

1 Gram Schmidt:

$$\vec{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -8 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} - \text{proj}_{\vec{b}_1} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -8 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -8 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{-36}{12} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{b}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} - \text{proj}_{\vec{b}_1} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} - \text{proj}_{\vec{b}_2} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

then $\{\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3\}$ is an orthogonal basis

for the column space of $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 6 & 6 \\ 3 & -8 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 & 6 \\ 1 & -4 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$

2

$$\vec{u} \vec{u}^T = \frac{1}{31} \begin{bmatrix} 16 & -4 & 8 & 12 & 4 \\ -4 & 1 & -2 & -3 & -1 \\ 8 & -2 & 4 & 6 & 2 \\ 12 & -3 & 6 & 9 & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{(we learned } \vec{u} \vec{u}^T \\ \text{is the projection} \\ \text{onto Col } U) \end{array}$$

[3] True If $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = 0$ for all $\vec{w} \in W$ and for all $\vec{w} \in W^\perp$, then $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = 0$ for all $\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and therefore $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$.

False $U^T U = I$; $U U^T$ is the proj onto $\text{Col } U$.

True we learned this in class.

True Projecting to a space you're already in doesn't change you.

[4] Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_p\}$ be a basis of W , and extend it to a basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n . Then Gram-Schmidt that basis to get an ONB $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n .

then $W = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$, and every vector in $\text{span}\{\vec{v}_{p+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is orthogonal to every vector in W . So $\text{span}\{\vec{v}_{p+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a subset of W^\perp . But any vector in W^\perp , written as $a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n$, must have $a_1 = \dots = a_p = 0$, because

$$\vec{v}_1 \cdot (a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n) = a_1|\vec{v}_1|^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = 0$$

& same for a_2, \dots, a_p . So $W^\perp = \text{span}(\vec{v}_{p+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$. Thus $\dim W + \dim W^\perp = p + (n - (p+1) + 1) = n$.

5 Let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ be a basis for $\text{Row } A$, and

$\vec{v}_{p+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ a basis for $\text{Nul } A$. Then

$T: \text{Row } A \rightarrow \text{Col } A$ is surjective because if $\vec{y} \in \text{Col } A$ then there exists $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y}$.

Writing this \vec{x} as $\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2$ where $\vec{x}_1 \in \text{Row } A$,
 $\vec{x}_2 \in \text{Nul } A$ [we can do this by expanding \vec{x} in the
 basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$], we get $T(\vec{x}_1) = T(\vec{x}) - T(\vec{x}_2)$

$$= \vec{y} - \vec{0}$$

$$= \vec{y}.$$

So $T: \text{Row } A \rightarrow \text{Col } A$ is surjective.

Also, if $T(\vec{x}) = T(\vec{x}')$ for $\vec{x}, \vec{x}' \in \text{Row } A$,
 then $T(\vec{x}) - T(\vec{x}') = \vec{0} \Rightarrow T(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') = \vec{0} \Rightarrow$
 $\vec{x} - \vec{x}' \in \text{Nul } A$. But, $\text{Nul } A = (\text{Row } A)^\perp$,
 so $\vec{x} - \vec{x}' \in \text{Nul } A \cap \text{Row } A \Rightarrow \vec{x} - \vec{x}' = \vec{0}$.

So $\vec{x} = \vec{x}'$, & thus T is injective.