STA286 Lecture 04

Neil Montgomery

Last edited: 2017-01-17 09:04

the axiomatic approach

Some of the basic rules can be formally proven, which is great fun!


Theorem 1 $P(\emptyset) = 0$

Theorem 2 P(A') = 1 - P(A)

Theorem 3 If $A \subset B$ then $P(A) \leq P(B)$

Theorem 4 $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$

Corollaries to Theorem 4: $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B)$ when A and B are disjoint, and $P(A \cup B) \le P(A) + P(B)$ (always).



I'll roll a six-sided die. $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Consider these events:

$$A = \{2,5\},$$

 $B = \{2,4,6\},$
 $C = \{1,2\}.$

I'll roll a six-sided die. $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Consider these events:

$$A = \{2,5\},$$

 $B = \{2,4,6\},$
 $C = \{1,2\}.$

So
$$P(A) = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

I'll roll a six-sided die. $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Consider these events:

$$A = \{2,5\},$$

 $B = \{2,4,6\},$
 $C = \{1,2\}.$

So
$$P(A) = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

Let's use a "personal probability" philosophy for the momemnt.

I'll roll a six-sided die. $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Consider these events:

$$A = \{2,5\},$$

 $B = \{2,4,6\},$
 $C = \{1,2\}.$

So
$$P(A) = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

Let's use a "personal probability" philosophy for the momemnt.

What if I peek and tell you "Actually, B occurred". What is the (your?) probability of A given this partial information? It is $\frac{1}{3}$.

I'll roll a six-sided die. $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Consider these events:

$$A = \{2,5\},$$

 $B = \{2,4,6\},$
 $C = \{1,2\}.$

So
$$P(A) = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

Let's use a "personal probability" philosophy for the momemnt.

What if I peek and tell you "Actually, B occurred". What is the (your?) probability of A given this partial information? It is $\frac{1}{3}$.

I roll the die again, peek, and tell you "Actually, C occurred". Now the probability of A is $\frac{1}{2}$.

I'll roll a six-sided die. $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Consider these events:

$$A = \{2,5\},$$

 $B = \{2,4,6\},$
 $C = \{1,2\}.$

So
$$P(A) = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

Let's use a "personal probability" philosophy for the momemnt.

What if I peek and tell you "Actually, B occurred". What is the (your?) probability of A given this partial information? It is $\frac{1}{3}$.

I roll the die again, peek, and tell you "Actually, C occurred". Now the probability of A is $\frac{1}{2}$.

Intuitively people use a "sample space restriction" approach in these simple cases.

elementary definition of conditional probability

Given B with P(B) > 0,

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

"The conditional probability of A given B"

The answers for the previous example coincide with the intuitive approach.

elementary definition of conditional probability

Given B with P(B) > 0,

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

"The conditional probability of A given B"

The answers for the previous example coincide with the intuitive approach.

Fun fact: For a fixed B with P(B) > 0, the function $P_B(A) = P(A|B)$ is a probability function. (You can prove this.)

useful expressions for calculation - I

 $P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)P(B)$ often comes in handy.

Consider the testing for, and prevalence of, a viral infection such as HIV.

Denote by A the event "tests positive for HIV", and by B the event "is HIV positive."

useful expressions for calculation - I

 $P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)P(B)$ often comes in handy.

Consider the testing for, and prevalence of, a viral infection such as HIV.

Denote by A the event "tests positive for HIV", and by B the event "is HIV positive."

For the ELISA screening test, P(A|B) is about 0.995. The prevalence of HIV in Canada is about P(B) = 0.00212.

useful expressions for calculation - I

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)P(B)$$
 often comes in handy.

Consider the testing for, and prevalence of, a viral infection such as HIV.

Denote by A the event "tests positive for HIV", and by B the event "is HIV positive."

For the ELISA screening test, P(A|B) is about 0.995. The prevalence of HIV in Canada is about P(B) = 0.00212.

