

- ① I used decrease-by-a-constant-factor method to find minimum number of cuts.

I divide $n/2$ pieces the wine. I put these multiple pieces on top of each other and divided them into 2 at the same time.

Time Complexity:

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + c$$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 1} = 1$$

$$f(n) = c$$

→ They are the same. So: // Master Theorem

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$$

$$= \Theta(\log n)$$

- ② I assumed the n experiments is an array of size n . Then I assumed the rates of the experiments are values of the array. I implemented 2 functions. One of them finds the worst rate and the other one finds the best. I called both functions recursively to find the worst/best rate in the left part of the list, to find the worst/best rate in the right part of the list, and to determine which one is worse/better.

Time Complexity:

$$T(n) = [2T(n/2) + 1] + [2T(n/2) + 1]$$

$$= 2T(n/2) + 1$$

Master Theorem

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n$$

$$f(n) = 1, \text{ constant}$$

$\Rightarrow n > \text{constant}$. So,

$$T(n) = \Theta(n)$$

③ I used Variable-size-decrease approach. I choose the first element of the array as pivot. As we see in the course, I used my partition algorithm and quickselect algorithm together. In partition:

- I divided the array 2 halves. Then I compared pivot and the elements in left/right sides. Counters increased/decreased. When I determine that the left is smaller than right element, I swapped.

- In quick selection I called partition function. In terms of k value I found the position of the kth element. I called quickselect for left part and the right part of the array recursively.

Time complexity:

$n+1$ comparison in #1
 n " " " #2

$$T(1) = \Theta(1)$$

$$T(2) = \Theta(1) + \Theta(2)$$

$$T(3) = \Theta(1) + \Theta(2) + \Theta(3)$$

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n^2 + n}{2} \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$$

④ I used the algorithm we learned in the lesson. I divided the array into 2 halves. I recursively called the function for both halves. Then I defined 2 pointers for 2 halves. Then I compare 2 element from 2 halves to find the smaller one. I created a union set. I appended smaller one into the union set until the other element of the other part of the array becomes the smaller one. Larger numbers than the larger one are written in the union set without comparison.

Time Complexity: $T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + n$ // Master Theorem

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \cdot \log n) = \boxed{\Theta(n \log n)}$$

$f(n) = n$

⑤ Brute Force: I compute n times multiplication in a for loop. Each of them constant time. But runs n times in total.

Time Complexity: $T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n 1 = \boxed{\Theta(n)}$

Divide & Conquer: I divided the problem into 2 halves. I check whether the exponent number is even/odd. If it is even I multiplied 2 halves, otherwise I multiplied 2 halves and the number.

Time Complexity: $T(n) = T(n/2) + 1$

$$T(n) = T(n/2^k) + 1 \cdot k \quad (k = \log_2 n)$$

$$T(n) = T(1) + \log_2 n = \boxed{\Theta(\log_2 n)}$$