

# sttp

## Streaming Telemetry Transport Protocol



**Version:** 0.0.18 - June 27, 2017

**Status:** Initial Development

**Abstract:** This specification defines a [publish-subscribe](#) data transfer protocol that has been optimized for exchanging streaming [time-series](#) style data, such as [synchrophasor](#) data that is used in the electric power industry, over [Internet Protocol](#) (IP). The protocol supports transferring both real-time and historical time-series data at full or down-sampled resolutions. Protocol benefits are realized at scale when multiplexing very large numbers of time-series [data points](#) at high speed, such as, hundreds of times per second per data point.

Copyright © 2017, Grid Protection Alliance, Inc., All rights reserved.

---

### Disclaimer

This document was prepared as a part of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government (DE-OE-0000859). Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

### License

This specification is free software and it can be redistributed and/or modified under the terms of the MIT License [2]. This specification is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Table of Contents

Section	Title
	Title Page
	Preface
1	Introduction
2	Definitions and Nomenclature
3	Protocol Overview
4	Business Case
5	Design Philosophies
6	Data Point Structure
7	Commands and Responses
8	Data Point Characteristics
9	Metadata
10	Compression
11	Security
?	(balance of sections)
~20	References and Notes
~21	Contributors and Reviewers
~22	Revision History
A	Appendix A - STTP API Reference
B	Appendix B - IEEE C37.118 Mapping
	Spec To-Do List

# Introduction

---

Use of synchrophasors by U.S. utilities continues to grow following the jump start provided by the Smart Grid Investment Grants. Even so, the dominant method to exchange synchrophasor data remains the IEEE C37.118-2005 [2] protocol that was designed for and continues to be the preferred solution for substation-to-control room communications. It achieves its advantages through use of an ordered set (a frame) of information that is associated with a specific measurement time. When IEEE C37.118 is used for PDC-to-PDC communication or for PDC-to-Application communication, large data frames are typically distributed to multiple systems. To address the challenges presented by these large frame sizes, many utilities implement purpose-built networks for synchrophasor data only. Even with these purpose-built networks, large frame sizes result in an increased probability of UDP frame loss, or in the case of TCP, increased communication latency. In addition, IEEE C37.118 has only prescriptive methods for the management of measurement metadata which is well-suited for substation-to-control-center use but which becomes difficult to manage as this metadata spans analytic solutions and is used by multiple configuration owners in a wide-area context.

To address these issues, the Advanced Synchrophasor Protocol (ASP) Project was proposed to DOE in response to FOA-1492. In this project proposal, the argument was made for a new protocol that overcomes the limitations of IEEE C37.118 for large-scale synchrophasor data system deployments. The new protocol proposed leveraged the successful design elements of the secure Gateway Exchange Protocol (GEP) that was originally developed by the Grid Protection Alliance (GPA) as part of the SIEGate project (DE-OE-536).

On May 1, 2017, a DOE grant (DE-OE-859) was awarded to GPA and the other 25 collaborators on ASP Project (see [Contributors](#)) to develop: (1) a detailed definition of new publish-subscribe protocol, now called the Streaming Time-series Transport Protocol (STTP) and (2) software to support it including production-grade implementations of STTP API's in multiple development platforms along with a collection of tools to test and validate the new protocol.

## Scope of this Document

The purpose of this document is to define STTP and to include, as appendices, descriptions as to how to use its supporting software tools. This STTP specification is focused on effective "streaming data" delivery of which synchrophasor data is a very important use case.

In the [Overview](#) section of this specification, high-level features and the business value of STTP are presented. The balance of the sections of the specification provide the details of protocol design.





















[Appendix A - STTP API Reference](#) provides instructions to enable software developers to integrate and use of STTP within other software systems.

[Appendix B - IEEE C37.118 Mapping](#) provides a detailed look at the process of transforming IEEE C37.118 into STTP as well as creating IEEE C37.118 streams from STTP.

While the format and structure of this document, established to facilitate collaboration, is different than that used by standards bodies, it is hoped that the content within this document can meet all the information requirements needed to enable repackaging of this specification into draft standard formats.

## Definitions and Nomenclature

The styles used to show code, notes, etc.

