

Logistic regression and prediction

Agenda

- + Exam 1
 - + Wednesday September 21, in class
 - + Covers material up through today (inclusive)
 - + Closed notes
 - + Bring a calculator (cannot use phone or laptop)
 - + I won't ask you to write R code, but you may need to interpret R output
 - + Questions similar to assignments and class activities
- + Today: more logistic regression

Data

Data on 5720 Vietnamese children, admitted to hospital with possible dengue fever. Variables include:

- + Dengue: whether the patient actually has dengue fever, based on a lab test (0 = no, 1 = yes)
- + Temperature: patient's body temperature (in Celsius)
- + Abdominal: whether the patient has abdominal pain (0 = no, 1 = yes)
- + HCT: patient's hematocrit (proportion of red blood cells)
- + Age: patient's age (in years)
- + Sex: patient's sex
- + + several others

Last time

$$Y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_i)$$

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Temperature}_i + \beta_2 \text{Abdominal}_i \\ + \beta_3 \text{Temperature}_i \cdot \text{Abdominal}_i$$

Does the model improve when we add hematocrit (the proportion of red blood cells)?

Model

$$Y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i}\right) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Temperature}_i + \beta_2 \text{Abdominal}_i \\ &\quad + \beta_3 \text{Temperature}_i \cdot \text{Abdominal}_i \\ &\quad + \beta_4 \text{HCT}_i \end{aligned}$$

want to check relationship between

- HCT and Dengue (empirical log odds)

- Do empirical log odds plots to check for interactions w/ Temp & Abdominal

Lab3: involves checking these interactions

Class activity, Part I

https://sta214-f22.github.io/class_activities/ca_lecture_11.html

Class activity

What is the estimated change in odds associated with a 1 point increase in hematocrit, holding temperature and abdominal pain constant?

increases by a factor of $e^{\hat{\beta}_4} = e^{0.115} \approx 1.12$

Class activity

How does the deviance change when we add hematocrit to the model?

Deviance w/out HCT: 6914

w HCT: 6744.8

\Rightarrow Decrease by 169.2

Class activity

(with those variables in the model)

Researchers want to test whether there is a relationship between hematocrit and the probability a patient has dengue, after accounting for temperature and abdominal pain. Carry out a hypothesis test to investigate this research question.

$$H_0: \beta_4 = 0$$

$$H_A: \beta_4 \neq 0$$

LRT

$$G = 169.2$$

Under H_0 , $G \sim \chi^2_{df=1}$ # parameters tested

$$pchisq(169.2, df=1, lower.tail=F) \approx 0$$

Wald

$$Z = 12.753$$

$$= \frac{0.115}{0.009}$$

$$p\text{-value} \approx 0$$

Comparing models

If deviance always decreases when I add additional variables, how can I assess whether including hematocrit substantially improves the model?

Option 1: Likelihood ratio test

- + Is the change in deviance bigger than we would expect if hematocrit doesn't really matter?

Option 2: AIC

(Akaike's Information Criterion)

AIC

In linear regression, what quantity did we use to compare models with different numbers of parameters?

AIC

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SSTotal}$$

more parameters \Rightarrow $SSE \downarrow \Rightarrow R^2 \uparrow$

$$R^2_{adj} = 1 - \frac{SSE/(n-p)}{SSTotal/(n-1)}$$

$p = \# \text{Parameters in model}$

In linear regression, what quantity did we use to compare models with different numbers of parameters?

Adjusted R^2

- + We can use something similar for logistic regression, called the *Akaike information criterion* (AIC)
- + Motivation: penalize the deviance based on the number of parameters

Logistic regression : maximize likelihood
 \Leftrightarrow minimize deviance (like SSE)

AIC

AIC: Suppose our model has p parameters (including the intercept). Then the AIC is

$$AIC = 2p + \text{deviance}$$

↑
parameters

↑
want deviance to be
small

AIC

Model 1: (adding hematocrit) $p = 5$ $6745 + 2(5)$

Null Deviance: 6956
Residual Deviance: 6745 AIC: 6755

Model 2: (no hematocrit) $p = 4$ $6914 + 2(4)$

Null Deviance: 6956
Residual Deviance: 6914 AIC: 6922

Which model do we prefer, based on AIC?

Model 1! (smaller AIC)

Model comparison

Does the model improve when we add hematocrit (the proportion of red blood cells)?

- + **Likelihood ratio test:** $p\text{-value} \approx 0$
- + **AIC:** AIC is smaller when we add hematocrit

Conclusion: We have convincing evidence that adding hematocrit improves the model.

A new question...

You report your results to the hospital, and they ask a follow-up question:

How good is your model at predicting whether a patient has dengue?

Making predictions

- + For each patient in the data, we calculate $\hat{\pi}_i$
- + But, we want to decide which patients to treat. So we need to guess whether patient i has dengue ($Y_i = 1$) or doesn't ($Y_i = 0$)

How can we turn $\hat{\pi}_i$ into a dengue prediction?

If $\hat{\pi}_i = 0$, guess $Y_i = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{Y}_i = 0$
 $\hat{\pi}_i = 1 \Rightarrow \hat{Y}_i = 1$
 $\hat{\pi}_i = 0.3$
 $\hat{Y}_i = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$
 $\hat{\pi}_i \geq 0.5 \leftarrow \text{threshold}$
 $\hat{\pi}_i < 0.5$
(could use other thresholds too)

Confusion matrix

		Actual	
		$Y = 0$	$Y = 1$
Predicted	$\hat{Y} = 0$	3957	1631
	$\hat{Y} = 1$	66	66

3957:
the patients who we
correctly predicted
do not have dengue

bad"

Patients we
correctly predicted
do have dengue

good

- + For 3957 patients, we correctly predicted they did not have dengue
- + For 66 patients, we correctly predicted they had dengue
- + For 1631 patients, we incorrectly predicted they did not have dengue

Did we do a good job at predicting?

Accuracy

Also look at performance within each group
 $Y=0$ and $Y=1$

		Actual	
		$Y = 0$	$Y = 1$
Predicted	$\hat{Y} = 0$	3957	1631
	$\hat{Y} = 1$	66	66

$$Y=0: \frac{3957}{3957+66}$$

$$Y=1: \frac{66}{1631+66}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Accuracy} &= \frac{\text{number of correct predictions}}{\text{number of observations}} \\ &= \frac{3957 + 66}{5720} \\ &= 0.703 \end{aligned}$$

We correctly predict dengue status 70% of the time.

If we have unbalanced data, (lots more 0s or 1s)
 Accuracy can be misleading

Class activity, Part II

https://sta214-f22.github.io/class_activities/ca_lecture_11.html

Class activity

		Actual	
		$Y = 0$	$Y = 1$
Predicted	$\hat{Y} = 0$	3990	503
	$\hat{Y} = 1$	33	1194

What is the accuracy of the rapid test?

Class activity

Which method would you prefer -- our logistic regression model, or the rapid test?