

# Robust Weighting and Matching Techniques for Causal Inference in Observational Studies with Continuous Treatment

Universität Stuttgart



**Universität Stuttgart**

Ioan Scheffel

October 24, 2022

# Contents

1	Chapter One Title	2
2	Convex Analysis	3
3	Random Matrix Inequality	4
4	Simple yet useful Calculations	5

# Chapter 1

## Chapter One Title

hello  $\mathbb{R}$

# Chapter 2

## Convex Analysis

We begin by defining convex sets

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is called CONVEX if we have  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \Omega$  for all  $x, y \in \Omega$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ .

Clearly, the line segment  $[a, b] := \{\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b \mid \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$  is contained in  $\Omega$  for all  $a, b \in \Omega$  if and only if  $\Omega$  is a convex set.

Next we define convex functions.

The concept of convex functions is closely related to convex sets.

The line segment between two points on the graph of a convex function lies on or above and does not intersect the graph.

In other words: The area above the graph of a convex function  $f$  is a convex set, i.e. the *epigraph*  $\text{epi}(f) := \{(x, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \leq \alpha\}$  is a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

Often an equivalent characterisation of convex functions is more useful.

**Theorem 2.1.** The convexity of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is equivalent to the following statement:

For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y). \quad (2.1)$$

## Chapter 3

# Random Matrix Inequality

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(A_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2}$  be a finite sequence of independent, random matrices. Assume that*

$$\mathbb{E}(A_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A_k\| \leq L \quad \text{for each } k \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Introduce the random matrix*

$$S := \sum_{k=1}^n A_k. \quad (3.2)$$

*Let  $v(S)$  be the matrix variance statistic of the sum:*

$$v(S) := \max \left\{ \|\mathbb{E}(SS^T)\|, \|\mathbb{E}(S^T S)\| \right\} \quad (3.3)$$

$$= \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}(A_k A_k^T) \right\|, \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}(A_k^T A_k) \right\| \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

*Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \|S\| \leq \sqrt{2v(S) \log(d_1 + d_2)} + \frac{1}{3} L \log(d_1 + d_2). \quad (3.5)$$

*Furthermore, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\|S\| \geq t) \leq (d_1 + d_2) \exp \left( \frac{-t^2/2}{v(S) + Lt/3} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

# Chapter 4

## Simple yet useful Calculations

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous such that a minimum  $x^*$  exists and is unique. Then for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $C > 0$  it follows*

$$\inf_{\|\Delta\|=C} f(y + \Delta) - f(y) > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|x^* - y\| \leq C. \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C} := \{\|\Delta\| \leq C\}$  is compact and

$$f(x^*) \leq f(y) < \inf_{\|\Delta\|=C} f(y + \Delta)$$

the continuous function  $f(y + \cdot)$  has a minimum in  $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{C}} := \{\|\Delta\| < C\}$ . Since  $x^*$  is the unique minimum of  $f$  there exists  $\Delta^* \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{C}}$  such that  $x^* - y = \Delta^*$ . We conclude that  $\|x^* - y\| \leq C$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.1.** (Multivariate Taylor Theorem) *Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ . Then for all  $x, \Delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists  $\xi \in [0, 1]$  such that it holds*

$$f(x + \Delta) - f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} \Delta_i + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \frac{\partial^2 f(x + \xi \Delta)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \Delta_i \Delta_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f(x + \xi \Delta)}{\partial x_i^2} \Delta_i^2 \quad (4.2)$$

**Corollary 4.1.1.** *Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then for all  $a, x, \Delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there exist  $\xi \in [0, 1]$  such that it holds*

$$f(a^T(x + \Delta)) - f(a^T x) = f'(a^T x) \Delta^T a + \frac{1}{2} f''(a^T(x + \xi \Delta)) \Delta^T A \Delta, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $A := aa^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

*Proof.* By the chain rule we have for all  $a, x, \Delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\xi \in [0, 1]$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(a^T(x + \xi\Delta))}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f''(a^T(x + \xi\Delta)) a_i a_j. \quad (4.4)$$

Since  $A := aa^T$  is symmetric we have

$$\Delta^T A \Delta = 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n a_i a_j \Delta_i \Delta_j + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \Delta_i^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Plugging (4.4) and (4.5) into (4.2) yields (4.3). □