We have seen that its pretty cool to have a basis for \mathbb{R}^n consisting of eigenvectors of $A:\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, because then A acts very simply on vectors represented in that basis:

toasus: $A(c_1\overline{V}_1 + \dots + c_n\overline{V}_n) = \overline{\lambda_1C_1}\overline{V}_1 + \dots + \overline{\lambda_n}C_n\overline{V}_n.$

It turns out that we <u>automatically</u> get a basis of eigenvectors if there trappen to be a different eigenvalues:

theorem If $\{\vec{v}_1,...,\vec{v}_r\}$ are eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_r\}$, then $\{\vec{v}_1,...,\vec{v}_r\}$ is a linearly independent list.

Find Assume the list is lin, dep. Apply the linear dependence the least possible one, lemma to extract some p, and $c_1, ..., c_p$ so that $\vec{\nabla}_{p+1} = c_1\vec{\nabla}_1 + \cdots + c_p\vec{\nabla}_p$.

Apply A to both sides to set

$$\lambda_{\rho+1}\overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\rho+1} = c_1\lambda_1\overrightarrow{\nabla}_1 + \cdots + c_{\rho}\lambda_{\rho}\overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\rho}.$$

Now substituting $\vec{V}_{p+1} = C_1\vec{V}_1 + \cdots + C_p\vec{V}_p$, we set $C_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{p+1})\vec{V}_1 + C_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_{p+1})\vec{V}_2 + \cdots + C_p(\lambda_p - \lambda_{p+1})\vec{V}_p = \vec{O}$

Now all the eigenvalue différences are nonzero, so all the c's are zero. But that contradicts the minimality assumption for p, so we're done.

§ 5.2 Fuding eigenvalues

We have seen that finding eigenvectors once we know the corresponding eigenvalue is a matter of solving the linear homogeneous equation $(A-\lambda I)V=\bar{O}$. But how to find λ ? Well, we know $(A-\lambda I)V=\bar{O}$. Now nonzero solutions only if $A-\lambda I$ is non-invertible. So we solve $\det(A-\lambda I)=0$ to find the

eigenvolues. Let's do one néve seen already.

Example Find the eigenvalues of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Solution we solve

$$0 = \det(A - \lambda I) = \det(\frac{1 - \lambda}{5} \frac{6}{z - \lambda})$$

$$= (1 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) - 6.5$$

$$= \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 2 - 30$$

$$= \lambda^2 - 3\lambda - 28$$

$$= (\lambda - 7)(\lambda + 4).$$

So $\lambda = 7$ and $\lambda = -4$ are the only two eigenvalues. We can see that if A is an nxn motive, then $\det(A - \lambda I)$ will be an n-degree polynomial in λ . That's because the only λ^n term comes from $(-\lambda)^n$ in the diagonal rook arrangement. $\det(A - \lambda I)$ is called the characteristic polynomial of A, and $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ is called the characteristic equation of A. Theorem An uxu matrix has at most a eigenvalues.

Rod det(A-AI)=0 has at most a solutions, by the fundamental theorem of algebra.

Let's go back & see how the golden ratio shows up with our Fibonacci modrix:

Example First the eigenvalues of [91].

Solution

$$0 = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= -\lambda (1 - \lambda) - 1$$

$$= \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$$

$$= \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$$
when $\lambda = \frac{-(-1) \pm \sqrt{(-1)^2 - 4(-1)(1)}}{2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.