The probability of a randomly selected Canadian being HIV positive and testing positive is:

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)P(B) = 0.0021094$$

useful expressions for calculation - II

If $B_1, B_2, ...$ is a partition of S with all $P(B_i) > 0$, then:

$$P(A) = P\left(\bigcup_{i} (A \cap B_{i})\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i} P(A \cap B_{i})$$

useful expressions for calculation - II

If $B_1, B_2, ...$ is a partition of S with all $P(B_i) > 0$, then:

$$P(A) = P\left(\bigcup_{i}(A \cap B_{i})\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i} P(A \cap B_{i})$$
$$= \sum_{i} P(A|B_{i})P(B_{i})$$

Common simple version: $P(A) = P(A|B)P(B) + P(A|B^c)P(B^c)$

useful expressions for calculation - II

If $B_1, B_2, ...$ is a partition of S with all $P(B_i) > 0$, then:

$$P(A) = P\left(\bigcup_{i} (A \cap B_{i})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} P(A \cap B_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i} P(A|B_{i})P(B_{i})$$

Common simple version: $P(A) = P(A|B)P(B) + P(A|B^c)P(B^c)$

Continuing with the HIV example, suppose we also know $P(A|B^c) = 0.005$ ("false positive").

useful expressions for calculation - III

We can now calculate P(A), the probability of a randomly selected Canadian testing positive.

$$P(A) = P(A|B)P(B) + P(A|B^c)P(B^c)$$

= 0.995 \cdot 0.00212 + 0.005 \cdot (1 - 0.00212)

useful expressions for calculation - III

We can now calculate P(A), the probability of a randomly selected Canadian testing positive.

$$P(A) = P(A|B)P(B) + P(A|B^{c})P(B^{c})$$

= 0.995 \cdot 0.00212 + 0.005 \cdot (1 - 0.00212)
= 0.0070988

The simple formula gets a grandiose title: "THE! LAW! OF! TOTAL! PROBABILITY!!!!!"

Now, in the HIV example, we also might be interested in P(B|A), the chance of an HIV+ person testing positive.

A little algebra:

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(B \cap A)}{P(A)} = \frac{P(A|B)P(B)}{P(A|B)P(B) + P(A|B^c)P(B^c)}$$

In our example this is $\frac{0.0021094}{0.0070988} = 0.2971$.

Bayes' rule in general

If $B_1, B_2, ...$ is a partition of S with all $P(B_i) > 0$, then

$$P(B_i|A) = \frac{P(A|B_i)P(B_i)}{P(A)} = \frac{P(A|B_i)P(B_i)}{\sum_{i} P(A|B_i)P(B_i)}$$



motivation - revisit the die toss example

I'll roll a six-sided die. $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Consider these events:

$$A = \{2, 5\},\$$

 $B = \{2, 4, 6\}$

So
$$P(A) = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

What if I peek and tell you "Actually, B occurred". What is the probability of A given this partial information? It is $\frac{1}{3}$.

The probability of A didn't change after the new information:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = P(A)$$

definition(s) of independence

A and B are (pairwise) independent (notation $A \perp B$) if:

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$$

No requirement for P(A) or P(B) to be positive.

 A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots (possibly infinite) are (mutually) *independent* if for any finite subcollection of indices $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$:

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)=\prod_{i\in I}P(A_i)$$

independence of two classes of events

Note that if $A \perp B$, then also $A \perp B^c$ and so on. Consider:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, S\}$$
$$\mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, B, B^c, S\}$$

Classes of events \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are *independent* all pairs of events with one chosen from each class are independent.

The suggests a concept of "independent experiments", which will be revisited.

the "any" and "all" style of examples

(Note: in probability modeling, independence is usually assumed.)

A subway train is removed from service if any of its doors are stuck open. There is a probability p of a door getting stuck open on one day of operations. A train has n doors.

Example question: what is the chance a train is removed from service due to stuck doors on one day of operations?

 p^n "all doors fail"

 $1 - p^n$ "not all doors fail"

 $(1-p)^n$ "no doors fail"

 $1-(1-p)^n$ "not no doors fail, in other words any doors fail"