

# Negative binomial regression

## Last time: handling overdispersion

### Poisson:

- + Mean =  $\lambda_i$
- + Variance =  $\lambda_i$

### quasi-Poisson:

- + Mean =  $\lambda_i$
- + Variance =  $\phi\lambda_i$
- + Variance is a linear function of the mean

What if we want variance to depend on the mean in a different way?

# Introducing the negative binomial

If  $Y_i \sim NB(\theta, p)$ , then  $Y_i$  takes values  $y = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  with probabilities

$$P(Y_i = y) = \frac{(y + \theta - 1)!}{y!(\theta - 1)!} (1 - p)^\theta p^y$$

+  $\theta > 0, \quad p \in [0, 1]$

+ Mean =  $\frac{p\theta}{1 - p} = \mu$

+ Variance =  $\frac{p\theta}{(1 - p)^2} = \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{\theta}$

+ Variance is a *quadratic* function of the mean

# Mean and variance for a negative binomial variable

If  $Y_i \sim NB(\theta, p)$ , then

+ Mean =  $\frac{p\theta}{1-p} = \mu$

+ Variance =  $\frac{p\theta}{(1-p)^2} = \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{\theta}$

How is  $\theta$  related to overdispersion?

# Negative binomial regression

$$Y_i \sim NB(\theta, p_i)$$

$$\log(\mu_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$$

- +  $\mu_i = \frac{p_i \theta}{1 - p_i}$
- + Note that  $\theta$  is the same for all  $i$
- + Note that just like in Poisson regression, we model the average count
  - + Interpretation of  $\beta$ s is the same as in Poisson regression

# Comparing Poisson, quasi-Poisson, negative binomial

## Poisson:

- + Mean =  $\lambda_i$
- + Variance =  $\lambda_i$

## quasi-Poisson:

- + Mean =  $\lambda_i$
- + Variance =  $\phi\lambda_i$

## negative binomial:

- + Mean =  $\mu_i$
- + Variance =  $\mu_i + \frac{\mu_i^2}{\theta}$

## In R

```
m3 <- glm.nb(art ~ ., data = articles)
```

```
...  
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)  
## (Intercept)  0.256144   0.137348   1.865 0.062191 .  
## femWomen    -0.216418   0.072636  -2.979 0.002887 **  
## marMarried   0.150489   0.082097   1.833 0.066791 .  
## kid5        -0.176415   0.052813  -3.340 0.000837 ***  
## phd          0.015271   0.035873   0.426 0.670326  
## ment        0.029082   0.003214   9.048 < 2e-16 ***  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1  
##  
## (Dispersion parameter for Negative Binomial(2.2644) fami  
...  
  
 $\hat{\theta} = 2.264$ 
```

## In R

```
...  
##           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)  
## (Intercept)  0.256144   0.137348   1.865 0.062191 .  
## femWomen    -0.216418   0.072636  -2.979 0.002887 **  
## marMarried   0.150489   0.082097   1.833 0.066791 .  
## kid5        -0.176415   0.052813  -3.340 0.000837 ***  
## phd          0.015271   0.035873   0.426 0.670326  
## ment        0.029082   0.003214   9.048 < 2e-16 ***  
...
```

How do I interpret the estimated coefficient -0.176?



# quasi-Poisson vs. negative binomial

## quasi-Poisson:

- + linear relationship between mean and variance
- + easy to interpret  $\hat{\phi}$
- + same as Poisson regression when  $\phi = 1$
- + simple adjustment to estimated standard errors
- + estimated coefficients same as in Poisson regression

## negative binomial:

- + quadratic relationship between mean and variance
- + we get to use a likelihood, rather than a quasi-likelihood
- + Same as Poisson regression when  $\theta$  is very large and  $p$  is very small