Django Performance & You



Presented by @st3v3nmw (Software Engineer)

Agenda

- 0. Quick walkthrough on the Demo Project & Django Silk
- 1. The N+1 Query Problem
- 2. Interpreting EXPLAIN ANALYZE
- 3. Scan Types
- 4. Covering & Partial Indexes
- 5. Periodic Tasks

Link to code repository.

Profile Before Optimizing

Premature optimization is the root of all evil ~ Donald Knuth

The problem with premature optimization is that you never know in advance where the bottlenecks will be while coding the system.

A heuristic / rule of thumb to address this would be:

- 1. Make it work
- 2. Make it right
- 3. Use the system and find performance bottlenecks
- 4. Use a profiler in those bottlenecks to determine what needs to be optimized
- 5. Make it fast

https://wiki.c2.com/?ProfileBeforeOptimizing=

Performance Targets

Ideally, backend APIs should target a `p50` latency of `100 - 150ms`, and a `p95` latency of `250 - 300ms`. Some systems which will remain unnamed have tail latencies (`p99s, p99.9s`) greater than `20,000ms` . Tail latencies affect your most valuable customers since they have more data, etc.

Django Silk Setup

In `settings.py`, add the following:

To enable access to the user interface add the following to your `urls.py`:

```
1 urlpatterns += [("silk/", include("silk.urls", namespace="silk"))]
```

Then run 'migrate' & 'collectstatic'.

Example 1: The N+1 Query Problem

http://127.0.0.1:8000/api/messages/list_some/

 \bigcirc 2,794ms overall \bigcirc 484ms on queries \bigcirc 103 queries

```
aaction(methods=["GET"], detail=False)
def list some(self, request):
    """List some messages."""
   messages = models.Message.objects.all()[:100]
    data = serializers.MessageSerializer(messages, many=True).data
    return Response(data=data, status=HTTP 200 OK)
def list some(self, request):
   messages = models.Message.objects.all(
    data = 「┐
    for message in messages:
      sent by = message.sent by
      data.append({"sender": sent by.full name, "text": message.text})
    return Response(data=data, status=HTTP 200 OK)
```

Example 1: Queries

From http://127.0.0.1:8000/silk/requests/

```
# Executed 1X -> Pick 100 messages

SELECT * FROM "weave_message" ORDER BY "weave_message"."updated" DESC LIMIT 100

# Executed 100X -> Once for each message

SELECT * FROM "weave_person" ORDER BY "weave_person"."updated" DESC
```

Query Plan 1

SELECT * FROM "weave_person" ORDER BY "weave_person"."updated" DESC

From http://127.0.0.1:8000/silk/request/GUID/sql/ID/

```
Sort (cost=73.83..76.33 rows=1000 width=76) (actual time=0.600..0.700 rows=1000 loops=1)

Output: id, created, updated, deleted, first_name, last_name, email_address

Sort Key: weave_person.updated DESC


Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 141kB

-> Seq Scan on public.weave_person (cost=0.00..24.00 rows=1000 width=76) (actual time=0.013..0.207 rows=1000 loop

Output: id, created, updated, deleted, first_name, last_name, email_address

Planning Time: 0.081 ms

Execution Time: 0.815 ms
```