 To spice up the formatting of the spec, GitHub offers a library of emoji's. Some that we might want to play into nomenclature                    From use of the atom editor, it looks like some are unique to GitHub and others are part of more standard collections. Or we could make some custom ones that would be included as images. Here's a link to full available set: <https://gist.github.com/rxaviers/7360908>

For example,

 This is an instructional note in the spec.

or for example,

 This is a very important note in the spec.

### Definition of key terms

The words "must", "must not", "required", "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "recommended", "may", and "optional" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#)


Term	Definition
<b>data point</b>	A measurement on a single member of a statistical population.
<b>phasor</b>	A complex equivalent of a simple cosine wave quantity such that the complex modulus is the cosine wave amplitude and the complex angle (in polar form) is the cosine wave phase angle.
<b>publish/subscribe</b>	A messaging pattern where senders of messages, called publishers, do not program the messages to be sent directly to specific receivers, called subscribers, but instead characterize published messages into classes without knowledge of which subscribers, if any, there may be.
<b>synchrophasor</b>	A phasor calculated from data samples using a standard time signal as the reference for the measurement. Synchronized phasors from remote sites have a defined common phase relationship.
<b>term</b>	definition

### Acronyms

Term	Definition
<b>API</b>	Application Program Interface
<b>DOE</b>	U.S. Department of Energy
<b>GEP</b>	Gateway Exchange Protocol
<b>GPA</b>	Grid Protection Alliance, Inc.
<b>IP</b>	Internet Protocol
<b>PDC</b>	Phasor Data Concentrator
<b>PMU</b>	Phasor Measurement Unit


<b>STTP</b>	Streaming Telemetry Transport Protocol
<b>TCP</b>	Transmission Control Protocol
<b>UDP</b>	User Datagram Protocol
<b>UTC</b>	Universal Time Coordinated

## Protocol Overview


 Purpose of protocol, fundamentals of how it works (command and data) - include sub-section titles ( 4# items) as needed

Describe what protocol is and is not - how it could operate with ZeroMQ, DDS, etc. as a transport layer - how it is different than thrift, protobuf, etc., i.e., atomized approach to native-type data vs serializing and deserializing data structures - why this has benefit at scale (i.e., very large structures)

Data transport requires the use of a command channel using TCP/IP for reliable delivery of important commands. Optionally a secondary data channel can be established using UDP/IP for the transport of data that can tolerate loss. When no secondary UDP/IP is used, both commands and data will share use of the TCP/IP channel for communications.

 **?** JRC: *The question has been raised if a UDP only transport should be allowed? In this mode, any critical commands and responses would basically be sent over UDP. Thought would need to be given to commands and/or responses that never arrive and the consequences thereof.*

*more*

 Although not precluded from use over other data transports, the design of this protocol is targeted and optimized for use over [Internet Protocol](#), specifically TCP/IP and UDP/IP. Even so, since the command/response implementation and data packet distribution of the STTP protocol is fairly simple, it is expected that commonly available middleware data transport layers, such as [ZeroMQ](#) or [Data Distribution Service](#) (DDS), could easily support and transmit data using the STTP protocol should any of the messaging distribution and management benefits of these transport layers be useful to a particular deployment environment. However, these types of deployments are outside the scope of this documentation. If needed, STTP integrations with middleware layers should be added as reference implementation repositories to the [STTP organizational site](#).

## Protocol Feature Summary

 This is the protocol promotional section that includes a bulleted list of the "value points" for the protocol

- Perform at high volume / large scale
- Minimize data losses (e.g., over UDP)
- Lower bandwidth requirements (e.g., over TCP)
- Optimized for the performant delivery of individual data points
- Automated exchange of metadata (no centralized registry required)
- Detect and expose communication issues
- Security and availability features that enable use on critical systems to support critical operations
- Publish/subscribe at data point level
- API implemented in multiple languages on multiple platforms

## Business case

---

At the conclusion of the STTP project in April 2019, the new STTP will be a well-tested, thoroughly vetted, production-grade protocol that will be supported by project team vendors. An open source tool suite for STTP will be developed as part of the project (see [Appendix A](#)) that will include a test harness that will allow utilities and vendors outside the project to test and validate STTP in their systems and API's.

