ECE 361 Probability for Engineers (Fall, 2016) Lecture 9a

§4.2 Covariance and correlation

The covariance of two RVs, X, Y, is defined as:

$$cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]. \tag{1}$$

Say X, Y are uncorrelated when cov(X, Y) = 0. A large positive covariance indicates that RV X tends to be large when Y is large, and a large negative covariance indicates that RV X tends to be large when Y is small. See Fig. 4.11 for an illustration. Key properties include:

$$cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$$

$$cov(X,X) = var(X)$$

$$cov(X,aY+b) = acov(X,Y)$$

$$cov(X,Y+Z) = cov(X,Y) + cov(X,Z).$$
(2)

If RVs X, Y are independent then $\mathbb{E}[XY] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$, which means cov(X, Y) = 0. Thus independence implies uncorrelatedness, but not vice versa, i.e., uncorrelated RVs may be dependent.

Example. The pair of RVs (X, Y) has PMF:

$$p_{X,Y}(1,0) = p_{X,Y}(0,1) = p_{X,Y}(-1,0) = p_{X,Y}(0,-1) = \frac{1}{4},$$
(3)

as shown in Fig. 4.12. Clearly $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$ by symmetry. Further, XY = 0 for all possible pairs (X, Y), and thus $\mathbb{E}[XY] = 0$. Hence X, Y are uncorrelated. But they are clearly not independent since knowing X = -1 (say) guarantees Y = 0.

The correlation coefficient is simply a normalization of the covariance:

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{\text{cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(X)\text{var}(Y)}}.$$
(4)

One can show that $\rho \in [-1,1]$. Then $\rho < 0$ means $X - \mathbb{E}[X], Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]$ tend have opposite sign $(X < \mathbb{E}[X] \text{ suggests } Y > \mathbb{E}[Y])$ and $\rho > 0$ means $X - \mathbb{E}[X], Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]$ tend have the same sign $(X < \mathbb{E}[X] \text{ suggests } Y < \mathbb{E}[Y])$. In the extreme case of $\rho \in \{-1,1\}$ then Y is a linear function of X: there exists a c such that $Y - \mathbb{E}[Y] = c(X - \mathbb{E}[X])$.

Example. Let (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) be independent standard normal RVs, and define the pair of RVs (X, Y) where $X = Z_1 + Z_2$ and $Y = Z_1 + Z_3$. Find the correlation of (X, Y).

First observe $X \sim N(0, \sqrt{2})$ and $Y \sim N(0, \sqrt{2})$ and that (X, Y) are not independent, as they have a shared dependence on Z_1 . The covariance is:

$$Cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[XY]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(Z_1 + Z_2)(Z_1 + Z_3)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Z_1^2 + Z_1 Z_2 + Z_1 Z_3 + Z_2 Z_3]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Z_1]^2 + \mathbb{E}[Z_1 Z_2] + \mathbb{E}[Z_1 Z_3] + \mathbb{E}[Z_2 Z_3]$$

$$= \text{Var}(Z_1) + \mathbb{E}[Z_1]\mathbb{E}[Z_2] + \mathbb{E}[Z_1]\mathbb{E}[Z_3] + \mathbb{E}[Z_2]\mathbb{E}[Z_3]$$

$$= 1.$$
(5)

The correlation is therefore

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\operatorname{Std}(X)\operatorname{Std}(Y)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(6)

Example. Let X, Y be RVs with the same variance. Show that (X - Y, X + Y) are uncorrelated.

Consider the covariance. Define $X = X - \mathbb{E}[X]$ and $Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]$. Then:

$$Cov(X - Y, X + Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - Y - (\mathbb{E}[X] - \mathbb{E}[Y]))(X + Y - (\mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]))]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{X} - \tilde{Y})(\tilde{X} + \tilde{Y})]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}^2 - \tilde{X}\tilde{Y} + \tilde{X}\tilde{Y} - \tilde{Y}^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}^2] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{Y}^2]$$
(7)

As $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{Y}] = 0$ it follows that $\text{Var}(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}^2]$ and $\text{Var}(\tilde{Y}) = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{Y}^2]$. As $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}(\tilde{X})$ and $\text{Var}(Y) = \text{Var}(\tilde{Y})$, and Var(X) = Var(Y) by assumption, it follows that Cov(X - Y, X + Y) = 0.

The natural extension of the result given earlier, cov(X, Y + Z) = cov(X, Y) + cov(X, Z), is that

$$cov(X_1 + \dots + X_k, Y_1 + \dots + Y_l) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l cov(X_i, Y_j).$$
(8)

This result is used below.

Example. The multinomial distribution is a generalization of the binomial distribution. Recall $X \sim \text{bin}(n, p)$ may be thought of as the number of successes in n independent Bernoulli trials, each of which succeeds with probability p or fails with probability 1-p. Recall the binomial PMF

$$p_X(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}, \ x \in \{0, \dots, n\}.$$
(9)

The multinomial generalizes the binomial from trials with two outcomes to trials with k outcomes. Let (p_1, \ldots, p_k) be the outcome distribution, with p_j the probability that a random trial has outcome j for $j \in [k]$, and naturally $p_1 + \cdots + p_k = 1$. Now the outcome of n independent trials is reported as the vector of counts, meaning the number of trials that resulted in each possible outcome. Thus $(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \sim \text{mult}(n, p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ has support $S_{k,n} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : x_1 + \cdots + x_k = n\}$, with

$$\mathbb{P}((X_1, \dots, X_k) = (x_1, \dots, x_k)) = \binom{n}{x_1, \dots, x_k} p_1^{x_1} \cdots p_k^{x_k}, \ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{S}_{k,n}.$$
 (10)

Recall that $\binom{n}{x_1, \dots, x_k} = \frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_k!}$ is the *multinomial coefficient*, the generalization of the *binomial coefficient*. Fix two distinct possible outcomes, say i and j, with $1 \le i < j \le k$, and let (X_i, X_j) be the number of trials resulting in those two outcomes. Find the correlation $\rho(X_i, X_j)$.

We first find the mean and variance of any one component, say X_i , of (X_1, \ldots, X_k) . Think of each outcome as a "bin", so there are k bins, and each of the n trials is a ball, so the experiment consists of throwing n balls into the k bins, where each ball is thrown in bin i with probability p_i . Observe that by aggregating together all bins aside from i we recover a binomial distribution with success probability p_i , and as such $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = np_i$ and $\text{Var}(X_i) = np_i(1-p_i)$. Similarly, $\mathbb{E}[X_j] = np_j$ and $\text{Var}(X_j) = np_j(1-p_j)$.

(TO BE CONTINUED NEXT LECTURE)

References

[1] Introduction to Probability, 2nd Edition by Dimitri P. Bertsekas and John N. Tsitsiklis, Athina Scientific Press, 2008.