Example 1: Query Plan 2

From http://127.0.0.1:8000/silk/request/GUID/sql/ID/

SELECT * FROM "weave_message" ORDER BY "weave_message"."updated" DESC LIMIT 100

```
Limit (cost=5515.46..5526.96 rows=100 width=104) (actual time=27.490..30.617 rows=100 loops=1)
       Output: id, created, updated, deleted, text, sent by id, thread id
 3
       -> Gather Merge (cost=5515.46..12280.22 rows=58824 width=104) (actual time=27.489..30.607 rows=100 loops=1)
             Output: id, created, updated, deleted, text, sent by id, thread id
             Workers Planned: 1
             Workers Launched: 1
             -> Sort (cost=4515.45..4662.51 rows=58824 width=104) (actual time=24.413..24.418 rows=70 loops=2)
                   Output: id, created, updated, deleted, text, sent by id, thread id
                   Sort Key: weave message.updated DESC
                   Sort Method: top-N heapsort Memory: 61kB
10
                   Worker 0: actual time=21.745..21.752 rows=100 loops=1
                     Sort Method: top-N heapsort Memory: 62kB
13
                   -> Parallel Seg Scan on public.weave message (cost=0.00..2267.24 rows=58824 width=104) (actual time=0
                         Output: id, created, updated, deleted, text, sent by id, thread id
                         Worker 0: actual time=0.008..6.095 rows=43056 loops=1
     Planning Time: 0.093 ms
16
     Execution Time: 30.644 ms
17
```

Example 1: Optimized

http://127.0.0.1:8000/api/messages/list_some/

 \bigcirc 1,487ms overall \bigcirc 82ms on queries \bigcirc 1 queries

```
def list_some(self, request):
    """List some messages."""

messages = (
    models.Message.objects
    .select_related("sent_by").all()[:100]

data = serializers.MessageSerializer(messages, many=True,).data
    return Response(data=data, status=HTTP_200_0K)
```

Queries

From http://127.0.0.1:8000/silk/request/GUID/sql/ID/

```
# Executed 1X -> Picks everything
SELECT * FROM "weave_message"
INNER JOIN "weave_person" ON ("weave_message"."sent_by_id" = "weave_person"."id")
ORDER BY "weave_message"."updated" DESC
LIMIT 100
```

Example 1: Query Plan

```
Limit (cost=5707.03..5718.53 rows=100 width=180) (actual time=77.875..81.329 rows=100 loops=1)
 3
       -> Gather Merge (cost=5707.03..12471.79 rows=58824 width=180) (actual time=77.874..81.319 rows=100 loops=1)
             -> Sort (cost=4707.02..4854.08 rows=58824 width=180) (actual time=73.949..73.957 rows=85 loops=2)
                   Sort Key: weave message.updated DESC
                   Sort Method: top-N heapsort Memory: 78kB
                   -> Hash Join (cost=36.50..2458.80 rows=58824 width=180) (actual time=0.687..36.358 rows=50000 loops=2
 9
                         Output: weave message.id, weave message.created, weave message.updated, weave_message.deleted, we
10
                         Inner Unique: true
11
12
                         Hash Cond: (weave message.sent by id = weave person.id)
13
                         -> Parallel Seg Scan on public.weave message (cost=0.00..2267.24 rows=58824 width=104) (actual
                               Output: weave message.id, weave message.created, weave message.updated, weave message.delet-
14
                         -> Hash (cost=24.00..24.00 rows=1000 width=76) (actual time=0.591..0.591 rows=1000 loops=2)
15
                               Output: weave person.id, weave person.created, weave person.updated, weave person.deleted,
16
                               Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 114kB
18
                               -> Seq Scan on public.weave person (cost=0.00..24.00 rows=1000 width=76) (actual time=0.0
     Planning Time: 0.459 ms
20
     Execution Time: 81.380 ms
```

Example 2: Unoptimized

http://127.0.0.1:8000/api/threads/

 \bigcirc 113,450ms overall \bigcirc 27,349ms on queries \bigcirc 10,244 queries

```
class ThreadViewSet(ReadOnlyModelViewSet):
         queryset = models.Thread.objects.filter(deleted=False)
         serializer class = serializers.ThreadSerializer
     class MessageSerializer(serializers.ModelSerializer):
         sender = serializers.ReadOnlyField(source="sent by.full name")
 9
         class Meta:
10
11
             model = Message
             fields = " all "
12
13
14
     class ThreadSerializer(serializers.ModelSerializer):
15
16
         messages = MessageSerializer(many=True)
17
         class Meta:
18
19
             model = Thread
20
             fields = " all "
```