STTP offers both short-term cost savings and strategic value in that it is:

### Intrinsically More Robust with Less Data Loss

- By design, STTP packet sizes are small and are optimized for network MTU size reducing fragmentation which results in more efficient TCP performance and less overall data loss with UDP.
- STTP puts significantly less stress on network routing equipment and facilitates mixing of streaming data traffic and other general network communications. With STTP, purpose built networks are not required to reliably support very large phasor data streams.

### Security Centric

- STTP has been built using a "security first" design approach. Authentication to establish a "connection" with other parties requires a "certificate". While public certificate providers can be used, it is recommended that symmetric certificates be exchanged out-of-band to avoid the risk and cost of management of public keys.
- Best-practice encryption is natively available in STTP but not required given the common practice to manage encryption at the network layer.

### Reduces First Cost

- GEP has been measured [\[5\]](#) to have less than half the band width requirements of IEEE C37.118 [\[1\]](#) when used with TCP and simple methods for lossless compression. With the compression, a single signal or measurement point (i.e., an identifier, timestamp, value and quality code) requires only 2.5 bytes. By comparison, IEEE C37.118 requires 4.5 bytes per measurement on average.
- The signal-based GEP protocol incorporates Pub/Sub data exchange methods so that unnecessary data points need not be exchanged – thereby further reducing overall bandwidth requirements as compared to IEEE C37.118.

### Reduces Operating Cost

- GEP automatically exchanges and synchronizes measurement level meta-data using a GUID as the key value to allow the self-initialization and integration of rich meta-data with points from multiple connected synchrophasor networks. This eliminates the need to map measurements to a pre-defined set identifiers and dispenses with the cost and hassles of synchronization of individual utility configuration with a centralized registry.
- Permissions for data subscriptions can be grouped and filtered using expressions to assure that only the signals that are authorized are shared (for example, all phasors from a specified substation) while the set of points available is dynamically adjusted as PMUs come and go without the need for point-by-point administrator approval.

### An Enabling Technology

- It's possible that a protocol like STTP which allows secure, low-latency, high-volume data exchange among utilities at low cost can be a major factor in driving rapid change in the industry. New forms of inter-utility interaction will be possible. New approaches for providing utility information services will be possible.

## **Built Upon A Proven Approach**

- STTP will enhance the successful design elements of the Gateway Exchange Protocol (GEP) as a foundation “and improve upon it.
- GEP is in n production use by Dominion, Entergy, MISO, PeakRC, TVA, FP&L, Southern Company and others.




## Design Philosophies

---

- Minimize external libraries and dependencies for reference implementations
- Keep portability in mind with all protocol design work
- Target smallest possible API functionality - specialized use cases will be handled by example
- Set design mantra to be "keep it simple" *as possible*

# Data Point Structure

---


 Lead with paragraph on purpose / value of the section - (1) what is a data point structure and (2) why have a data point structure / value? Next paragraph would be contents of section...

... this section includes:

- Identification - maps to 128-bit Guid, transport mapping should be small
- Timestamp (required? could simply be a auto-incrementing counter)
- Value - multiple native types supports
- Flags - standardize minimal set of simple flags, complex state can be new data point

## Data Point Value Types

- Null
- Byte
- Int16
- Int32
- Int64
- UInt16
- UInt32
- UInt64
- Decimal
- Double
- Single
- DateTime (need some thought on proper encoding, perhaps options)
- TimeSpan (Tick level resolution, or better, would be ideal)
- Char (2-byte Unicode)
- Bool
- Guid
- String (encoding support for UTF-16, UTF-8, ANSI and ASCII)
- Byte[]

 Need to determine safe maximum upper limit of per-packet strings and byte[] data, especially since implementation could simply *span* multiple data points to collate a larger string or buffer back together.

 *Should API automatically handle collation of larger data types, e.g., strings and buffers?*

# Commands and Responses



Purpose of command/response structure, fundamentals of how it works, why it is needed

## Commands

All commands must be sent over the command channel.

Code	Command	Source	Description
0x00	<a href="#">Set Operational Modes</a>	Subscriber	Defines desired set of operational modes.
0x01	<a href="#">Metadata Refresh</a>	Subscriber	Requests publisher send updated metadata.
0x02	<a href="#">Subscribe</a>	Subscriber	Defines desired set of data points to begin receiving.
0x03	<a href="#">Unsubscribe</a>	Subscriber	Requests publisher terminate current subscription.
0x0n	etc.		
0xFF	<a href="#">NoOp</a>	Any	Periodic message to allow validation of connectivity.

