GND NMOS W=1U L=0.18U AD=0.15P AS=0.5P
MN5 CN A I2 GND NMOS W=1U L=0.18U AD=0.5P AS=0.15P
MP1 I3 A VDD VDD PMOS W=2U L=0.18U AD=0.6P AS=1 P
MP2 I3 B VDD VDD PMOS W=2U L=0.18U AD=0.6P AS=1P
MP3 CN C I3 VDD PMOS W=2U L=0.18U AD=1P AS=1P
MP4 I4 B VDD VDD PMOS W=2U L=0.18U AD=0.3P AS=1P
MP5 CN A I4 VDD PMOS W=2U L=0.18U AD=1P AS=0.3P
MN6 COUT CN GND GND NMOS W=2U L=0.18U AD=1P AS=1P
MP6 COUT CN VDD VDD PMOS W=4U L=0.18U AD=2P AS=2P
CI1 I1 GND 2FF
CI3 I3 GND 3FF
CA A GND 4FF
CB B GND 4FF
CC C GND 2FF
CCN CN GND 4FF
CCOUT COUT GND 2FF
.ENDS
```

Physical Design

- Floorplan
- Standard cells
  - Place & route
- Datapaths
  - Slice planning
- Area estimation

MIPS Floorplan



MIPS Layout



Standard Cells

- Uniform cell height
- Uniform well height
- M1 V_{DD} and GND rails
- M2 Access to I/Os
- Well / substrate taps
- Exploits regularity



Synthesized Controller

- Synthesize HDL into gate-level netlist
- Place & Route using standard cell library



Pitch Matching

- Synthesized controller area is mostly wires
  - Design is smaller if wires run through/over cells
  - Smaller = faster, lower power as well!
- Design snap-together cells for datapaths and arrays
  - Plan wires into cells
  - Connect by abutment
    - Exploits locality
    - Takes lots of effort



MIPS Datapath

- 8-bit datapath built from 8 bitslices (regularity)
- Zipper at top drives control signals to datapath



Slice Plans

- Slice plan for bitslice
  - Cell ordering, dimensions, wiring tracks
  - Arrange cells for wiring locality



Area Estimation

- Need area estimates to make floorplan
  - Compare to another block you already designed
  - Or estimate from transistor counts
  - Budget room for large wiring tracks
  - Your mileage may vary; derate by 2x for class.

Table 1.10 Typical layout densities

Element	Area
random logic (2-level metal process)	1000 – 1500 λ^2 / transistor
datapath	250 – 750 λ^2 / transistor or 6 WL + 360 λ^2 / transistor
SRAM	1000 λ^2 / bit
DRAM (in a DRAM process)	100 λ^2 / bit
ROM	100 λ^2 / bit

Design Verification

- Fabrication is slow & expensive
  - MOSIS 0.6μm: $1000, 3 months
  - 65 nm: $3M, 1 month
- Debugging chips is very hard
  - Limited visibility into operation
- Prove design is right before building!
  - Logic simulation
  - Ckt. simulation / formal verification
  - Layout vs. schematic comparison
  - Design & electrical rule checks
- Verification is > 50% of effort on most chips!



Fabrication & Packaging

- Tapeout final layout
- Fabrication
  - 6, 8, 12" wafers
  - Optimized for throughput, not latency (10 weeks!)
  - Cut into individual dice
- Packaging
  - Bond gold wires from die I/O pads to package





Testing

- Test that chip operates
  - Design errors
  - Manufacturing errors
- A single dust particle or wafer defect kills a die
  - Yields from 90% to < 10%
  - Depends on die size, maturity of process
  - Test each part before shipping to customer

Custom vs. Synthesis

- 8-bit Implementations





MIPS R3000 Processor

- 32-bit 2nd generation commercial processor (1988)
- Led by John Hennessy (Stanford, MIPS Founder)
- 32-64 KB Caches
- 1.2 μm process
- 111K Transistor
- Up to 12-40 MHz
- 66 mm^2 die
- 145 I/O Pins
- V_{DD} = 5 V
- 4 Watts
- SGI Workstations



http://gecko54000.free.fr/?documentations=1988_MIPS_R3000