Example 2: Queries

```
# Executed 1X -> Picks 100 threads
     SELECT * FROM "weave thread"
     WHERE NOT "weave_thread"."deleted"
     ORDER BY "weave thread". "updated" DESC
     LIMIT 100
 6
     # Executed 100X -> Picks approx. 100 messages per thread
     SELECT * FROM "weave message"
     WHERE "weave message"."thread id" = X
     ORDER BY "weave message". "updated" DESC
10
11
12
     # Executed approx 10,000X -> Once for each message in each thread
     SELECT * FROM "weave person" WHERE "weave person"."id" = X LIMIT 21
13
14
15
     # Executed 1X -> Pagination
     SELECT COUNT(*) AS " count"
16
     FROM "weave thread"
17
18
     WHERE NOT "weave thread"."deleted"
19
     # {
     # "count": 903,
20
         "next": "http://127.0.0.1:8000/api/threads/?page=2",
21
          "previous": null,
22
     #
23
     #
         "results": [ ],
24
   # }
```

Example 2: After prefetching related messages

http://127.0.0.1:8000/api/threads/

 \bigcirc 135,790ms overall \bigcirc 34,587ms on queries \bigcirc 10,145 queries

```
class ThreadViewSet(ReadOnlyModelViewSet):
    queryset = (
        models.Thread.objects
        .filter(deleted=False)
        .prefetch_related("messages")
        )
```

Oueries

```
# Executed 1X -> Picks 100 threads
SELECT * FROM "weave_thread" WHERE NOT "weave_thread"."deleted" ORDER BY "weave_thread"."updated" DESC LIMIT 100

# Executed 1X -> Picks approx. 10,000 messages for all threads
SELECT * FROM "weave_message" WHERE "weave_message"."thread_id" IN (THREAD_IDS,)
ORDER BY "weave_message"."updated" DESC

# Executed approx 10,000X -> Once for each message in each thread
SELECT * FROM "weave_person" WHERE "weave_person"."id" = X LIMIT 21

SELECT COUNT(*) AS "__count" FROM "weave_thread" WHERE NOT "weave_thread"."deleted"
```

Example 2: After prefetching related senders ©

http://127.0.0.1:8000/api/threads/

 \bigcirc 7,183ms overall \bigcirc 1,360ms on queries \bigcirc 4 queries

```
class ThreadViewSet(ReadOnlyModelViewSet):
    queryset = (
        models.Thread.objects
        .filter(deleted=False)
        .prefetch_related("messages", "messages__sent_by")
        )
}
```

Queries

```
SELECT * FROM "weave_thread" WHERE NOT "weave_thread"."deleted" ORDER BY "weave_thread"."updated" DESC LIMIT 100

# Executed 1X -> Picks approx. 10,000 messages for all threads

SELECT * FROM "weave_message" WHERE "weave_message"."thread_id" IN (THREAD_IDS,)

ORDER BY "weave_message"."updated" DESC

# Executed approx 1X -> Picks all relevant message senders

SELECT * FROM "weave_person" WHERE "weave_person"."id" IN (MESSAGE_IDS,)

ORDER BY "weave_person"."updated" DESC

SELECT COUNT(*) AS "__count" FROM "weave_thread" WHERE NOT "weave_thread"."deleted"
```

Example 3: Covering Indexes

http://127.0.0.1:8000/api/messages/

```
88.364ms
```

```
# We will be focusing on only one query this time
# This query is run during pagination to get the total
# number of objects in the database

SELECT COUNT(*) AS "__count" FROM "weave_message"
WHERE NOT "weave_message"."deleted"
```

Query Plan

```
Aggregate (cost=2904.02..2904.03 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=23.799..23.800 rows=1 loops=1)

Output: count(*)

-> Seq Scan on public.weave_message (cost=0.00..2679.00 rows=90007 width=0) (actual time=0.008..17.689 rows=89964

Output: id, created, updated, deleted, text, sent_by_id, thread_id

Filter: (NOT weave_message.deleted)

Rows Removed by Filter: 10036

Planning Time: 0.063 ms

Execution Time: 23.826 ms
```