### Set Operational Modes Command

This must be the first command sent after a successful connection - the command must be sent before any other commands or responses are exchanged so that the "ground-rules" for the communications session can be established. The rule for this operational mode negotiation is that once these modes have been established, they will not change for the lifetime of the connection.

The subscriber must send the command and the publisher must await its reception. If the publisher does not receive the command in a timely fashion (time interval controlled by configuration), it will disconnect the subscriber.



In modes of operations where the publisher is initiating the connection, the publisher will still be waiting for subscriber to initiate communications with a `Set Operational Modes` command.

- Wire Format: Binary
- Requested operational mode negotiations
  - String encoding
  - Compression modes
  - UDP data channel usage / port

### Metadata Refresh Command

- Wire Format: Binary
  - Includes current metadata version number

### Subscribe Command

- Wire Format: Binary
  - Includes metadata expression and/or individual Guides for desired data points

### Unsubscribe Command

- Wire Format: Binary

## NoOp Command


No operation keep-alive ping. It is possible for the command channel to remain quiet for some time if most data is being transmitted over the data channel, this command allows a periodic test of client connectivity.

- Wire Format: Binary

## Responses

Responses are sent over a designated channel based on the nature of the response.

Code	Response	Source	Channel	Description
0x80	Succeeded	Publisher	Command	Command request succeeded. Response details follow.
0x81	Failed	Publisher	Command	Command request failed. Response error details follow.
0x82	Data Point Packet	Any	Data	Response contains data points.
0x83	Signal Mapping	Any	Command	Response contains data point Guid to run-time ID mappings.
0x8n	etc.			

 For the response table above, when a response is destined for the data channel, it should be understood that a connection can be established where both the command and data channel use the same TCP connection.

### Succeeded Response

- Wire Format: Binary (header)
  - Base wire format includes *in-response-to* command code
  - Can include response that is specific to source command:

### Succeeded Response for Metadata Refresh

- Wire Format: String + Binary
  - Includes response message with stats like size, number of tables etc.
  - Includes temporal data point ID for "chunked" metadata responses
  - Includes number of metadata data points to be expected

### Succeeded Response for Subscribe

Subscriber will need to wait for

- Wire Format: String + Binary
  - Includes response message with stats like number of actual points subscribed, count may not match requested points due to rights or points may no longer exist, etc.
  - Includes temporal data point ID for "chunked" signal mapping responses
  - Includes number of signal mapping data points to be expected

### Succeeded Response for Unsubscribe

- Wire Format: String

- Includes message as to successful unsubscribe with stats like connection time

### Failed Response

- Wire Format: String + Binary (header)
  - Base wire format includes *in-response-to* command code
  - Includes error message as *why* command request failed
  - Can include response that is specific to source command:


### Failed Response for Set Operational Modes

Failed responses to operational modes usually indicate lack of support by publisher. Failure response should include, per failed operational mode option, what options the publisher supports so that the operational modes can be re-negotiated by resending operational modes with a set of *supported* options.

- Wire Format: Binary
  - Includes operational mode that failed followed by available operational mode options

### Data Point Packet Response

- Wire Format: Binary
  - Includes a byte flag indicating content, e.g.:
  - Data compression mode, if any
  - Total data points in packet
  - Includes serialized data points

 The data point packet is technically classified as a response to a `subscribe` command. However, unlike most responses that operate as a sole response to a parent command, data-packet responses will continue to flow for available measurements until an `unsubscribe` command is issued.

