Random slopes

Recap: a model with random slopes

$$Price_{ij} = \beta_0 + u_i + (\beta_1 + v_i)Satisfaction_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

How would I interpret each part of this model?

- + β_0 =
- $+ \beta_0 + u_i =$
- + β_1 =
- $+ \beta_1 + v_i =$

A model with random slopes

$$Price_{ij} = eta_0 + u_i + (eta_1 + v_i)Satisfaction_{ij} + arepsilon_{ij}$$

- β_0 = mean price when satisfaction is 0 (average across neighborhoods)
- + $\beta_0 + u_i$ = mean price when satisfaction is 0 in neighborhood i
- + β_1 = average change in price for a one-unit increase in satisfaction (average across neighborhoods)
- + $\beta_1 + v_i$ = average change in price for a one-unit increase in satisfaction in neighborhood i

https://sta214-f22.github.io/class_activities/ca_lecture_29.html

Mixed effects models are useful when there are group effects in our data.

What are the groups in the data, and what are the observations within each group?

The researchers hypothesize that anxiety levels depend on the type of performance (large or small ensembles), and that the difference in anxiety levels between large and small ensembles varies from person to person.

What mixed effects model should the researchers use to investigate their hypothesis?

$$Anxiety_{ij} = eta_0 + u_i + (eta_1 + v_i) LargeEnsemble_{ij} + arepsilon_{ij}$$

Interpret the fixed effects and random effects in the model.

$$Anxiety_{ij} = eta_0 + u_i + (eta_1 + v_i) LargeEnsemble_{ij} + arepsilon_{ij}$$

Interpret the fixed effects and random effects in the model.

- β_0 = average performance anxiety before small ensemble and solo performances (average across musicians)
- + $\beta_0 + u_i$ = average performance anxiety before small ensemble and solo performances for musician i
- β_1 = average difference in anxiety before large ensemble performances (compared to small/solo performances) (average across musicians)
- + $\beta_1 + v_i$ = average difference in anxiety before large ensemble performances for musician i

```
m1 <- lmer(na ~ large + (large|id),
data = music)
```

This means we include large as a fixed effect, and we allow the coefficient on large to vary from individual to individual

```
m1 <- lmer(na ~ large + (large|id),</pre>
             data = music)
 summary(m1)
## Fixed effects:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value
  (Intercept) 16.7297 0.4908 34.09
          -1.6762 0.5425 -3.09
## large
##
\widehat{\beta}_0 = 16.73 \widehat{\beta}_1 = -1.68
```

How would I interpret $\widehat{\beta}_0$ and $\widehat{\beta}_1$?

$$Anxiety_{ij} = eta_0 + u_i + (eta_1 + v_i) LargeEnsemble_{ij} + arepsilon_{ij}$$

$$\widehat{\beta}_0 = 16.73$$
 $\widehat{\beta}_1 = -1.68$

- The average anxiety before a small/solo performance is 16.73
- On average, student anxiety decreases by 1.68 before a large performance (compared to a small/solo performance)

```
summary(m1)

...
## Random effects:
## Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr
## id (Intercept) 6.3330 2.5165
## large 0.7429 0.8619 -0.76
## Residual 21.7712 4.6660
## Number of obs: 497, groups: id, 37
```

What does this output tell us about the random effects and the noise?

 $Anxiety_{ij} = eta_0 + u_i + (eta_1 + v_i) LargeEnsemble_{ij} + arepsilon_{ij}$

```
## Random effects:

## Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr

## id (Intercept) 6.3330 2.5165

## large 0.7429 0.8619 -0.76

## Residual 21.7712 4.6660
```

- $\widehat{\sigma}_u^2 = 6.333$ (variability in anxiety before small performances, between students)
- + $\widehat{\sigma}_v^2 = 0.743$ (variability in difference in anxiety before large performances, between students)
- $\widehat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^2 = 21.77$ (variability in anxiety between performances, within a student)

Correlation between slopes and intercepts

 $Anxiety_{ij} = eta_0 + u_i + (eta_1 + v_i)LargeEnsemble_{ij} + arepsilon_{ij}$

```
## Random effects:

## Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr

## id (Intercept) 6.3330 2.5165

## large 0.7429 0.8619 -0.76

## Residual 21.7712 4.6660
```

- $\hat{\rho}_{uv} = -0.76$ (estimated correlation between the random slope and random intercept for an individual)
 - Subjects with higher performance anxiety scores for solos and small ensembles tend to have greater decreases in performance anxiety for large ensemble performances

Writing down the model

$$Anxiety_{ij} = eta_0 + u_i + (eta_1 + v_i)LargeEnsemble_{ij} + arepsilon_{ij}$$

$$arepsilon_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0,\sigma_arepsilon^2) \hspace{0.5cm} \left[egin{array}{c} u_i \ v_i \end{array}
ight] \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N\left(\left[egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \end{array}
ight], \left[egin{array}{c} \sigma_u^2 &
ho_{uv}\sigma_u\sigma_v \
ho_{uv}\sigma_u\sigma_v & \sigma_v^2 \end{array}
ight]
ight)$$

Anybody know the name of this new thing?

Writing down the model

$$Anxiety_{ij} = eta_0 + u_i + (eta_1 + v_i)LargeEnsemble_{ij} + arepsilon_{ij}$$

$$arepsilon_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0,\sigma_arepsilon^2) \hspace{0.5cm} \left[egin{array}{c} u_i \ v_i \end{array}
ight] \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N\left(\left[egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \end{array}
ight], \left[egin{array}{c} \sigma_u^2 &
ho_{uv}\sigma_u\sigma_v \
ho_{uv}\sigma_u\sigma_v & \sigma_v^2 \end{array}
ight]
ight)$$

- lacktriangle This just says that both u_i and v_i come from a normal distribution
 - lacktriangle the variance of u_i is σ_u^2
 - lacktriangle the variance of v_i is σ_v^2
 - lacktriangle the correlation between u_i and v_i is ho_{uv}
- Note: the population model includes the distribution of the random effects and noise