Example 3: Covering Indexes

```
class AbstractBase(models.Model):
    """Abstract Base."""

created = models.DateTimeField(auto_now_add=True)
    updated = models.DateTimeField(auto_now=True)

deleted = models.BooleanField(db_index=True)

class Meta:
    ordering = ("-updated",)
    abstract = True
```

Query Plan



```
Aggregate (cost=2116.43..2116.44 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=17.813..17.814 rows=1 loops=1)

Output: count(*)

-> Index Only Scan using weave_message_deleted_9517d20b on public.weave_message (cost=0.29..1891.41 rows=90007 wideleted)


Index Cond: (weave_message.deleted = false)

Heap Fetches: 0

Planning Time: 0.078 ms

Execution Time: 17.846 ms
```

Example 3: Covering Indexes



Example 3: Partial Indexes

Query Plan



```
Aggregate (cost=1887.20..1887.21 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=25.893..25.895 rows=1 loops=1)

Output: count(*)

-> Index Only Scan using soft_deletes_ignore on public.weave_message (cost=0.29..1662.18 rows=90007 width=0) (actual time=25.893..25.895 rows=1 loops=1)

Output: count(*)

Output: deleted

Heap Fetches: 0

Planning Time: 0.137 ms

Execution Time: 25.931 ms
```

Example 4: Index Scans

```
> from threads.weave.models import *
     > deleted messages = Message.objects.filter(deleted=True)
     > str(deleted messages.querv)
     SELECT * FROM "weave message" WHERE "weave message"."deleted" ORDER BY "weave message"."updated" DESC
     > deleted messages.explain(analyze=True) # Before Index
     Sort (cost=3342.87..3367.85 rows=9993 width=104) (actual time=21.625..22.368 rows=10036 loops=1)
       Sort Key: updated DESC
       Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 1835kB
       -> Seq Scan on weave message (cost=0.00..2679.00 rows=9993 width=104) (actual time=0.020..17.314 rows=10036 loops
             Filter: deleted
10
             Rows Removed by Filter: 89964
11
     Planning Time: 0.099 ms
12
13
     Execution Time: 23,005 ms
     > deleted messages.explain(analyze=True) # After Index
14
     Sort (cost=2556.54..2581.52 rows=9993 width=104) (actual time=16.202..17.342 rows=10036 loops=1)
15
       Sort Key: updated DESC
16
       Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 1835kB
17
18
       -> Bitmap Heap Scan on weave_message (cost=113.74..1892.67 rows=9993 width=104) (actual time=1.528..9.371 rows=10
             Filter: deleted
19
             Heap Blocks: exact=1676
20
             -> Bitmap Index Scan on weave message deleted 9517d20b (cost=0.00..111.24 rows=9993 width=0) (actual time=0
21
22
                   Index Cond: (deleted = true)
     Planning Time: 0.207 ms
     Execution Time: 18,260 ms
```

Do we really need exact total counts during pagination?

```
Google Search Doesn't...

["About 25,200,000,000 results (0.45 seconds)"]
```

```
# threads.weave.paginators.py
     class CustomPaginator(Paginator):
         acached property
         def count(self) -> int:
             """Return the total number of objects, across all pages."""
             with connection.cursor() as cursor:
 9
                 cursor.execute(
10
                      "SELECT reltuples FROM pg class WHERE relname = %s",
                      [self.object list.query.model. meta.db table],
11
12
13
                 return int(cursor.fetchone()[0])
14
15
     class CustomPageNumberPagination(PageNumberPagination):
16
17
         django paginator class = CustomPaginator
```



Query

```
SELECT reltuples FROM pg_class WHERE relname = weave_message

# Output = 100,000; Correct = 89,964 &

# Filters/conditions not applied
```

The catalog `pg_class` catalogs tables and most everything else that has columns or is otherwise similar to a table. This includes indexes, views, materialized views, composite types, and TOAST tables; see `relkind`. When we mean all of these kinds of objects we speak of "relations".