### Signal Mapping Response

- Wire Format: Binary
  - Includes a mapping of data point Guids to run-time signal IDs
  - Includes per data point ownership state, rights and delivery characteristic details

## Data Point Characteristics

---

- Priority (e.g., control over data delivery priority)
- Reliability (e.g., must be sent over TCP channel)
- Verification (e.g., notification of successful transport)
- Exception (e.g., delivery on change)
- Resolution (e.g., down-sampling)

## Metadata

---

- Wire Format: Tabular XML format (XML) - highly compressible
- Primary data point identifier is Guid (define)
- Extensibility
- Rights based content restriction

## Dataset Contents

- Minimum required dataset for STTP operation
- Industry specific dataset extensions (outside scope of this doc)

## Dataset Filtering

- Format of expressions that work against metadata
  - SQL style expressions
  - Regex style expressions
- Application of expressions
  - Metadata reduction
  - Data point access security

## Dataset Versioning

- Versioned
- Difference based publication

## Dataset Serialization

- Serialization for transport
  - Packet based publication using temporal data point
  - Publisher reduction by access rights and diff-version
  - Subscriber reduction by filter expression
- Serialization to local repository
  - Merging considerations
  - Conflict resolution
  - Ownership control

# Compression

---

- Types of compression
  - Stateful data compression (TCP)
  - Per-packet data compression (UDP)
  - Metadata compression (GZip)
- Compression algorithm extensibility
  - Negotiating desired compression algorithm



# Security

---

- Access control list (ACL) security is always on

## Encrypted Communications

- Transport layer security (TLS) over TCP command channel
- UDP data channel traffic secured via AES keys exchanged over TCL command channel

## Strong Identity Validation

- X.509 certificates
- Self-signed certificates

## Publisher Initiated Security Considerations

How does publisher initiated connection, to cross security zones in desired direction, affect identity validation and TLS?

## Access Control Lists

- Allow/deny for specific points (data point explicit)
- Allow/deny for group with specific points (group explicit)
- Allow/deny for filter expression (filter implicit)
- Allow/deny for group with filter expression (group implicit)

## Expression based Access Control

- Expressions can be used to define filters and groups
- How do filters work against extensible metadata, missing columns?

## Access Control Precedence

- (1) Data Point Explicit
- (2) Group Explicit
- (3) Filter Implicit
- (4) Group Implicit

## References and Notes

---

1. [IEEE Standard C37.118, Standard for Synchrophasors for Power Systems](#)
2. [The MIT Open Source Software License](#)
3. [RFC 2119, Current Best Practice](#) Scott Bradner, Harvard University, 1997
4. [STTP Repositories on GitHub](#), Various specification documents and reference implementations.
5. [New Technology Value, Phasor Gateway](#), Peak Reliability, September 2016, Task 7 Data Delivery Efficiency Improvements, DE-OE-701.
6. More ...

## Contributors

The following individuals actively participated in the development of this standard.

- J. Ritchie Carroll, GPA
- F. Russell Robertson, GPA
- Stephen C. Wills, GPA

## ASP Project Participants



Project Collaborators	Project Financial Partner	Vendor	Utility	Demonstration Host
Bonneville Power Administration	♦		♦	
Bridge Energy Group				
Dominion Energy	♦		♦	EPG
Electric Power Group	♦	♦		
Electric Power Research Institute				
ERCOT			♦	
Grid Protection Alliance (Prime)	♦	♦		
ISO New England			♦	
MehtaTech		♦		
Oklahoma Gas & Electric	♦		♦	WSU
OSIsoft		♦		
Peak Reliability			♦	
PingThings		♦		
PJM Interconnection			♦	EPG
Southern California Edison			♦	
San Diego Gas & Electric	♦		♦	WSU
Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories	♦	♦		
Southern Company Services			♦	
Southwest Power Pool	♦		♦	WSU
Space-Time Insight		♦		
Trudnowski & Donnelly Consulting Engineers		♦		
Utilicast	♦	♦		
Tennessee Valley Authority	♦		♦	WSU
University of Southern California				
V&R Energy		♦		
Washington State University	♦	♦		
26	11	11	12	6

## Specification Copyright Statement

- Copyright © 2017, Grid Protection Alliance, Inc., All rights reserved.

## Major Version History

Version	Date	Notes
0.1	TBD, 2017	Initial draft for validation of use of markdown
0.0	June 15, 2017	Specification template

## Appendix A - STTP API Reference

---

appendix body

appendix body

💡 Links to language specific auto-generated XML code comment based API documentation would be useful.

# Appendix B - IEEE C37.118 Mapping

---

appendix body

appendix body

## Specification Development To-Do List

---

- ☒ Determine the location for posting images ( June 18, 2017 )
- ☒ Create script to automate markdown merge ( June 19, 2017 )
- ☐ Sample item 3 ( date )