`reltuples` is the number of live rows in the table. This is only an estimate used by the planner. It's updated by `VACUUM`, `ANALYZE`, and a few DDL commands such as `CREATE_INDEX`.

 ${\sim}\ https://www.postgresql.org/docs/current/catalog-pg-class.html$

Query Plan

```
Index Scan using pg_class_relname_nsp_index on pg_class
(cost=0.28..8.29 rows=1 width=4)
(actual time=0.043..0.046 rows=1 loops=1)
```

Are we able to surface the count estimates from the query planner? Probably not.

Introducing `TABLESAMPLE SYSTEM/BERNOULLI (n)`



But can we do better? Yes!

The `TABLESAMPLE SYSTEM/BERNOULLI` method returns an approximate percentage of rows. It generates a random number for each physical storage page for the underlying relation. Based on this random number and the sampling percentage specified, it either includes or exclude the corresponding storage page. If that page is included, the whole page will be returned in the result set.

~ https://wiki.postgresql.org/wiki/TABLESAMPLE_Implementation

```
SELECT COUNT(*) AS "__count" FROM "weave_message" TABLESAMPLE BERNOULLI (10) REPEATABLE (42)
WHERE NOT "weave_message"."deleted"
# Average output from several runs = 90,122.5; Correct = 89,964
# Off by ONLY 0.18%
```

Query Plan

```
Aggregate (cost=1801.50..1801.51 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=11.505..11.506 rows=1 loops=1)

# Original time taken: Around 25ms
```

```
def count(self) -> int:
             """Return an estimate of the total number of objects.
             Could be made more robust by first running the normal COUNT(*)
             with a `SET LOCAL statement timeout TO 50`
             and then reverting to this if that doesn't complete in time.
             0.00
             with connection.cursor() as cursor:
                 db table = self.object list.model. meta.db table
10
11
                 query = (
                      self.object list.annotate( count=Count("*"))
12
                     .values("__count")
13
14
                      .order by()
15
                      .query
16
                 query.group by = None
17
18
                 query_string = str(query)
                 query string = query string.replace(
19
                     f'FROM "{db table}"',
20
21
                      f'FROM "{db table}" TABLESAMPLE BERNOULLI (10) REPEATABLE (42)',
22
23
                 cursor.execute(query string)
                 return 10 * int(cursor.fetchone()[0])
24
```

Periodic Tasks

- Periodic tasks are one of the 4 horsemen of the apocalypse ②. They should be avoided like the plague.
 - Instead, prefer event-driven tasks
 - These typa tasks run immediately after an event e.g. `instance.save`, `instance.create`, `instance.transition`, Django signals, etc,...
 - You can use Celery to set-up automatic retries for certain errors
 - Retry with exponential backoff to reduce load
 - For reporting, separate your transactional (Postgres) and analytical (BigQuery) databases so that reports don't slow down your main database
- If you must:
 - Do things in bulk e.g. `bulk_create`, `bulk_update`
 - Use iterators when looping over a large number of objects (`qs.iterator()`)
 - Helps reduce memory usage
 - Add indexes to fields that are used to filter. This speeds up reads but slows down writes (there's no such thing as a free lunch)

Periodic Tasks

```
q = Entry.objects.filter(headline__startswith="What")
q = q.filter(pub_date__lte=datetime.date.today())
q = q.exclude(body_text__icontains="food")
print(q)
```

- Realize that querysets are lazy, so avoid things like:
 - len(qs) -> 'qs.count()'
 - `list(qs)` 🕾
 - bool(qs) -> 'qs.exists()'

QuerySets are lazy – the act of creating a QuerySet doesn't involve any database activity. You can stack filters together all day long, and Django won't actually run the query until the QuerySet is evaluated

https://docs.djangoproject.com/en/4.2/ref/models/querysets/#when-querysets-are-evaluated

Thank You!

And if everything fails, try API caching lol 🤗